US 20140108386A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**

**Andler et al.**

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2014/0108386 A1**

(43) **Pub. Date: Apr. 17, 2014**

(54) **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING AN AFFINITY BETWEEN ENTITIES ON A SOCIAL NETWORK**

(71) Applicant: **MYSPACE, LLC**, Beverly Hills, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Michael Scott Andler**, Los Angeles, CA (US); **James Andrew Beaupre**, Los Angeles, CA (US); **Eric Juhyun Kim**, Tujunga, CA (US); **Thomas Barraud Werz, III**, Los Angeles, CA (US); **Kyle R. Kincaid**, Los Angeles, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **MYSPACE, LLC**, Beverly Hills, CA (US)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/052,484**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 11, 2013**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/035,721, filed on Sep. 24, 2013, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 13/858,857, filed on Apr. 8, 2013.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/705,131, filed on Sep. 24, 2012, provisional application No. 61/621,057, filed on Apr. 6, 2012.

**Publication Classification**

(51) **Int. Cl.**
***G06F 17/30*** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC ..... ***G06F 17/30861*** (2013.01)
USPC ..... **707/723**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method, apparatus, system, and computer program product provide an affinity between a first entity and a second entity on a social network. First affinity data for a first entity is determined. The first affinity data is first behavioral data and first categorical data. Second affinity data for the second entity is determined. The second affinity data is second behavioral data and second categorical data. The first affinity data is compared to the second affinity data resulting in an affinity score. The affinity score identifies a probability of similar interests between the first entity and the second entity based on behavioral similarities between the first behavioral data and the second behavioral data, and categorical similarities between the first categorical data and the second categorical data. The affinity score is then provided to the first entity.




100
102
104A
104B
GENERAL PURPOSE PROCESSOR
SPECIAL PURPOSE PROCESSOR
130
106
MEMORY
108
110
OS
COMPUTER PROGRAM
120
124
GUI MODULE
COMPILER
118
112
PRINTER
128
122
116
114
132
GUI
POINTING DEVICE
KEYBOARD

FIG. 1


DATABASE
216
DBMS
214
WEB SERVER
210
ASP or ISAPI
APPLICATION
212
SERVER
206
200
204
202

FIG. 2


300
SOCIAL NETWORK SITE 302
WEBSITE/ WEBSITE APP 308
MEDIA CONTENT PLAYER 306
DBMS 214
DATABASE 216
APP N 304
APP 1 304
204
132
CLIENT 202

FIG. 3

FIG. 4


504
500
502
mike andler
Connect
Share
Send Message
Block
Report

FIG. 5


600
502
mike andler
Connect
Share
Report

FIG. 6


INITIATE INTERACTION BETWEEN A USER AND ANOTHER USER/RESOURCE
702
IS ONE ENTITY MISSING RELEVANT AFFINITY TAGS?
704
YES
NO
706
INHERIT ALL TAGS FROM ENTITY WITH TAGS
COMPUTE TAG INHERITANCE
708

FIG. 7


DETERMINE FIRST AFFINITY DATA
802
DETERMINE SECOND AFFINITY DATA
804
COMPARE FIRST AFFINITY DATA TO SECOND AFFINITY DATA
806
DETERMINE AFFINITY SCORE
808
PROVIDE AFFINITY SCORE
810

FIG. 8

# METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING AN AFFINITY BETWEEN ENTITIES ON A SOCIAL NETWORK

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

**[0001]** This application is a continuation of the following co-pending and commonly-assigned U.S. patent application (s), which is/are incorporated by reference herein:

**[0002]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,721 filed on Sep. 24, 2013, entitled "AFFINITY-TAG INHERITANCE" by Michael Scott Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, Thomas Barraud Werz III, and Kyle R. Kincaid, attorneys' docket number 257.82-US-U1.

**[0003]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,721, filed on Sep. 24, 2013 claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. Section 119(e) of the following co-pending and commonly-assigned U.S. provisional patent application(s), which is/are incorporated by reference herein:

**[0004]** U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/705,131 filed on Sep. 24, 2012, entitled "Social Media and Information Discovery Graphical User Interface" by Benjamin Johnston, Jason J. A. Knapp, Ali Tahmasbi, Joshua Couch, Fabrizio Blanco, Timothy Charles Vanderhook, Christopher J. Vanderhook, and Michael S. Andler, attorneys' docket number 257.69-US-P1;

**[0005]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,721, filed on Sep. 24, 2013 is a continuation-in-part application of the following co-pending and commonly-assigned U.S. patent application(s), which is/are incorporated by reference herein:

**[0006]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/858,857 filed on Apr. 8, 2013, entitled "System and Method for Presenting and Managing Social Media" by Michael Scott Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, and Thomas Barraud Werz III, attorneys' docket number 257.40-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/621,057 filed on Apr. 6, 2012, entitled "System and Method for Presenting and Managing Social Media" by Mike Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, and Thomas Barraud Werz III, attorneys' docket number 257.40-US-P1;

**[0007]** This application is related to the following co-pending and commonly-assigned patent application(s), which is/are incorporated by reference herein:

**[0008]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,655, filed on Sep. 24, 2013, entitled "System and Method for Connecting Users to Other Users and Objects in a Social Network" by Michael Scott Andler, attorneys' docket number 257.80-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/705,131 filed on Sep. 24, 2012, entitled "Social Media and Information Discovery Graphical User Interface" by Benjamin Johnston, Jason J. A. Knapp, Ali Tahmasbi, Joshua Couch, Fabrizio Blanco, Timothy Charles Vanderhook, Christopher J. Vanderhook, and Michael S. Andler, attorneys' docket number 257.69-US-P1;

**[0009]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,695, filed on Sep. 24, 2013, entitled "Hover Card" by Michael Scott Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, Thomas Barraud Werz III, and Kyle Kincaid, attorneys' docket number 257.81-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/705,131 filed on Sep. 24, 2012, entitled "Social Media and Information Discovery Graphical User Interface" by Benjamin Johnston, Jason J. A. Knapp, Ali Tahmasbi, Joshua Couch, Fabrizio Blanco, Timothy Charles Vanderhook, Christopher J. Vanderhook, and Michael S. Andler, attorneys' docket number 257.69-US-P1;

**[0010]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/035,799, filed on Sep. 24, 2013, entitled "Determining, Distinguishing, and Visualizing Users' Engagement with Resources on a Social Network" by Michael Scott Andler, Thomas Barraud Werz III, Eric Juhyun Kim, James Andrew Beaupre, and Timothy Charles Vanderhook, attorneys' docket number 257.83-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/705,131 filed on Sep. 24, 2012, entitled "Social Media and Information Discovery Graphical User Interface" by Benjamin Johnston, Jason J. A. Knapp, Ali Tahmasbi, Joshua Couch, Fabrizio Blanco, Timothy Charles Vanderhook, Christopher J. Vanderhook, and Michael S. Andler, attorneys' docket number 257.69-US-P1;

**[0011]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/858,720, filed on Apr. 8, 2013, by Michael Scott Andler, James A. Beaupre, Eric J. Kim, and Thomas B. Werz III, entitled "System and Method for Determining User or Resource Influence within a Pre-Defined Context", attorneys' docket number 257.43-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 61/621,051, filed on Apr. 6, 2012, by Mike Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, and Thomas Barraud Werz III, entitled "System and Method for Determining User or Resource Influence within a Pre-Defined Context", attorneys' docket number 257.43-US-P1; and

**[0012]** U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/858,727, filed on Apr. 8, 2013, by Michael Scott Andler, James A. Beaupre, Eric J. Kim, and Thomas B. Werz III, entitled "System and Method for Recommending Content", attorneys' docket number 257.44-US-U1, which application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 61/621,049, filed on Apr. 6, 2012, by Mike Andler, James Andrew Beaupre, Eric Juhyun Kim, and Thomas Barraud Werz III, entitled "System and Method for Recommending Content", attorneys' docket number 257 0.44-US-P1.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

**[0013]** 1. Field of the Invention

**[0014]** This invention relates generally to social networks, and in particular, to a method, apparatus, and article of manufacture for determining a measure of affinity/similarity between a two entities within a social network.

**[0015]** 2. Description of the Related Art

**[0016]** Prior art mechanisms provided multiple mechanisms for a user to express an interest in other user and objects within a social network. Further, prior art mechanisms may provide targeted advertising based on a prediction regarding a user's potential interests. In addition, prior art techniques may use a variety of methods to recommend users as "friends". However, the prior art lacks the capability to determine an affinity/level of affinity/similar interests between a user and another user/entity/object as well as the ability to present such a determination to a user thereby enabling a user to determine whether to engage with such content. To better understand such problems a description of prior art methodologies for a user to interact with content (e.g., other users and/or objects) may be useful.

**[0017]** Prior art systems provide an overcrowded social network behavior terminology with respect to a user expressing an interest in other users and content. Such terminology includes friending, following, fanning, liking, checking in, +1-ing, etc. Each of these concepts allows a user to uni-

directionally indicate an interest in another user/object/content. As an example, a "friend" within the Facebook™ social network is someone that a user may connect and share with within the social network. In other words, to express an interest in another user within the Facebook™ social network, a user may be required to search for and add that user as a "friend". The added user must then "accept" that user as a friend to establish the "friend" relationship. Once friended, depending on the privacy/security settings established by the users, friends may have access to another friend's activity stream/updates, pictures, personal information, etc.

**[0018]** In addition to "friending," to express an interest or to provide positive feedback and connect with things a user cares about, the user may "like" a web page, another user's post, etc. For example, if a user desires to indicate an interest in a concert venue, the user must search for and find a social network page corresponding to that venue and "like" that page. Once the user "likes" the page, the user may have access to/view that page's activity stream.

**[0019]** Another term used in the prior art is "follow" which provides a mechanism for a user to see public updates from the people a user is interested in. A user "follows" another user and will receive updates from the followed user in his/her own user's "news feed." However, confusingly, if a user is interested in keeping up with a Page (e.g., businesses, organizations, bands, etc.), the user utilizes may be required to "like" the page.

**[0020]** "Fanning" is utilized in a similar manner to "liking" a page and refers to a user become a "fan" of their favorite page.

**[0021]** "Checking-in" refers to the concept where a user may "check-in" (e.g., using their mobile device/phone) at different places a user visits (e.g., bars, markets, concert venues, etc.). In other words, the user identifies a location that the user has visited using a "check-in" feature of a social network (e.g., on the Foursquare™ social network).

**[0022]** "+1-ing" refers to a method within the Google+™ social network for how a user shows their appreciation for a post/object. For example, a user may "+1" a post within the Google+™ social network by clicking a "+1" link/icon. Thereafter, the creator of the post and the people the post was shared with can see the user's "+1". Users can also "+1" something on a website which adds to the total number of "+1"s shown in a count for that item.

**[0023]** What is lacking from each of the above prior techniques is the ability to actually discover new users and content based on accurate and educated recommendations while allowing the user the opportunity to review how/why such new users/content are recommended. Instead, the prior art merely indicates that another user has "liked" such a page or how many mutual friends you have with a particular user. In other words, while prior art systems may recommend another user as a friend, or provide a targeted advertisement, or recommend a web page, all such recommendations are merely based on the present user's activities (e.g., what the user has liked, the user's current profile, etc.) and activities of friends of the present user. Further, such recommendations do not provide the ability for a user to view a detailed basis for such a recommendation.

**[0024]** In view of the above, one may note that a variety of methods are used across different social networks to indicate/express an interest in and to visualize their affinity to other users/objects within a social network. The number of different methods used can be confusing and repetitive and fails to provide a simple and easy to use and understand method/display for expressing an interest and visualizing a user's affinity.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0025]** Embodiments of the invention provide an affinity score that is based on relationships among activities performed by specific users or groups of users and their interaction with site objects. In addition, to track and determine such activities and interaction, embodiments of the invention utilize tags that reflect the exchange of affinities between users and resources (referred to as tag inheritance).

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**[0026]** Referring now to the drawings in which like reference numbers represent corresponding parts throughout:

**[0027]** FIG. **1** is an exemplary hardware and software environment used to implement one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0028]** FIG. **2** schematically illustrates a typical distributed computer system using a network to connect client computers to server computers in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0029]** FIG. **3** illustrates the general structure and interaction within an social media network framework/system in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0030]** FIG. **4** illustrates the display of a hover in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0031]** FIG. **5** illustrates an exemplary display of a hover card when hovering in association with a user in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0032]** FIG. **6** illustrates an exemplary display of a hover card when hovering in association with a video resource in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

**[0033]** FIG. **7** illustrates the logical flow for inheriting tags in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention; and

**[0034]** FIG. **8** illustrates the logical flow for providing an affinity between a first entity and a second entity on a social network in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

**[0035]** In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and which is shown, by way of illustration, several embodiments of the present invention. It is understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention. Embodiments of the invention include systems and methods for presenting and managing connections between users/objects on a social network.

### Hardware Environment

**[0036]** FIG. **1** is an exemplary hardware and software environment **100** used to implement one or more embodiments of the invention. The hardware and software environment includes a computer **102** and may include peripherals. Computer **102** may be a user/client computer, server computer, or may be a database computer. The computer **102** comprises a general purpose hardware processor **104**A and/or a special purpose hardware processor **104**B (hereinafter alternatively

collectively referred to as processor **104**) and a memory **106**, such as random access memory (RAM). The computer **102** may be coupled to, and/or integrated with, other devices, including input/output (I/O) devices such as a keyboard **114**, a cursor control device **116** (e.g., a mouse, a pointing device, pen and tablet, touch screen, multi-touch device, etc.) and a printer **128**. In one or more embodiments, computer **102** may be coupled to, or may comprise, a portable or media viewing/listening device **132** (e.g., an MP3 player, iPod™, Nook™, portable digital video player, cellular device, personal digital assistant, etc.). In yet another embodiment, the computer **102** may comprise a multi-touch device, mobile phone, gaming system, internet enabled television, television set top box, or other internet enabled device executing on various platforms and operating systems.

**[0037]** In one embodiment, the computer **102** operates by the general purpose processor **104**A performing instructions defined by the computer program **110** under control of an operating system **108**. The computer program **110** and/or the operating system **108** may be stored in the memory **106** and may interface with the user and/or other devices to accept input and commands and, based on such input and commands and the instructions defined by the computer program **110** and operating system **108**, to provide output and results.

**[0038]** Output/results may be presented on the display **122** or provided to another device for presentation or further processing or action. In one embodiment, the display **122** comprises a liquid crystal display (LCD) having a plurality of separately addressable liquid crystals. Alternatively, the display **122** may comprise a light emitting diode (LED) display having clusters of red, green and blue diodes driven together to form full-color pixels. Each liquid crystal or pixel of the display **122** changes to an opaque or translucent state to form a part of the image on the display in response to the data or information generated by the processor **104** from the application of the instructions of the computer program **110** and/or operating system **108** to the input and commands. The image may be provided through a graphical user interface (GUI) module **118**. Although the GUI module **118** is depicted as a separate module, the instructions performing the GUI functions can be resident or distributed in the operating system **108**, the computer program **110**, or implemented with special purpose memory and processors.

**[0039]** In one or more embodiments, the display **122** is integrated with/into the computer **102** and comprises a multi-touch device having a touch sensing surface (e.g., track pod or touch screen) with the ability to recognize the presence of two or more points of contact with the surface. Examples of multi-touch devices include mobile devices (e.g., iPhone™, Nexus S™, Droid™ devices, etc.), tablet computers (e.g., iPad™, HP Touchpad™), portable/handheld game/music/video player/console devices (e.g., iPod Touch™, MP3 players, Nintendo 3DS™, PlayStation Portable™, etc.), touch tables, and walls (e.g., where an image is projected through acrylic and/or glass, and the image is then backlit with LEDs).

**[0040]** Some or all of the operations performed by the computer **102** according to the computer program **110** instructions may be implemented in a special purpose processor **104**B. In this embodiment, the some or all of the computer program **110** instructions may be implemented via firmware instructions stored in a read only memory (ROM), a programmable read only memory (PROM) or flash memory within the special purpose processor **104**B or in memory **106**. The special purpose processor **104**B may also be hardwired through circuit design to perform some or all of the operations to implement the present invention. Further, the special purpose processor **104**B may be a hybrid processor, which includes dedicated circuitry for performing a subset of functions, and other circuits for performing more general functions such as responding to computer program **110** instructions. In one embodiment, the special purpose processor **104**B is an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC).

**[0041]** The computer **102** may also implement a compiler **112** that allows an application or computer program **110** written in a programming language such as COBOL, Pascal, C++, FORTRAN, or other language to be translated into processor **104** readable code. Alternatively, the compiler **112** may be an interpreter that executes instructions/source code directly, translates source code into an intermediate representation that is executed, or that executes stored precompiled code. Such source code may be written in a variety of programming languages such as Java™, Perl™, Basic™, etc. After completion, the application or computer program **110** accesses and manipulates data accepted from I/O devices and stored in the memory **106** of the computer **102** using the relationships and logic that were generated using the compiler **112**.

**[0042]** The computer **102** also optionally comprises an external communication device such as a modem, satellite link, Ethernet card, or other device for accepting input from, and providing output to, other computers **102**.

**[0043]** In one embodiment, instructions implementing the operating system **108**, the computer program **110**, and the compiler **112** are tangibly embodied in a non-transient computer-readable medium, e.g., data storage device **120**, which could include one or more fixed or removable data storage devices, such as a zip drive, floppy disc drive **124**, hard drive, CD-ROM drive, tape drive, etc. Further, the operating system **108** and the computer program **110** are comprised of computer program **110** instructions which, when accessed, read and executed by the computer **102**, cause the computer **102** to perform the steps necessary to implement and/or use the present invention or to load the program of instructions into a memory **106**, thus creating a special purpose data structure causing the computer **102** to operate as a specially programmed computer executing the method steps described herein. Computer program **110** and/or operating instructions may also be tangibly embodied in memory **106** and/or data communications devices **130**, thereby making a computer program product or article of manufacture according to the invention. As such, the terms "article of manufacture," "program storage device," and "computer program product," as used herein, are intended to encompass a computer program accessible from any computer readable device or media.

**[0044]** Of course, those skilled in the art will recognize that any combination of the above components, or any number of different components, peripherals, and other devices, may be used with the computer **102**.

**[0045]** FIG. **2** schematically illustrates a typical distributed computer system **200** using a network **204** to connect client computers **202** to server computers **206**. A typical combination of resources may include a network **204** comprising the Internet, LANs (local area networks), WANs (wide area networks), SNA (systems network architecture) networks, or the like, clients **202** that are personal computers or workstations (as set forth in FIG. **1**), and servers **206** that are personal computers, workstations, minicomputers, or mainframes (as set forth in FIG. **1**). However, it may be noted that different

networks such as a cellular network (e.g., GSM [global system for mobile communications] or otherwise), a satellite based network, or any other type of network may be used to connect clients **202** and servers **206** in accordance with embodiments of the invention.

**[0046]** A network **204** such as the Internet connects clients **202** to server computers **206**. Network **204** may utilize ethernet, coaxial cable, wireless communications, radio frequency (RF), etc. to connect and provide the communication between clients **202** and servers **206**. Clients **202** may execute a client application or web browser and communicate with server computers **206** executing web servers **210**. Such a web browser is typically a program such as MICROSOFT INTERNET EXPLORER™, MOZILLA FIREFOX™, OPERA™, APPLE SAFARI™, GOOGLE CHROME™, etc. Further, the software executing on clients **202** may be downloaded from server computer **206** to client computers **202** and installed as a plug-in or ACTIVEX™ control of a web browser. Accordingly, clients **202** may utilize ACTIVEX™ components/component object model (COM) or distributed COM (DCOM) components to provide a user interface on a display of client **202**. The web server **210** is typically a program such as MICROSOFT'S INTERNET INFORMATION SERVER™.

**[0047]** Web server **210** may host an Active Server Page (ASP) or Internet Server Application Programming Interface (ISAPI) application **212**, which may be executing scripts. The scripts invoke objects that execute business logic (referred to as business objects). The business objects then manipulate data in database **216** through a database management system (DBMS) **214**. Alternatively, database **216** may be part of, or connected directly to, client **202** instead of communicating/obtaining the information from database **216** across network **204**. When a developer encapsulates the business functionality into objects, the system may be referred to as a component object model (COM) system. Accordingly, the scripts executing on web server **210** (and/or application **212**) invoke COM objects that implement the business logic. Further, server **206** may utilize MICROSOFT'S™ Transaction Server (MTS) to access required data stored in database **216** via an interface such as ADO (Active Data Objects), OLE DB (Object Linking and Embedding DataBase), or ODBC (Open DataBase Connectivity).

**[0048]** Generally, these components **200-216** all comprise logic and/or data that is embodied in/or retrievable from device, medium, signal, or carrier, e.g., a data storage device, a data communications device, a remote computer or device coupled to the computer via a network or via another data communications device, etc. Moreover, this logic and/or data, when read, executed, and/or interpreted, results in the steps necessary to implement and/or use the present invention being performed.

**[0049]** Although the terms "user computer", "client computer", and/or "server computer" are referred to herein, it is understood that such computers **202** and **206** may be interchangeable and may further include thin client devices with limited or full processing capabilities, portable devices such as cell phones, notebook computers, pocket computers, multi-touch devices, and/or any other devices with suitable processing, communication, and input/output capability.

**[0050]** Of course, those skilled in the art will recognize that any combination of the above components, or any number of different components, peripherals, and other devices, may be used with computers **202** and **206**.

Software Embodiment Overview

**[0051]** Embodiments of the invention are implemented as a software application on a client **202** or server computer **206**. Further, as described above, the client **202** or server computer **206** may comprise a thin client device or a portable device that has a multi-touch-based display (i.e., a tablet device), a mobile phone, a gaming system, an IP (internet protocol) enabled television, a television set top box, or other internet enabled device running on various platforms and operating systems. Users may communicate and interact with the software application using a mobile device, client computer **202**, portable device, etc.

**[0052]** FIG. **3** illustrates the general structure and interaction within an social media network framework/system in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention. As described above, mobile device **132** and/or client **202** (also referred to herein as user **202**) may communicate and interact using a variety of networks **204** with various websites and applications. Mobile application software (commonly referred to as an "app") may be installed and/or utilized on mobile devices **132** and/or clients **202**. Such an app may be downloaded from an application marketplace or online store of applications. The app may be used to provide the functionality herein. In addition, various apps may be used in combination with server side applications to provide the desired functionality. For example, a user **202/132** may install an app on his/her smart phone or tablet device (e.g., iPad™) that is configured to communicate with a social network site **302** and display relevant information on the user's device. Information displayed via the app on the user's device may be pushed to the user's device or pulled from the site **302** depending on the configuration of the app.

**[0053]** On the server side **206**, a social network site **302** (e.g., Myspace™ Facebook™, LinkedIn™, Friendster™, Twitter™, Foursquare™, Pinterest™, Instagram™, etc.), may provide an interactive experience to a variety of users **202/132** that access such a social network site **302**. Users **202/132** may access social network site **302** via a web browser or via an app on the user's device.

**[0054]** Users **202/132** accessing a social network site **302** may be members of site **302** or may access information without being members. In this regard, access to a site **302** or certain areas of site **302** may be limited to users **202/132** that are members and are logged in to such a site **302**. Such a logon may be automatic (e.g., preconfigured using cookies on a web browser or by storing a username/password on the user's device or in the app on the user's device).

**[0055]** Either as part of the social network site **302** or executing separately from the social network site **302**, various applications **304-308** may be used to provide additional features to the social network site **302**. It may be noted that the description is not limited to the applications depicted in FIG. **3** and additional applications may be used to provide the features described herein. Further, such applications **304-308** may be directly integrated with (e.g., are an integral part of) social network site **302**, may interact with each other, and or may interact directly with the user **202/132**.

**[0056]** Apps **304** may provide a variety of functionality ranging from games, to facial recognition, to media content discovery, etc. For example, one app **304** may consist of a recommendation engine that is configured to recommend content, events, etc. to a user **202/132** (e.g., based on content gathered and/or stored by social network site **302**). DBMS **214** manages all of the data that may be stored in database

**216**. Media content player **314** enables the ability to view media content uploaded by users **202/132** (or uploaded by a host of site **302**). Websites/website apps **308** are websites other than the social network site **302** (e.g., Twitter™, search engines, map-based interactions, etc.) that may use information from social network site **302** or provide additional information based on the social network information.

**[0057]** The platform and processing capabilities that provide an integrated graphical user interface that displays connectivity status and affinity between users/objects and may be performed by client **202**, server **206**, and/or a combination of client **202** and/or server **206** within a social network.

**[0058]** As used herein, a "social network" (or social network site) refers to a platform or service (e.g., website, web service, application, etc.) that enables users to build social relations based on shared interests, activities, backgrounds, and/or real-life connections. A social network provides a representation of each user (e.g., a profile), his/her social links, and a variety of additional services. As described above, many social networks/sites **302** are web-based and provide means for users to interact over a network **204** (e.g., the Internet, e-mail, and instant messaging). Social networking sites **302** allow users to share ideas, pictures, posts, activities, events, and interests with people in their network. Further, social networking sites **302** provide an electronic/computer-implemented means/representation of a social structure made up of a set of social actors (e.g., individuals or organizations) and a set of connections between such actors. In addition to providing the ability for users to connect to one-another, a social network **302** may also enable users to connect with groups (e.g., music groups), objects, locations, etc. However, embodiments of the invention are not intended to be limited to the social networks **302** described above but intend to cover any type of social network **302** where users can connect/communicate with one another and objects via electronic means.

Affinity Analysis and Presentation

**[0059]** Embodiments of the invention perform an affinity analysis that is a data analysis and data mining technique that discovers co-occurrence relationships among activities performed by specific users **202/132** or groups of users **202/132** and their interaction with site objects. Such an affinity analysis may be based on tag inheritance, which is described in further detail below. The scoring is surfaced/provided/displayed to the user using a graphical user interface referred to as the hover card or H-card and/or within a discover section of a social network site **302**.

**[0060]** The discover section of a social network site **302** provides an area that allows the user **202/132** to explore new content based on curated recommendations. For example, a discover section may provide sophisticated charts of popular music, videos, people, personalized recommendations, new releases, editorial content, interesting users, etc. In other words, the discover section provides users **202/132** with the ability to discover new content and/or users in an efficient and easy to use manner. Further, a recommendations section within a discovery section/area of a social network **302** is a personalized offering that takes into account all of the people, music, and content to which a user has connected, in order to generate targeted recommendations for new music, videos, users, mixes, etc. (e.g., based on tags and tag inheritance as described below). By enabling users to see what's happening around them, the discover section of a social network **302** allows users to filter content and users by genre, and utilize prior activities of the user (e.g., listening, connection history as defined in the related applications cross-referenced and incorporated by reference above), a user's identity may be built, modified, and enhanced over time.

**[0061]** To display information relevant to a particular object/content within a social network site, a user **202/132** may hover (e.g., using a mouse/tablet/cursor control device) over a particular piece of content within a user's activity/news stream. Such an activity/news stream is a list of recent activities performed by an individual (and/or activities of other users/content that the present user has opted to "connect" with). In other words, an activity/news stream provides updates, news, etc. for content and other users that the present user has opted to "connect" with, follow, friend, etc.

**[0062]** When a user hovers over any content within the user's activity stream, a tooltip or information box may appear that is referred to herein as the hover card, or H-card. FIG. **4** illustrates the display of an H-card in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention. As illustrated, the H-card displays relevant information about the item selected. For content, it will display the name of the content, the user's connection status with the content (e.g., see the related application entitled "System and Method for Connecting Users to Other Users and Objects in a Social Network" cross-referenced above and incorporated by reference herein), release date, similar artists, etc. For mixes, the H-card will display the artists featured within the mix. For other users, the H-card includes that user's name, mutual connections, profile song, and other user information. Note that a user's profile song may be displayed with the user wherever information of that user is displayed.

**[0063]** In addition, the H-card may display recommendations to objects/people/entities for the user. For example, if the user hovers over a song, similar songs may be displayed on the H-card (e.g., as a recommendation to the user of a particular song, group, genre, etc.).

**[0064]** In addition to the above, embodiments of the invention may surface affinity data within the H-card, thereby providing/displaying an affinity score, that visualizes the probability of similar interests based on aggregated data. Technically, affinity analysis is a data analysis and data mining technique that discovers concurrent relationships among activities performed by specific users or groups. In other words, affinity scores show how similar a logged-in user's interests are compared to a specific user, artist, or piece of content. Using the affinity score, discovery is improved by making it easier for a user to discover thinks the user actually likes. Further, the affinity score may be used to sort information and/or to discover new people/objects that share common affinities.

**[0065]** FIGS. **5** and **6** illustrate H-cards with an affinity illustrated in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention. Within the H-cards, the affinity **500/600** is illustrated as a Venn diagram. The more closely related the user is to the hovered-over entity/object, the greater the percentage illustrated and the greater the overlap of the two circles in the Venn diagram. Adjacent to the Venn diagram may be text based information that is used to define the affinity (e.g., "98% Musical Taste, 16% Demographic, 0% Music", etc.). Such text would indicate the computed percentage of similarity in the particular category specified (e.g., musical taste, demographic, music, etc.).

**[0066]** In FIG. **5**, the user has hovered over the connection icon **502** associated with "Mike Andler". The H-card **504** displays information about Mike Andler, indicates there are 11 mutual connections between the logged-in user and Mike Andler, and an affinity score **500** of 81%. The Venn diagram illustrating the affinity score **500** shows circles that are significantly overlapped thereby reflecting the 81% affinity score that is displayed along with the Venn diagram.

**[0067]** In FIG. **6**, the logged-in user is viewing Mike Andler's stream and has hovered over a connect icon **502** associated with the icon representing the video "CRWNx-Macklemore, . . . " The affinity score indicates an affinity of 42% and the circles of the Venn diagram overlap in an amount reflective of 42%.

**[0068]** The affinity computation may be defined as an average, a mean, or any other type of computation (including a computation that weights different attributes depending on the importance to the user). Embodiments of the invention are not intended to be limited to any particular method for computing/calculating the affinity value.

**[0069]** Further to the above, embodiments of the invention may base an affinity computation based on two different types of affinities—behavioral and categorical. Behavioral affinity refers to the concept of things an entity does. In other words, behavioral affinity refers to the concept of affinity values based on the behavior or action of a user with respect to a particular resource/type of resource. As an example, suppose there is no known information about two users except that both users have played the same single song. Such users would have a strong affinity based on behavioral affinity (i.e., they both played the same song). As another example, behavioral affinity is based on a repeated performance of an action or particular type of action. For example, if a user creates a large number of music mixes and/or listens to a large number of songs, that user may have a behavioral affinity to another user that also creates a large number of mixes. Similarly, if a user views a large number photographs, that user may have a behavioral affinity to another user that also views a large number of photographs. Further information relating to the category/types of information involved in the action may also impact the behavioral affinity. Continuing with the above examples, if the mixes created by the two users are both in the same genre of music (e.g., rock music), it may increase the affinity. However, if the mixes are in different genres, while the mix creation action itself may result in a positive affinity between the two users, the difference in genres may reduce the affinity (e.g., versus a resulting affinity from the same genre).

**[0070]** In contrast, categorical affinity is based on information known about an entity (e.g., demographic information [such as gender, age, city, state, country, marital status, etc.], personal information about a user [e.g., sexual orientation, movie preferences, religion, etc.], attributes of an object entity [e.g., color, location, etc.], attributes of a business entity [e.g., type of establishment such as restaurant, retail, etc.].

**[0071]** Embodiments of the invention may utilize the mechanism of tag inheritance described herein to identify both the behavioral and categorical affinity between two resources/entities. In this regard, when tags are exchanged between two entities as described herein, the action catalyzing the tag exchange may be utilized as the basis to determine the behavioral affinity. Similarly, resulting tags may provide for the categorical affinity. The description below of tag inheritance further details such a process.

**[0072]** In addition, when determining the affinity between two entities/resources, different weights/prioritizations may be applied to different tags/categories of tags. For example, a song may have a different categorization/priority than that of an event or a person. Further, determining the affinity between two songs is different from that of determining the affinity between two people. Accordingly, based on the category of both resources being compared, the tags may be weighted/prioritized differently to compute an affinity value. In addition, as described above, a user may determine what attributes/values are more important than others thereby resulting in a weighting of tags/categories.

**[0073]** As an example, if the affinity value is being computed for the relationship between a user and a song, the genre tags associated with the user and the song are likely to be weighted heavily during the affinity computation. Similarly, the residence location of the band and the residence of the user may not be accorded as much weight as the genre. In addition, other factors may be utilized to compute the affinity (e.g., whether the user's friends like the song and/or the artist/band that recorded the song and/or the composer of the song, etc.).

**[0074]** In view of the above, tag inheritance and the process of tag inheritance may be used to identify the affinity between two entities. Such an affinity may be based on a combination of the tags (e.g., similar genre tags such as two songs that both have a strong rock song affinity tags), photographs (e.g., a photograph of one car and a photograph of another car), profile, behavior, etc. In this regard, any and all factors, behavioral and categorical may be utilized to determine the affinity value/score between two entities. As an example, even if two users have very dissimilar music tastes, if both users actions indicate postings/connections to outdoor related activities (e.g., hiking, backpacking, swimming, etc.), an affinity between the two users may be established. Similarly, if both users connect with different baseball teams, but appear to both frequently attend professional baseball games, an affinity between the users may be established. Thus, in addition to the specific tags associated with each user, the category/type of tags, the number and consistency of tags in such a category/type, and the behavior utilized to acquire such tags may be used to perform such a computation.

**[0075]** An affinity value may be computed and displayed between any two entities including a user-user and user-object/entity. The affinity value may also be used as part of the computation to measure the influence of the user as set forth in the above-identified cross-referenced patent applications.

**[0076]** As described above, the affinity between two entities (e.g., a user and another user/resource) may be surfaced/provided/displayed via a graphical user interface of a social network site (e.g., via an H-card as described above).

Tag Inheritance

**[0077]** The basic premise of tag inheritance is that users and resources exchange affinities with one another by way of their interactions. The underlying assumption is that there is always a reason a user will interact with a resource (song, video, etc.), and ultimately this interaction indicates that there are things in common between them. In other words, tag inheritance is an exemplary process of identifying/altering

affinities for resources and users in real time via the collection of stream data. This is accomplished through analyzing the behavior of users in a system.

**[0078]** By employing the system of tag inheritance, affinities may be calculated to represent the weights of tags against corresponding resources in the system. Such an affinity calculation is described in further below.

**[0079]** A key exemplary concept of an inheritance methodology is that users and resources inherit affinities from one another as interactions occur. Further, affinities are represented as tags. FIG. **7** illustrates the logical flow for inheriting tags in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention.

**[0080]** At step **702**, an interaction between a user and another user/resource/content is initiated/conducted.

**[0081]** At step **704**, a determination is made regarding whether one of the interacting entities is missing/does not have relevant affinity tags. In this regard, whether a tag is relevant is based on the interaction and type of entities. For example, if a user is interacting with a song, and a user's affinity tag indicates a preference for restaurants located in Boston, such a tag would not be relevant to the interaction between the user and the song. However, if the user is interacting with the song and a user's affinity tag is categorized as a genre tag and indicates a 30% affinity for country music, such a tag would be relevant. Thus, the relevancy of a tag is based on the type of entities that are interacting with each other (and the categorization of the tag itself [e.g., genre, sports, food, people. etc.]).

**[0082]** If one of the interacting entities does not have a relevant affinity tag, such an entity inherits all of the tags from the entity with the tags at step **706**. Example 1 illustrates the concept of steps **704-706**:

Example 1

**[0083]** 1. User A has no tags
**[0084]** 2. Song 1 has the following genre tags:
**[0085]** a. Rock: 70% affinity
**[0086]** b. Country: 30% affinity
**[0087]** 3. User A plays Song 1
**[0088]** 4. User A inherits the following tags:
**[0089]** a. Rock: 70% affinity
**[0090]** b. Country: 30% affinity

**[0091]** In Example 1, Song 1 does not inherit any tags (because User A has no tags for Song 1 to inherit). Example 2 also illustrates the concepts of steps **704-706**:

Example 2

**[0092]** 1. User A has the following tags
**[0093]** a. Rock: 35% affinity
**[0094]** b. Country: 15% affinity
**[0095]** c. Soul: 30% affinity
**[0096]** d. Hip Hop: 20% affinity
**[0097]** 2. Song 3 has no tags
**[0098]** 3. User A plays Song 3
**[0099]** 4. Song 3 inherits from User A and has the following tags:
**[0100]** a. Rock: 35% affinity
**[0101]** b. Country: 15% affinity
**[0102]** c. Soul: 30% affinity
**[0103]** d. Hip Hop: 20% affinity

**[0104]** In contrast, if both interacting entities have relevant affinity tags, both entities inherit tags of the other entity. Further, such an inheritance may affect the existing tags of the entity. Accordingly, the how and what tags are inherited may be computed at step **708**. Such a computation may be performed based on a number of methodologies.

**[0105]** Example 3 illustrates one manner in which tags may be inherited by a user interacting with a song.

Example 3

**[0106]** 1. User A has the following tags
**[0107]** a. Rock: 70% affinity
**[0108]** b. Country: 30% affinity
**[0109]** 2. Song 2 has the following genre tags:
**[0110]** a. Soul: 60% affinity
**[0111]** b. Hip Hop: 40% affinity
**[0112]** 3. User A plays Song 2
**[0113]** 4. User A inherits tags from Song 2 and has the following tags:
**[0114]** a. Rock: 35% affinity
**[0115]** b. Country: 15% affinity
**[0116]** c. Soul: 30% affinity
**[0117]** d. Hip Hop: 20% affinity
**[0118]** 5. Song 2 inherits from User A and has the following tags:
**[0119]** a. Rock: 35% affinity
**[0120]** b. Country: 15% affinity
**[0121]** c. Soul: 30% affinity
**[0122]** d. Hip Hop: 20% affinity

**[0123]** Thus, both User A and Song 2 inherit tags from each other and the existing tags are modified based on such an inheritance. It may further be noted that the type of interaction may also affect whether tags are inherited and the methodology used to compute the inheritance. For example, if a user plays a song, such a play interaction may partially affect the user's affinity tags. In contrast, if a user "connect" with or "likes" a song, such an interaction may have a greater impact on the user's affinity tags. A similar affect may result if a user merely watches a comedian's uploaded video versus the user "connecting", "following", "friending", or "liking" the comedian or the comedian's video.

**[0124]** The amount one resource inherits from another may be determined by the strength of the tag, which is the percentage. That percentage may be converted to a raw score to be used in the calculation of the new percentages for each respective resource. Example 4 illustrates the conversion and use of raw scores in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention:

Example 4

**[0125]** 1. User A has the following tags:
**[0126]** a. Rock: 70%—Raw Score: 0.7
**[0127]** b. Country: 30%—Raw Score: 0.3
**[0128]** c. Total Raw Score: 1.00
**[0129]** 2. Song 1 has the following Tag:
**[0130]** a. Soul: 60% affinity—Raw Score: 0.6
**[0131]** b. Hip Hop: 40% affinity—Raw Score: 0.4
**[0132]** c. Total Raw Score: 1.00
**[0133]** 3. User A plays Song 1
**[0134]** 4. Updates to User A
**[0135]** a. Raw Score increases by 1.00 (0.6 from Soul & 0.4 from Hip Hop)
**[0136]** b. New Raw Score total: 2.00
**[0137]** c. Rock: 35%=(0.7/2.00)*100
**[0138]** d. Country: 15%=(0.3/2.00)*100

[0139] e. Soul: 30%=(0.6/2.00)*100
[0140] f. Hip Hop: 20%=(0.4/2.00)*100
[0141] 5. Updates to Song 1—Same formula as above
[0142] Accordingly, to compute the affinity values, the total raw score value is incremented, and the remaining raw score for each tag is divided by the new total raw score. Further, this new total raw score and adjusted values may be maintained as part of the tags associated with the user/entity. Thus, following example 4, if User A interacts with another song—Song 2, User A's initial raw score would be 2.0 and then combined with the total raw score of Song 2.
[0143] Alternatively, the raw score values may first be normalized prior to combining. In this regard, the values of the entity being updated would be normalized by its total raw score while the entity it is interacting with would be normalized to a value of 1.0. As an example, when combining/computing the affinities of User A with Song 2, User A's initial raw score would be 2.0 (and it's affinity values would be normalized) but the raw score of Song 2 would first be normalized to 1.0 and then combined. Example 5: illustrates such a scenario:

Example 5

[0144] 1. User A has the following tags:
[0145] a. Rock: 70%—Raw Score: 1.4 (0.7*2)
[0146] b. Country: 30%—Raw Score: 0.6 (0.3*2)
[0147] c. Total Raw Score: 2.00
[0148] 2. Song 1 has the following Tag:
[0149] a. Soul: 60% affinity—Raw Score: 1.2 (0.6*2)
[0150] b. Hip Hop: 40% affinity—Raw Score: 0.8 (0.4*2)
[0151] c. Total Raw Score: 2.00
[0152] 3. User A plays Song 1
[0153] 4. Updates to User A
[0154] a. Normalize Song 1's raw scores to 1.00
[0155] a. Soul: 60% affinity—Raw Score: 0.6 (0.6*1)
[0156] b. Hip Hop: 40% affinity—Raw Score: 0.4 (0.4*1)
[0157] c. Total Raw Score: 1.00
[0158] b. Raw Score (of User A) increases by 1.00
[0159] c. New Raw Score total: 3.00
[0160] d. Rock: 47%=(1.4/3.00)*100
[0161] e. Country: 20%=(0.6/3.00)*100
[0162] f. Soul: 20%=(0.6/3.00)*100
[0163] g. Hip Hop: 13%=(0.4/3.00)*100
[0164] 5. Updates to Song 1—Same formula as above but the total raw score of User A is normalized to 1 and Songbefore updating Song 1's tags.

[0165] As illustrated by Example 5, as a user plays more songs and develops a set of affinity tags, subsequent song plays would not have as great of an impact on the user's affinity tags.
[0166] While the above reflects one method for combining/computing affinity tags, embodiments of the invention are not limited to any particular method but instead are directed towards the concept of tags being inherited based on interactions between a user and another user/entity.
[0167] In alternative/exemplary embodiments, a resource can only pass on a tag to another resource if it has inheritance occurrence count of X. That X is a configured value and the purpose of this logic is to minimize the exacerbation of user choices that are not representative or their preferences. The amount inherited per tag may decay proportionately in percentage from the inheritance distance level of the originally seeded tag. In addition, a user may only pass on its tags to a resource once every X interval. Such a configuration prevents the possibility of a user passing on its tags multiple times, which results in the possible scenario of the resource having strong improper characterizations.
[0168] The above examples use genre as the tag type, but the system is designed to handle any number of Tag Types. For example: Locations, Age, Gender, and User Entered Free Text tags (e.g., Hash tags), among others. Exemplary uses for this include, but are not limited to song recommendations (e.g., in Radio Mode) and event recommendations.
[0169] In addition to the above, the z-score (which is generated from the normal distribution graph comprised of the scores of a specific tag across the entire population) may be used to order applicable tags when calculating affinity between two resources.
[0170] Based on the above description, tag inheritance may be utilized in a variety of different scenarios. As an example, tag inheritance may be used to generate a similarity score between two objects (e.g., user to user, user to content, and/or content to content). In addition, tag inheritance may be used to recommend resources to users (e.g., songs, videos, pictures, etc.). Further, tag inheritance may be used to provide user-to-user recommendations.
[0171] To provide recommendations utilizing tag inheritance, a variety of different methodologies may be utilized. As an example, a list of candidate resources may be generated by a method of matching tag patterns from an inputted set of tags to an entire tag inheritance repository (referred to as "Tag Patterns"). Alternatively, a more granular ranking and sorting can be performed by comparing the inputted tags against all of the tags of the candidate resources to generate a similarity score.
[0172] In view of the above, the general concept of tag inheritance is that two entities (e.g., a user and another user/resource/object) interact with each other and such an interaction results in the exchanging of tags associated with each entity. Different methodologies may be used to weigh how much one entity will inherit a tag from another entity based on the overall set of tags that an entity has. Accordingly, if a song already has fifty (50) different genre tags, playing the song may have a small effect. Similarly, if the song has a large amount of rock influence already applied, and the user has a very strong rock affiliation, then it may further weight the rock affiliation of the song (and the user).

Logical Flow

[0173] FIG. **8** illustrates the logical flow for providing an affinity between a first entity and a second entity on a social network in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention.
[0174] At step **802**, first affinity data for a first entity is determined. The first affinity data includes first behavioral data and first categorical data.
[0175] To determine the first affinity data, an interaction is conducted between the first entity and a third entity. First affinity tags of the first entity are then determined based on the affinity tags of the third entity and the interaction. In addition, affinity tags of the third entity are determined based on the affinity tags of the first entity and the interaction. The affinity tags that are determined may be limited to those tags that are relevant to the types (e.g., genre, demographic information, personal user-input information, etc.) of the first and third

entity as well as to the interaction. As described above, if one of the entities is missing/does not have affinity tags, such an entity inherits (e.g., is assigned) affinity tags from the other entity. Further, the affinity tags of both entities may be weighted and then combined with/updated based on the weighted tags of the other entity. The affinity tag determination may also be performed only once for every defined number of interactions between the entities.

**[0176]** A step **804** second affinity data for a second entity is determined. The second affinity data includes second behavioral data and second categorical data.

**[0177]** At step **806**, the first affinity data is compared to the second affinity data.

**[0178]** At step **808**, an affinity score is determined based on the comparing of step **806**. The affinity score is a probability of similar interests between the first and second entities that is based on behavioral similarities (between the first and second behavioral data) and categorical similarities (between the first and second categorical data).

**[0179]** The affinity score may be a total affinity score that is a computed combination (e.g., average, mean, etc.) of multiple category affinity scores. Each multiple category affinity score may be a computed similarity between the two entities in a particular category of similarities. For example, a total affinity score of 38% may be computed as the average between a 98% musical taste affinity, 16% demographic affinity, and 0% music affinity. In this example, the individual affinity scores are in particular categories—musical taste, demographic, and music. Each of the categorical affinity scores are also computed based on properties and actions of the two entities (e.g., based on a comparison of behavioral actions and categorical details such as demographic information, tag inheritance based information, and information input by a user [e.g., in response to questions or profile input]). In one or more embodiments, the affinity score may be a percentage numeric value

**[0180]** At step **810**, the affinity score is provided/displayed to/by the first entity.

## CONCLUSION

**[0181]** This concludes the description of the preferred embodiment of the invention. The following describes some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the present invention. For example, any type of computer, such as a mainframe, minicomputer, or personal computer, or computer configuration, such as a timesharing mainframe, local area network, or standalone personal computer, could be used with the present invention.

**[0182]** The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not by this detailed description, but rather by the claims appended hereto.

What is claimed is:

**1**. A computer-implemented method for providing an affinity between a first entity and a second entity on a social network comprising:

determining first affinity data for the first entity, wherein the first affinity data comprises first behavioral data and first categorical data;

determining second affinity data for the second entity, wherein the second affinity data comprises second behavioral data and second categorical data;

comparing the first affinity data to the second affinity data;

determining an affinity score based on the comparing, wherein the affinity score comprises a probability of similar interests between the first entity and the second entity based on:

behavioral similarities between the first behavioral data and the second behavioral data; and

categorical similarities between the first categorical data and the second categorical data; and

providing the affinity score to the first entity.

**2**. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein the first affinity data is determined by:

conducting an interaction between the first entity and a third entity;

determining first affinity tags of the first entity based on:

third affinity tags of the third entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity; and

determining third affinity tags of the third entity based on:

first affinity tags of the first entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity.

**3**. The computer-implemented method of claim **2**, wherein the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags that are determined are limited to affinity tags that are relevant to:

a first type of the first entity and a third type of the third entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity.

**4**. The computer-implemented method of claim **3**, wherein the first type and the third type comprise a genre type.

**5**. The computer-implemented method of claim **3**, wherein the first type and the third type are a demographic information type.

**6**. The computer-implemented method of claim **2**, wherein the determining of the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags comprise:

determining that the first entity is missing affinity tags; and

the first entity inheriting the third affinity tags from the third entity.

**7**. The computer-implemented method of claim **2**, wherein the determining of the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags comprise:

weighting the first affinity tags;

weighting the third affinity tags;

updating the weighted first affinity tags based on the weighted third affinity tags; and

updating the weighted third affinity tags based on the weighted first affinity tags.

**8**. The computer-implemented method of claim **2**, wherein:

the determining of first affinity tags of the first entity, based on the third affinity tags of the third entity, is performed once for every defined number of the interactions between the first entity and the third entity; and

the determining of third affinity tags of the third entity, based on the first affinity tags of the first entity, is performed once for every defined number of the interactions between the first entity and the third entity.

**9**. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein

the affinity score comprises a total affinity score;

the total affinity score comprises a computed combination of multiple category affinity scores;

each multiple category affinity score comprises a computed similarity between the first entity and the second entity in a particular category of similarities.

**10**. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein the affinity score comprises a percentage numeric value.

**11**. A system for providing an affinity between a first entity and a second entity on a social network comprising:

(a) a server computer;

(b) a social network application executing on the computer; wherein the social network application is configured to:

(1) determine first affinity data for the first entity, wherein the first affinity data comprises first behavioral data and first categorical data;

(2) determine second affinity data for the second entity, wherein the second affinity data comprises second behavioral data and second categorical data;

(3) compare the first affinity data to the second affinity data;

(4) determine an affinity score based on the comparing, wherein the affinity score comprises a probability of similar interests between the first entity and the second entity based on:

(i) behavioral similarities between the first behavioral data and the second behavioral data; and

(ii) categorical similarities between the first categorical data and the second categorical data; and

(5) provide the affinity score to the first entity.

**12**. The system of claim **11**, wherein the social network application is configured to determine the first affinity data by:

conducting an interaction between the first entity and a third entity;

determining first affinity tags of the first entity based on:

third affinity tags of the third entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity; and

determining third affinity tags of the third entity based on:

first affinity tags of the first entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity.

**13**. The system of claim **12**, wherein the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags that are determined are limited to affinity tags that are relevant to:

a first type of the first entity and a third type of the third entity; and

the interaction between the first entity and the third entity.

**14**. The system of claim **13**, wherein the first type and the third type comprise a genre type.

**15**. The system of claim **13**, wherein the first type and the third type are a demographic information type.

**16**. The system of claim **12**, wherein the social network application is configured to determine the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags by:

determining that the first entity is missing affinity tags; and

the first entity inheriting the third affinity tags from the third entity.

**17**. The system of claim **12**, wherein the social network application is configured to determine the first affinity tags and the third affinity tags by:

weighting the first affinity tags;

weighting the third affinity tags;

updating the weighted first affinity tags based on the weighted third affinity tags; and

updating the weighted third affinity tags based on the weighted first affinity tags.

**18**. The system of claim **12**, wherein:

the determining of first affinity tags of the first entity, based on the third affinity tags of the third entity, is performed once for every defined number of the interactions between the first entity and the third entity; and

the determining of third affinity tags of the third entity, based on the first affinity tags of the first entity, is performed once for every defined number of the interactions between the first entity and the third entity.

**19**. The system of claim **11**, wherein

the affinity score comprises a total affinity score;

the total affinity score comprises a computed combination of multiple category affinity scores;

each multiple category affinity score comprises a computed similarity between the first entity and the second entity in a particular category of similarities.

**20**. The system of claim **11**, wherein the affinity score comprises a percentage numeric value.

* * * * *