

US010341184B2

## (54) VALIDATION OF LAYER 3 BRIDGE VALIDATION OF LAYER 3 BRIDGE (56) References Cited<br>DOMAIN SUBNETS IN IN A NETWORK

- (71) Applicant: Cisco Technology, Inc., San Jose, CA  $(US)$
- (72) Inventors: Sanchay Harneja, Belmont, CA (US); Ayas Pani, Fremont, CA (US); Sanjay<br>Sundaresan, San Jose, CA (US); Harsha Jagannati, Fremont, CA (US)
- (73) Assignee: CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC., San FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Jose, CA (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 158 days.
- $(21)$  Appl. No.: 15/663,609
- (22) Filed: **Jul. 28, 2017**

## (65) **Prior Publication Data** (Continued)

US 2018/0367391 A1 Dec. 20, 2018

#### Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No.  $62/521,779$ , filed on Jun. 19, 2017.
- (51) Int. Cl.<br>  $H04L$  12/24 (2006.01)<br>
(52) U.S. Cl. (2006.01)
- CPC ...... H04L 41/0866 (2013.01); H04L 41/0266  $(2013.01)$ ;  $H04L$   $41/0853$   $(2013.01)$ ;  $H04L$ 41/0873 (2013.01); H04L 41/0893 (2013.01); H04L 41/12 (2013.01)
- (58) Field of Classification Search None See application file for complete search history.

# (12) **United States Patent** (10) Patent No.: US 10,341,184 B2<br>
Harneja et al. (45) Date of Patent: Jul. 2, 2019

## $(45)$  Date of Patent: Jul. 2, 2019

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS





#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cisco Systems, Inc., "The Cisco Application Policy Infrastructure Controller Introduction: What is the Cisco Application Policy<br>Infrastructure Controller?" Jul. 31, 2014, 19 pages.

Primary Examiner - Brian Whipple

(74) Attorney, Agent, or  $Firm$  - Polsinelli PC

#### ( 57 ) ABSTRACT

1000

Disclosed are systems, methods, and computer-readable media for assuring tenant forwarding in a network environ ment. Network assurance can be determined in layer 1, layer 2 and layer 3 of the networked environment including, internal-internal (e.g., inter-fabric) forwarding and internalexternal (e.g., outside the fabric) forwarding in the net-worked environment. The network assurance can be performed using logical configurations, software configurations and/or hardware configurations

### 20 Claims, 29 Drawing Sheets



## ( 56 ) References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS





#### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS



### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS



#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Jain, Praveen, et al., "In-Line Distributed and Stateful Security Policies for Applications in a Network Environment," Cisco Systems, Inc., Aug. 16, 2016, 13 pages.

Maldonado-Lopez, Ferney, et al., "Detection and prevention of firewall—rule conflicts on software-defined networking," 2015  $7<sup>th</sup>$ International Workshop on Reliable Networks Design and Modeling

Vega, Andres, et al., "Troubleshooting Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure: Analytical problem solving applied to the Policy<br>Driven Data Center," Feb. 15, 2016, 84 pages.

Xia, Wenfeng, et al., "A Survey on Software-Defined Networking," IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials, Mar. 16, 2015, pp. 27-51.

Akella, Aditya, et al., "A Highly Available Software Defined Fabric," HotNets-XIII, Oct. 27-28, 2014, Los Angeles, CA, USA,

Copyright 2014, ACM, pp. 1-7.<br>Alsheikh, Mohammad Abu, et al., "Machine Learning in Wireless<br>Sensor Networks: Algorithms, Strategies, and Application," Mar.

19, 2015, pp. 1-23.<br>Cisco Systems, Inc., "Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure<br>9ACI Endpoint Groups (EPG) Usange and Design," White Paper, May 2014, pp. 1-14.<br>Dhawan, Mohan, et al., "SPHINX: Detecting Security Attacks in

Software-Defined Networks," NDSS 2015, Feb. 8-11, 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, Copyright 2015 Internet Society, pp. 1-15.

Lindem, A., et al., "Network Device YANG Organizational Model draft-rtgyangdt-rtgwg-device-model-01," Network Working Group, Internet-draft, Sep. 21, 2015, pp. 1-33.

Panda, Aurojit, et al., "SCL: Simplifying Distributed SDN Control Planes," people.eecs.berkeley.edu, Mar. 2017, pp. 1-17.

Yu et al., "A Flexible Framework for Wireless-Based Intelligent Sensor with Reconfigurability, Dynamic adding, and Web interface," Conference Paper, Jul. 24, 2006, IEEE 2006, pp. 1-7.

International Search Report and Written Opinion from the International Searching Authority, dated Sep. 7, 2018, 15 pages, for the corresponding International Application PCT/US2018/038175.<br>Gourov, Vassil, et al., "Cloud Network Architecture Design Pat-

terns," Pattern Languages of Programs, In Proceedings of  $20<sup>th</sup>$ European Conference, Jul. 8, 2015, pp. 1-11, New York, NY, USA. Sajassi, Ali, et al., "E-VPN and IP-VPN Integrated Solution," draft-sajassi-bess-expn-ipvpn-interop-00, Oct. 19, 2015, 16 pages. Tammana, Praveen, et al., "Fault Localization in Large-Scale Network Policy Deployment," Dec. 21, 2017, 12 pages.

Author Unknown, "Aids to Pro-active Management of Distributed<br>Resources through Dynamic Fault-Localization and Availability Prognosis," FaultLocalization-TR01-CADlab, May 2006, pp. 1-9.<br>Author Unknown, "Requirements for applying formal methods to software-defined networking," Telecommunication Standardization Sector of ITU, Series Y: Global Information Infrastructure, Internet<br>Protocol Aspects and Next-Generation Networks, Apr. 8, 2015, pp.

1-20.<br>
Cisco, "Verify Contracts and Rules in the ACI Fabric," Cisco,<br>
Updated Aug. 19, 2016, Document ID: 119023, pp. 1-20.<br>
De Silva et al., "Network-wide Security Analysis," Semantic Scholar,<br>
Oct. 25, 2011, pp. 1-11.<br>
F

Feldmann, Anja, et al., "IP Network Configuration for Intradomain Traffic Engineering," Semantic Scholar, accessed on Jul. 20, 2017,

pp. 1-27.<br>Han, Yoonseon, et al., "An Intent-based Network Virtualization<br>Platform for SDN," 2016 I FIP, pp. 1-6.

Han, Wonkyu, et al., "LPM: Layered Policy Management for Software-Defined Networks," Mar. 8, 2016, pp. 1-8.

Kazemian, Peyman, et al., "Real Time Network Policy Checking<br>using Header Space Analysis," USENIX Association, 10th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI '13) pp. 99-111.

Khatkar, Pankaj Kumar, "Firewall Rule Set Analysis and Visualization, A Thesis Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Science," Arizona State University, Dec. 2014, pp. 1-58.<br>Le, Franck, et al., "Minerals: Using Data Mining to Detect Router

Misconfigurations," CyLab, Carnegie Mellon University, CMU-CyLab-06-008, May 23, 2006, pp. 1-14.

Liang, Chieh-Jan Mike, et al., "SIFT: Building an Internet of Safe Things," Microsoft, IPSN' 15, Apr. 14-16, 2015, Seattle, WA, ACM 978, pp. 1-12.

Liu, Jason, et al., "A Real-Time Network Simulation Infrastracture Based on Open VPN," Journal of Systems and Software, Aug. 4,

2008, pp. 1-45.<br>Lopes, Nuno P., et al., "Automatically verifying reachability and well-formedness in P4 Networks," Microsoft, accessed on Jul. 18,

2017, pp. 1-13.<br>Mai, Haohui, et al., "Debugging the Data Plane with Anteater," SIGCOMM11, Aug. 15-19, 2011, pp. 1-12.

Miller, Nancy, et al., "Collecting Network Status Information for Network-Aware Applications," INFOCOM 2000, pp. 1-10.

Miranda, Joao Sales Henriques, "Fault Isolation in Software Defined Networks," www.qsd.inescid.pt, pp. 1-10.

Moon, Daekyeong, et al., "Bridging the Software/Hardware For-warding Divide," Berkeley.edu, Dec. 18, 2010, pp. 1-15.

Shin, Seugwon, et al., "FRESCO: Modular Composable Security Services for Software-Defined Networks," to appear in the ISOC Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, Feb. 2013, pp. 1-16.<br>Shukla, Apoorv, et al., "Towards meticulous data plane monitoring,"

kaust.edu.sa, access on Aug. 1, 2017, pp. 1-2.

### (56) References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Tang, Yongning, et al., "Automatic belief network modeling via policy inference for SDN fault localization," Journal of Internet Services and Applications, 2016, pp. 1-13.

Tomar, Kuldeep, et al., "Enhancing Network Security and Performance Using Optimized ACLs," International Journal in Foundations of Computer Science & Technology (IJFCST), vol. 4, No. 6, tions of Computer Science & Technology (IJFCST), vol. 4, No. 6,<br>Nov. 2014, pp. 25-35.<br>Tongaonkar, Alok, et al., "Inferring Higher Level Policies from

Firewall Rules," Proceedings of the 21st Large Installation System<br>Administration Conference (LISA '07), Nov. 11-16, 2007, pp. 1-14.<br>Zhou, Shijie, et al., "High-Performance Packet Classification on The Strategier Charles Compared to the Charles Compared Charles Charle

\* cited by examiner













FIG . 2D









# **FIG. 3C**

## INPUT AND OUTPUT OF STATIC POLICY ANALYZER





FIG. 3D





# **FIG. 5A**





 $200$ 



FIG. 6















































## DOMAIN SUBNETS IN IN A NETWORK

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED system for network assurance.<br>APPLICATIONS FIG. 3D illustrates an example of validated policies;

The instant application claims priority to US. Provisional code aggregation;<br>nulication No. 62/521 779 entitled VALIDATION OF FIGS. 5A and 5B illustrate example method embodiments Application No. 62/521,779, entitled VALIDATION OF FIGS 5A and 5B illustrate example method embodiments in a NETWORK filed Jun 19 for network assurance and fault code aggregation; LAYER 3 BD SUBNETS IN A NETWORK, filed Jun. 19, for network assurance and fault code aggregation;<br>2017 the contents of which are incorporated by reference in  $10$  FIG. 6 illustrates an example checker of the network 2017, the contents of which are incorporated by reference in  $2017$ , the conclusion which are measurance by reference  $\ldots$  assurance appliance;

The present technology pertains to network configuration  $\frac{15}{10}$  FIG. 9 intervals and example method decreases and means are residently to repute and forward and assurance, and more specifically to routing and forward-<br>ing configuration and assurance internal and external to a<br>network assurance of Layer 3 networking;<br>network.

Computer networks are becoming increasingly complex,<br>often involving low level as well as high level configurations<br> $FIG. 13$  illustrates an example network environment and at various layers of the network. For example, computer  $_{25}$  configuration for network assurance of the RIB and FIB;<br>networks generally include numerous access policies, for-<br>FIG: 14 illustrates an example method embodi warding policies, routing policies, security policies, quality - network assurance of the RIB and FIB; of-service (QoS) policies, etc., which together define the FIG. 15 illustrates an example method overall behavior and operation of the network. Network operators have a wide array of configuration options for 30 tailoring the network to the needs of the users. While the BD-L3out association;<br>different configuration options available provide network FIG. 17 illustrates an example method embodiment for different configuration options available provide network FIG 17 illustrates an example method en operators a great degree of flexibility and control over the network assurance of BD-L3out association; operators a great degree of flexibility and control over the network assurance of BD-L3out association;<br>network, they also add to the complexity of the network. In FIG. 18 illustrates an example method embodiment for network, they also add to the complexity of the network. In many cases, the configuration process can become highly <sup>35</sup> network assurance of Learned Routes;<br>complex. Not surprisingly, the network configuration pro-<br>FIG. 19 illustrates an example diagram of subnet overcomplex. Not surprisingly, the network configuration process is increasingly error prone. In addition, troubleshooting laps;<br>errors in a highly complex network can be extremely diffi-<br>FIG. 20 illustrates an example method embodiment for errors in a highly complex network can be extremely diffi-<br>cult. The process of identifying the root cause of undesired network assurance of overlapping subnets; cult. The process of identifying the root cause of undesired network assurance of overlapping subnets;<br>behavior in the network can be a daunting task.  $\frac{40}{40}$  FIG. 21 illustrates an example network device in accorbehavior in the network can be a daunting task.

In order to describe the manner in which the above-recited<br>d other advantages and features of the disclosure can be 45 DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS and other advantages and features of the disclosure can be 45 obtained, a more particular description of the principles briefly described above will be rendered by reference to Various embodiments of the disclosure are discussed in specific embodiments thereof which are illustrated in the detail below. While specific implementations are dis appended drawings. Understanding that these drawings it should be understood that this is done for illustration<br>depict only exemplary embodiments of the disclosure and 50 purposes only. A person skilled in the relevant art depict only exemplary embodiments of the disclosure and 50 purposes only. A person skilled in the relevant art will are not therefore to be considered to be limiting of its scope, recognize that other components and config are not therefore to be considered to be limiting of its scope, the principles herein are described and explained with the principles herein are described and explained with used without parting from the spirit and scope of the additional specificity and detail through the use of the disclosure. Thus, the following description and drawings

FIGS. 1A and 1B illustrate example network environ- 55 ments:

FIG. 2D illustrates a schematic diagram of example<br>
odels for implementing the example object model from means that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic models for implementing the example object model from FIG. 2A;

FIG . 3A increase an example of the phrase " in one embodiment" in various places in the appearance; of the phrase " in one embodiment" in various places in the

VALIDATION OF LAYER 3 BRIDGE FIG. 3B illustrates an example system for network assur-<br>OMAIN SUBNETS IN IN A NETWORK and ance:

FIG. 3C illustrates a schematic diagram of an example system for network assurance.

FIG . 4 illustrates an example platform for distributed fault

FIG. 7 illustrates an example network environment;

TECHNICAL FIELD FIG. 8 illustrates an example method embodiment for

network assurance of Layer 1 interfaces;<br>FIG. 9 illustrates an example method embodiment for

FIG. 11 illustrates an example configurations for network 20 assurance:

BACKGROUND<br>
FIG. 12 illustrates an example network environment and<br>
configuration for network assurance of Cross Logical

FIG. 14 illustrates an example method embodiment for

FIG. 15 illustrates an example method embodiment for network assurance of Layer 3 out;

FIG. 16 illustrates an example network diagram for

dance with various embodiments; and

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS FIG. 22 illustrates an example computing device in accordance with various embodiments.

disclosure. Thus, the following description and drawings are accompanying drawings in which: illustrative and are not to be construed as limiting. Numer-<br>FIGS. 1A and 1B illustrate example network environ- 55 ous specific details are described to provide a thorough ents;<br>FIG. 2A illustrates an example object model for a net-<br>FIG. 2A illustrates an example object model for a net-<br>instances, well-known or conventional details are not FIG. 2A illustrates an example object model for a net-<br>work:<br>described in order to avoid obscuring the description. Refork;<br>FIG. 2B illustrates an example object model for a tenant erences to one or an embodiment in the present disclosure FIG. 2B illustrates an example object model for a tenant erences to one or an embodiment in the present disclosure object in the example object model from FIG. 2A; 60 can be references to the same embodiment or any embodiis ject in the example object model from FIG. 2A; 60 can be references to the same embodiment or any embodi-<br>FIG. 2C illustrates an example association of various ment; and, such references mean at least one of the embodi-FIG. 2C illustrates an example association of various ment; and, such references mean at least one of the embodi-<br>objects in the example object model from FIG. 2A;<br>ments.

FIG . 2A ; 65 described in connection with the embodiment is included in

embodiment, nor are separate or alternative embodiments received global logical model. In some examples, the first mutually exclusive of other embodiments. Moreover, vari-<br>and second formats are different from each other, mutually exclusive of other embodiments. Moreover, vari-<br>ous features are described which may be exhibited by some mon format is one of the first and second formats, or is

mbodiments and not by others.<br>
The terms used in this specification generally have their<br>
ordinary meanings in the art, within the context of the<br>
ordinary meanings in the art, within the context of the<br>
disclosure, and in synonyms for certain terms are provided. A recital of one or<br>more synonyms does not exclude the use of other synonyms.<br>The use of examples anywhere in this specification includes<br> $\frac{1}{2}$  format of the software model with The use of examples anywhere in this specification includ-  $15$  format of the software model with the common format of the software in  $\frac{1}{2}$  hardware model, and a positive outcome of the first and ing examples of any terms discussed herein is illustrative hardware model, and a positive outcome of the first and<br>only and is not intended to further limit the scope and second comparisons represents that that the one or only, and is not intended to further limit the scope and second comparisons represents that that the one or more<br>meaning of the disclosure or of any example term Likewise network devices accurately created the hardware mod meaning of the disclosure or of any example term. Likewise, network devices accurately created the hardware model<br>the disclosure is not limited to various embodiments given from the global logical model. In some examples, the disclosure is not limited to various embodiments given in this specification.  $20$ 

examples of instruments, apparatus, methods and their the second and third formateled results according to the embodiments of the present second and third formats, disclosure are given below. Note that titles or subtitles may Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for event be used in the examples for convenience of a reader, which 25 generation in network assurance of a network in no way should limit the scope of the disclosure. Unless methods and CRM can be configured to receive from a<br>otherwise defined, technical and scientific terms used herein controller a global logical model in a first form otherwise defined, technical and scientific terms used herein controller a global logical model in a first format, the global<br>have the meaning as commonly understood by one of logical model containing instructions on how e the case of conflict, the present document, including defi- 30

Additional features and advantages of the disclosure will be set forth in the description which follows, and in part will be set forth in the description which follows, and in part will from the global logical model in a second format executable be obvious from the description, or can be learned by on the one or more network devices, the subs practice of the herein disclosed principles. The features and 35 advantages of the disclosure can be realized and obtained by are specific to operability of the one or more network means of the instruments and combinations particularly devices and a hardware model of hardware configurat pointed out in the appended claims. These and other features of the disclosure will become more fully apparent from the following description and appended claims, or can be 40 learned by the practice of the principles set forth herein. learned by the practice of the principles set forth herein. and the received hardware model and generate one or more<br>Overview events based on the validating verview events based on the validating<br>Disclosed are systems, methods and non-transitory com-<br>In some examples, systems,

Disclosed are systems, methods and non-transitory com-<br>puter readable medium (CRM) for network assurance of a configured to store prior configurations and corresponding network. The systems, methods and non-transitory computer 45 effectiveness of the prior configurations, identify any conreadable medium are configured to receive, from a control - figuration in the received global logical model, the received<br>ler, a global logical model in a first format, the global logical software model and/or the receivin ler, a global logical model in a first format, the global logical software model and/or the receiving hardware model that is model containing instructions on how endpoints connected the same or similar to a stored prior co to a network fabric communicate within the fabric and corresponding adverse effectiveness, and report of potential receive, from one or more network devices within the fabric, so flaws in the received global logical model, receive, from one or more network devices within the fabric, 50 a software model being at least a subset of instructions from a software model being at least a subset of instructions from software model and/or the receiving hardware model in the global logical model in a second format executable on response to a positive result of the identifying the global logical model in a second format execution response to a positive result of the interval of the interval of the interval of the subset of instructions from the global logical model that are informational event i being instructions from the global logical model that are informational event in response to no identified inconsisten-<br>specific to operability of the one or more network devices. 55 cies resulting from the validating. In The systems, methods and non-transitory computer readable medium are also configured to create a local logical model in the first format, the local logical model being at least a some examples, the error event can have different levels of portion of the received global logical model containing severity based on a severity of the at least instructions on how endpoints connected to a network fabric 60 In some examples, in response to an error event, the system communicate within the fabric, convert at least a portion of further comprising instructions, which communicate within the fabric, convert at least a portion of further comprising instructions, which when executed by the the created local logical model and at least a portion of the at least one processor causes the at le the created local logical model and at least a portion of the at least one processor causes the at least one processor to received software model into a common format, and com-<br>independently validate secondary content with pare content of overlapping fields from the common format received global logical model, the received software model of the local logical model and the common format of the 65 and/or the receiving hardware model, the secon of the local logical model and the common format of the 65 software model, wherein a positive outcome of the comparison represents that the one or more network devices

4

specification are not necessarily all referring to the same accurately created the received software model from the embodiment, nor are separate or alternative embodiments received global logical model. In some examples, t ous features are described which may be exhibited by some mon format is one of the first and second formats, or is embodiments and not by others.

hardware model has a format that is different from the first Without intent to limit the scope of the disclosure, format and the second format, the second format is one of amples of instruments, apparatus, methods and their the second and third formats, or is different from both of

connected to a network fabric communicate within each other through one or more network devices within the fabric nitions will control.<br>
Additional features and advantages of the disclosure will fabric a software model being at least a subset of instructions on the one or more network devices, the subset of instructions being instructions from the global logical model that devices and a hardware model of hardware configurations converted from the software model. The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to validate accuracy of the received global logical model, the received software model

the same or similar to a stored prior configuration with a

cies resulting from the validating. In other examples, the one or more events includes an error event in response to at least one identified inconsistency resulting from the validating. In independently validate secondary content within the received global logical model, the received software model being related to original content for which the at least one inconsistency was generated.

deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The systems, model into a common format and compare content of at least methods and CRM can be configured to receive from a some Layer 2 overlapping fields from the common format of controller, a global logical model containing instructions on 5 the created local logical model and the common f controller, a global logical model containing instructions on 5 the created local logical model and the common format of how endpoints connected to a network fabric communicate the received software model. In some examples within the fabric, the instructions including access policies outcome of the comparison represents that the one or more<br>for at least one interface and receive from one or more network devices earlier at least partially acc network devices within the fabric, a software model being at the software model from the global logical model. The least a subset of instructions from the global logical model, 10 systems, methods and CRM can also be confi least a subset of instructions from the global logical model, 10 systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to the subset of instructions being instructions from the global validate that at least some Layer 2 content the subset of instructions being instructions from the global validate that at least some Layer 2 content of the global logical model that are specific to operability of the one or logical model is properly configured. more network devices. In some examples, the systems, and some examples, at least a portion of Layer 2 content<br>methods and CRM can be configured to validate that at least subject to the conversion and the comparison include Layer 1 of the access policies within the received global 15 VLAN information and interface information, to thereby at logical model are properly configured on the one network least partially check correct deployment of an devices, identify within the received software model a domains and/or endpoint groups (EPG). In some examples reported state of a physical link and a software link of one the least some of the Layer 2 content subject to th actual state of the physical link and the software link of the 20 properly, EPGs are configured properly, that multiple EPGs one or more ports of the one or more network devices, when present on the one of the network devi compare the reported state of the physical link and the software link with the obtained actual state of the physical software link with the obtained actual state of the physical overlapping VLANs, no duplicate VLANs at the switch<br>link and the software link and generate one or more events level on a same switch, and no duplicate VLANS on link and the software link and generate one or more events level on a same switch, and no duplicate VLANS on a port based on the validation and/or the comparison. 25 level on the same port of a same switch.

In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to confirm the received global logical model, a receive from the one of the one or more network devices switch profile and an interface profile are present, the switch within the fabric a hardware model in a third format of profile and interface profile are properly linked, and that the hardware configurations converted from

In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be 35 configured to generate an error even in response to the configured to generate an error even in response to the some examples, a positive outcome of the comparison comparison indicating that the reported state of the physical represents that the one or more network devices at l comparison indicating that the reported state of the physical represents that the one or more network devices at least<br>link is active and the actual state of the physical link is down, partially accurately converted Layer link is active and the actual state of the physical link is down, partially accurately converted Layer 2 of the software model or the reported state of physical link is down and the actual into the hardware model. In some or the reported state of physical link is down and the actual into the hardware model. In some examples, at least a state of the physical link is active.

configured to generate an error event in response to the<br>comparison indicating that the reported state of the software<br>link is active and the actual state of the physical switch is<br>from a DVS outside of the fabric, convert down, or the reported state of physical switch is down and 45 of Layer 2 content of the received DVS logical model and/or<br>the actual state of the physical switch is active. In some at least a portion of Layer 2 content of examples, systems, methods and CRM can be configured to poll the one or more network devices for the actual state of poll the one or more network devices for the actual state of content of at least some of Layer 2 overlapping fields from<br>the physical link and the software link.<br>the third common format of the received global logical

Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per- 50 forming a Layer 2 network assurance check of proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The systems, third comparing represents VLANs within the global logical methods and CRM can be configured to receive, from a model have been properly assigned across the network. controller, a global logical model in a first format, the global Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>logical model containing instructions on how endpoints 55 forming a Layer 3 BD subnets properly deployed connected to a network fabric communicate within the fabric assurance check of proper deployment of a configuration in and receive, from one or more network devices within the a fabric. The systems, methods and CRM can be and receive, from one or more network devices within the a fabric. The systems, methods and CRM can be configured fabric, a software model being at least a subset of instruc-<br>to receive, from a controller, a global logical fabric, a software model being at least a subset of instruc-<br>to receive, from a controller, a global logical model in a first<br>tions from the global logical model in a second format<br>format, the global logical model containi executable on the one or more network devices, the subset 60 how endpoints connected to a network fabric communicate of instructions being instructions from the global logical within the fabric, the global logical model in of instructions being instructions from the global logical model that are specific to operability of the one or more model that are specific to operability of the one or more one virtual routing and forwarding instance (VRF) and network devices. The systems, methods and CRM can be receive, from one or more network devices within the fabr configured to create a local logical model in the first format, a software model being at least a subset of instructions from<br>the local logical model being at least a portion of the 65 the global logical model in a second the local logical model being at least a portion of the 65 received global logical model that is specific to operability

6

Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>forming a Layer 1 network assurance check of proper least a portion of Layer 2 content of the received software least a portion of Layer 2 content of the received software network devices earlier at least partially accurately created

> subject to the conversion and the comparison includes VLAN information and interface information, to thereby at when present on the one of the network devices are not using the same virtual local area network (VLAN), there are no

receive from the one of the one or more network devices within the fabric a hardware model in a third format of interface profile is properly linked to a global profile. 30 convert at least a portion of Layer 2 content of the received In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be software model and/or at least a portion of Layer 2 content configured to generate an error event in response to the of the hardware model into the common format. and comconfigured to generate an error event in response to the of the hardware model into the common format, and com-<br>determination that one or more of the access policies are not pare content of at least some of Layer 2 overlap determination that one or more of the access policies are not pare content of at least some of Layer 2 overlapping fields configured on the at least one interface. from the common format of the received software model with the common format of the received hardware model. In state of the physical link is active. 40 portion of the Layer 2 content subject to the conversions and In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be the comparisons includes the VLAN for each EPG.

> at least a portion of Layer 2 content of the received global hardware model into a third common format and compare the third common format of the received global logical model with the third common format of the received DVS logical model. In some examples, a positive outcome of the

format, the global logical model containing instructions on how endpoints connected to a network fabric communicate received global logical model that is specific to operability the one or more network devices, the subset of instructions of the one or more network devices, convert at least a portion being instructions from the global lo being instructions from the global logical model that are

specific to operability of the one or more network devices. Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to forming a RIB-FIB network assurance check of proper<br>co local logical model in the first format, the local logical methods and CRM can be configured to obtain a forwarding<br>model being at least a portion of the received global logical 5 information base (FIB) and a routing infor model being at least a portion of the received global logical 5 information base (FIB) and a routing information base (RIB) model that is specific to operability of the corresponding of the network device convert the FIB a model that is specific to operability of the corresponding of the network device, convert the FIB and/or the RIB to a each network device, create a container for each VRF in the common format remove from the RIB and FIB du each network device, create a container for each VRF in the<br>received global logical model, populate each of the created<br>containers with the local logical model and the software<br>model for each of the network devices associa does not contain any duplicative BD subnets.<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  tion the subnets of container includes  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  in some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be

software model without a corresponding local logical model configured to determine an IP address/mask and next hop of<br>represents an improper extra item. In some examples, the an entry in the FIB matches the RIB entry. In s represents an improper extra item. In some examples, the and entry in the FIB matches the RIB entry. In some nopulated container includes a local logical model without a examples, systems, methods and CRM can be configured populated container includes a local logical model without a examples, systems, methods and CRM can be configured to<br>corresponding software model represents an error in deploy-<br>identify a FIB entry in the FIB that has a ne corresponding software model represents an error in deploy-<br>ment of the global logical model.

subtract one of the software models from a corresponding systems, methods and CRM can be configured to identify a<br>local logical hardware model, subtract the corresponding FIB entry in the FIB that has an alternative subnet local logical hardware model, subtract the corresponding FIB entry in the FIB that has an alternative subnet prefix that local logical model from the one of the software models. In completely covers the unmatched RIB entry local examples, a mismatch between the subtractions rep- 25 In some examples, the FIB is obtained from a line resents a discrepancy. In some examples, an error event is controller of the network device and the RIB is extra resents a discrepancy. In some examples, an error event is generated in response to a mismatch between the subtrac-<br>tiom SUP controller of the network device. In some<br>tions. In some examples, an error event is generated in examples, the network device is a leaf or spine in the fab response to a mismatch in the bridge domain (BD) subnets and the system, method and CRM can be configured to in the populated container.

of proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The entry in the RIB.<br>systems, methods and CRM can be configured to receive a Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>global logic model, a plurality of sof plurality of hardware models, the global logic model includ-<br>included the proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The<br>ing virtual routing instance (VRF). The systems, methods systems, methods and CRM can be confi ing virtual routing instance (VRF). The systems, methods systems, methods and CRM can be configured to receive a and CRM can also be configured to create a plurality of local global logic model, a plurality of software mod and CRM can also be configured to create a plurality of local global logic model, a plurality of software models and/or a logical models from the global logical model, create, for the plurality of hardware models, the glob received VRF, a VRF container. populate the created VRF 40 container with a subset of the received software models, the received hardware models, and/or the created local logical models, the subset being defined by one or more network models, the subset being defined by one or more network can also be configured to create a plurality of local logical<br>devices in the fabric that are associated with the VRF of the models from the received global logical mo received global logical model and identify within the popu- 45 the VRF of the received global logical model, a VRF lated VRF container one or more of the received software container, populate the created VRF container with lated VRF container one or more of the received software models, the received hardware models, and/or the created models, the received hardware models, and/or the created of the software models, the hardware models, and/or the local logical models that correspond to one or more network local logical models, the subset being defined by local logical models that correspond to one or more network local logical models, the subset being defined by leafs in the devices in the fabric that is not associated with the VRF of fabric on which the VRF is deployed, d the received global logical model. In some examples, a 50 security contract exists between any of the at least one EPG positive result of the identification represents a discrepancy in the VRF container and an EPG not in t positive result of the identification represents a discrepancy in the global logical model.

In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be response to a positive result of the determination, that one or configured to validate that the global logical model is more subnets do not clash. configured to validate that the global logical model is more subnets do not clash.<br>
consistent with the software models and/or the hardware 55 In some examples, each of the at least models. In some examples, systems, metho models. In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can least one subnet. In some examples, each EPG of the at least be configured to compare overlapping fields of content one EPG includes at least one subnet. between one of the local logic models and one of the The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to software models. In some examples, systems, methods and determine a first set of subnets in a first BD associated CRM can be configured to compare overlapping fields of  $\omega$  content between one of the software models and one of the content between one of the software models and one of the of subnets in a second BD associated with a second EPG<br>hardware models. In some examples, systems, methods and where the contract exists and validate that the first hardware models. In some examples, systems, methods and where the contract exists and validate that the first set of CRM can be configured to generate an error event in subnets does not intersect with the second set of sub CRM can be configured to generate an error event in subnets does not intersect with the second set of subnets . The

global logical model. In some examples, the hardware In some examples, an error event is generated in response models are based on corresponding software models. In some examples to a clash between the one or more subnets.

8

In some examples, the populated container includes a 15 In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be<br>fivere model without a corresponding local logical model configured to determine an IP address/mask and next hop of ent of the global logical model.<br>
The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to that covers the unmatched RIB entry. In some examples,

obtain from the leaf or spine a software model containing the Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>
FIB and RIB. In some examples, systems, methods and<br>
forming a Layer 3 VRF container network assurance check<br>
CRM can be configured to determine is applied to every

plurality of hardware models, the global logic model including a virtual routing and forwarding instance (VRF), the VRF having under it at least one bridge domain (BD) and at least one associated EPG. The systems, methods and CRM models from the received global logical model, create, for the VRF of the received global logical model, a VRF fabric on which the VRF is deployed, determine whether a security contract exists between any of the at least one EPG the global logical model.<br>In some examples, systems, methods and CRM can be response to a positive result of the determination, that one or

determine a first set of subnets in a first BD associated with a first EPG where the contract exists, determine second set sponse to a positive result of the identification. systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to In some examples, the software models are based on the 65 validate for each of the subnets, a next hop.

to a clash between the one or more subnets. In some

proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The 5 systems, methods and CRM can be configured to receive, systems, methods and CRM can be configured to receive, the comparison includes leaf, and next hop to thereby at least from a controller, a global logical model in a first format, the partially validate that L3out static ro global logical model containing instructions on how end-<br>deployed. In some examples, at least some L3out overlappoints connected to a network fabric communicate within ping fields subject to the comparison includes fields to the fabric and receive, from one or more network devices 10 thereby at least partially validate that endpoint the fabric and receive, from one or more network devices 10 thereby at least partially within the fabric, a software model being at least a subset of been properly deployed. instructions from the global logical model in a second The systems, methods and CRM can be configured to format executable on the one or more network devices, the validate each leaked internal subnet in the longest prefix subset of instructions being instructions from the global match (LPM) table of the software model has a next hop that logical model that are specific to operability of the one or 15 identifies which border leaf leaked the more network devices. The systems, methods and CRM can<br>also be configured to determine whether one or more over-<br>tially represents that the internal subnet has been properly lapping bridge domain (BD) subnets in the received global leaked outside of the fabric.<br>logical model and the received software models, and in The systems, methods and CRM can be configured to<br>response to the determination response to the determination an overlapping BD subnet of 20 the one or more overlapping BD subnets, determine whether the one or more overlapping BD subnets, determine whether for the leaked internal subnet that identifies the network any of the one or more overlapping BD subnets satisfy an device, wherein a positive outcome of the valida exception. In some examples, a negative result of either of partially represents that the internal subnet has been properly the determinations at least partially represents that subnets leaked outside of the fabric.<br>
have been properly deployed. In some examples, an error 25 Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>
event is generat

inspect IP addresses and masks of the software models and from a controller, a global logical model in a first format, the determine an overlap when two or more of the IP addresses 30 global logical model containing instructions on how end and masks match. In some examples, the locating is per-<br>formed in each VRF of each of the network devices. In some<br>the fabric, identify bridge domain (BD) subnets in the global examples, an exception is when a BD subnet is within a<br>learned that are designated as public and validate, in<br>learned route. In some examples, a positive result of either<br>response to a positive result of the identification of the determinations at least partially represents that sub-<br>nets have not been properly deployed. In some examples, an nets have not been properly deployed. In some examples, an examples, a negative outcome of the identification or a exception is when overlapping BD subnets are the same. positive result of the validation at least partially

forming an L3out network assurance check of proper The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The systems, 40 determine whether any of the identified BDs has a differe deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The systems, 40 determine whether any of the identified BDs has a diferent methods and CRM can be configured to receive, from a endpoint group (EPG) from its corresponding L3out. controller, a global logical model in a first format, the global The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to logical model containing instructions on how endpoints confirm, in response to a positive result of th connected to a network fabric communicate within the fabric a presence of a contract between any of the identified BDs<br>and receive, from one or more network devices within the 45 having a different endpoint group (EPG) fro and receive, from one or more network devices within the 45 having a different fabric, a software model being at least a subset of instructional group ( EPG ) such a subset of instruction sponding L3out. fractions from the global logical model in a second format In some examples, a positive result of the confirmation at executable on the one or more network devices, the subset least partially represents proper configuratio of instructions being instructions from the global logical BD-L3out relationship. In some examples, an error event model that are specific to operability of the one or more so can be generated in response to a positive out model that are specific to operability of the one or more 50 network devices. The systems, methods and CRM can also network devices. The systems, methods and CRM can also identification. In some examples, an error event can be<br>be configured to create a local logical model in the first generated in response to a negative result of the va format, the local logical model being at least a portion of the last power scamples, an error event can be generated in received global logical model that is specific to operability response to a negative result of the con received global logical model that is specific to operability response to a negative result of the confirmation.<br>
of the one or more network devices, convert at least a portion 55 Also disclosed are systems, methods and CR model and/or at least a portion of L3out content of the deployment of a configuration in a fabric. The systems, received software model into a common format, and com-<br>pare content of at least some L3out overlapping fields the common format of the created local logical model and 60 the common format of the received software model. In some the common format of the received software model. In some model in a second format executable on the one or more examples, a positive outcome of the comparison at least network devices, the subset of instructions being ins examples, a positive outcome of the comparison at least network devices, the subset of instructions being instruc-<br>partially represents that the internal subnet has been properly tions from the global logical model that ar partially represents that the internal subnet has been properly tions from the global logical model that are specific to leaked outside of the fabric.

In some examples, at least some L3out overlapping fields 65 subject to the comparison includes leaf, port and network to thereby at least partially validate that an L3out interface has

examples, an error event is generated in response to the first been properly deployed. In some examples, at least some set of subnets intersecting with the second set of subnets. L3out overlapping fields subject to the com L3out overlapping fields subject to the comparison includes Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>forming an overlapping subnet network assurance check of L3out loopback has been properly deployed. In some L3out loopback has been properly deployed. In some examples, at least some L3out overlapping fields subject to

validate each leaked internal subnet in the longest prefix match (LPM) table of the software model has a next hop that

forming a BD-L3out Association network assurance check cable exception.<br>The systems, methods and CRM can be configured to systems, methods and CRM can be configured to receive, response to a positive result of the identification, that the identified BDs are associated with an L3out. In some explied is when overlapping BD subnets are the same. positive result of the validation at least partially represents<br>Also disclosed are systems, methods and CRM for per-<br>proper configuration a BD-Layer 3 out (L3out) relati

least partially represents proper configuration of the

more network devices within the fabric, a software model being at least a subset of instructions from the global logical operability of the one or more network devices. The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to identify from the plurality of network devices a source leaf that imported an external subnet from an external device, the source leaf having an L3out under a virtual routing and forwarding closure as follows. The discussion begins with an introduc-<br>instance (VRF), identify from the plurality of network tory discussion of network assurance and fault code devices a subgroup of leafs, the subgroup of leafs including gation across application-centric dimensions. An the source leaf and other leafs having an L3out or BD under introductory discussion of network assurance and a d the VRF of the source leaf, confirm that the imported 5 tion of example computing environments, as illustrated in external subnet is consistent in the software model of one or FIGS. 1A and 1B, will then follow. The discuss external subnet is consistent in the software model of one or FIGS. 1A and 1B, will then follow. The discussion continues more leafs of the group of leafs, determine, at the source with a description of systems and methods more leafs of the group of leafs, determine, at the source with a description of systems and methods for network leaf, the next hop of the imported network is the network assurance, network modeling, and fault code aggrega device that requested the leak, and determine, at the other across logical or application-centric dimensions, as shown in leafs, the next hop of the imported network is at least the 10 FIGS. 2A-2D, 3A-D, 4 and 5A-B. The di source leaf. In some examples, a positive result of the with a description of systems and methods for routing and determinations and the confirming at least partially repre-<br>forwarding assurances and checks, as shown in FI sents proper propagation of the imported route. In some The discussion concludes with a description of an example examples, a negative result of the determinations represents network device, as illustrated in FIG. 21, and an improper propagation of the imported route. In some 15 examples, a negative result of the confirmation represents an examples, a negative result of the confirmation represents an example hardware components suitable for hosting software improper propagation of the imported route.

confirm that the imported external subnet is consistent in the assurance and distributed fault code aggregation across software model of all leafs of the group of leafs further 20 logical or application-centric dimensions. software model of all leafs of the group of leafs functions, which when executed by the at least or application that the network is behaving as intended by the network operator or determination that the network operator that the imported external subnet is consistent in the longest and has been configured properly (e.g., the network is doing<br>prefix match (LPM) table of the software model in all leafs what it is intended to do). Intent can

receive, from a controller, the global logical model contain-<br>
Service), audits, etc. Intent can be embodied in one or more<br>
ing instructions on how endpoints connected to a network<br>
policies, settings, configurations, etc ing instructions on how endpoints connected to a network policies, settings, configurations, etc., defined for the net-<br>fabric communicate within each other through one or more work and individual network elements (e.g., s fabric communicate within each other through one or more work and individual network elements ( $e.g.,$  switches, rout-<br>network devices within the fabric, and confirm that any  $30$  ers, applications, resources, etc.). Howeve imported routes in the global logical model are consistent configurations, policies, etc., defined by a network operator with any imported routes in the received software models of are incorrect or not accurately reflected

confirm that any imported routes in the global logical model 35 are consistent with any imported routes in received software B to that traffic or otherwise processing that traffic in a models of border leafs of the plurality of leafs comprises manner that is inconsistent with configura models of border leafs of the plurality of leafs comprises manner that is inconsistent with configuration A. This can be instructions, which when executed by the at least one a result of many different causes, such as hard processor, causes the at least one processor to confirm that software bugs, varying priorities, configuration conflicts, LPM tables of the software models of border leafs include 40 misconfiguration of one or more settings any imported routes found in an endpoint group (EPG) of rendering by devices, unexpected errors or events, software Layer 3 out (L3out) of the global logical model.

is consistent with any imported route received software 45 models of border leafs of the plurality of leafs comprises instructions, which when executed by the at least one example, such a situation can result when configuration C<br>processor, causes the at least one processor to confirm that conflicts with other configurations in the networ LPM tables of the software models of border leafs includes The approaches herein can provide network assurance by any imported routes found in an EPG of L3out of the global 50 modeling various aspects of the network and/or any imported routes found in an EPG of L3out of the global 50 logical model and does not include other imported route logical model and does not include other imported route consistency checks as well as other network assurance unless imported from a different border leaf or a different checks. The approaches herein can also enable identi unless imported from a different border leaf or a different checks. The approaches herein can also enable identification<br>L3out. and visualization of hardware-level (e.g., network switch-

complex network or data center. The present technology profile error aggregation, 3) per-endpoint group pair aggre-<br>involves system, methods, and computer-readable media for gation, and 4) per-contract error aggregation. I network assurance in layer 1, layer 2 and layer 3 of the data center operators can quickly see hardware errors that networked environment. The present technology also 60 impact particular tenants or other logical entities, networked environment. The present technology also 60 impact particular tenants or other logical entities, across the involves system, methods, and computer-readable media for entire network fabric, and even drill down by involves system, methods, and computer-readable media for network assurance for internal-internal  $(e.g.,$  inter-fabric) network assurance for internal-internal (e.g., inter-fabric) sions, such as endpoint groups, to see only those relevant forwarding and internal-external (e.g., outside the fabric) hardware errors. These visualizations spee forwarding and internal-external (e.g., outside the fabric) hardware errors. These visualizations speed root cause<br>forwarding in the networked environment. The network analysis, improving data center and application availa forwarding in the networked environment. The network analysis, improving data center and application availability assurance can be performed using logical configurations, 65 metrics. Given the scale of the network fabric, present technology will be described in the following dis-

FIGS. 2A-2D, 3A-D, 4 and 5A-B. The discussion continues with a description of systems and methods for routing and

The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to The disclosure now turns to a discussion of network confirm that the imported external subnet is consistent in the assurance and distributed fault code aggregation acr

The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to service chaining, endpoints, compliance, QoS (Quality of receive, from a controller, the global logical model contain-<br>Service), audits, etc. Intent can be embodied in rder leafs of the plurality of leafs.<br>The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to fies a configuration A for one or more types of traffic but later fies a configuration A for one or more types of traffic but later finds out that the network is actually applying configuration Layer 3 out (L3out) of the global logical model.<br>
The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to example, a network operator implements configuration C The systems, methods and CRM can also be configured to example, a network operator implements configuration C confirm that any imported routes in the global logical model but one or more other configurations result in the but one or more other configurations result in the network behaving in a manner that is inconsistent with the intent reflected by the implementation of configuration C. For

L3out.<br>
and visualization of hardware-level (e.g., network switch-<br>
level) errors along any software or application-centric excription<br>
Devel ) errors along any software or application-centric<br>
Devel 2 errors along any software or application-centric<br>
Devel 2 errors along any software or applications can The disclosed technology addresses the need in the art for 55 dimension. Non-limiting example visualizations can accurate and efficient discovery of problems in a large and include: 1) per-tenant error aggregation, 2) persoftware configurations and/or hardware configurations. The gations to create these visualizations can be done in a present technology will be described in the following dis-<br>distributed fashion.

13<br>In this context, a network assurance platform can run an assurance operator on each individual network device, such for network assurance and fault code aggregation.<br>
as a switch, and emit fault codes associated with the network FIG. 1A illustrates a diagram of an example Networ as a switch, and emit fault codes associated with the network device. A logical policy enricher can map the hardware IDs (e.g., scope, pcTag, etc.) to the logical policy entity that is  $\frac{5}{100}$  defined in the software-defined network (SDN) fabric con-

tally by running the aggregator for each key as a separate  $_{15}$ 

aspects of a network. A model can include a mathematical or edge devices, and/or any other type of routing or switching semantic model of the network, including, without limita-<br>device. semantic model of the network, including, without limita-<br>tion the network's policies, configurations, requirements,<br>sequirements,<br>leafs 104 can be responsible for routing and/or bridging<br>security, routing, topology, appli contracts , access control lists , EPGs , application profiles , 30 rules . Network policies and rules can be driven by one or tenants, etc. Models can be implemented to provide network more Controllers 116, and/or implemented or enforced by assurance to ensure that the network is properly configured one or more devices, such as Leafs 104. Leafs 1 assurance to ensure that the network is properly configured one or more devices, such as Leafs 104. Leafs 104 can and the behavior of the network will be consistent (or is connect other elements to the Fabric 120. For exam consistent) with the intended behavior reflected through Leafs 104 can connect Servers 106, Hypervisors 108, Virtual specific policies, settings, definitions, etc., implemented by 35 Machines (VMs) 110, Applications 112, N specific policies, settings, definitions, etc., implemented by 35 the network operator. Unlike traditional network monitoring the network operator. Unlike traditional network monitoring 114, etc., with Fabric 120. Such elements can reside in one which involves sending and analyzing data packets and or more logical or virtual layers or networks, s which involves sending and analyzing data packets and or more logical or virtual layers or networks, such as an observing network behavior, network assurance can be overlay network. In some cases, Leafs 104 can encapsulate performed through modeling without necessarily ingesting and decapsulate packets to and from such elements (e.g., any packet data or monitoring traffic or network behavior. 40 Servers 106) in order to enable communications This can result in foresight, insight, and hindsight: problems can be prevented before they occur, identified when they

to deterministically predict the behavior and condition of the 45 sulate packets to and from Leafs 104. For example, Servers network. A mathematical model can abstract the control, 106 can include one or more virtual switc network. A mathematical model can abstract the control, **106** can include one or more virtual switches or routers or management, and data planes, and may use various tech-<br>tunnel endpoints for tunneling packets between an management, and data planes, and may use various tech-<br>niques such as symbolic, formal verification, consistency, or logical layer hosted by, or connected to, Servers 106 and niques such as symbolic, formal verification, consistency, or logical layer hosted by, or connected to, Servers 106 and graph, behavioral, etc. The network can be determined to be an underlay layer represented by Fabric 12 graph, behavioral, etc. The network can be determined to be an underlay layer represented by Fabric 120 and accessed healthy if the model(s) indicate proper behavior (e.g., no 50 via Leafs 104. inconsistencies, conflicts, errors, etc.). The network can be<br>determined to be functional, but not fully healthy, if the vices, containers, appliances, functions, service chains, etc. modeling indicates proper behavior but some inconsisten-<br>
For example, Applications 112 can include a firewall, a<br>
cies. The network can be determined to be non-functional database, a CDN server, an IDS/IPS, a deep packet cies. The network can be determined to be non-functional database, a CDN server, an IDS/IPS, a deep packet inspec-<br>and not healthy if the modeling indicates improper behavior 55 tion service, a message router, a virtual sw and not healthy if the modeling indicates improper behavior 55 tion service, a message router, a virtual switch, etc. An and errors. If inconsistencies or errors are detected by the application from Applications 112 can be modeling, a detailed analysis of the corresponding model(s) chained, or hosted by multiple endpoints (e.g., Servers 106, can allow one or more underlying or root problems to be VMs 110, etc.), or may run or execute entirel

identified with great accuracy.<br>The models can consume numerous types of data and/or 60 VMs 110 can be virtual machines hosted by Hypervisors events which model a large amount of behavioral aspects of 108 or virtual machine managers running on Servers 106.<br>the network. Such data and events can impact various VMs 110 can include workloads running on a guest oper-

and fault code aggregation across dimensions, the disclosure

now turns to a discussion of example network environments for network assurance and fault code aggregation.

Environment 100, such as a data center. The Network Environment 100 can include a Fabric 120 which can defined in the software-defined network (SDN) fabric con-<br>
figuration, such as the application-centric infrastructure of the Network Environment 100. Fabric 120 can include figuration, such as the application-centric infrastructure of the Network Environment 100. Fabric 120 can include (ACI) fabric configuration. The mappings can yield enriched Spines 102 (e.g., spine routers or switches) and (ACI) fabric configuration. The mappings can yield enriched Spines  $102$  (e.g., spine routers or switches) and Leafs  $104$  fault codes. The enriched fault codes can be sent to an (e.g., leaf routers or switches) which can aggregation layer for aggregation. For example, multiple  $10$  for routing or switching traffic in the Fabric 120. Spines 102 nodes (e.g., HADOOP) can collect the enriched fault codes can interconnect Leafs 104 in the Fabric 120, and Leafs 104 and emit them to an aggregation layer as (key, tag) pairs. can connect the Fabric 120 to an overlay or l can connect the Fabric 120 to an overlay or logical portion In some cases, the aggregation layer can scale horizon of the Network Environment 100, which can include appli-<br>Ily by running the aggregator for each key as a separate <sub>15</sub> cation services, servers, virtual machines, cont reducer. Each key can represent a different dimension for points, etc. Thus, network connectivity in the Fabric 120 can aggregation. Non-limiting examples of dimensions include flow from Spines 102 to Leafs 104, and vice versa. The tenant, contract, application profile, endpoint group (EPG) interconnections between Leafs 104 and Spines 102 interconnections between Leafs 104 and Spines 102 can be pair, etc. This provides the operator of a large scale network redundant (e.g., multiple interconnections) to avoid a failure fabric with an integrated view of the health of the network  $_{20}$  in routing. In some embodiments, Leafs 104 and Spines 102 fabric for that particular dimension of aggregation. For can be fully connected, such that any g fabric for that particular dimension of aggregation. For can be fully connected, such that any given Leaf is con-<br>example, this can provide the health of each tenant, contract, nected to each of the Spines 102, and any giv example, this can provide the health of each tenant, contract, and contract of the Spines 102, and any given Spine is application profile, EPG pair, etc. plication profile, EPG pair, etc.<br>
As previously noted, the fault code aggregation can example, top-of-rack ("ToR") switches, aggregation<br>
As previously noted, the fault code aggregation can example, top-of-rack ("ToR") sw implement logical models which can represent various 25 switches, gateways, ingress and/or egress switches, provider

can be prevented before they occur, identified when they also provide any other devices, services, tenants, or work-<br>occur, and fixed immediately after they occur.<br>loads with access to Fabric 120. In some cases, Servers 10 our, and fixed immediately after they occur.<br>
Properties of the network can be mathematically modeled connected to Leafs 104 can similarly encapsulate and decapconnected to Leafs 104 can similarly encapsulate and decap-

service, tenant connectivity, tenant security, tenant EP provide a layer of software, firmware, and/or hardware that mobility, tenant policy, resources, etc. 65 creates, manages, and/or runs the VMs 110. Hypervisors 108 bility, tenant policy, resources, etc. 65 creates, manages, and/or runs the VMs 110. Hypervisors 108 Having described various aspects of network assurance can allow VMs 110 to share hardware resources on Servers can allow VMs 110 to share hardware resources on Servers 106, and the hardware resources on Servers 106 to appear as

migrated to other Servers 106. Servers 106 can similarly be figuration requirements, such as security, QoS, services, etc.<br>migrated to other locations in Network Environment 100. 5 Endpoints can be virtual/logical or physi For example, a server connected to a specific leaf can be<br>  $V$ Ms, containers, hosts, or physical servers that are con-<br>
changed to connect to a different or additional leaf. Such<br>
nected to Network Environment 100. Endpoin changed to connect to a different or additional leaf. Such nected to Network Environment 100. Endpoints can have configuration or deployment changes can involve modifica- one or more attributes such as a VM name, guest OS tions to settings, configurations and policies that are applied a security tag, application profile, etc. Application configuto the resources being migrated as well as other network 10 rations can be applied between EPGs, instead of endpoints components.<br>
directly, in the form of contracts. Leafs 104 can classify

and/or VMs 110 can represent or reside in a tenant or be based on, for example, a network segment identifier such customer space. Tenant space can include workloads, ser- as a VLAN ID, VXLAN Network Identifier (VNID), vices, applications, devices, networks, and/or resources that 15 NVGRE Virtual Subnet Identifier (VSID), MAC address, IP are associated with one or more clients or subscribers. address, etc. Accordingly, traffic in Network Environment 100 can be In some cases, classification in the ACI infrastructure can routed based on specific tenant policies, spaces, agreements, be implemented by Application Virtual Switche configurations, etc. Moreover, addressing can vary between which can run on a host, such as a server or switch. For<br>one or more tenants. In some configurations, tenant spaces 20 example, an AVS can classify traffic based o one or more tenants. In some configurations, tenant spaces 20 can be divided into logical segments and/or networks and separated from logical segments and/or networks associated different identifiers, such as network segment identifiers with other tenants. Addressing, policy, security and configu- (e.g., VLAN ID). Finally, Leafs 104 can ti with other tenants. Addressing, policy, security and configu-<br>
ration information between tenants can be managed by<br>
their attribute EPGs based on their identifiers and enforce ration information between tenants can be managed by their attribute EPGs based on their identifiers and enforce<br>Controllers 116, Servers 106, Leafs 104, etc. 25 policies, which can be implemented and/or managed by one

physical), and/or both. For example, configurations can be ingly.<br>
implemented at a logical and/or hardware level based on Another example SDN solution is based on VMWARE implemented at a logical and/or hardware level based on Another example SDN solution is based on VMWARE endpoint or resource attributes, such as endpoint types 30 NSX. With VMWARE NSX, hosts can run a distributed endpoint or resource attributes, such as endpoint types 30 NSX. With VMWARE NSX, hosts can run a distributed and/or application groups or profiles, through a software-<br>firewall (DFW) which can classify and process traffic. defined network (SDN) framework (e.g., Application-Cen-<br>tric Infrastructure (ACI) or VMWARE NSX). To illustrate,<br>one or more operators can define configurations at a logical network segment. Traffic protection can be provi one or more operators can define configurations at a logical network segment. Traffic protection can be provided within level (e.g., application or software level) through Controllers 35 the network segment based on the VM 116, which can implement or propagate such configurations HTTP traffic can be allowed among web VMs, and disal-<br>through Network Environment 100. In some examples, lowed between a web VM and an application or database through Network Environment 100. In some examples, lowed between a web VM and an application or database<br>Controllers 116 can be Application Policy Infrastructure VM. To classify traffic and implement policies, VMWARE Controllers 116 can be Application Policy Infrastructure VM. To classify traffic and implement policies, VMWARE Controllers (APICs) in an ACI framework. In other NSX can implement security groups, which can be used to Controllers (APICs) in an ACI framework. In other NSX can implement security groups, which can be used to examples, Controllers 116 can be one or more management 40 group the specific VMs (e.g., web VMs, application VMs, components for associated with other SDN solutions, such database VMs). DFW rules can be configured to implement<br>policies for the specific security groups. To illustrate, in the

protocols, attributes, objects, etc., for routing and/or classi-<br>fying traffic in Network Environment 100. For example, 45 database security groups. fying traffic in Aetwork Environment 100 . For example and objects for Returning now to FIG. 1A, Network Environment 100 classifying and processing traffic based on Endpoint Groups can deploy different hosts via Leafs 104, (EPGs), Security Groups (SGs), VM types, bridge domains Hypervisors 108, VMs 110, Applications 112, and Control-<br>(BDs), virtual routing and forwarding instances (VRFs), lers 116, such as VMWARE ESXi hosts, WINDOWS (BDs), virtual routing and forwarding instances (VRFs), lers 116, such as VMWARE ESXi hosts, WINDOWS tenants, priorities, firewall rules, etc. Other example network so HYPER-V hosts, bare metal physical hosts, etc. Network tenants, priorities, firewall rules, etc. Other example network 50 objects and configurations are further described below. Trafobjects and configurations are further described below. Traf-<br>
fic policies and rules can be enforced based on tags, attri-<br>
visors 108, Servers 106 (e.g., physical and/or virtual servfic policies and rules can be enforced based on tags, attri-<br>butes, or other characteristics of the traffic, such as protocols ers), SDN orchestration platforms, etc. Network Environbutes, or other characteristics of the traffic, such as protocols ers), SDN orchestration platforms, etc. Network Environ-<br>associated with the traffic, EPGs associated with the traffic, ment 100 may implement a declarative associated with the traffic, EPGs associated with the traffic, ment 100 may implement a declarative model to allow its SGs associated with the traffic, network address information  $55$  integration with application design a SGs associated with the traffic, network address information 55 integration with application design and holistic network associated with the traffic, etc. Such policies and rules can be policy. enforced by one or more elements in Network Environment Controllers 116 can provide centralized access to fabric 100, such as Leafs 104, Servers 106, Hypervisors 108, information, application configuration, resource config Controllers 116, etc. As previously explained, Network tion, application-level configuration modeling for a soft-<br>Environment 100 can be configured according to one or 60 ware-defined network (SDN) infrastructure, integrat Environment 100 can be configured according to one or 60 more particular software-defined network (SDN) solutions, such as CISCO ACI or VMWARE NSX. These example form a control plane that interfaces with an application plane<br>SDN solutions are briefly described below. via northbound APIs and a data plane via southbound APIs.

solution through scalable distributed enforcement. ACI sup-65 ports integration of physical and virtual environments under

multiple, separate hardware platforms. Moreover, Hypervi-<br>services, security, requirements, etc. For example, the ACI<br>sors 108 on Servers 106 can host one or more VMs 110. framework implements EPGs, which can include a col rs 108 on Servers 106 can host one or more VMs 110. framework implements EPGs, which can include a collection some cases, VMs 110 and/or Hypervisors 108 can be tion of endpoints or applications that share common contion of endpoints or applications that share common conmponents.<br>In some cases, one or more Servers 106, Hypervisors 108, incoming traffic into different EPGs. The classification can In some cases, one or more Servers 106, Hypervisors 108, incoming traffic into different EPGs. The classification can and/or VMs 110 can represent or reside in a tenant or be based on, for example, a network segment identi

attributes, and tag packets of different attribute EPGs with 25 policies, which can be implemented and/or managed by one Configurations in Network Environment 100 can be or more Controllers 116. Leaf 104 can classify to which EPG implemented at a logical level, a hardware level (e.g., the traffic from a host belongs and enforce policies acco the traffic from a host belongs and enforce policies accordingly.

NSX Managers.<br>
Such configurations can define rules, policies, priorities,<br>
context of the previous example, DFW rules can be config-<br>
configurations can define rules, policies, priorities,<br>
context of the previous example

information, application configuration, resource configuramanagement systems or servers, etc. Controllers 116 can form a control plane that interfaces with an application plane

SDN SON solutions are briefly described below as the via north ACI solution APIS and a define and a define and a define and bution through scalable distributed enforcement. ACI sup- 65 manage application-level model(s) for ports integration of physical and virtual environments under Network Environment 100. In some cases, application or a declarative configuration model for networks, servers, device configurations can also be managed and/or device configurations can also be managed and/or defined by

in Network Environment 100, including configurations and to or from Endpoints 122, apply policies to traffic to or from settings for virtual appliances.<br>
5 Endpoints 122, define relationships between Endpoints 122,

and concepts herein are not limited to ACI solutions and may 122, etc.<br>
In an ACI environment, Logical Groups 118 can be EPGs<br>
ing other SDN solutions as well as other types of networks 15 used to define contracts in the A ing other SDN solutions as well as other types of networks 15 which may not deploy an SDN solution.

Servers 106 (e.g., physical or logical), Hypervisors 108, provides a service, what consumes a service, and what VMs 110, containers (e.g., Applications 112), etc., and can policy objects are related to that consumption rel run or include any type of server or application solution. 20 A contract can include a policy that defines the communi-<br>Non-limiting examples of "hosts" can include virtual cation path and all related elements of a communi switches or routers, such as distributed virtual switches relationship between endpoints or EPGs. For example, a (DVS), application virtual switches (AVS), vector packet Web EPG can provide a service that a Client EPG cons processing (VPP) switches; VCENTER and NSX MANAG-<br>ERS; bare metal physical hosts; HYPER-V hosts; VMs; 25 service graph that includes one or more services, such as ERS; bare metal physical hosts; HYPER-V hosts; VMs; 25 service graph that includes one or more services, such as the metal inspection services and server load balancing

ment 100. In this example, Network Environment 100 includes Endpoints 122 connected to Leafs 104 in Fabric 120. Endpoints 122 can be physical and/or logical or virtual  $30$ entities, such as servers, clients, VMs, hypervisors, software which shall also be used throughout the disclosure. Accord-<br>containers, applications, resources, network devices, work-<br>ingly, for clarity, the disclosure shal containers, applications, resources, network devices, work-<br>loads, etc. For example, an Endpoint 122 can be an object list of terminology, which will be followed by a more loads, etc. For example, an Endpoint 122 can be an object list of terminology, which will be followed by a more that represents a physical device (e.g., server, client, switch, detailed discussion of Management Information etc.), an application (e.g., web application, database appli- 35 As used herein, an "Alias" can refer to a changeable name cation, etc.), a logical or virtual resource (e.g., a virtual for a given object. Thus, even if the name of an object, once switch, a virtual service appliance, a virtualized network created, cannot be changed, the Alias c switch, a virtual service appliance, a virtualized network created, cannot function (VNF), a VM, a service chain, etc.), a container be changed. running a software resource (e.g., an application, an appli-<br>ance, a VNF, a service chain, etc.), storage, a workload or 40 (e.g., contracts, policies, configurations, etc.) that overlaps ance, a VNF, a service chain, etc.), storage, a workload or 40 (e.g., contracts, policies, configurations, etc.) that overlaps workload engine, etc. Endpoints 122 can have an address one or more other rules. For example, C workload engine, etc. Endpoints 122 can have an address one or more other rules. For example, Contract 1 defined in (e.g., an identity), a location (e.g., host, network segment, a logical model of a network can be said to virtual routing and forwarding (VRF) instance, domain, Contract 2 defined in the logical model of the network if etc.), one or more attributes (e.g., name, type, version, patch Contract 1 overlaps Contract 1. In this examp level, OS name, OS type, etc.), a tag (e.g., security tag), a 45 Contract 2, Contract 1 may render Contract 2 redundant or profile, etc.

containing endpoints (physical and/or logical or virtual) characteristics.<br>grouped together according to one or more attributes, such 50 As used herein, the term "APIC" can refer to one or more<br>as endpoint type (e.g., VM t as endpoint type (e.g., VM type, workload type, application controllers (e.g., Controllers 116) in an ACI framework. The type, etc.), one or more requirements (e.g., policy require-<br>APIC can provide a unified point of auto type, etc.), one or more requirements (e.g., policy require - APIC can provide a unified point of automation and man-<br>ments, security requirements, QoS requirements, customer agement, policy programming, application deploy requirements, resource requirements, etc.), a resource name health monitoring for an ACI multitenant fabric. The APIC (e.g., VM name, application name, etc.), a profile, platform 55 can be implemented as a single controlle (e.g., VM name, application name, etc.), a profile, platform  $55$  or operating system (OS) characteristics (e.g., OS type or or operating system (OS) characteristics (e.g., OS type or controller, or a replicated, synchronized, and/or clustered name including guest and/or host OS, etc.), an associated controller. name including guest and/or host OS, etc.), an associated controller.<br>
network or tenant, one or more policies, a tag, etc. For As used herein, the term "BDD" can refer to a binary<br>
example, a logical group can be an objec example, a logical group can be an object representing a decision tree A binary decision tree can be a data structure collection of endpoints grouped together. To illustrate, Logi- 60 representing functions, such as Boolea cal Group 1 can contain client endpoints, Logical Group 2 As used herein, the term "BD" can refer to a bridge can contain web server endpoints, Logical Group 3 can domain. A bridge domain can be a set of logical ports that can contain web server endpoints, Logical Group 3 can domain. A bridge domain can be a set of logical ports that contain application server endpoints, Logical Group N can share the same flooding or broadcast characteristic contain database server endpoints, etc. In some examples, virtual LAN (VLAN), bridge domains can span multiple Logical Groups 118 are EPGs in an ACI environment and/or 65 devices. A bridge domain can be a L2 (Layer 2) cons other logical groups (e.g., SGs) in another SDN environ-<br>ment.<br>resource, and/or EPG that consumes a service.

other components in the network. For example, a hypervisor Traffic to and/or from Endpoints 122 can be classified, or virtual appliance, such as a VM or container, can run a processed, managed, etc., based Logical Groups 1 example, Logical Groups 118 can be used to classify traffic As illustrated above, Network Environment 100 can define roles of Endpoints 122 (e.g., whether an endpoint include one or more different types of SDN solutions, hosts, consumes or provides a service, etc.), apply rules to or from Endpoints 122, apply filters or access control lists examples in the disclosure will be described with reference (ACLs) to traffic to or from Endpoints 122, define commutation an ACI framework, and Controllers 116 may be inter- 10 nication paths for traffic to or from Endpoi to an ACI framework, and Controllers 116 may be inter- 10 nication paths for traffic to or from Endpoints 122, enforce changeably referenced as controllers, APICs, or APIC con-<br>requirements associated with Endpoints 122, i trollers. However, it should be noted that the technologies security and other configurations associated with Endpoints

hich may not deploy an SDN solution.<br>Further, as referenced herein, the term "hosts" can refer to EPGs take place. For example, a contract can define what EPGs take place. For example, a contract can define what provides a service, what consumes a service, and what cation path and all related elements of a communication or relationship between endpoints or EPGs. For example, a

PIG. 1B illustrates another example of Network Environ-<br>
FIG. 2A illustrates and services and an example Management 100 and FIG. 2A illustrates a diagram of an example Management 100 and Turking Information Model 200 for a work Environment 100. The following discussion of Management Information Model 200 references various terms

inoperable. For example, if Contract 1 has a higher priority Endpoints 122 can be associated with respective Logical than Contract 2, such aliasing can render Contract 2 redun-Groups 118. Logical Groups 118 can be logical entities dant based on Contract I's overlapping and higher pr

share the same flooding or broadcast characteristics. Like a virtual LAN (VLAN), bridge domains can span multiple

address domain that allows multiple instances of a routing named entity that contains specifications for controlling table to exist and work simultaneously. This increases func-<br>some aspect of system behavior. To illustrat tionality by allowing network paths to be segmented without Outside Network Policy can contain the BGP protocol to using multiple devices. Non-limiting examples of a context  $\frac{s}{s}$  enable BGP routing functions when conne using multiple devices. Non-limiting examples of a context  $\bar{s}$  enable BGP routing functions v or L3 address domain can include a Virtual Routing and to an outside Layer 3 network. or L3 address domain can include a Virtual Routing and Forwarding (VRF) instance, a private network, and so forth.

configurations that specify what and how communications in profile can include a named entity that contains the configua network are conducted (e.g., allowed, denied, filtered, 10 ration details for implementing one or more instances of a processed, etc.). In an ACI network, contracts can specify policy. To illustrate, a switch node profil how communications between endpoints and/or EPGs take policy can contain the switch-specific configuration details place. In some examples, a contract can provide rules and to implement the BGP routing protocol.

MO, and locates its place in Management Information As used herein, the term "Subject" refers to one or more Model 200. In some cases, the DN can be (or equate to) a parameters in a contract for defining communications. Fo Model 200. In some cases, the DN can be (or equate to) a parameters in a contract for defining communications. For example, in ACI, subjects in a contract can specify what

or group of endpoints as previously described with reference <br>to Accelerin, the term " Tenant" refers to a unit of<br>isolation in a network. For example, a tenant can be a secure

or configuration for allowing communications. For example, 25 tenant can be a unit of isolation from a policy perspective,<br>in a whitelist model where all communications are blocked but does not necessarily represent a priv tions or packets. A filter can thus function similar to an ACL 30 setting, or just a grouping of policies.<br>
or Firewall rule. In some examples, a filter can be imple-<br>
As used herein, the term "VRF" refers to a virtual rou or Firewall rule. In some examples, a filter can be imple-<br>mented in a packet (e.g., TCP/IP) header field, such as L3 and forwarding instance. The VRF can define a Layer 3<br>protocol type, L4 (Layer 4) ports, and so on, whic protocol type, L4 (Layer 4) ports, and so on, which is used to allow inbound or outbound communications between to allow inbound or outbound communications between table to exist and work simultaneously. This increases func-<br>endpoints or EPGs, for example.<br>35 tionality by allowing network paths to be segmented without

connection. A bridged connection can connect two or more network.<br>segments of the same network so that they can communi-<br>Having described various terms used herein, the disclosegments of the same network so that they can communicate. In an ACI framework, an L2 out can be a bridged (Layer 2) connection between an ACI fabric (e.g., Fabric 40 120) and an outside Layer 2 network, such as a switch.

connection. A routed Layer 3 connection uses a set of Controllers 116, such as APICs in an ACI. Controllers 116 protocols that determine the path that data follows in order can enable the control of managed resources by pr to travel across networks from its source to its destination. 45 their manageable characteristics as object properties that can<br>Routed connections can perform forwarding (e.g., IP for-<br>be inherited according to the locatio Routed connections can perform forwarding (e.g., IP for be inherited according to the location warding) according to a protocol selected, such as BGP hierarchical structure of the model.

As used herein, the term "Managed Object" (MO) can so nodes 116, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212. Nodes 116, 202, 204, refer to an abstract representation of objects that are man-<br>206, 208, 210, 212 in the tree represent the manag objects can be concrete objects (e.g., a switch, server, Fabric 120) has a unique distinguished name (DN) that adapter, etc.), or logical objects (e.g., an application profile, describes the object and locates its place in adapter, etc.), or logical objects (e.g., an application profile, describes the object and locates its place in the tree. The an EPG, a fault, etc.). The MOs can be network resources or 55 Nodes 116, 202, 204, 206, 208, 21 an EPG, a fault, etc.). The MOs can be network resources or 55 Nodes 116, 202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212 can include the elements that are managed in the network. For example, in various MOs, as described below, which contai an ACI environment, an MO can include an abstraction of an that govern the operation of the system and the system of the system of the system of the system and system and the system of the system of the system of the syste

As used herein, the term "Management Information Tree" Controllers 116 (e.g., APIC controllers) can provide man-<br>(MIT) can refer to a hierarchical management information 60 agement, policy programming, application deployme (MIT) can refer to a hierarchical management information 60 agement, policy programming, application deployment, and tree containing the MOs of a system. For example, in ACI, health monitoring for Fabric 120. the MIT contains the MOs of the ACI fabric (e.g., Fabric Node 204<br>120). The MIT can also be referred to as a Management Node 204 includes a tenant container for policies that 120). The MIT can also be referred to as a Management Node 204 includes a tenant container for policies that Information Model (MIM), such as Management Informa- enable an operator to exercise domain-based access control. In Model 200. 65 Non-limiting examples of tenants can include:<br>As used herein, the term "Policy" can refer to one or more User tenants defined by the operator acco

As used herein, a "Context" can refer to an L3 (Layer 3) network behavior. For example, a policy can include a address domain that allows multiple instances of a routing named entity that contains specifications for contro some aspect of system behavior. To illustrate, a Layer 3

Forwarding (VRF) instance, a private network, and so forth. As used herein, the term "Profile" can refer to the con-<br>As used herein, the term "Contract" can refer to rules or figuration details associated with a policy. Fo figuration details associated with a policy. For example, a

permeter and a contract contract can provide rules and to implement the BGP routing provider refers to an object or<br>As used herein, the term "Distinguished Name" (DN) can 15 entity providing a service. For example, a provi As used herein, the term "Distinguished Name" (DN) can 15 entity providing a service. For example, a provider can be an refer to a unique name that describes an object, such as an EPG that provides a service.

Illy Qualified Domain Name (FQDN). example, in ACI, subjects in a contract can specify what<br>As used herein, the term "Endpoint Group" (EPG) can 20 information can be communicated and how. Subjects can As used herein, the term "Endpoint Group" (EPG) can 20 information can be communicated and how. Subjects can refer to a logical entity or object associated with a collection function similar to ACLs.

isolation in a network. For example, a tenant can be a secure and exclusive virtual computing environment. In ACI, a As used herein, the term "Filter" can refer to a parameter and exclusive virtual computing environment. In ACI, a or configuration for allowing communications. For example, 25 tenant can be a unit of isolation from a polic

35 tionality by allowing network paths to be segmented without As used herein, the term "L2 Out" can refer to a bridged using multiple devices. Also known as a context or private need to here in the metron can connect two or more entwork.

sure now returns to a discussion of Management Information Model (MIM) 200 in FIG. 2A. As previously noted, MIM 0) and an outside Layer 2 network, such as a switch. 200 can be a hierarchical management information tree or As used herein, the term "L3 Out" can refer to a routed MIT. Moreover, MIM 200 can be managed and processed by can enable the control of managed resources by presenting their manageable characteristics as object properties that can

(border gateway protocol), OSPF (Open Shortest Path First), The hierarchical structure of MIM 200 starts with Policy EIGRP (Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol), etc. Universe 202 at the top (Root) and contains pare various MOs, as described below, which contain policies that govern the operation of the system.

As used herein, the term "Policy" can refer to one or more User tenants defined by the operator according to the specifications for controlling some aspect of system or needs of users. They contain policies that govern the needs of users. They contain policies that govern the opera15

tion of resources such as applications, databases, web servers, network-attached storage, virtual machines, and so on.

configured by the operator. It contains policies that govern FIG. 2B illustrates an example object model 220 for a the operation of resources accessible to all tenants, such as  $5$  tenant portion of MIM 200. As previously the operation of resources accessible to all tenants, such as <sup>5</sup> tenant portion of MIM 200. As previously noted, a tenant is firewalls, load balancers. Laver 4 to Laver 7 services, a logical container for application poli

The infrastructure tenant is provided by the system but<br>can be configured by the operator. It contains policies that<br>govern the operator of infrastructure resources such as the <sup>10</sup> Tenants can represent a customer in a se provider to selectively deploy resources to one or more user just a convenient grouping of policies. Moreover, tenants tenants infrastructure tenant polices can be configurable by can be isolated from one another or can sh

The management tenant is provided by the system but can entities, and the entities in Tenant Portion 204A can inherit be configured by the operator. It contains policies that policies from parent entities. Non-limiting exa govern the operation of fabric management functions used<br>for in-band and out-of-band configuration of fabric nodes. Contracts 236, Outside Networks 222, Bridge Domains 230,<br>The management tenant contains a private out-of-b access through the management port of the switches. The contain one or more EPGs 226. Some applications can management tenant enables discovery and automation of contain multiple components. For example, an e-commerce management tenant enables discovery and automation of contain multiple components. For example, an e-commerce communications with virtual machine controllers. 25 application could require a web server, a database server,

operation of switch access ports that provide connectivity to<br>resources such as storage, compute, Layer 2 and Layer 3 that are logically related to providing the capabilities of an (bridged and routed) connectivity, virtual machine hypervi- 30 application.<br>
sors, Layer 4 to Layer 7 devices, and so on. If a tenant EPG 226 can be organized in various ways, such as based<br>
requires interface configuratio in the default link, Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP), Link (such as infrastructure), where they are in the structure of the Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP), Link Aggregation Con-<br>Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP), Link Aggreg Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP), Link Aggregation Con-<br>trol Protocol (LACP), or Spanning Tree Protocol (STP), an 35 that a fabric or tenant operator chooses to use.

as Network Time Protocol (NTP) server synchronization, 40 etc. EPGs 226 can also contain Attributes 228, such as Intermediate System-to-Intermediate System Protocol (IS-<br>
encapsulation-based EPGs, IP-based EPGs, or MAC-bas Intermediate System-to-Intermediate System Protocol (IS- encapsulation-based EPGs, IP-based EPGs, or MAC-based IS), Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) route reflectors, EPGs.

VM controllers can share virtual space (e.g., VLAN or a group.<br>VXLAN space) and application EPGs. Controllers 116 so Policies apply to EPGs, including the endpoints they<br>communicate with the VM controller to publish networ

Node 210 can contain Layer 4 to Layer 7 service inte- 55 gration life cycle automation framework that enables the gration life cycle automation framework that enables the tenant policies. Access policies enable an operator to consystem to dynamically respond when a service comes online figure other network configurations, such as port or goes offline. Policies can provide service device package and virtual port channels, protocols such as LLDP, CDP, or and inventory management functions. LACP, and features such as monitoring or diagnostics.

The haid as a REST API interface. When invoked, the API can 65 Access Portion 206A can contain fabric and infrastructure read from or write to objects in the MIT. URLs can map access policies. Typically, in a policy model, read from or write to objects in the MIT. URLs can map access policies. Typically, in a policy model, EPGs are directly into distinguished names that identify objects in the coupled with VLANs. For traffic to flow, an EPG

22<br>MIT. Data in the MIT can be described as a self-contained erst , network-attached storage, virtual machines, and so on . structured tree text document encoded in XML or JSON, for The common tenant is provided by the system but can be example.

firewalls, load balancers, Layer 4 to Layer 7 services, a logical container for application policies that enable an intrusion detection appliances, and so on.<br>
operator to exercise domain-based access control. A tenant operator to exercise domain-based access control. A tenant

the operator.<br>The management tenant is provided by the system but can <sup>15</sup> entities, and the entities in Tenant Portion 204A can inherit<br>entities . Tenant portion 204A can inherit policies from parent entities. Non-limiting examples of

236 can include Subjects 238. Application Profiles 224 can Node 206 an contain access policies that govern the data located in a storage area network, and access to outside<br>Node 206 can contain access policies that govern the resources that enable financial transactions. Applicati that are logically related to providing the capabilities of an

operator can configure access policies to enable such con-<br>figurations on the access ports of Leafs 104.<br>Node 206 can contain fabric policies that govern the instance EPGs, Layer 3 external outside network instance Node 206 can contain fabric policies that govern the instance EPGs, Layer 3 external outside network instance operation of the switch fabric ports, including such functions EPGs, management EPGs for out-of-band or in-band

IS), Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) route reflectors,<br>
Domain Name System (DNS) and so on. The fabric MO As previously mentioned, EPGs can contain endpoints<br>
contains objects such as power supplies, fans, chassis, and so (e

Node 210<br>Node 210 can contain Layer 4 to Layer 7 service inte-55 access policies should be configured and associated with figure other network configurations, such as port channels

Node 212 can contain access, authentication, and account-<br>Node 212 can contain access, authentication, and account-<br>entities and access entities in MIM 200. Policy Universe 202 Node 212 can contain access, authentication, and account-<br>ing (AAA) policies that govern user privileges, roles, and<br>contains Tenant Portion 204A and Access Portion 206A. security domains of Fabric 120.<br>Thus, Tenant Portion 204A and Access Portion 206A are<br>The hierarchical policy model can fit well with an API, associated through Policy Universe 202.

coupled with VLANs. For traffic to flow, an EPG is deployed

on a leaf port with a VLAN in a physical, VMM, L2 out, L3 L\_Model 270A can be "global" in that it can be generated at out, or Fiber Channel domain, for example.<br>a central point to include instructions for all of the Leafs

Access Portion 206A thus contains Domain Profile 236 and the Spines 102, or only some of them (in theory it could which can define a physical, VMM, L2 out, L3 out, or Fiber be as small as one Leaf 104 or Spine 120, althoug Channel domain, for example, to be associated to the EPGs. 5 would be unusual). The format is based on whatever the GUI<br>Domain Profile 236 contains VLAN Instance Profile 238 interface and/or the Rest API allows the user to Domain Profile 236 contains VLAN Instance Profile 238 (e.g., VLAN pool) and Attachable Access Entity Profile (e.g., VLAN pool) and Attachable Access Entity Profile content. Multiple L\_Model 270A configurations may be (AEP) 240, which are associated directly with application present. EPGs. The AEP 240 deploys the associated application The format of L\_Model 270A is in the program format of EPGs to the ports to which it is attached, and automates the 10 the user to generate the instructions, and not use EPGs to the ports to which it is attached, and automates the 10 task of assigning VLANs. While a large data center can have task of assigning VLANs. While a large data center can have Controller 116. Controller 116 converts L\_Model 270A as a thousands of active VMs provisioned on hundreds of first level into LR\_Model 270B as a second level, for thousands of active VMs provisioned on hundreds of first level into LR\_Model 270B as a second level, for which<br>VLANs, Fabric 120 can automatically assign VLAN IDs LR\_Model 270B is a logical representation that contains the VLANs, Fabric 120 can automatically assign VLAN IDs LR\_Model 270B is a logical representation that contains the from VLAN pools. This saves time compared with trunking content of L-Model 270A in a format that is readable o from VLAN pools. This saves time compared with trunking content of L-Model 270A in a format that is readable on down VLANs in a traditional data center.<br>
15 Controller 116 and transmittable to Leafs 104 and Spines

models for a network, such as Network Environment 100. L\_Model 270A and LR\_Model 270B can be the same,<br>The models can be generated based on specific configura-<br>tions and/or network state parameters associated with vari-<br>be ous objects, policies, properties, and elements defined in 20 LR\_Model 270B is thus the abstract model expression MIM 200. The models can be implemented for network that Controllers 116 (e.g., APICs in ACI) resolve from MIM 200. The models can be implemented for network that Controllers 116 (e.g., APICs in ACI) resolve from analysis and assurance, and may provide a depiction of the L\_Model 270A. LR\_Model 270B can provide the configunetwork at various stages of implementation and levels of ration components that would be delivered to the physical

As illustrated, the models can include L Model 270A 25 (Logical Model), LR Model 270B (Logical Rendered (Logical Model), LR\_Model 270B (Logical Rendered Leafs 104 in Fabric 120 to configure Leafs 104 for com-<br>Model or Logical Runtime Model), Li\_Model 272 (Logical munication with attached Endpoints 122. LR\_Model 270B Model or Logical Runtime Model), Li\_Model 272 (Logical munication with attached Endpoints 122. LR\_Model 270B Model for i), Ci\_Model 274 (Concrete model for i), and/or can also incorporate state information to capture a run

elements in MIM 200 as configured in a network (e.g., tation of L\_Model 270A that is normalized according to a<br>Network Environment 100), such as objects, object proper-<br>specific format or expression that can be propagated ties, object relationships, and other elements in MIM 200 as and/or understood by, the physical infrastructure of Fabric configured in a network. L\_Model 270A can be generated by 120 (e.g., Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc.). Fo Controllers 116 based on configurations entered in Control-35 LR\_Model 270B can associate the elements in L\_Model<br>lers 116 for the network, and thus represents the logical 270A with specific identifiers or tags that can be lers 116 for the network, and thus represents the logical 270A with specific identifiers or tags that can be interpreted configuration of the network at Controllers 116. This is the and/or compiled by the switches in Fabri configuration of the network at Controllers 116. This is the and/or compiled by the switches in Fabric 120, such as declaration of the "end-state" expression that is desired hardware plane identifiers used as classifiers. when the elements of the network entities (e.g., applications, Controller 116 transmits L\_Model 270A and/or tenants, etc.) are connected and Fabric 120 is provisioned by 40 LR\_Model 270B (referred to herein individually and col-<br>Controllers 116. Because L\_Model 270A represents the lectively as "L\_Model 270A/B") to relevant Leafs configurations entered in Controllers 116, including the Spines 102 in the Fabric 120. Relevance may be defined by objects and relationships in MIM 200, it can also reflect the Controller 116 to be all of the Leafs 104 and objects and relationships in MIM 200, it can also reflect the Controller 116 to be all of the Leafs 104 and Spines 102, or "intent" of the operator: how the operator wants the network some subset thereof. By way of non-lim

model. For example, L\_Model 270A can account configu-<br>rations and objects from each of Controllers 116. As previ-<br>could thus transmit the L\_Model 270A/B to all of Leafsl, 2 rations and objects from each of Controllers 116. As previ-<br>
ould thus transmit the L\_Model 270A/B to all of Leafsl, 2<br>
ously explained, Network Environment 100 can include and N, or only to relevant Leafs 1 and N. multiple Controllers 116. In some cases, two or more 50 Controller 116 and/or or each particular Leaf 104 and Controllers 116 may include different configurations or Spine 102 that receives L Model 270A/B then extracts/ Controllers 116 may include different configurations or Spine 102 that receives L\_Model 270A/B then extracts/<br>logical models for the network. In such cases, L Model isolates content from the received model to form a local logical models for the network. In such cases, L\_Model isolates content from the received model to form a local 270A can obtain any of the configurations or logical models subset of content that is specific to that particu 270A can obtain any of the configurations or logical models subset of content that is specific to that particular Leaf or from Controllers 116 and generate a fabric or network wide Spine. This extracted/isolated content de logical model based on the configurations and logical mod- 55 els from all Controllers 116. L\_Model 270A can thus incorels from all Controllers 116. L\_Model 270A can thus incor-<br>positively can model in L\_Model 270A can thus incorrected in the logical model in L\_Model 272 is thus "local" in that is<br>positively to that switch (although it may 116 to provide a comprehensive logical model. L\_Model the switch's relationship with other switches). Li\_Model 270A can also address or account for any dependencies, 272 is thus a switch-level or switch-specific model obta 270A can also address or account for any dependencies, 272 is thus a switch-level or switch-specific model obtained redundancies, conflicts, etc., that may result from the con- 60 from L Model 270A and/or LR Model 270B. Li redundancies, conflicts, etc., that may result from the con- 60 from L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B. Li\_Model 170B on figurations or logical models at the different Controllers 116. 272 can project L Model 270A and/or L

L\_Model 270A is thus the first/highest level model. a specific switch or device i, and thus can convey how L\_Model 270A is created by the Controllers 116 based on L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B should appear or be L\_Model 270A is created by the Controllers 116 based on L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B should appear or be input from the operator. The content within L\_Model 270A implemented at the specific switch or device i. is thus the specific instructions on how various Leafs 104 65 For example, Li\_Model 272 can project L\_Model 270A and the Spines 102 within the Fabric 120 are to communi-<br>and/or LR\_Model 270B pertaining to a specific switch

a central point to include instructions for all of the Leafs 104 be as small as one Leaf 104 or Spine 120, although this would be unusual). The format is based on whatever the GUI

wn VLANs in a traditional data center.<br>FIG. 2D illustrates a schematic diagram of example 102. As this is a format change, the information content of 102. As this is a format change, the information content of

the network.<br>
As illustrated, the models can include L Model 270A 25 policies. For example, LR Model 270B can be delivered to Model for i), Ci\_Model 274 (Concrete model for i), and/or can also incorporate state information to capture a runtime Hi\_Model 276 (Hardware model or TCAM Model for i). state of the network (e.g., Fabric 120).

L\_Model 270A is the logical representation of various 30 In some cases, LR\_Model 270B can provide a represen-<br>elements in MIM 200 as configured in a network (e.g., tation of L Model 270A that is normalized according to a

lectively as "L\_Model  $270A/B$ ") to relevant Leafs 104 and Spines 102 in the Fabric 120. Relevance may be defined by and network elements to behave.<br>
L\_Model 270A can be a fabric or network-wide logical An L\_Model 270A/B at Controller 116 may only include<br>
model. For example, L\_Model 270A can account configu-<br>
content for Leaf 1 and Leaf

Spine. This extracted/isolated content defines the third level Li\_Model 272, which is a switch-level or switch-specific specific to that switch (although it may include content on furtions or logical models at the different Controllers 116. 272 can project L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B on L\_Model 270A is thus the first/highest level model. a specific switch or device i, and thus can convey how

and the Spines 102 within the Fabric 120 are to communi-<br>care with Endpoints 122. In some examples, any particular capture a switch-level representation of L\_Model 270A capture a switch-level representation of L\_Model 270A

and/or LR\_Model 270B at switch i. To illustrate, Li\_Model hardware model Hi\_Model 276. Hi\_Model 276 represents<br>272 L1 can represent L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model the actual hardware configurations from Ci\_Model 274 that<br>270 270B projected to, or implemented at, Leaf 1 (104). Thus, are extracted and stored in the local memory. The conversion Li Model 272 can be generated from L Model 270A and/or of Ci Model 274 into Hi Model 276 represents bot LR \_Model 270B for individual devices (e.g., Leafs 104, 5 Spines 102, etc.) on Fabric 120. By way of non-limiting Spines 102, etc.) on Fabric 120. By way of non-limiting Spine 102 can add content to Hi\_Model 276 that was not example, if the L\_Model 270A/B includes instructions for present in Ci\_Model Model 274. Leaf 1 and Leaf N, then Leaf 1 will extract/isolate the Hi\_Model 276 is thus also a switch-level or switch-content relevant to the operation of Leaf 1 to define local specific model for switch i, but is based on Ci\_Model 2 content relevant to the operation of Leaf 1 to define local specific model for switch i, but is based on Ci\_Model 274 for L1\_Model 272, and Leaf N will extract/isolate the content 10 switch i. Hi\_Model 276 is the actual co relevant to the operation of Leaf N to define local LN\_Model rules) stored or rendered on the hardware or memory (e.g., 272. Since the L\_Model 270A/B did not include instructions TCAM memory) at the individual fabric membe it would not create its own local L2\_Model, although the configurations (e.g., rules) which Leaf 1 (104) stores or application is not so limited. To the extent that the local 15 renders on the hardware (e.g., TCAM memory) application is not so limited. To the extent that the local 15 renders on the hardware (e.g., TCAM memory) of Leaf 1  $Li$  Model 272 is a subset of L Model 270A/B, then this (104) based on Ci Model 274 at Leaf 1 (104). The Li \_Model 272 is a subset of L\_Model 270A/B, then this (104) based on Ci\_Model 274 at Leaf 1 (104). The switch represents a change of content. To the extent that local OS at Leaf 1 (104) can render or execute Ci\_Model 274, represents a change of content. To the extent that local OS at Leaf 1 (104) can render or execute Ci\_Model 274, and Li\_Model 272 is an extraction/isolation of the content from Leaf 1 (104) can store or render the configura Li \_Model 272 is an extraction/isolation of the content from Leaf 1 (104) can store or render the configurations from LR \_Model 270B, there is generally no change in format, Ci \_Model 274 in storage, such as the memory or LR Model 270B, there is generally no change in format, Ci Model 274 in storage, such as the memory or TCAM at although the application is not so limited. 20 Leaf 1 (104). The configurations from Hi Model 276 stored

JSON (JavaScript Object Notation). For example, Li\_Model will be implemented by Leaf 1 (104) when processing<br>272 can include JSON objects, such as Rules, Filters, traffic.<br>Entries, and Scopes. While Models 272, 274, 276 ar

(e.g., it may not be executable on the local operating system 102) in Fabric 120. When combined, device-specific mod-<br>of that Leaf or Spine). Each Leaf 104 and Spine 102 can thus els, such as Model 272, Model 274, and/or M of that Leaf or Spine). Each Leaf 104 and Spine 102 can thus els, such as Model 272, Model 274, and/or Model 276, can locally create the fourth level by converting the format of the provide a representation of Fabric 120 t Li \_Model 272 into a format that the operating system of that 30 a particular device. For example, in some cases, Li \_Model particular Leaf 104 or Spine 102 can execute. This results in 272, Ci\_Model 274, and/or Hi\_Model 276 associated with Ci\_Model 274, referred to herein as a software model or a some or all individual fabric members (e.g., Le Ci\_Model 274, referred to herein as a software model or a concrete model. Thus, by way of non-limiting example, concrete model. Thus, by way of non-limiting example, Spines 102) can be combined or aggregated to generate one<br>where Leaf N created a local LN Model 272 from L Model or more aggregated models based on the individual fabri where Leaf N created a local LN\_Model 272 from L\_Model or more aggregated models based on the individual fabric 270A/B, Leaf N will in turn create a local CN\_Model 274 35 members.

Ci\_Model 274 is thus the actual in-state configuration at the individual fabric member  $i$  (e.g., switch i). In other the individual fabric member i (e.g., switch i). In other hardware model, such as Hi\_Model 276. For example, Ti words, Ci\_Model 274 is a switch-level or switch-specific Model, Hi Model and TCAMi Model may be used interwords, Ci\_Model 274 is a switch-level or switch-specific Model, Hi Model and TCAMi Model may be used intermodel that is based on Li\_Model 272. For example, Con- 40 changeably to refer to Hi\_Model 276. trollers 116 can deliver Li\_Model 272 to Leaf 1 (104). Leaf Models 270A, 270B, 272, 274, 276 can provide repre-<br>1 (104) can take Li\_Model 272, which can be specific to sentations of various aspects of the network or variou Leaf 1 (104), and render the policies in Li\_Model 272 into configuration stages for MIM 200. For example, one or more a concrete model, Ci\_Model 274, that runs on Leaf 1 (104). of Models 270A, 270B, 272, 274, 276 can be us Leaf 1 (104) can render Li\_Model 272 via the OS on Leaf 45 generate Underlay Model 278 representing one or more 1 (104), for example. Thus, Ci\_Model 274 can be analogous aspects of Fabric 120 (e.g., underlay topology, rout

represents at least a change in format. The content of the 50 virtual applications, VMs, hypervisors, virtual switching, Li\_Model 272 may be the same Ci\_Model 274 such that etc.), Tenant Model 282 representing one or more limited and the content may only overlap. By way of service chaining, QoS, VRFs, BDs, Contracts, Filters, EPGs, example, not all of the content from Li\_Model 272 may be subnets, etc.), Resources Model 284 representing one particular Leaf 104 or Spine 102 can add content to computing, VMs, port channels, physical elements, etc.),<br>Ci\_Model 274 that was not present in Li\_Model Model 272. etc.<br>In some cases, Li\_Model 272 and Ci\_Model 274 can ha

Ci\_Model 274 can be based on JSON objects. Having the 60 same or similar format can facilitate objects in Li Model same or similar format can facilitate objects in Li\_Model Hi\_Model 276 expression. If there is any gap between the 272 and Ci\_Model 274 to be compared for equivalence or models, there may be inconsistent configurations or congruence. Such equivalence or congruence checks can be lems.<br>
used for network analysis and assurance, as further FIG. 3A illustrates a diagram of an example Assurance<br>  $\frac{65}{200}$  for network assurance. In this example

of Ci\_Model 274 into Hi Model 276 represents both a format and content change. Similarly, the particular Leaf 104 or

hough the application is not so limited. 20 Leaf 1 (104). The configurations from Hi\_Model 276 stored<br>In some cases, Li\_Model 272 can be represented using or rendered by Leaf 1 (104) represent the configurations that or rendered by Leaf  $1(104)$  represent the configurations that

provide a representation of Fabric 120 that extends beyond

272. As referenced herein, the terms H Model, T Model, and<br>Ci Model 274 is thus the actual in-state configuration at TCAM Model can be used interchangeably to refer to a

of Models 270A, 270B, 272, 274, 276 can be used to generate Underlay Model 278 representing one or more to compiled software, as it is the form of Li\_Model 272 that Overlay Model 280 representing one or more aspects of the the switch OS at Leaf 1 (104) can execute. the switch OS at Leaf 1 (104) can execute.  $\frac{100}{274}$  overlay or logical segment(s) of Network Environment 100<br>The creation of local Li\_Model 274 from Ci\_Model 274 (e.g., COOP, MPBGP, tenants, VRFs, VLANs, VXLANs,

> sion of what exists in the LR\_Model 270B, which should be present on the concrete devices as Ci\_Model 274 and models, there may be inconsistent configurations or prob-

described herein.<br>
Each Leaf 104 and Spine 102 that creates a Ci\_Model 274 Assurance Appliance 300 can include k VMs 110 operating<br>
as a fourth level will in turn create a fifth level as a local in cluster mode. VMs are us in cluster mode. VMs are used in this example for explanation purposes. However, it should be understood that other tion registered (e.g., two leafs announcing the same end-<br>configurations are also contemplated herein, such as use of point, duplicate subnets, etc.), among othe containers, bare metal devices, Endpoints 122, or any other Tenant Routing Checks . <br>
physical or logical systems. Moreover, while FIG. 3A illus-Assurance Appliance 300 can validate that BDs, VRFs, trates a cluster mode configuration, other configurations are 5 subnets (both internal and external), VLANs, contracts, also contemplated herein, such as a single mode configurations. EPGs, etc., are correctly programmed.<br>

Assurance Appliance 300 can run on one or more Servers routing (e.g., IS-IS protocol) has no convergence issues<br>106, VMs 110, Hypervisors 108, EPs 122, Leafs 104, <sup>10</sup> leading to black holes, loops, flaps, and other proble

APEX and HADOOP. In some cases, assurance checks can<br>be written as individual operators that reside in Data Frame-<br>work 308. This enables a natively horizontal scale-out 20 Logical Lint and Real-time Change Analysis<br>archit

can be setup as a DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) of Opera- 25 through syntactic and semantic checks performed on L\_Mo-<br>tors 310, where data flows from one operator to another and del 270A and/or the associated configurations tors 310, where data flows from one operator to another and del 270A and/or the associated configurations of the MOs in eventually results are generated and persisted to Database MIM 200. Assurance Appliance 300 can also v eventually results are generated and persisted to Database MIM 200. Assurance Appliance 300 can also verify that  $302$  for each interval (e.g., each epoch).

Tomcat and Spring framework) 304 and Web Server 306. A 30 FIG. 3B illustrates an architectural diagram of an example graphical user interface (GUI) interacts via the APIs exposed system 350 for network assurance, such as A to the customer. These APIs can also be used by the ance 300. In some cases, system 350 can correspond to the customer to collect data from Assurance Appliance 300 for DAG of Operators 310 previously discussed with respect further integration into other tools.<br>
FIG. 3A<br>
Operators 310 in Data Framework 308 (e.g., APEX/ 35 In this example, Topology Explorer 312 communicates

Operators 310 in Data Framework 308 (e.g., APEX/ 35 Hadoop) can together support assurance operations. Below Hadoop) can together support assurance operations. Below with Controllers 116 (e.g., APIC controllers) in order to are non-limiting examples of assurance operations that can discover or otherwise construct a comprehensive are non-limiting examples of assurance operations that can discover or otherwise construct a comprehensive topological<br>be performed by Assurance Appliance 300 via Operators view of Fabric 120 (e.g., Spines 102, Leafs 104, 310.

Assurance Appliance 300 can check to make sure the nents are represented in a singular, boxed fashion, it is configurations or specification from L Model 270A, which understood that a given architectural component, such as configurations or specification from L\_Model 270A, which understood that a given architectural component, such as may reflect the user's intent for the network, including for Topology Explorer 312, can correspond to one or may reflect the user's intent for the network, including for Topology Explorer 312, can correspond to one or more example the security policies and customer-configured con-<br>individual Operators 310 and may include one or m tracts, are correctly implemented and/or rendered in 45 Li Model 272, Ci Model 274, and Hi Model 276, and thus Li \_Model 272, Ci \_Model 274, and Hi \_Model 276, and thus containers, applications, service functions (e.g., functions in properly implemented and rendered by the fabric members a service chain or virtualized network funct

Assurance Appliance 300 can check for issues in the specification of the user's intent or intents (e.g., identify

utilization by the network data (e.g., Longest Prefix Match 312 can detect Leafs 104 and Spines 102 that are part of (LPM) tables, routing tables, VLAN tables, BGP updates, Fabric 120 and publish their corresponding out-of (LPM) tables, routing tables, VLAN tables, BGP updates, Fabric 120 and publish their corresponding out-of-band etc.), Contracts, Logical Groups 118 (e.g., EPGs), Tenants, management network addresses (e.g., IP addresses) Spines 102, Leafs 104, and other dimensions in Network  $60$  Environment 100 and/or objects in MIM 200, to provide a Environment 100 and/or objects in MIM 200, to provide a is published to the downstream services at the conclusion of network operator or user visibility into the utilization of this Topology Explorer's 312 discovery epoch scarce resource. This can greatly help for planning and other or some other specified interval).<br>
In some examples, Topology Explorer 312 can receive as<br>
Endpoint Checks 65 input a list of Controllers 116 (e.g., APIC contr

fabric 120) has no inconsistencies in the Endpoint informa-<br>
Topology Explorer 312 can also receive corresponding

Assurance Appliance 300 can validate that infrastructure routing (e.g., IS-IS protocol) has no convergence issues

106, VMs 110, Hypervisors 108, EPs 122, Leafs 104, <sup>10</sup> leading to black holes, loops, llaps, and other problems.<br>
Controllers 116, or any other system or resource. For example, Assurance Appliance 300 can be a logical ser

Assurance Appliance 300 can poll Fabric 120 at a con-<br>figurable periodicity (e.g., an epoch). The analysis workflow the MIM 200 can be checked by Assurance Appliance 300 the MIM 200 can be checked by Assurance Appliance 300 2 for each interval (e.g., each epoch). unnecessary, stale, unused or redundant configurations, such The north-tier implements API Server (e.g., APACHE as contracts, are removed.

DAG of Operators 310 previously discussed with respect to FIG. 3A

view of Fabric 120 (e.g., Spines 102, Leafs 104, Controllers 116, Endpoints 122, and any other components as well as Security Policy Adherence 40 their interconnections). While various architectural compo-<br>Assurance Appliance 300 can check to make sure the nents are represented in a singular, boxed fashion, it is individual Operators 310 and may include one or more nodes or endpoints, such as one or more servers, VMs,

(e.g., Leafs 104), and report any errors, contract violations, Topology Explorer 312 is configured to discover nodes in or irregularities found. Fabric 120, such as Controllers 116, Leafs 104, Spines 102, Static Policy Analysis<br>Assurance Appliance 300 can check for issues in the ity election performed amongst Controllers 116, and deterspecification of the user's intent or intents (e.g., identify mine whether a quorum exists amongst Controllers 116. If<br>contradictory or conflicting policies in L\_Model 270A). The quorum or majority exists, Topology Explore no quorum or majority exists, Topology Explorer 312 can TCAM Utilization<br>TCAM is a scarce resource in the fabric (e.g., Fabric 120). 55 error exists amongst Controllers 116 that is preventing a TCAM is a scarce resource in the fabric (e.g., Fabric 120). 55 error exists amongst Controllers 116 that is preventing a However, Assurance Appliance 300 can analyze the TCAM quorum or majority from being reached. Topology management network addresses (e.g., IP addresses) to down-<br>stream services. This can be part of the topological view that

Endpoint Checks 65 input a list of Controllers 116 (e.g., APIC controllers) that Assurance Appliance 300 can validate that the fabric (e.g. are associated with the network/fabric (e.g., Fabric 120).

29<br>credentials to login to each controller. Topology Explorer credentials to login to each controller. Topology Explorer from nodes (e.g., Leafs 104 and/or Spines 102) in Fabric 312 can retrieve information from each controller using, for 120, hardware configurations and models (e.g. 312 can retrieve information from each controller using, for 120, hardware configurations and models (e.g., Hi\_Model example, REST calls. Topology Explorer 312 can obtain 276) from nodes (e.g., Leafs 104 and/or Spines 102 example, REST calls. Topology Explorer 312 can obtain 276) from nodes (e.g., Leafs 104 and/or Spines 102) in from each controller a list of nodes (e.g., Leafs 104 and Fabric 120, etc. Unified Collector 314 can collect Ci M Spines 102), and their associated properties, that the con-<br>troller is aware of. Topology Explorer 312 can obtain node troller is aware of . Topology Explorer 312 can obtain node members, such as Leafs 104 and Spines 102, and L\_Model<br>information from Controllers 116 including, without limita-<br> $270 \text{ A}$  and/or LB. Model 270B from one or mo

tively coupled. Topology Explorer 312 can make the deter-<br>
Transfer (REST) Interface and a Secure Shell (SSH) Intermination of a quorum (or identify any failed nodes or controllers) by parsing the data returned from the control-<br>lers, and identifying communicative couplings between their 270A, LR\_Model 270B, and/or Ci\_Model 274 via a REST lers, and identifying communicative couplings between their 270A, LR\_Model 270B, and/or Ci\_Model 274 via a REST constituent nodes. Topology Explorer 312 can identify the 20 API, and the hardware information (e.g., configur type of each node in the network, e.g. spine, leaf, APIC, etc., tables, fabric card information, rules, routes, etc.) via SSH

If no quorum is present, Topology Explorer 312 can command-line interface (CLI) or V trigger an event and alert a user that reconfiguration or  $25$  ing runtime state of the line card. suitable attention is required. If a quorum is present, Topol - Unified Collector 314 can poll other information from ogy Explorer 312 can compile the network topology infor-<br>Controllers 116, including, without limitation: topology<br>mation into a JSON object and pass it downstream to other information, tenant forwarding/routing information mation into a JSON object and pass it downstream to other information, tenant forwarding/routing information, tenant operators or services, such as Unified Collector 314.

Unified Collector 314 can receive the topological view or 30 domain or VMM domain information, OOB odel from Topology Explorer 312 and use the topology management IP's of nodes in the fabric, etc. model from Topology Explorer 312 and use the topology information to collect information for network assurance Unified Collector 314 can also poll information from<br>from Fabric 120. Unified Collector 314 can poll nodes (e.g., nodes (e.g., Leafs 104 and Spines 102) in Fabric 12 Controllers 116, Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc.) in Fabric 120 including without limitation: Ci\_Models 274 for VLANs, to collect information from the nodes. 35 BDs, and security policies; Link Layer Discovery Protocol

Unified Collector 314 can include one or more collectors (LLDP) connectivity information of nodes (e.g., Leafs 104) (e.g., collector devices, operators, applications, VMs, etc.) and/or Spines 102); endpoint information fro (e.g., collector devices, operators, applications, VMs, etc.) and/or Spines 102); endpoint information from EPM/COOP; configured to collect information from Topology Explorer fabric card information from Spines 102; routin configured to collect information from Topology Explorer fabric card information from Spines 102; routing informa-<br>312 and/or nodes in Fabric 120. For example, Unified tion base (RIB) tables from nodes in Fabric 120; forwa Collector 314 can include a cluster of collectors, and each of 40 the collectors can be assigned to a subset of nodes within the the collectors can be assigned to a subset of nodes within the security group hardware tables (e.g., TCAM tables) from topological model and/or Fabric 120 in order to collect nodes in Fabric 120; etc. information from their assigned subset of nodes. For per-<br>
1 some cases, Unified Collector 314 can run in a parallel, state from the network and incorporate runtime state infor-

individual collectors in order to streamline the efficiency of Controllers 116 and generate a comprehensive or network-<br>the overall collection process. Load balancing can be opti- wide logical model (e.g., L\_Model 270A and the overall collection process. Load balancing can be opti-<br>mized by managing the distribution of subsets of nodes to 270B) based on the logical models. Unified Collector 314 collectors, for example by randomly hashing nodes to col- 50 lectors

In some cases, Assurance Appliance 300 can run multiple single L Model 270A and/or LR Model 270B for the entire instances of Unified Collector 314. This can also allow network or fabric. Assurance Appliance 300 to distribute the task of collecting Unified Collector 314 can collect the entire network state data for each node in the topology (e.g., Fabric 120 includ-55 across Controllers 116 and fabric nodes ing Spines 102, Leafs 104, Controllers 116, etc.) via shard-<br>ing and/or Spines 102). For example, Unified Col-<br>ing and/or load balancing, and map collection tasks and/or<br>lector 314 can use a REST interface and an SSH inter ing and/or load balancing, and map collection tasks and/or lector 314 can use a REST interface and an SSH interface to nodes to a particular instance of Unified Collector 314 with collect the network state. This informatio data collection across nodes being performed in parallel by Unified Collector 314 can include data relating to the link various instances of Unified Collector 314. Within a given 60 layer, VLANs, BDs, VRFs, security polici various instances of Unified Collector 314. Within a given 60 node, commands and data collection can be executed serinode, commands and data collection can be executed seri-<br>ally. Assurance Appliance 300 can control the number of previously mentioned. Unified Collector 314 can then pub-

Unified Collector 314 can collect models (e.g., L\_Model 65 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B) from Controllers 116, switch software configurations and models (e.g., Ci\_Model 274)

30

Fabric 120, etc. Unified Collector 314 can collect Ci Model 274 and Hi Model 276 from individual nodes or fabric

face.<br>In some cases, Unified Collector 314 collects L\_Model mormation from Controllers **10** method, and the area of each other and/or LR\_Model 270B from one or more controllers<br>tion, an IP address, a node identifier, a node name, a node<br>tect.<br>Controllers and the devices that Topol

and include this information in the topology information using utilities provided by the switch software, such as generated (e.g., topology map or model). virtual shell (VSH or VSHELL) for accessing the switch virtual shell (VSH or VSHELL) for accessing the switch command-line interface (CLI) or VSH LC shell for access-

security policies, contracts, interface policies, physical domain or VMM domain information, OOB (out-of-band)

tion base (RIB) tables from nodes in Fabric 120; forwarding information base (FIB) tables from nodes in Fabric 120;

formance, Unified Collector 314 can run in a parallel, state from the network and incorporate runtime state informulti-threaded fashion.<br>45 mation into L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B. Unified ulti-threaded fashion. 45 mation into L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B. Unified<br>Unified Collector 314 can perform load balancing across Collector 314 can also obtain multiple logical models from Unified Collector 314 can perform load balancing across Collector 314 can also obtain multiple logical models from individual collectors in order to streamline the efficiency of Controllers 116 and generate a comprehensive 270B) based on the logical models. Unified Collector 314 can compare logical models from Controllers 116, resolve tors.<br>In some cases, Assurance Appliance 300 can run multiple single L Model 270A and/or LR Model 270B for the entire

threads used by each instance of Unified Collector 314 to<br>poll data from Fabric 120.<br>stream operators that are interested in or require such stream operators that are interested in or require such information. Unified Collector 314 can publish information as it is received, such that data is streamed to the down-<br>stream operators.

pressed and sent to downstream services. In some examples, Unified Collector 314 can collect data in an online fashion Unified Collector 314 can collect data in an online fashion mation that may be relevant to downstream operators, such or real-time fashion, and send the data downstream, as it is as Endpoint Checker 322 and Tenant Routing collected, for further analysis. In some examples, Unified 5 Similarly, Routing Parser 326 can receive Ci\_Model 274 and<br>Collector 314 can collect data in an offline fashion and Hi\_Model 276 and parse each model for informa Collector 314 can collect data in an offline fashion, and H<sub>1</sub> Model 276 and parse each model for information for<br>compile the data for later analysis or transmission downstream operators, Endpoint Checker 322 and Tenant compile the data for later analysis or transmission. downstream operators <br>Assurance Anniance 300 can contact Controllors 116 Routing Checker 324.

Assurance Appliance 300 can contact Controllers 116, Routing Checker 324.<br>Refer Ci\_Model 274, Hi\_Model 276, L\_Model 270A

314 and calculate Li\_Model 272 for each network device i FIG. 3C illustrates a schematic diagram of an example (e.g., switch i) in Fabric 120. For example, Switch Logical 20 system for static policy analysis in a network ( Policy Generator 316 can receive L \_Model 270A and/or LR \_Model 270B and generate Li \_Model 272 by projecting LR \_Model 270B and generate Li \_Model 272 by projecting assurance checks to detect configuration violations, logical a logical model for each individual node i (e.g., Spines 102 lint events, contradictory or conflicting po a logical model for each individual node i (e.g., Spines 102 lint events, contradictory or conflicting policies, unused and/or Leafs 104) in Fabric 120. Switch Logical Policy contracts, incomplete configurations, etc. Stat Generator 316 can generate Li\_Model 272 for each switch  $25$  in Fabric 120, thus creating a switch logical model based on in Fabric 120, thus creating a switch logical model based on intents in L\_Model 270A to determine if any configurations L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B for each switch. in Controllers 116 are inconsistent with the specif

LR Model 270B as projected or applied at the respective Static Policy Analyzer 360 can include one or more of the network device i (e.g., switch i) in Fabric 120. In some cases, 30 Operators 310 executed or hosted in Assurance Appliance Li \_Model 272 can be normalized or formatted in a manner 300. However, in other configurations, Static Policy Anatiat is compatible with the respective network device. For lyzer 360 can run one or more operators or engines example, Li\_Model 272 can be formatted in a manner that separate from Operators 310 and/or Assurance Appliance can be read or executed by the respective network device. To 300. For example, Static Policy Analyzer 360 can b illustrate, Li\_Model 272 can included specific identifiers  $35$  a cluster of VMs, or a collection of endpoints in a service (e.g., hardware plane identifiers used by Controllers 116 as function chain. classifiers, etc.) or tags (e.g., policy group tags) that can be Static Policy Analyzer 360 can receive as input L\_Model interpreted by the respective network device. In some cases, 270A from Logical Model Collection Proce interpreted by the respective network device. In some cases, 270A from Logical Model Collection Process 366 and Rules Li\_Model 272 can include JSON objects. For example, 368 defined for each feature (e.g., object) in L\_Mod

consistent with, the format of Ci\_Model 274. For example, any other information for identifying configuration viola-<br>both Li\_Model 272 and Ci\_Model 274 may be based on tions or issues. JSON objects. Similar or matching formats can enable 45 Moreover, Rules 368 can include information for identi-<br>Li Model 272 and Ci Model 274 to be compared for fying syntactic violations or issues. For example, Rules 368 Li \_Model 272 and Ci \_Model 274 to be compared for fying syntactic violations or issues. For example, Rules 368 equivalence or congruence. Such equivalency checks can can include one or more rules for performing syntactic equivalence or congruence. Such equivalency checks can can include one or more rules for performing syntactic aid in network analysis and assurance as further explained checks. Syntactic checks can verify that the configur aid in network analysis and assurance as further explained checks. Syntactic checks can verify that the configuration of herein.<br>L\_Model 270A is complete, and can help identify configu-

perform change analysis and generate lint events or records also verify that the configurations in the hierarchical MIM<br>for problems discovered in L Model 270A and/or 200 are complete (have been defined) and identify any for problems discovered in L\_Model 270A and/or 200 are complete (have been defined) and identify any LR\_Model 270B. The lint events or records can be used to configurations that are defined but not used. To illustrate,

Policy Operator 318 can receive Ci\_Model 274 and 55 Hi\_Model 276 for each switch from Unified Collector 314, Hi Model 276 for each switch from Unified Collector 314, del 270A should specify a provider EPG and a consumer and Li Model 272 for each switch from Switch Logical EPG; every contract in L Model 270A should specify a and Li\_Model 272 for each switch from Switch Logical EPG; every contract in L\_Model 270A should specify a Policy Generator 316, and perform assurance checks and subject, filter, and/or port; etc. analysis (e.g., security adherence checks, TCAM utilization Rules 368 can also include rules for performing semantic analysis, etc.) based on Ci Model 274, Hi Model 276, and 60 checks and identifying semantic violations or analysis, etc.) based on Ci\_Model 274, Hi\_Model 276, and 60 Li\_Model 272. Policy Operator 318 can perform assurance Li\_Model 272. Policy Operator 318 can perform assurance tic checks can check conflicting rules or configurations. For checks on a switch-by-switch basis by comparing one or example, Rule1 and Rule2 can have aliasing issues

Routing Policy Parser 320, and Ci\_Model 274 and Rules 368 can specify that an allow policy for a specific Hi\_Model 276 to Routing Parser 326.

Data collected by Unified Collector 314 can be com-<br>
Routing Policy Parser 320 can receive L\_Model 270A<br>
essed and sent to downstream services. In some examples, and/or LR Model 270B and parse the model(s) for infor-

etc.<br>FIG. 3C illustrates a schematic diagram of an example Spines 102, Leafs 104, and other nodes to collect various After Ci Model 274, Hi Model 270, L Model 270A types of data. In some scenarios, Assurance Appliance 300  $^{10}$  and/or LR\_Model 270B are parsed, Routing Policy Parser<br>may experience a failure (e.g., connectivity problem, hard-<br> $^{320}$  and/or Routing Parser 326 can sen may experience a failure (e.g., connectivity problem, hard<br>ware or software error, etc.) that prevents it from being able<br>to collect data for a period of time. Assurance Appliance 300<br>checker 322 and Tenant Routing Checker

contracts, incomplete configurations, etc. Static Policy Ana-<br>lyzer 360 can check the specification of the user's intent or Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B for each switch. in Controllers 116 are inconsistent with the specification of Each Li\_Model 272 can represent L\_Model 270A and/or the user's intent or intents.

lyzer 360 can run one or more operators or engines that are separate from Operators 310 and/or Assurance Appliance

Li\_Model 272 can include JSON objects to represent rules, 40 Rules 368 can be based on objects, relationships, definitions, filters, entries, scopes, etc.<br>
The format used for Li Model 272 can be the same as, or 368 can sp The format used for Li\_Model 272 can be the same as, or 368 can specify conditions, relationships, parameters, and/or consistent with, the format of Ci\_Model 274. For example, any other information for identifying configur

L\_Model 270A is complete, and can help identify configurations or rules that are not being used. Syntactic checks can Switch Logical Configuration Generator 316 can also 50 rations or rules that are not being used. Syntactic checks can rform change analysis and generate lint events or records also verify that the configurations in the hie generate alerts for a user or network operator.<br>
Rules 368 can specify that every tenant in L\_Model 270A<br>
Policy Operator 318 can receive Ci\_Model 274 and 55 should have a context configured; every contract in L\_Mo-

example, Rule1 and Rule2 can have aliasing issues, Rule1 more of the models.<br>Returning to Unified Collector 314, Unified Collector 314 issues, etc. Rules 368 can define conditions which may Returning to Unified Collector 314, Unified Collector 314 issues, etc. Rules 368 can define conditions which may can also send L\_Model 270A and/or LR\_Model 270B to 65 result in aliased rules, conflicting rules, etc. To ill

tion Violation Events 370 can include semantic or semantic Data collection can also include data for the concrete, problems, such as incomplete configurations, conflicting 10 hardware model, such as network configuration ( policy violations, misconfigured objects, incomplete con-<br>figurations, incorrect contract scopes, improper object rela-<br>ECMP tables, etc.), endpoint dynamics (e.g., EPM, COOP<br>tionships, etc.<br>EP DB, etc.), statistics (e.g.,

traverse each node in a tree generated based on L\_Model At step 502, the method can involve formal modeling and 270A and/or MIM 200, and apply Rules 368 at each node in analysis. Formal modeling and analysis can involve de 270A and/or MIM 200, and apply Rules 368 at each node in analysis. Formal modeling and analysis can involve deter-<br>the tree to determine if any nodes yield a violation (e.g., mining equivalency between logical and hardware incomplete configuration, improper configuration, unused<br>configuration, etc.). Static Policy Analyzer 360 can output 20<br>Configuration involve smart event genera-<br>Configuration Violation Events 370 when it detects any<br>confi

platform 434 can run the assurance operator 402 on each 25 models can be used to identify problems for analysis and Leaf 104 to generate and emit fault codes from the Leafs debugging, in a user-friendly GUI.<br>
104. In some cases, the assurance operator 402 can be, for FIG. 5B illustrates an example method for fault code example, one or m example, one or more operators from the operators 310 aggregation. At step 520, the assurance operators 402 obtain illustrated in FIG. 3A. The fault codes can represent errors, respective fault codes corresponding to one o such as hardware errors. The assurance operators 402 can 30 send the raw faults 404 to the logical policy enrichers 406.

identifiers (e.g., scope, pcTag, etc.) to the logical policy cal policy entities defined in a logical policy model of the entity defined in the fabric configuration (e.g., ACI fabric network, to yield fault code mappings. configuration). For example, the logical policy enrichers 406 35 model can be a model of the fabric and/or network generated can map the hardware identifiers to particular tenants, EPGs, based on the SDN or ACI configurations.<br>application profiles (APs), contracts, etc. The logical policy At step 524, the aggregators 418, 420, 422, 424 aggregate 408 can be transmitted to the aggregators as pairs such as sions. At step 526, the network assurance platform 434 key and tag pairs. Each key can represent a specific dimen-<br>key and tag pairs. Each key can represent a spec key and tag pairs. Each key can represent a specific dimen-<br>sion, such as a tenant, a contract, an application profile, and<br>sions, one or more hardware-level errors along the respecsion, such as a tenant, a contract, an application profile, and EPG pair, etc.

aggregation layer. In some cases, the aggregators  $418$ ,  $420$ , interface data for presenting the one or more hardware-level  $422$ ,  $424$  can be specifically set to aggregate along a pre-<br>errors along the respective logic 422, 424 can be specifically set to aggregate along a pre-<br>determined dimension, such as tenant (e.g., aggregator 418), data can be based on the aggregation of fault codes across contract (e.g., aggregator 420), application profile (e.g., the respective logical policy dimensions.<br>aggregator 422), EPG pair (e.g., aggregator 424), etc. The 50 The disclosed technology addresses the need in the art for aggregators 418, 420, 422, 424 can generate faults along a assuring tenant forwarding in a network environment. The specific dimension, such as faults by tenant 410, faults by present technology involves system, methods, a specific dimension, such as faults by tenant 410, faults by contract 412, faults by application profile 414, faults by EPG

and/or store visualization data for specific dimensions. For readable media for network assurance for internal-internal example, the network assurance platform  $434$  can maintain (e.g., inter-fabric) forwarding and intern tenant error visualization 426, contract error visualization outside the fabric) forwarding in the networked environ-<br>428, application profile error visualization 430, EPG pair ment. In some examples, the network assurance error visualization  $432$ , and so forth. The visualizations can 60 provide hardware-level visibility of errors along specific dimensions in an SDN, such as an ACI network. Moreover, configurations and/or hardware configurations.<br>the tenant error visualization 426, contract error visualiza-<br>to As discussed above, certain embodiments are directed t pair error visualization 432 can be stored in one or more 65 respective storage locations, such as databases or storage respective storage locations, such as databases or storage Spines 102 with Fabric 120. Embodiments disclosed herein<br>servers. include the creation and dissemination of five levels of

deny policy for the same communication between two FIG 5A illustrates an example flowchart for a network objects if the allow policy has a higher priority than the deny assurance model. At step 500, the method involves dat objects if the allow policy has a higher priority than the deny assurance model. At step 500, the method involves data policy, or a rule for an object renders another rule unnec-<br>collection. Data collection can include col collection. Data collection can include collection of data for essary. operator intent, such as fabric data (e.g., topology, switch,<br>Static Policy Analyzer 360 can apply Rules 368 to L\_Mo- 5 interface policies, application policies, endpoint groups,<br>del 270A to check configurations in In some cases, Static Policy Analyzer 360 can iteratively 15 counters, bandwidth, etc.).

violations.<br>
FIG. 4 illustrates an example configuration 400 for a<br>
network assurance platform 434. The network assurance<br>
At step 506, the method can involve visualization. Formal<br>
At step 506, the method can involve visu

respective fault codes corresponding to one or more network devices in a network (e.g., Leafs 104). At step 522, the nd the raw faults 404 to the logical policy enrichers 406. logical policy enrichers 406 map the one or more network<br>The logical policy enrichers 406 can map the hardware devices and/or the respective fault codes to respect

gation of fault codes across respective logical policy dimen-<sup>2</sup>G pair, etc.<br>The aggregators 418, 420, 422, 424 can represent an 45 assurance platform 434 can generate visualization data or assurance platform 434 can generate visualization data or data can be based on the aggregation of fault codes across

puter-readable media for network assurance in layer 1, layer pair 416, etc.<br>The network assurance platform 434 can then generate 55 technology also involves system, methods, and computer-<br>and/or store visualization data for specific dimensions. For readable media for network assuran ment. In some examples, the network assurances can be in non-fabric networked environments. The network assurance can be performed using logical configurations, software configurations and/or hardware configurations.

> the creation of policies that will define how Endpoints 122 can communicate with each other via the Leafs 104 and the include the creation and dissemination of five levels of

instruction information discussed above with respect to responsible for transmitting data with subnet  $10.1.1^*$ ) can FIGS. 2D that will configure Leafs  $104$  and Spines  $102$  to receive the L\_Model 270A/B configuration r facilitate that communication. Each level can include a<br>change in content and/or format, although this need not<br>and routing tables (L3), VLAN tables (L2), interfaces (L1)<br>always be the case.<br>S and endpoint table (L2 and L3

ant Configuration 740 (e.g., an L\_Model 270A configuration and a destination on hove  $V_{\text{B}}$  configuration in the PD1 can be respect to be respectively. tion) can have VRF1 configured with BD1. BD1 can have  $(e.g., Leat 3)$ .<br>
one or more configured whost  $(e.g., 10.1 * 100.2 * etc.)$  <sup>10</sup> In some examples, the LPM table can be part of the one or more configured subnets (e.g., 10.1.\*, 100.2.\*, etc.).<br>Subnets can be designated either private or public. In some forwarding table. The LPM table can specify one or more a Server 746. For example, Web Server 746 can provide, via interfaces, and L3 loopbacks. Populated LPM tables in FIG.<br>subnet 100.2.\*, access to one or more web services (to 7 include tables 742, 748, 1802 and 1806, which are

In order for communication to and from Web Server 746 (e.g., Longest Prefix match (LPM) Table). For example, L3 to be facilitated, Tenant Configuration 740 must be sent from Table 742 can reside on Leaf 2 and L3 Table 748 to be facilitated, Tenant Configuration 740 must be sent from Table 742 can reside on Leaf 2 and L3 Table 748 can reside<br>Controller 116 to the Leafs 104 and Spines 102 of Fabric on Leaf N. The L3 tables can include a listi 120. Public subnet 100.2.\*, must also be leaked to an next hops. For example, when a tenant of Leaf N requests to external device  $(e.g.,$  Network Device 114) from a border 25 access Web Server 746, Leaf N can look up in L3 external device (e.g., Network Device 114) from a border 25 access Web Server 746, Leaf N can look up in L3 Table 748 leaf (e.g., 100.2.\*) Fabric 120, the external network device (e.g., Network and determine the next hop (e.g., Leaf 2). Accordingly, Leaf Device 114) must leak subnets (e.g., 175.\*) to the border leaf N can direct the packets from the tenant, t Device 114) must leak subnets (e.g., 175.\*) to the border leaf N can direct t (e.g., Leaf N). The leaked external subnets (e.g., learned of the Spines. routes) can then be propagated to the L3 tables of the Leafs 30 In other examples, devices outside Fabric 120 can request

multiple instances of a routing table to coexist on the same  $100.2$ .\*) to the external network device (e.g., Network router (e.g., Leafs 104 and Spines 102, etc.) at the same time. Device 114). The external network device router (e.g., Leafs 104 and Spines 102, etc.) at the same time. Device 114). The external network device can maintain Since the routing instances are independent, the same or 35 routing and forwarding tables (e.g., Table 7 Since the routing instances are independent, the same or 35 overlapping IP addresses can be used across VRFs without leaked subnet information is stored. When a device outside<br>Fabric 120 requests access to Web Server 120. Network

the fabric and can enable bidirectional flow of traffic Table 744. The request will then be routed to the border leaf between a Layer 2 bridged network and Layer 3 routed 40 (e.g., Leaf N). The request can then be routed network traffic. Each BD can reside in a VRF. Each BD can<br>also define one or more subnets. IP address in the defined<br>also define one or more subnets. IP address in the defined<br>also define the section of the specified BD.<br>d

a named logical entity and contains a collection of end-45 points. Endpoints can be devices that are connected to the network directly or indirectly (e.g., servers, virtual machines, network-attached storage, etc.). Each EPG can be assigned to a BD. EPGs can have an address (e.g., identity), learned route is leaked from Network Device 114 to Border a location, attributes (e.g., version or patch level), and can be 50 Leaf N, which is then propagated t a location, attributes (e.g., version or patch level), and can be 50 physical or virtual. Endpoint membership in an EPG can be

communication (e.g., outside the fabric and/or network Networked Environment 700 can also include routing and environment). L3out can be bound to a VRF. L2out can be 55 forwarding through security contracts (e.g., 750) bet environment). L3out can be bound to a VRF. L2out can be 55 forwarding through security contracts (e.g., 750) between a construct to extend the Layer 2 for external communica-<br>logical groups, Layer 3 loopbacks and Layer 3 i

Controller 116, once configured, can push the L\_Model The above instructions, and other instructions, are 04/B to the Leafs 104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120. 60 deployed by the Controllers 116 as L\_Models 270A/B and 270A/B to the Leafs 104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120.  $\epsilon$  of the Southers 126 as L Model 270A/B can be pushed to all In some cases, the L\_Model 270A/B can be pushed to all executed by the conversion into Ci\_Model 274 and Leafs 104 and Spines 102. portions of the L\_Model 270A/B can be pushed to the Leafs<br>104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120 that are responsible for ation and propagation of the L, C and H models, and related 104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120 that are responsible for ation and propagation of the L, C and H models, and related routing and forwarding data that are consistent with the 65 content, have been properly programmed, routing and forwarding data that are consistent with the 65 L\_Model 270A/B. For example, when BD1 defines subnet

and endpoint table ( $L2$  and  $L3$ ) at Leafs 104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120. For example, when BD1 defines subnet By way of non-limiting example,  $FIG. 7$  illustrates of the Fabric 120. For example, when BD1 defines subnet an entry for the subnet example configurations in networked environment 700. Ten  $10.11^{\circ}$ , the LPM table can populate an entry for the subnet ant Configuration 740 (e.g., an I. Model 270A configuration and a destination to which incoming pack

Subnets can be designated either private or public. In some forwarding table. The LPM table can specify one or more a<br>examples, subnets can have a default designation of private.<br>In this example, subnet 100.2.\* has been m

devices) within fabric 120 and outside of fabric 120 via Ci\_Models.<br>Network Device 114. <br>In order for communication to and from Web Server 746 (e.g., Longest Prefix match (LPM) Table). For example, L3 on Leaf N. The L3 tables can include a listing of subnets and next hops. For example, when a tenant of Leaf N requests to

104 and Spines 102 of the Fabric 120. access to public Web Server 746. In these examples, the VRFs can operate in the Layer 3 domain and can enable border leaf (e.g., Leaf N) can leak the public subnet (e.g., mflict.<br>
Fabric 120 requests access to Web Server 120, Network<br>
BDs can operate as a Layer 2 forwarding construct within Device 114 can determine where to forward the request by BDs can operate as a Layer 2 forwarding construct within Device 114 can determine where to forward the request by the fabric and can enable bidirectional flow of traffic Table 744. The request will then be routed to the bo (e.g., Leaf N). The request can then be routed to the proper

bnets can belong to the specified BD.<br>A Logical Group (EPG) can be a managed object that is which the external network is connected). For example, a which the external network is connected). For example, a tenant  $(e.g., EndPoint 4)$  can request access to devices external to Fabric 120, such as subnet  $175.*$  . L3 Table 742 can determine that the next hop in accessing the external device is via Leaf N (e.g., subnet 175.\*→Leaf N). This learned route is leaked from Network Device 114 to Border spines of the fabric as needed. Border Leaf N can then route dynamic or static. Subnets can also be defined at the EPG. the request external to the fabric via next hop Network<br>L3out can be a construct to extend Layer 3 for external Device 114 (e.g., from L3 Table 748).

tion (e.g., outside the fabric and/or network environment). While not shown, the L3 tables also include the Layer 3 L2out can be bound to a BD. <br>
loopback and Layer 3 interfaces.

L\_Model 270A/B. For example, when BD1 defines subnet etc. By way of non-limiting example, single level checks can 10.1.1.\*, the Leafs 104 that are configured to that BD (e.g., be performed at the logical, software and/or h be performed at the logical, software and/or hardware mod37<br>els by verifying the consistency of the models. Checks can also be performed by checking whether models were prop-<br>exponents due to cross-VRF contracts. This check can read the<br>erly converted into other levels, e.g., whether the Leafs 104 BD (subnets and VRF associated), EPG (subn erly converted into other levels, e.g., whether the Leafs 104 BD (subnets and VRF associated), EPG (subnets and BD and Spines 102 properly converted the L\_Model 270A/B association), and Contract information from the L-mode into Ci\_Model 274 (logical to concrete checks) and 5 Within the set of Contracts the check can search for a Ci\_Model 274 into Hi\_Model 276 (concrete to hardware Contract satisfying the cross VRF property as follows: VRF Ci\_Model 274 into Hi\_Model 276 (concrete to hardware Contract satisfying the cross VRF property as follows: VRF checks).<br>
of BD of provider EPG of contract, namely provider VRF,

ance 300. Appliance 300 can poll devices (e.g., Spines 102, consumer VRF are not the same VRFs. When such a Leafs 104, Controller 116) of the networked environment 10 contract is found, the check determines the consumer su Leafs 104, Controller 116) of the networked environment 10 contract is found, the check determines the consumer sub-<br>(e.g., 100, Fabric 120) for the resident L, H and C Model nets (EPG subnets as well as consumer EPG's BD configurations. For example, the application can poll Con- and provider subnets (EPG subnets). The checks may fail trollers 116 for L\_Model 270A/B configurations and Leaf when any of the consumer subnets overlaps with any of the 104 and Spines 102 for Ci\_Model 274 and Hi\_Model 276 provider subnets. configurations. After receiving one or more L, C and H 15 Similarly, one or more C\_Model 624 configurations (e.g., Model configurations from the one or devices (e.g., Spines the Ci\_Models 274 from the reporting Leafs 104 a 102, Leafs 104, Controller 116), Appliance 300 can perform 102) can be received as an input and Checker 620 can one or more verifications using Checker 620 shown in FIG. perform consistency checks on any and all C\_Model 62 one or more verifications using Checker 620 shown in FIG. perform consistency checks on any and all C\_Model 624 6, which can operate in the manner discussed with respect to configurations. Non-limiting examples of consiste FIG. 3B. It is to be understood that activity undertaken by 20 checks, and how they are preformed, are as follows:<br>one of Appliance 300 or Checker 620 can be undertaken by A non-limiting example of a Layer 1 check is Virtu one of Appliance  $300$  or Checker  $620$  can be undertaken by the other.

Checker 620 can receive as input one or more configurations some interfaces on one leaf are in VPC mode, but no (e.g., received at Appliance 300 through polling via Unified 25 interfaces on the VPC peer leaf are in VPC mod Collector 314), as well as other inputs as may be provided A non-limiting example of a Layer 2 check is EPG VLAN<br>by Network 100 and/or Fabric 120. In some examples, one (FD-VLAN) and BD VLAN (BD-VLAN) relationship conmore L\_Model 622 configurations (e.g., L-Model 270A/B) sistency. The check can read the VLAN table information of as provided by Controller 116 can be received as input. a Leaf. The check can also search an FD-VLAN, such t as provided by Controller 116 can be received as input. a Leaf. The check can also search an FD-VLAN, such that Similarly, reporting Leafs 104 and Spines 102 provide, and 30 its parent BD-VLAN does not include in the list Similarly, reporting Leafs 104 and Spines 102 provide, and 30 Checker 620 receives as input, one or more C Model 624 Checker 620 receives as input, one or more C\_Model 624 children—if found, however, the check may fail. In other configurations (e.g., Ci\_Model 274) and one or more examples, the check may fail if an identified FD-VLAN doe configurations (e.g., Ci\_Model 274) and one or more examples, the check may fail if an identified FD-VLAN does  $H_M$ Model 626 configurations (e.g., Ci\_Model 276). Other not indicate the BD-VLAN as the parent. data from components of the Fabric 120 and/or Network 100 A non-limiting example of a Layer 3 check is Learned may also be received at Checker 620.

which a particular received model **622/624/626** is checked to<br>confirm that the intent of the operator as originally pro-<br>but the route is not present on all of the other leafs where the confirm that the intent of the operator as originally programmed is represented and properly configured in the model. For example, Checker 620 can perform consistency  $40$  fail when the next hops of the checks on the L\_Model configuration 622. For example, not contain the border leafs. performing syntactic analysis (e.g., configuration, setup, There is no specific need for a separate H\_Model consistypographical, etc.) on the L\_Model configuration 622. The tency check, as this would be encompassed by the typographical, etc.) on the L\_Model configuration 622. The consistency checks can determine if the intent of the operator, when creating the configuration, is represented in the 45 L\_Model configuration  $622$ .

L\_Model configuration 622 is EPG deployed on an 'admin an H\_Model consistency check consistent with the method-<br>down' interface. This check can read the EPG deployment ologies discussed herein. information and Interface Admin status from the L-Model. 50 Another type of check is a model-to-model check. Such a<br>The EPG deployment information can identify the Leaf and check confirms that the Leafs 104 and Spines 102 interface where the EPG is physically deployed, and if converted an L\_Model 270A/B into a Ci properly deployed would match the Leaf and interface in the a Ci \_Model 274 into an Ci \_Model 276. Interface Admin Status information. If the status is found to For an L-to-C model ("L-C") check, a specific Leaf or be 'admin down' the check may fail. <br>55 Spine provides a Ci\_Model as C\_Model 624 and Controller

encap VLANs on a switch. This check can read the EPG whether the specific Ci\_Model was properly generated from deployment information and Interface Port Policies from the L\_Model 622. Checker 620 first takes the L\_Model 62 deployment information and Interface Port Policies from the the L\_Model 622. Checker 620 first takes the L\_Model 622 L-Model for that L-Model for that EPG deployments can be grouped on a leaf by leaf basis. 60 particular Leaf or Spine; this can be performed by Switch Within each such (leaf) group, the check can look for two<br>Logical Policy Generator 316 as described with Within each such (leaf) group, the check can look for two distinct EPGs having the same encap VLAN. When such a distinct EPGs having the same encap VLAN. When such a FIG. 3B, for which the corresponding generated Li\_Model pair is found, the check may fail if the pair are on the same is shown in FIG. 3 as Li. Since the particular Ci\_ pair is found, the check may fail if the pair are on the same is shown in FIG. 3 as Li. Since the particular Ci\_Model and interface. In other examples, the check can search interfaces the corresponding generated Li Model a on which the matching EPG pair for Interface Port Policies 65 information gathered from the L-model. The check may fail

 $\frac{38}{36}$  A non-limiting example of a Layer 3 check is overlapping Network assurance can be verified by Assurance Appli-<br>
ce 300. Appliance 300 can poll devices (e.g., Spines 102, consumer VRF are not the same VRFs. When such a

configurations. Non-limiting examples of consistency

e other.<br>Channel (VPC) consistency. The check can read the VPC<br>Checker 620 can be a component of Appliance 300. state information from each Leaf. The check may fail if Checker 620 can be a component of Appliance 300. state information from each Leaf. The check may fail if Checker 620 can receive as input one or more configurations some interfaces on one leaf are in VPC mode, but no

(FD-VLAN) and BD VLAN (BD-VLAN) relationship consistency. The check can read the VLAN table information of

ay also be received at Checker 620. 35 route distribution and next hop consistency. The check can<br>One type of check is a single level consistency check, in read the LPM table information of all Leafs. The check may L3out's VRF is deployed. In other examples, the check may fail when the next hops of the route on non-border leafs does

tency check of the corresponding C\_Model; any absence of issues in the C\_Model checks by extension represents a lack Model configuration 622.<br>
A non-limiting example of a Layer 1 consistency check on application is not so limited, and Checker 620 can perform A non-limiting example of a Layer 1 consistency check on application is not so limited, and Checker 620 can perform L\_Model consistency check consistent with the method-<br>L\_Model consistency check consistent with the method

check confirms that the Leafs 104 and Spines 102 properly converted an L Model 270A/B into a Ci Model 274, and/or

<sup>t</sup> admin down' the check may fail.  $\frac{55 \text{ Spine provides a Ci Model as C Model } 624 \text{ and Controller A non-limiting example of a Layer 2 check is overlapping. 116 provides an L Model 622. Checker 620 can determine$ A non-limiting example of a Layer 2 check is overlapping 116 provides an L\_Model 622. Checker 620 can determine encap VLANs on a switch. This check can read the EPG whether the specific Ci\_Model was properly generated from and generates the corresponding local Li\_Model for that particular Leaf or Spine; this can be performed by Switch the corresponding generated Li\_Model are in different formats, checker 620 converts one or both into the same format information gathered from the L-model. The check may fail ("common format"). This format may be the native format if the VLAN scope of both the ports is marked as global. of the Li\_Model or the Ci\_Model (in which case only of the Li\_Model or the Ci\_Model (in which case only one of the models would need to undergo format conversion), but the method. Additionally, while the example method is can be a format different from both. Non-limiting examples illustrated with a particular order of sequences, th can be a format different from both. Non-limiting examples illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of of the common format is JSON objects, flattened list, strings, ordinary skill in the art will appreciate

different content than the Li\_Model 272 from which it was closure and can include fewer or more sequences than based, such that there will be overlapping fields of content illustrated. between the models. The content difference reflects in part Each sequence shown in FIG. 9 represents one or more that Li\_Model represents instructions of operator intent and processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the Ci\_Model represents actual implementation of that 10 example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 9 can be the Ci\_Model represents actual implementation of that 10 intent, and thus the Ci\_Model can include content regarding intent, and thus the Ci\_Model can include content regarding implemented by an application in a network environment actual implementation. Checker 620 can extract (at least such as network environment 100 shown in FIG. 1A a some of the) content from the overlapping fields from the The application can be present on Appliance 300 and/or common format version of the Ci\_Model and the corre-<br>Checker 620, although the application is not so limited. sponding generated Li\_Model and compares them relative to 15 At sequence 910, the various models (e.g., L\_Model, each other. If the extracted content from the overlapping C\_Model, and/or H\_Model) to be checked are received fields matches, then the content was properly incorporated example, each Controller 116 in the Fabric 120 can send to when the corresponding Ci\_Model was created. If the the application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300 and/o when the corresponding Ci\_Model was created. If the the application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300 and/or extracted content from the overlapping fields do not match, Checker 620) one or more L\_Models 270A/B, and each Leaf extracted content from the overlapping fields do not match, Checker 620) one or more L\_Models 270A/B, and each Leaf then an error is generated, for example, an error that pre- 20 104 and Spine 102 sends its corresponding C vented the proper creation or population of the correspond-<br>and Ci\_Model 276. In another example, some but not all of ing Ci\_Model. The error could have occurred in a number of the L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or H\_Model configurations are different ways, for example, in the L-to-C conversion, or that provided and/or received. Those received mod the Ci \_Model is outdated (perhaps the updated L \_Model include information content, at least never having been received or converted at all); the appli- 25 subject to the validation process.

Spine provides its specific Ci Model 624 and Hi Model 626.<br>Checker 620 can determine whether the Hi Model was properly generated from the Ci\_Model. Since the particular 30 Ci\_Model and the Hi\_Model are in different formats,  $(e.g., that the configuration was original entered in by the checker 620 can convert one or both into a common format. operator).$ This format may be the native format of the Hi\_Model or the At sequence 930, the application can validate the received Ci Model (in which case only one of the models would need information by comparing content from relevan to undergo format conversion), but can be a format different 35 from both. The common format of the C-H level check may from both. The common format of the C-H level check may match in content represents that, at least for that content, the be the same or different from the format used in the L-C originating Leaf 104 or Spine 102 properly c be the same or different from the format used in the L-C originating Leaf 104 or Spine 102 properly converted one<br>level checks. Non-limiting examples of the common format level of model into the next level of model. For ex level checks. Non-limiting examples of the common format level of model into the next level of model. For example, the is JSON objects, flattened list, strings, XML, common table, logical model (e.g., L\_Model) received fro is JSON objects, flattened list, strings, XML, common table, logical model (e.g., L\_Model) received from the controller etc.

As discussed above, a particular Ci\_Model 274 may have C\_Model). A discrepancy indicates some error in relation to different content than the corresponding Hi\_Model 276 from the deployment or creation of the models. which it was based, such that there will be overlapping fields At sequence 940, an event is generated. For example, of content between the models information. Checker 620 when the validations are consistent the application can extract (at least some of) the content from the overlap-45 ping fields from the common format version of the Ci\_Model 624 and the corresponding Hi\_Model 626 and compares them relative to each other. If the extracted content compares them relative to each other. If the extracted content can at least be determined based on which validation is from the overlapping fields matches, then the content was inconsistent and which device had the inconsi properly incorporated when the corresponding Hi\_Model 50 production device, test device, access point, border leaf, was created. If the extracted content from the overlapping etc.). fields does not match, then some error prevented the proper The above methodology reflects the overall steps for creation of the corresponding Hi\_Model. The error could model-to-model checks. Individual types of model-to-m creation of the corresponding Hi\_Model. The error could model-to-model checks. Individual types of model-to-model have occurred in a number of different ways, for example, in checks may include additional steps, modify cer the C-to-H conversion, or that the Hi\_Model is outdated 55 omit certain steps. Non-limiting examples of s (perhaps the Leaf 104 simply never performed the conver-<br>checks are set forth in other sections herein. sion in the first place); the application is not limited to the In the above embodiments, the local Li\_Model 272 is not nature of the error.

an informational event 628. In response to one or more However, the application is not so limited, and a local<br>discrepancies Checker 620 can output an error event 628 Li\_Model 272 can be an input to Checker 620 and checked discrepancies Checker 620 can output an error event 628 Li\_Model 272 can be an input to Checker 620 and checked (e.g., of varying severity depending on the discrepancy). consistent with the methodologies discussed above.

FIG. 9 illustrates an example flowchart for an example In the above embodiments, there is no need for a separate twork assurance of model-to-model checks of a network 65 L-to-H model ("L-H") check, as this would be encompa network assurance of model-to-model checks of a network 65 environment. The method shown in FIG. 9 is provided by

ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 9 and the XML, common table, etc.<br>As discussed above, a particular Ci\_Model 274 may have 5 accomplishes the technical advantages of the present dis-As discussed above, a particular Ci\_Model 274 may have 5 accomplishes the technical advantages of the present disdifferent content than the Li\_Model 272 from which it was closure and can include fewer or more sequences tha

provided and/or received. Those received models will include information content, at least some of which will be

cation is not limited to the nature of the error. At sequence 920, the application can convert two received<br>For a C-to-H model ("C-H") check, a specific Leaf or models into a common format (i.e., one model is converted models into a common format (i.e., one model is converted into the format of the other so they are the same, or both models are converted into the same new format). In some examples, the common format can be the common model

information by comparing content from relevant overlapping fields in the common format of the two models. A

pro or more of the validations are not consistent the application can generate an error event. The severity of the error event

checks may include additional steps, modify certain steps, or omit certain steps. Non-limiting examples of such individual

ture of the error.<br>In response to no discrepancies in the consistency or and/or level checks that include the L\_Model 270A/B would In response to no discrepancies in the consistency or and/or level checks that include the L\_Model 270A/B would model-to-model conversion check, Checker 620 can output 60 encompass any specific checks on local Li\_Model 272

environment. The method shown in FIG. 9 is provided by by the combined results in the L-C and C-H checks; the way of example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out absence of discrepancies in the L-C and C-H checks b absence of discrepancies in the L-C and C-H checks by

extension represents a lack of discrepancies between L and that another type of discrepancy. The application is not so limited, and Checker limited to the manner in which Checker 620 evaluates the H. However, the application is not so limited, and Checker limited to the manner in which Checker 620 evaluates the 620 can perform an L-H level check consistent with the existence, nature or impact of discrepancies.

fields have matches or discrepancies. To the extent that As shown above, FIG . 6 also illustrates the generation of certain specific topic checks are of interest the check can an Event 628. Events can be generated in respo certain specific topic checks are of interest, the check can an Event 628. Events can be generated in response to simply consult the resulting overall comparison to examine Checker 620 performing a check on one or more inp the relevant fields. By way of a non-limiting example, the L  $_{20}$  622, 624, 626, 630). Events can be informational events and and the C level may have 50 overlapping fields of content, error events. Informational events but a first particular check may only require consideration of to no inconsistencies or discrepancies found during the three (3) of them, while a second particular check only check of the inputs. The information event can three (3) of them, while a second particular check only requires consideration of two  $(2)$  of them. Each topic specific check can consult the results of the comparison search 25 for the needed specific fields. In this way, the comparison for the needed specific fields. In this way, the comparison can be stored in a memory/database accessible by Appliance can precede the request for a topic specific check. **300**.

example, as discussed in more detail with respect to indi-30 effect (and particularly the failure) of prior configurations vidual checks below, some checks are targeted to specific and checks of those configurations can be stored and information with respect to a specific topic. In such cases, referred to. Before changes are made to the Fabric information with respect to a specific topic. In such cases, referred to. Before changes are made to the Fabric 120 or the comparison may not include or consider all overlapping networked environment with a newly proposed the comparison may not include or consider all overlapping networked environment with a newly proposed configura-<br>fields, and would instead be limited to the overlapping fields tion, the system can consult with the histori of interest. By way of non-limiting example, a Layer 2 35 instances of the same or similar configurations and any specific check may only compare content of overlapping resulting positive or adverse effects of the same. Th fields in specific to Layer 2 operations. The types of infor-<br>mation can thus predict if the newly proposed configuration will<br>mation of interest relative to a particular check can be<br>adversely affect the network based on predefined in the application (e.g., 300), although the appli-<br>cions that are the same or similar in nature. Similarly, the<br>cation is not so limited. In this way, the request for a topic 40 historical events can also be us cation is not so limited. In this way, the request for a topic 40 specific check can precede the comparison, and the com-<br>parison can be limited only to the topics of interest.<br>The error events can be generated in response to incon-<br>Topic specific checks may be self-contained or overlap.

Specifically, as discussed above different topic checks may inputs (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, H\_Model, etc.). The error examine different overlapping fields within the models. 45 events can have different levels of severity, Some of these fields may be common to different checks. By warning, minor, major, critical, etc. A warning severity can way of a non-limiting example and as discussed below. be a potential problem in the future, such as, n way of a non-limiting example and as discussed below, Layer 2 checks for both BD deployment and an EPG Layer 2 checks for both BD deployment and an EPG practices, or events that are of interest to the operator. A deployment, both of which examine the information about minor severity can be a low impact problem, for example, deployment, both of which example the information about<br>the VLAN; thus two different checks include consideration 50 does not affect production devices, operation not affected,<br>of the similar content. If the checks are sel would be checked independently in both the BD deployment serious failure that usually prevents normal operation of and an EPG deployment check. In the other examples, if a 55 devices and requires an immediate fix, for exam and an EPG deployment check. In the other examples, if a 55 devices and requires an immediate fix, common field has already by examined by a prior check, of border leaf, production devices, etc. then a subsequent check can rely upon those results rather <br>than conduction devices the a mnemonic (event code), a<br>than conduct an independent examination; thus if the BD description of the error, remediation steps, affect check shows that the VLAN information is as expected, then (e.g., VRF), secondary affected objects (e.g., BD and EPG a subsequent EPG check can adopt those results rather than 60 linked to affected VRF), severity level, nu

In the above embodiments, the results of the check are secondary affected object can be checked for errors. For either a match or a discrepancy. However, the application is example, when a VRF has an issue and an error eve either a match or a discrepancy. However, the application is example, when a VRF has an issue and an error event is not so limited. There can be a predefined range of acceptable generated, the secondary objects of the VRF variation between compared content as to be acceptable or  $\epsilon$  EPGs, etc.) can be checked for errors. In some examples, the otherwise consistent with expectations. There could be some error might be generated at a higher

methodologies discussed above. Although the above checks are discussed with respect to<br>Consistency checks may be universal to an entire model, 5 specific Leafs 104 and Spines 102, it is to be understood that<br>in that all co in that all content of the model is checked. The other these checks can be performed on all or some Leafs 104 and<br>examples, only some content of the model may be checked,<br>and in particular topic specific content. By way of

error events. Informational events are generated in response<br>to no inconsistencies or discrepancies found during the check performed, the devices checks, the time checked, the result of the check, etc. The informational (and error) events

In other examples, some content of the models may be<br>compared, and in particular topic specific content. For manner. By way of non-limiting example, the cause and tion, the system can consult with the historical data for instances of the same or similar configurations and any

Topic specific checks may be self-contained or overlap. sistencies or discrepancies found during the check of the Specifically, as discussed above different topic checks may inputs (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, H\_Model, etc.).

again/separately examine the VLAN information. etc. When an error has occurred with an affected object, each<br>In the above embodiments, the results of the check are secondary affected object can be checked for errors. For otherwise consistent with expectations. There could be some error might be generated at a higher level (e.g., VRF), but the content for which a particular discrepancy is less important cause of the error could be from a lo cause of the error could be from a lower level (e.g., BD,

the actual cause of the error, remediation can be more and Layer 3 (bridged and routed) connectivity, virtual<br>efficient and effective.

ted to the operator. In other examples, one or more of the 5 devices in the network environment or fabric can automati-

define in the L Model 270A instructions as to particular which checker 620 checks to confirm that the switch profile<br>Leafs 104 or Spines 102 regarding Layer 1 operability. This 382 and interface profile 384 are present, po can include whether a physical link (e.g., port) is set to active 15 or down, a software link (e.g., port) is active or down, and or down, a software link (e.g., port) is active or down, and properly linked 386 to the global profiles 388. Checks of a configured check to see if the interface is configured or not global profiles and other content can o configured (e.g., access policy configured, etc.). Leafs 104 FIG. 8 illustrates an example flowchart for an example and Spines 102 can receive the L\_Model 270A, convert the network assurance of Layer 1 physical interfaces. relevant content into Ci\_Model 274, and then execute on the 20 instructions to institute the configurations and set the physical link, software links and interfaces in the manner<br>incouldly, while the example method is illustrated with a<br>instructed. Leafs 104 and Spines 102 then update their<br>respective Ci Models 274 to reflect the status of the p

cal, software links, interfaces and any combination thereof. 25 Network assurance of Layer 1 physical interfaces is directed to Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620 confirming include fewer or more sequences than illustrated.<br>that the configurations are present and the links are set as Each sequence shown in FIG. 8 represents one or more that the configurations are present and the links are set as Each sequence shown in FIG. 8 represents one or more instructed. The Layer 1 checks can be a physical link check processes, methods or subroutines, carried out i instructed. The Layer 1 checks can be a physical link check processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the (e.g., active or down), software link check (e.g., active or 30 example method. The sequences shown in FIG. (e.g., active or down), software link check (e.g., active or  $30$ down) and a configured check (e.g., configured or not implemented in a network environment such as network configured). For Layer 1 to be actively configured, each of environment 100 shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The applicatio configured). For Layer 1 to be actively configured, each of environment 100 shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The application the three controls should be configured properly (e.g., physi- can be present on Appliance 300 and/or Che cal link up, software link up, access policy configured). In although the application is not so limited.<br>
other examples, Layer 1 may not be actively configured and 35 At sequence 810, the various models (e.g., L\_Model, th

interfaces (e.g. of Leafs 104 and Spines 102) have a physical 116 in Fabric 120 can send to the application (e.g., Assur-<br>connection (e.g., cable attached, etc.). The Checker 620 ance Appliance 300) L\_Model 270A/B, Ci\_Mode receives the Ci\_Model from a particular individual Leaf 104 40 H\_Model 274. Those received models will include infor-<br>or Spine 102, and identifies in the Ci\_Model the reported mation content, at least some of which will be or Spine 102, and identifies in the Ci\_Model the reported mation content, at least some of which will be subject to the status of whether the physical link is active or down. validation process. For example, Layer 1 conten status of whether the physical link is active or down. Checker 620 can also poll the software of the particular Checker 620 can also poll the software of the particular can include the physical link status of the interfaces of the individual Leaf or Spine that monitors the hardware status to devices, the software link status of the determine if the actual state of the physical link is as 45 devices, reflected by the Ci\_Model, such as by issuing commands on devices. the command line interface of a device (e.g., ethtool, ifcon-<br>
fig. etc.). The physical link is validated when the states information. For example, the application (e.g., Assurance match, and a discrepancy exists when the states do not (e.g., the polled state says the link is active but the reported state 50 the polled state says the link is active but the reported state 50 previously stored information. In some examples, the shows it is down, or vice-versa).

The software link check can determine when the physical previously stored information required to determine if the link (e.g., port) is up or down at the software level (e.g., physical interface is up (e.g., active). In s link (e.g., port) is up or down at the software level (e.g., physical interface is up (e.g., active). In some examples, the firmware, application, etc.). The methodology tracks that of received software interface status ca the physical link check above, save that Checker 620 polls  $55$  previously stored information required to determine if the the software of the particular individual Leaf or Spine that software interface is up (e.g., activ the software of the particular individual Leaf or Spine that software interface is up (e.g., active). In some examples, the monitors the software status to see if the actual state of the received access policy information monitors the software status to see if the actual state of the received access policy information can be validated against software link is as reflected by the Ci\_Model, such as ports previously stored information required software link is as reflected by the Ci\_Model, such as ports previously stored information required to determine if the of a device having been brought up or down through access policy is present and properly configured. I software (e.g., a command line interface (or GUI) of the 60 device). The software link is validated if the states match, device). The software link is validated if the states match, mation from the one or more Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc., and and a discrepancy exists if they do not (e.g., the polled state compared against the content of the and a discrepancy exists if they do not (e.g., the polled state compared against the content of the models in the manner says the link is active but the reported state shows it is down, discussed above.

EPG, etc.). By checking secondary objects and determining connectivity to resources such as storage, compute, Layer 2 the actual cause of the error, remediation can be more and Layer 3 (bridged and routed) connectivity, vi machine hypervisors, Layer 4 to Layer 7 devices, etc. Access In some examples, the remediation steps can be transmit-<br>d to the configuration of, but not limited to:<br>d to the operator. In other examples, one or more of the sport channels and virtual port channels, protocols such as devices in the network environment or fabric can automati-<br>cally perform the remediation steps. In some examples, such as monitoring or diagnostics. Access policies can cally perform the remediation steps. In some examples, such as monitoring or diagnostics. Access policies can<br>Appliance 300 can perform the remediation steps. In other include common objects including, but not limited to: include common objects including, but not limited to: leaf examples, the remediation can require a vendor and the profiles, leaf policies, interface profiles, interface policies, operator can be notified to contact the vendor.<br>Validation of Layer 1 domain, hypervisor, etc. Referri In the perspective of deployment the operator can<br>From the perspective of deployment the operator can<br>particular check is an L-Model level consistency check, for particular check is an L-Model level consistency check, for

> there are a variety of ways to carry out the method. Additionally, while the example method is illustrated with a art will appreciate that FIG.  $\boldsymbol{8}$  and the sequences shown therein can be executed in any order that accomplishes the technical advantages of the present disclosure and can

The physical link check can determine if the network example, one or more Leafs 104, Spines 102 and Controllers interfaces (e.g. of Leafs 104 and Spines 102) have a physical 116 in Fabric 120 can send to the application (e ance Appliance 300) L\_Model 270A/B, Ci\_Model 274, and H\_Model 274. Those received models will include infordevices, the software link status of the interfaces on the devices, and any access policies for the interfaces on the

information. For example, the application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300) can validate the received information verse ows it is down, or vice-versa).<br>The software link check can determine when the physical previously stored information required to determine if the access policy is present and properly configured. In some examples, checker 620 can independently obtain the infor-

says the link is active but the reported state shows it is down,<br>or vice-versa). At sequence 830, the application can generate an event.<br>The configured check can determine when the interface is 65 For example, when the val tion can generate an information event. In other examples,

not consistent with expectations of the validation) the application can generate an error event. The severity of the error cation can generate an error event. The severity of the error Another Layer 2 check is to validate VLAN assignments event can at least be determined based on which validation in the network, which is an L Model to H Model event can at least be determined based on which validation in the network, which is an L\_Model to H\_Model relative is unsuccessful and which device was unsuccessfully vali- $\frac{1}{2}$  check. In some examples, the L-Model to is unsuccessful and which device was unsuccessfully vali-  $\frac{1}{2}$  check. In some examples, the L-Model to H\_Model check dated (e.g., production device, test device, access point, can be performed by a combination of the

Galed (e.g., production device, test device, access point,<br>
Validation of Level and C-H<br>
border leaf, etc.).<br>
Validation of Layer 2<br>
Layer 2 is the protocol layer (e.g., data link layer) that can<br>
provide the functional an least, for Layer 2 relevant content, a consistency check of the<br>
L\_Model, an L-C check, and a C-H check, although the 15 "DVS\_Model"). The DVS is outside of Fabric 120 and like<br>
annication is not so limited. These checks c application is not so limited. These checks can be performed<br>by Application is not so limited. These checks can be performed<br> $\frac{1}{20}$  Leafs 104 and Spines 102 as the L\_Model 270A/B from Con-<br>B. Checker 620 receives the by Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620 as described above on B. Checker 620 receives the L\_Model 270A/B from Con-<br>at least the overlapping fields of content that are specific to troller 116 L\_Model input 622 as discussed abov at least the overlapping fields of content that are specific to Laver 2 operability.

objects that are pushed to leafs and spines). The tenant tree common objects can include, for each tenant, VRF, BD common objects can include, for each tenant, VRF, BD fields (within the models/configurations) is compared. For<br>(bound to VRF), EPG (bound to BD). Each EPG can include VLAN assignments, relevant overlapping fields can incl deployment information (where the controller should deploy 25 but are not limited to: the configured VLANs for each EPG the configuration), including static binding or dynamic bind-<br>(e.g., static/physical and dynamic/virtu ing and domains. The static binding can include the leaf,<br>card, port, and VLAN. The dynamic binding does not<br>require further information as it is configured dynamically<br>or port as part of the L Model consistency check. App when required. The domains can include physical, VMM, or 30 300 can check that the L\_Model 270A/B received from the both. The domains and static bindings can also include Controller 116 does not include overlapping VLANs. deployment settings including pre-provision, immediate, lazy, etc. Once the configurations are completed (e.g., by the operator) to define the L\_Model 270A, the Controller 116 Appliance 300 can determine if there are duplicate VLANs can push the L\_Model 270A/B to the appropriate Leafs 104 35 on the same ports of the switch. In the port exa can push the L\_Model 270A/B to the appropriate Leafs 104 35 on the same ports of the switch. In the port example, there and Spines 102. Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620 can then can be duplicate VLANs on different ports of

The Layer 2 L\_Model consistency check can include at least a syntactic analysis (e.g., configuration, setup, typographical, etc.) on the L\_Model configuration. Specific 40 content checked for Layer 2 includes by way of non-limiting and interface information. At sequence 920, for each model-<br>example that the Access Policies are configured properly to-model check the application can convert th example that the Access Policies are configured properly to-model check the application can convert the received (e.g., based on the common objects), the EPG is configured models into a common format. At sequence 930, the (e.g., based on the common objects), the EPG is configured models into a common format. At sequence 930, the appliproperly (e.g., based on common objects), Cross EPG cation can validate the received information by examinin checks (e.g., if an EPG is using one VLAN another EPG 45 content from relevant fields, such as the VLAN common<br>deployed on the same Leaf should not be using the same object and/or the interface common object of the Ci\_Mode VLAN), etc. When there are inconsistencies an event can be Other common objects for Layer 2 can be validated between generated.<br>L-DVS, L-C and C-H checks.

deployed (e.g., semantic check). For example, some subset 50 of BDs has been deployed on one or more of the Leafs 104. of BDs has been deployed on one or more of the Leafs 104. tent with expectations of the validation) the application can<br>The L-C checks can validate the subset of BDs have been generate an information event. In other exampl The L-C checks can validate the subset of BDs have been generate an information event. In other examples, when one properly deployed on the Leafs, for example, by utilizing the or more of the validations are not consisten properly deployed on the Leafs, for example, by utilizing the or more of the validations are not consistent (e.g., inconsis-<br>common objects (e.g., VLAN and interface). In some tent with expectations of the validation) the examples, the VLAN and interface information (e.g., 55 generate an error event. The severity of the error event can<br>C Model) can be stored in the VLAN table of the leaf/spine. at least be determined based on which validati

C\_Model) can be stored in the VLAN table of the leaf/spine.<br>The L-C checks can also include if EPGs are properly the VLAN pair (between L\_Model and C\_Model) and Validation of Layer 3 interface pair (between L\_Model and C\_Model). 60 Appliance 300 can 1

checks, although content to be checked resides and is<br>retwork assurance). The network layer is responsible for<br>received from the Leafs 104 and Spines 102. For example,<br>the application (e.g., Appliance 300) can receive the the application (e.g., Appliance 300) can receive the routers. Layer 3 checks can include at least a consistency Ci\_Model 624 and Hi\_Model 626 from the one or more 65 check of the L\_Model, an L-C check, and a C-H check,

when one or more of the validations are not successful (e.g., there are inconsistencies between the Ci\_Model and not consistent with expectations of the validation) the appli-<br>Hi\_Model an event can be generated.

receives as a distinct input the DVS configuration from the The Layer 2 checks can be performed on Access Policies 20 DVS at Other input 630. One or both of the received (e.g., common objects) and tenant trees (e.g., common  $L_M$ Model and/or the DVS configuration are converted into L\_Model and/or the DVS configuration are converted into a common format, and content from relevant overlapping VLAN assignments, relevant overlapping fields can include but are not limited to: the configured VLANs for each EPG

> or port as part of the L  $\dot{M}$  Model consistency check. Appliance Controller 116 does not include overlapping VLANs. At the switch level, the Appliance 300 can determine if there are duplicate VLANs on the switch. At the port level, the Appliance 300 can determine if there are duplicate VLANs

check the deployment.<br>The Layer 2 L Model consistency check can include at Layer 2 occur as discussed with respect to FIG. 9. The various received models at sequence 910 would include information relevant to the Layer 2 analysis, such as VLAN

The Layer 2 L-C checks can include if the BD is properly  $\lambda$  At sequence 940, the controller can generate an event. For ployed (e.g., consistent check). For example, some subset  $\lambda$  or example, when the validations are tent with expectations of the validation) the application can generate an error event. The severity of the error event can The L-C checks can also include if EPGs are properly sistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g., pro-<br>deployed, which similar to the BD check above examines duction device, test device, access point, border leaf,

interface pair (between L\_Model and C\_Model). 60 Appliance 300 can perform a series of checks on Layer 3<br>The Layer 2 C-H checks can be similar to the Layer 2 L-C (e.g., network layer) of the network environment (e.g. Leafs 104 and Spines 102, convert to a common format and<br>though the application is not so limited. These checks are<br>then validate the VLAN pairs and interface pairs. When<br>performed by Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620 as performed by Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620 as described above on at least the overlapping fields of content that are specific to Layer 3 operability.

formed, for example, BD subnets are deployed correctly received L\_Model 622. FIG. 11 illustrates example VRF (e.g., routing on/off, IP/mask, etc.), overlapping subnet 5 containers 1100 and 1125. While VRF containers 1100 a (e.g., routing on/off, IP/mask, etc.), overlapping subnet 5 containers 1100 and 1125. While VRF containers 1100 and checks, L3out deployed properly, BD-L3out association, 1125 illustrate two different container configurati checks, L3out deployed properly, BD-L3out association, 1125 illustrate two different container configurations, more RIB is programmed properly with subnets, learned routes container configurations are realized and the exam RIB is programmed properly with subnets, learned routes are properly propagated in the fabric, RIB-FIB equivalence,

subnets (e.g., 10.0.\*). The subnets can also be defined in the Example VRF container 1100 and 1125 can populated with Logical Groups (e.g., EPG). Each VRF (which is in Layer 3) at least the received Ci\_Model 274 and the cr Logical Groups (e.g., EPG). Each VRF (which is in Layer 3) can have a unique IP address. If another device uses the same IP address, there is not a conflict as long as the IP 15 address is used a different VRF. In Layer 3, a common address is used a different VRF. In Layer 3, a common Leafs. Each VRF container can thus include data specific to object, L3out can also be defined (for external communica-<br>that VRF (e.g., LPM container of prefixes, subnet object, L3out can also be defined (for external communica-<br>that VRF (e.g., LPM container of prefixes, subnets, net-<br>tion). The L3out common object can define: L3 interfaces, works, external routes, etc.).

L-C check. The subnets for each BD (and EPG) can be sent how the BD subnets are to be configured (e.g., per the resident on L\_Model 270A/B deployed by the Controller operator intent), and the Ci\_Models represent how the resident on L\_Model 270A/B deployed by the Controller operator intent), and the Ci\_Models represent how the 116. The subnets as deployed at the network devices can instructions were actually implemented (e.g., at the Leaf, include a routing information base resident on the Ci\_Model Spine, etc.). The intent should match the implementation, of the reporting Leafs 104 or Spines 102. The application 25 and thus Checker 620 confirms for each VRF can collect the BD subnets deployed from the received the Li\_Models are consistent with the Ci\_Models. A dis-<br>L\_Model and Ci\_Models based on VRF assignments to crepancy exists if there is a mismatch in content. define a set union (e.g., a list of BD subnet deployed without A discrepancy can also exist if a model is present that duplicates). The application can determine that the BD should not be. For example, if only Leafs 1 and subnets are actually deployed at the appropriate network 30 a particular VRF, then L1\_Model, Ci\_Model, L2\_Model and devices in the union (and not network devices that they C2 Model models would be present in the container devices in the union (and not network devices that they C2\_Model models would be present in the container for that should not be deployed). The BD subnets check can also VRF. No other Li\_Model or Ci\_Model for another Leaf should not be deployed). The BD subnets check can also VRF. No other Li\_Model or Ci\_Model for another Leaf include checking the BD subnets on network devices should be in the VRF container, and the presence of such a include checking the BD subnets on network devices should be in the VRF container, and the presence of such a because of contracts between EPGs. The BD subnet check model would be a discrepancy. can determine the BD subnets points to the spine proxy and, 35 FIG. 11 illustrates a deployment 1150. For example, as the BD subnets have a corresponding gateway address is shown in FIG. 11, abc is on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, bu the BD subnets have a corresponding gateway address is shown in FIG. 11, abc is on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, but not on installed.<br>Leaf 3, while def is on Leaf 3, but not Leafs 1 or 2. As such,

environment. The method shown in FIG.  $10$  is provided by 40 way of example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out Leafs 1 or 2. Checker 620 can also validate the abc conthe method. Additionally, while the example method is figuration on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2 is consistent with th the method. Additionally, while the example method is figuration on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2 is consistent with the abc<br>illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of configuration as defined in the L\_Model, and that illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of configuration as defined in the L\_Model, and that def ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 10 and the configuration on Leaf 3 is consistent with the ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 10 and the configuration on Leaf 3 is consistent with the def configu-<br>sequences shown therein can be executed in any order that 45 ration as defined in the L\_Model. accomplishes the technical advantages of the present dis-<br>closure and can include fewer or more sequences than<br>interest and For example, the checker can perform a set difference by<br>illustrated.

subroutines, carried out in the example method. The 50 subtracted from the L\_Model set; the sets are proper when sequences shown in FIG. 10 can be implemented in a then the results of both subtractions are the same. A missequences shown in FIG. 10 can be implemented in a network environment such as network environment 100 network environment such as network environment 100 match would indicate missing or extra information that can<br>shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The application can be present on result in, for example, lost packets in the fabric a shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The application can be present on result in, for example, lost packets in the fabric and extra Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the application information can create, for example, securi Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the application information can create, for example, security issues in the is not so limited.

1010 the various models (e.g., L Model, C Model, and/or While the example shows an L-C check, a C-H check can H\_Model) to be checked are received. For example, the one be realized. In some examples, after the checker has vali-<br>or more Leafs 104, Spines 102 and Controllers 116 in the dated the configuration the spine proxies can be or more Leafs 104, Spines 102 and Controllers 116 in the dated the configuration the spine proxies can be checked to Fabric 120 can send to the application (e.g., Assurance 60 determine the correct next hop. Fabric 120 can send to the application (e.g., Assurance 60 determine the correct next hop.<br>Appliance 300) L\_Model 270A/B, Ci\_Model 274, and At sequence 1040, one or more events can be generated.<br>Ci\_Model 276. Those receive

48<br>At sequence 1020, one or more VRF containers for that are specific to Layer 3 operability.<br>
There are a variety of Layer 3 checks that can be per-<br>
the like) are created and populated for the VRFs in the the like) are created and populated for the VRFs in the received L Model 622. FIG. 11 illustrates example VRF tainers 1100 and 1125 are not limiting. Specific Leafs 104 and Cross-VRF route leaking.<br>In Layer 3, a concern of the check can be integrity of 10 one or more BD subnets, and each BD subnet will have one In Layer 3, a concern of the check can be integrity of 10 one or more BD subnets, and each BD subnet will have one deployment of the subnets. Each BD can define one or more or more EPGs with one or more specific Leafs 104) Li\_Model 272 (as previously or newly created, and accounting for security contracts as discussed below) for the specific

L3out EPG, static routes and loopbacks.<br>
Mt sequence 1030, each VRF container is validated by<br>
Whether the BD subnets are deployed correctly can be an 20 Checker 620 and/or Appliance 300. The Li\_Models repre-<br>
L-C check. T

should not be. For example, if only Leafs 1 and 2 are under

FIG. 10 illustrates an example flowchart for an example Checker 620 will validate the abc configuration is properly network assurance of Layer 3 configuration of a network deployed on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, and not on Leaf 3, deployed on Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, and not on Leaf 3, and that the def configuration is properly deployed on Leaf  $3$  but not

ustrated.<br>
FIG. 10 represents one or more processes, methods or subtracted from the Ci\_Model set, and the Ci\_Model set is subtracted from the Ci\_Model set, and the Ci\_Model set is subtracted from the L\_Model set; the sets are proper when not so limited.<br>
So fabric (where device can access other devices they do not<br>
Method 1000 can begin at sequence 1010. At sequence have permission to ask).

ronment or fabric. tions are not on the correct leafs (according the L\_Model) or

can at least be determined based on which validation is<br>inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g., and BD2) bound to the same VRF (e.g., VRF1). Each BD production device, test device, access point, border leaf, etc.).

The above VRF container check of BD deployment is an have assigned subnets 300.\*. One or more Logical Groups example of an application of a generic VRF container (e.g., EPGs) can be bound to the one or more BDs. For example of an application of a generic VRF container (e.g., EPGs) can be bound to the one or more BDs. For integrity check. When the VRF container is created, it can be example, EPG1 and EPG2 can be bound to BD1 and EPG integrity check. When the VRF container is created, it can be example, EPG1 and EPG2 can be bound to BD1 and EPG populated with the L\_Model, Li\_Model, Ci\_Model and/or <sup>10</sup> 3 can be bound to BD2. Each EPG can have one or mo populated with the L\_Model, Li\_Model, Ci\_Model and/or  $10$  3 can be bound to BD2. Each EPG can have one or more Hi\_Model that contain data relevant to/required by the assigned subnets as well. For example, EPG3 has assign Hi\_Model that contain data relevant to/required by the assigned subnets as well. For example, EPG3 has assigned check. Checker 620 can confirm the presence or absence of subnet 400.\*. Each EPG can point to one or more Leaf check. Checker 620 can confirm the presence or absence of subnet 400.\*. Each EPG can point to one or more Leafs. For these models. By way of non-limiting examples, an appro-<br>example, EPG1 can point to Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, EP priate Li\_Model being present but a corresponding required  $_{15}$  point to Leaf 2 and EPG3 can point to Leaf 3.<br>Ci\_Model not being present, would indicate an error in In the above tenant configuration (e.g., 1200), the en deployment. A Ci\_Model being present but a required cor-<br>responding Li\_Model not being present, would indicate however, endpoints of one EPG cannot communication with improper extra information. An Li\_Model or Ci\_Model endpoints of a different EPG without a security contract. For being present from another VRF, would also indicate  $_{20}$  example, endpoints of EPG3 cannot communication with endpoints of EPG3 cannot communication with endpoints of EPG2 without first having a security contract

leafs will get the leaked subnets (and other information). 25 endpoints in EPG3 and EPG2 can communicate.<br>Appliance 300 can perform an L-C check to determine if the When a security contract exists, the configurations (and RIB is properly programed. For example, as illustrated in subnets) will be deployed in the respectively connected FIG. 12, when Contract 2 is configured, the RIB on Leaf 1 Leafs. For example, when Contract 1 is in place, E will include subnets  $100$ .\* and  $200$ .\* (e.g., from BD1) and subnets will be deployed on Leaf 3 and EPG3 subnets will subnets of BD2 (e.g.,  $300$ .\*,  $400$ .\*) leaked from Contract 2. 30 be deployed on Leaf 2. As such, the Also, Leaf 3 will include subnets 300.\* and 400.\* (from 100.\* and 200.\*) will be deployed on Leaf 3 and the subnets BD2 (e.g., 100.\* and 200.\*) leaked of BD2 (e.g., 300.\*) and EPG3 (e.g., 400.\*) will be deployed from Contract 2. Without Contract 2 in place, Leaf 1 will not on Leaf 2.<br>
include BD2 subnets and Leaf 3 will however include BD1 When subnets are deployed on leafs, Appliance 300 can<br>
subnets because of Contract 1. 35 ver

Logical Groups (e.g., EPGs) cannot be properly deployed looking at resulting configuration created by the security<br>if there is a clash between two or more Logical Groups. For contracts as an L-C check. The subnets can be v nets, encap VLANs etc. In one example, as shown in FIG. 1250), as previously discussed. VRF container 1250, can<br>7, Logical Group 1 (LG1) and Logical Group 2 (LG2) are 40 include the Li\_Model configurations including the co initially independent from each other and do not clash. rations created by security contracts. For example, Leaf 1-3<br>However, when Security Contract 750 is implemented, a can all include subnets 100.\*, 200.\* and 300.\*. Sub However, when Security Contract 750 is implemented, a can all include subnets  $100.$  \*,  $200.$  \* and  $300.$  \*. Subnet  $300.$  \* clash between LG1 and LG2 subnets is possible. Subsequent is accessible by Leaf 1 and 2 based o clash between LG1 and LG2 subnets is possible. Subsequent is accessible by Leaf 1 and 2 based on Contract 1 and subnet a security contract being formed, the Logical Groups can be  $300.*$  is a BD subnet. Leaf 2 and 3 can bo deployed on the leaf that hosts the Logical Groups (e.g., 45 endpoints within the logical groups can communicate). After endpoints within the logical groups can communicate). After figuration can then be mapped to a Ci\_Model configuration a security is formed between Logical Groups, the Logical via the L-C check to determine consistency acro Groups can be deployed on the leafs that host the Logical Next Hop Check<br>Groups and the leafs that host a Logical Group which is an Next, the application can determine if the next hops are Groups and the leafs that host a Logical Group which is an Next, the application can determine if the next hops are endpoint to the contract (e.g., endpoints between logical 50 proper. For example, each BD and EPG subnet s endpoint to the contract (e.g., endpoints between logical 50 groups that have a contract can communication). This groups that have a contract can communication). This to a spine proxy and the gateway IP should point to local. In enables the end points in the different Logical Groups to some examples, route table 1275 can show the next enables the end points in the different Logical Groups to some examples, route table 1275 can show the next hops for communicate with one another. For example, LG1 is on Leaf IP address and masks (e.g., using Longest Prefi 1 and LG2 is on Leaf 2. After a security contract is formed<br>between LG1 and LG2, LG1 subnets will be deployed on 55 be Next Hop 1 because the full IP address is used (e.g., 32) between LG1 and LG2, LG1 subnets will be deployed on 55 be Next Hop 1 because the full IP address is used (e.g., 32 Leaf 2 and LG2 subnets will be deployed on Leaf 1. bit mask in IPv4). When an IP address of 100.0.0.0/24 c Leaf 2 and LG2 subnets will be deployed on Leaf 1. bit mask in IPv4). When an IP address of 100.0.0.0/24 comes However, the communication between Logical Groups that in, the next hop will be Next Hop 2 because mask of 28 However, the communication between Logical Groups that in, the next hop will be Next Hop 2 because mask of 28 (also have a contract can also share prefixes (e.g., routes) between shown as a  $*$ ). This check can be a consi have a contract can also share prefixes (e.g., routes) between shown as a \*). This check can be a consistency check at the the leafs, which can result in a conflict because of the Ci\_Model for the noted content. existence of a common prefix between the logical groups; 60 RIB to FIB Equivalence<br>when the configurations of LG1 and LG2 would clash (e.g., Appliance 300 can also determine that the RIB and FIB of when the configurations of LG1 and LG2 would clash (e.g., appliance 300 can also determine that the RIB and FIB of same subnet), the deployment might fail and an event a particular Leaf 104 or Spine 102 are consistent. The

In some examples, the contract can be between Logical Groups that reside in different VRFs. In this situation, 65 Groups that reside in different VRFs. In this situation, 65 Spine 102. The Forwarding Information Base (FIB) is internal routing leaking can be performed between the contained within the LPM table of the Hi\_Model of the internal routing leaking can be performed between the contained within the LPM table of the Hi\_Model of the VRFs, and the checks can be in Layer 3, discussed below. particular Leaf 104 or Spine 102. The RIB to FIB check is

when there are missing or extra information the application FIG. 12 illustrates a graphical view of a tenant configu-<br>can generate an error event. The severity of the error event ration 1200 of a network environment (e.g., and BD2) bound to the same VRF (e.g., VRF1). Each BD can have one or more assigned subnets. For example, BD1 etco .).<br>Can have assigned subnets 100.\* and 200.\*, and BD2 can<br>The above VRF container check of BD deployment is an have assigned subnets 300.\*. One or more Logical Groups example, EPG1 can point to Leaf 1 and Leaf 2, EPG2 can point to Leaf 2 and EPG3 can point to Leaf 3.

improper extra information.<br>
Security Contract Between Logical Groups (e.g., Contract 1). When contract is in place between EPGs, In some cases, there can be a security contract between endpoints of the EPGs subject to the contract can commu-<br>endpoint groups (as illustrated below). In these cases the nicate. For example, when Contract 1 is in place, nicate. For example, when Contract 1 is in place, the endpoints in EPG3 and EPG2 can communicate.

Leafs. For example, when Contract 1 is in place,  $EPG2$  subnets will be deployed on Leaf 3 and EPG3 subnets will

300. $*$  is a BD subnet. Leaf 2 and 3 can both have subnet 400. $*$  accessible based on Contract 1. The Li\_Model con-

generated.<br>In some examples, the contract can be between Logical LPM table of the Ci Model of the particular Leaf 104 or particular Leaf 104 or Spine 102. The RIB to FIB check is

As illustrated in FIG. 13, device 1300 (e.g., Leaf 104, ing of the next entry of RIB 2).<br>Spine 102, etc.) can include Line Card Controller 1310, At sequence 1450, if the application does not identify a<br>where the FIB reside where the FIB resides and SUP Controller 1320, where the 5 RIB resides. The routing protocols works on the SUP level RIB resides. The routing protocols works on the SUP level control passes sequence 1460. At sequence 1460, the appli-<br>(software level). The RIB is compiled to the Line Card cation can determine if the mismatch is covered by (software level). The RIB is compiled to the Line Card cation can determine if the mismatch is covered by another Controller, which physically programs to the hardware of prefix, for example, via Longest Prefix Match. When Controller, which physically programs to the hardware of prefix, for example, via Longest Prefix Match. When there the device. Appliance 300 can determine the equivalence by is not another prefix and/or if the next hop doe extracting the FIB and RIB and convert them to a common 10 an error can be generated at 1480.<br>
format, as illustrated by table 1330. Table 1330 can show an For example with respect to Table 1330, the entry RIB 2)<br>
IP addre IP address (e.g., 100.0.0.0), a mask (e.g., 32) and one or  $100.00.1/32$  does not have a matching FIB, and thus control more next hops (e.g., NH1, NH2, etc.). Table 1330 can also passes to sequence 1460 to identify anothe include a "catch all" (e.g.,  $* \rightarrow DROP$ ). For example, when which in this case exists as FIB 2) 100.0.0. $*/30$ . If the next an IP address is received that does not match an IP address/ 15 hops matched (between RIB 2 and FIB mask, the catch all will drop the packets. The entries can be to sequence 1450 for the next RIB entry. However, the next compared to determine there are no inconsistencies between hops of RIB 2) and FIB 2) do not match (NH compared to determine there are no inconsistencies between hops of RIB 2) and FIB 2) do not match (NH1 v. NH2) and the next hops.

network assurance of a RIB-FIB equivalence of a network 20 In some cases, the mismatch can be a non-entry in one of environment. The method shown in FIG. 14 is provided by the tables. For example, FIB 3) 200.0.0.0/30 does environment. The method shown in FIG. 14 is provided by the tables. For example, FIB 3) 200.0.0.0/30 does not have way of example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out a match in the RIB table, except the "catch all the method. Additionally, while the example method is  $* \rightarrow$ Drop). Any incoming IP address 200.0.0.0 will be illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of dropped, even though the FIB table says route to NH1. I illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of dropped, even though the FIB table says route to NH1. In ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 14 and the 25 response to this type of mismatch, an er sequences shown therein can be executed in any order that In some cases, there can be entries in a RIB or FIB that accomplishes the technical advantages of the present dis-<br>closure and can include fewer or more sequences than since combined RIB 1) to RIB 4) cover all IPs in RIB 5). closure and can include fewer or more sequences than since combined RIB 1) to RIB 4) cover all IPs in RIB 5.<br>illustrated. When all RIB s are examined, the process continues for Each sequence shown in FIG. 14 represents one

Each sequence shown in FIG. 14 represents one or more 30 processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the accounted for by the initial RIB check. The process could example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 14 can be also be reversed, in that the list of FIBs could be chec example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 14 can be also be reversed, in that the list of FIBs could be checked implemented in a network environment such as network before RIBs. Or the system could switch between lists. implemented in a network environment such as network before RIBs. Or the system could switch between lists. The environment 100 shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The application application is not limited to the manner in which the environment 100 shown in FIG. 1A and 1B. The application application is not limited to the manner in which the list of can be present on Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, 35 RIBs and FIBs is processed. although the application is not so limited.<br>
Method 1400 can begin at sequence 1410. At sequence . As shown above in FIG. 7, internal subnets (e.g., 100)

1410, an application (e.g., Appliance 300) can extract a RIB can be leaked to external networks (e.g., Network Device from the SUP Controller of a device (e.g., Leaf 104, Spine 114) and external networks (e.g., 175.\*) can 102, etc.). At sequence  $1420$ , the application can extract a 40 FIB from the Line Card Controller of the device. Both between the public subnets in the Fabric 120 and the external sequences 1410 and 1420 can entail polling one or more devices, Layer 3 out ("L3out") must be properly con Leaf 104 or Spine 102 for the content, or in other examples Appliance 300 can determine that the L3out is properly obtaining the content from the received Ci\_Model 624 and configured.

extracted FIB and RIB to a common format (e.g., one of the leafs can have L3out configured to access an outside device/<br>FIB or RIB is converted into the format of the other, or both network (e.g., Network Device 114). To d FIB or RIB is converted into the format of the other, or both network (e.g., Network Device 114). To deploy an L3out are converted to the same new format). At sequence 1440, interface the leaf, port and network can be conf the application can create a union of the converted RIB and 50 FIB (e.g., a set union including lists all RIBs and FIBs without duplication), such as illustrated by Table 1330.<br>Although shown as a collective table, the lists may be in Although shown as a collective table, the lists may be in CN\_Model and HN\_Model. Once configured, the link distinct tables.<br>between Leaf N and Network Device 114 is important in

in the RIB and determine if there is a matching IP address/ mask in the FIB with a matching next hop. If such a match exists, then control passes to sequence 1470 to see if there (through L3out interface and link 40.1.2.\*). The subnet is is a next entry to consider. If so, control passes back to leaked through routing protocols, such as,

entry sequence 1470. If there was no next entry then method

a C-H check as described above on at least the overlapping 1400 can end. In this example there is a next entry of RIB fields of content that are specific to RIB and FIB operability. 2), and thus control passes to sequence 2), and thus control passes to sequence 1450 for the check-

the error is noted at sequence 1480 before control returns to FIG. 14 illustrates an example flowchart for an example sequence 1470 consider the next entry.

Method 1400 can begin at sequence 1410. At sequence As shown above in FIG. 7, internal subnets (e.g., 100.2.\*)<br>1410, an application (e.g., Appliance 300) can extract a RIB can be leaked to external networks (e.g., Network **114**) and external networks (e.g., 175.\*) can be leaked to the Fabric. In order for communication to properly occur

Hi\_Model for one or more Leaf 104 or Spine 102. 45 The L3out interfaces model can include, at a minimum,<br>At sequence 1430, the application can convert the leaf (e.g., border), port and network (e.g., 40.1.2.\*). Border<br>extr interface the leaf, port and network can be configured. For example, an operator can program Leaf N, port eth $1/10$  and network 40.1.2.\* into L\_Model 270A, for which in a manner discussed above Leaf N generates the corresponding between Leaf N and Network Device 114 is important in transferring data external the fabric. In this case, network At sequence 1450, the application can identify a first entry 55 transferring data external the fabric. In this case, network the RIB and determine if there is a matching IP address/  $40.1.2$ ,\* would own the link. The 100.2 in LPM Table 748) can be leaked to Network Device 114 sequence 1450 to check the next entry (e.g., the next entry 60 EIGRP, etc. For example, in the routing protocols there are in the RIB, and then turning to the entries in the FIBs). two peers: peer1 is the border leaf (e.g. For example with respect to Table 1330, the first entry is the external device (e.g., Network Device 114). The two<br>RIB 1) has an IP address/mask 100.0.0.0/32 with a next hop peers will exchange their routes with each other RIB 1) has an IP address/mask 100.0.0.0/32 with a next hop peers will exchange their routes with each other. For of NH1. The same IP address/mask 100.0.0.0/32 with a next example, peerl can tell peer2 that it has public ne of NH1. The same IP address/mask 100.0.0.0/32 with a next example, peerl can tell peer2 that it has public networks hop of NH1 is found in the FIB, at FIB 1). Since the RIB and  $65$  (e.g., 100.2.\*) to leak outside the fab hop of NH1 is found in the FIB, at FIB 1). Since the RIB and 65 (e.g., 100.2.<sup>\*</sup>) to leak outside the fabric and peer 2 can tell<br>FIB entries match, method 1400 can proceed to the next peer1 that it has external routes (e. peer1 that it has external routes (e.g.,  $175.*$ ) to be leaked inside the fabric.

protocols (e.g., BGP). Static routes can be programmed by and next hop). EPG can the Fabric 120. For example, Network Device 114 can have well via an L-C check. network 200.<sup>\*</sup> that has not been leaked to the Fabric 120. In At sequence 1530, the application can validate the next these cases, the L Model can directly program the 200.<sup>\*</sup> 5 hops via C\_Model consistency check. For ex these cases, the L\_Model can directly program the 200.<sup>\*</sup> 5 hops via C\_Model consistency check. For example, validat-<br>network for communicating with an external router (e  $\sigma$  ing the LPM Tables at each leaf includes next network for communicating with an external router (e.g., ing the LPM Tables at each leaf includes next hop entries to<br>Network Device 114), EPG (Instruction on the used to control communicate with the border leaf (e.g., fo Network Device 114). EPG (Instp) can be used to control communicate with the border leaf (e.g., for external com-<br>import of external routes. For example Network Device 114 munication). As shown in FIG. 7, Leaf 2 can includ import of external routes. For example, Network Device 114 munication ). As shown in FIG. 7, Leaf 2 can include an LPM<br>Can have cavaral routes (e.g. 100 \* 200 \* 400 \* atc.) Table 742. LPM Table 742 can include subnets and can have several routes (e.g., 100.\*, 300.\*, 400.\*, etc.) Table 742. LPM Table 742 can include subnets and next leaked to Fabric 120. These networks can be tagged with  $10^{\circ}$  hops. As previously discussed, subnet 100.2. has been<br>EPGs. For example, 100.\* can be tagged with EPG1 (e.g., and the leaf N to Network Device 114 and when

network assurance of L3out configuration of a network can at least be determined based on which validation is<br>environment. The method shown in FIG, 15 is provided by inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g environment. The method shown in FIG. 15 is provided by<br>way of example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out<br>tion device, test device, access point, border leaf,<br>the method. Additionally, while the example method is illustrated with a particular order of sequences, those of  $30$  validation of  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac$ ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 15 and the Forwarding internal networks to be sequences shown therein can be executed in any order that  $\frac{BD-LSout}{}$  haloed automal to the folial that is the suturnal neut

processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the fabric (e.g., by network device 114), the BD-L3out associa-<br>example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 15 can be in can be configured. As shown in FIG 16, the BD-L3ou example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 15 can be tion can be configured. As shown in FIG. 16, the BD-L3out implemented in a network environment such as network  $40$  association can include. BD subnet is marked public implemented in a network environment such as network  $40$  association can include, BD subnet is marked public (e.g., environment 700 shown in FIG. 7. The application can be  $100.2$ .\*), BD is associated with L3out (e.g., 1

1511 H\_Model) to be checked are received. For example, one or Appliance 300 can validate these BD-L3out configuration more Leafs 104, Spines 102 and Controllers 116 in Fabric conditions. In particular, Appliance 300 can co 120 can send to the application (e.g., Assurance Appliance models reflect that the internal networks have in fact been 300) L\_Model 270A/B, Ci\_Model 274, and Ci\_Model 276. leaked. This specific check uses a series of L\_Mod 300) L\_Model 270A/B, Ci\_Model 274, and Ci\_Model 276. leaked. This specific check uses a series of L\_Model con-<br>Those received models can include information content that 50 sistency checks (or a single check that considers Those received models can include information content that  $\overline{s}0$  sistency checks (or a can be subject to the validation process. In some examples, fields of information). the information relevant to an L3out assurance check can FIG. 17 illustrates an example flowchart for an example include interface (e.g., leaf, port, network), loopback (e.g., network assurance of BD-L3out association conf include interface (e.g., leaf, port, network), loopback (e.g., network assurance of BD-L3out association configuration of protocol), static routes, and EPG. 17 is

configured to communicate through L3out are in the LPM to carry out the method. Additionally, while the example<br>Table (e.g., L3 Table). The LPM Table (e.g., C\_Model) can method is illustrated with a particular order of seq Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc.). The L\_Models and C\_Models and the sequences shown therein can be executed in any can be converted to a common format as discussed above, 60 order that accomplishes the technical advantages of can be converted to a common format as discussed above, 60 order that accomplishes the technical advantages of the and the relevant content of overlapping fields can be com-<br>
present disclosure and can include fewer or mor and the relevant content of overlapping fields can be com-<br>
pared. For example, the L3out interface assurance considers than illustrated. the fields of leaf, port and network. Appliance 300 can Each sequence shown in FIG. 17 represents one or more validate that the leaf from the configuration has the port and processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in network deployed as shown in the configuration (e.g., 65 L\_Model). Appliance 300 can perform similar validation for L\_Model). Appliance 300 can perform similar validation for implemented in a network environment such as network the loopback (consideration of fields of leaf and network) environment 700 shown in FIG. 7 and network diagram

L3out loopback is an interface for configuring networking and static routes (consideration of the fields of leaf, network otocols (e.g., BGP). Static routes can be programmed by and next hop). EPG can be validated by the a

EPGs. For example, 100.\* can be tagged with EPG1 (e.g.,<br>Logical Group 1), 300.\* can be tagged with EPG2 (e.g.,<br>Logical Group 2) and 400.\* can be tagged with EPG3 (e.g.,<br>Logical Group 3). As such, whenever an incoming pack

be tagged with the associated EPG.<br>
Appliance 300 can perform checks on the L3out through<br>
At sequence 1540, one or more events can be generated.<br>
Appliance 300 can perform checks on the L3out through<br>
For example, when th proper.<br>FIG 15 illustrates an example flowchart for an example 25 can generate an error event. The severity of the error event FIG. 15 illustrates an example flowchart for an example 25 can generate an error event. The severity of the error event twork assurance of L3out configuration of a network can at least be determined based on which validati

sequences shown include fewer or more sequences than<br>closure and can include fewer or more sequences than<br>illustrated.<br>Each sequence shown in FIG. 15 represents one or more<br>processes, methods or subroutines, carried out i present on Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the security contract exists between the EPG of BD and EPG of application is not so limited.<br>L3out (e.g., Contract 1). When BD subnet is marked public plication is not so limited.<br>Method 1500 can begin at sequence 1510. At sequence and BD is associated with L3out, routing can work properly Method 1500 can begin at sequence 1510. At sequence and BD is associated with L3out, routing can work properly 1510 the various models (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or 45 external to the fabric.

conditions. In particular, Appliance 300 can confirm that the models reflect that the internal networks have in fact been

protocol), static routes, and EPG. and envirorment and a network environment. The method shown in FIG. 17 is At sequence 1520, the application can validate networks 55 provided by way of example, as there are a variety of

processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 17 can be environment 700 shown in FIG. 7 and network diagram

55<br>1600 shown in FIG. 16. The application can be present on

C\_Model, and/or H\_Model) to be checked are received. For 5 for example the LPM table. When all external routes are example, each Controller 116 in the Fabric 120 can send to imported, for example thousands of networks, exp the application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300 and/or resources are utilized by storing these learned routes at each Checker 620) one or more L\_Models 270A/B, and one or network device (e.g., Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc.). Checker 620) one or more L\_Models 270A/B, and one or network device (e.g., Leafs 104, Spines 102, etc.). The more Leafs 104 and Spines 102 can send corresponding learned routes populate the LPM Tables stored in the TCAM Ci\_Model 274, and Ci\_Model 276. In another example, 10 some but not all of the L Model, C Model, and/or H Model some but not all of the L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or H\_Model affect the efficiency and overall operation of the fabric. The configurations are provided and/or received. Those received border leaf (e.g., Leaf N) can decide (e.g configurations are provided and/or received. Those received border leaf (e.g., Leaf N) can decide (e.g., based on operator models can include information content, at least some of intent) which external networks to import. which will be subject to the validation process. In some The deployment of the instruction to permit a leak of a<br>examples, the information can include L3out configuration 15 particular external network is programmed by the examples, the information can include L3out configuration 15 particular external network is programmed by the operator data and BD configuration data.<br>
Into the L\_Model 270A, and can identify for a particular

sistency check identifies whether any BD subnets are into Fabric 120. The particular border Leaf 104 (e.g., Leaf marked public. In some examples, BD subnets in the fabric N) can store the permissible network(s) in EPGs def are configured to be private, shared, etc. In order to be 20 the L3out table marked public, the operator must specify the subnet as Ci Model 274. public in L\_Model 270A and push the configuration to the When an external device (e.g., Network Device 114) Controller 116. The application can verify via an L-Model wants to share an external network with the Fabric 102 v Controller 116. The application can verify via an L-Model wants to share an external network with the Fabric 102 via consistency check whether any BD subnet in the received a border leaf (e.g., Leaf N), the border leaf (e. L\_Model 622 is marked public. If not, then this check ends 25 initially determines from its L3out table whether import of at sequence 1760. If one or more of the BD subnets in the that network is permissible. If permissibl at sequence 1760. If one or more of the BD subnets in the that network is permissible. If permissible, the border leaf received L\_Model 622 are marked as public, then the (e.g., Leaf N) sets that external device as the nex received L\_Model 622 are marked as public, then the (e.g., Leaf N) sets that external device as the next hop in the process continues at 1730 for each subnet identified as LPM table (e.g., 748 for Leaf N). The L3out of the process continues at 1730 for each subnet identified as LPM table (e.g., 748 for Leaf N). The L3out of the border public; the process will be described with respect to a single Leaf (e.g., Leaf N) is under a particular VR subnet so identified, although it is to be understood that the 30 "source" of the leak the border Leaf propagates (e.g., leaks) process would also apply to multiple identified subnets. that learned route to other Leafs 104

sistency check can validate the public BD is associated with VRF. Those "student" Leafs 104 will store the learned route<br>an L3out. The BD is associated with L3out through the BD in their LPM table (e.g., 1802 for Leaf 1 an configuration. The BD configuration can be programmed by 35 the operator in L\_Model  $270A$  at the Controller 116 (e.g., the operator in L\_Model 270A at the Controller 116 (e.g., virtue of the source Leaf and the student Leaf having L3out Tenant Configuration 740), and which can subsequently and/or BD under the same VRF, these source and stu arrive at checker 620 via sequence 1710 above. An example Leafs 104 define a "VRF Leaf Group."<br>
of a BD-L3out association 1650 is shown in FIG. 16. The Non-limiting examples of learned routes are illustrated in of a BD-L3out association 1650 is shown in FIG. 16. The

sistency check can validate a contract between the EPGs LPM table 1806. An external Network Device 114 wants to  $(e.g., Logical Groups)$ . As shown above, the L3out can have export networks to Fabric 120 through Border Leaf N, for an EPG (e.g., EPG2) and BD can have an EPG (e.g., EPG1). 45 example, external subnets  $175.*$ ,  $185.*$ ,  $195.*$  as listed in When a contract (e.g., Contract 1) has been formed between routing and forwarding table 744 of Netw the EPGs, the associated endpoints can then communicate. Border Leaf N can import network 175.\* (e.g., EPG (175.\*)<br>Appliance 300 can validate that a security contract is present because it is listed in table 1806, but cann

For example, when the validations are consistent (e.g., indictor of network device  $114 (175. * \rightarrow)$ Device  $114$ ). Border consistent with expectations of the validation) the applica-<br>Leaf N can then spreads the leak to the r consistent with expectations of the validation) the applica-<br>tion can generate an information event. In other examples, Leaf Group, which in this case includes Leaf 1 and Leaf 2. when one or more of the validations are not consistent (e.g., Leaf 1 and Leaf 2 can update their LPM table (e.g., 1802, inconsistent with expectations of the validation), configura- 55 742) at the Ci\_Model 274 level to re tions are not on the correct leafs (according the L\_Model) or and set Leaf N as the corresponding next hop to reflect it is when there is missing or extra information the application the source Leaf (e.g.,  $175.*\rightarrow$ Leaf N) can generate an error event. The severity of the error event In some examples, multiple border Leafs import a netcan at least be determined based on which validation is work from the same external device. In FIG. 7, Leaf 3 is also inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g.,  $\omega$  a border leaf as shown by dashed line 1 inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g.,  $60$  production device, test device, access point, border leaf, production device, test device, access point, border leaf, Network Device 114, and as such also imports network etc.).

Learned Routes (e.g., imported routes) are external net-<br>works that are leaked (i.e., imported) inside the Fabric 120. 65 In some examples, the LPM tables may have errors. For<br>The external networks (e.g., to be leaked) ca The external networks (e.g., to be leaked) can be networks example, the LPM Table of the devices (e.g.,  $1802, 742$ , etc.) that an external device (e.g., Network Device 114) wants to can include equivalent learned routes

1600 shown in FIG. 16. The application can be present on share with the Fabric 120 via a border leaf (e.g., Leaf N).<br>Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the application The Fabric 120 can in theory import all networ not so limited.<br>At sequence 1710 the various models (e.g., L Model, decisions can be based on resources of the network devices, learned routes populate the LPM Tables stored in the TCAM<br>of each network device. Overpopulating these resources can

At sequence 1720, the application via an L\_Model con-<br>sistency check identifies whether any BD subnets are into Fabric 120. The particular border Leaf 104 (e.g., Leaf N) can store the permissible network(s) in EPGs defined in the L3out table (e.g., table 1806 for Leaf N) within the

a border leaf (e.g., Leaf N), the border leaf (e.g., Leaf N) Leaf (e.g., Leaf N) is under a particular VRF, and as the process would also apply to multiple identified subnets. that learned route to other Leafs 104 (e.g., student leafs) At sequence 1730, the application via an L\_Model con-<br>Mich have an L3out or BD that is under that same pa in their LPM table (e.g.,  $1802$  for Leaf 1 and 742 for Leaf 2) and set the next hop to the source Leaf (e.g. Leaf N). By and/or BD under the same VRF, these source and student Leafs 104 define a "VRF Leaf Group."

application can verify the association via an L-Model con- 40 Fabric 120 of FIG. 7. L\_Model 270A/B contains instructions sistency check.<br>
for Leaf N that it can import from external network 175.\*. At sequence 1740, the application via an L\_Model con-<br>sistency check can validate a contract between the EPGs LPM table 1806. An external Network Device 114 wants to export networks to Fabric 120 through Border Leaf N, for example, external subnets 175.\*, 185.\*, 195.\* as listed in because it is listed in table 1806, but cannot import networks between the EPG under L3out and the EPG under BD. 185.\* and 195.\* because they are not present in table 1806.<br>At sequence 1750, one or more events can be generated. 50 Border Leaf N updates table 748 along with the next ho

etc .).<br>
175.<sup>\*</sup> and propagates the imported networks to Leafs 1 and<br>
2. The LPM tables (e.g., 1802, 742) reflect that the next hop

can include equivalent learned routes as the LPM Table of

175.<sup>\*</sup>) as in Border Leaf N, while the LPM Table of Leaf 1 proper in those Leafs; it would also identify that network (e.g., 1802) shows an extra learned route (e.g., 185.<sup>\*</sup>) not in 185.<sup>\*</sup> is improperly listed in 1802 (e.g., **1802**) shows an extra learned route (e.g.,  $185.*$ ) not in border Leaf N. Network 185.<sup>\*</sup> was not authorized for 5 border Leaf N. Network 185.\* was not authorized for 5 ing error event.<br>
importation by L\_Model 270A. The presence of learned At sequence 1840, the application can validate the next<br>
route 185.\* is thus a discrepancy and ca

network assurance of learned routes of a network environ-<br>method shown in FIG. 18 is provided by way of 10 of non-limiting example, in FIG. 7 the CN\_Model for Leaf ment. The method shown in FIG. 18 is provided by way of 10 example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out the example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out the N is checked to confirm that the next hop for network 175 method. Additionally, while the example method is illus-<br>is the external device that requested import (e.g. trated with a particular order of sequences, those of ordinary  $175.*\rightarrow$ Device 114). In the example of a learned route in a skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 18 and the sequences student leaf, this is a Ci Model l skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 18 and the sequences student leaf, this is a Ci\_Model level consistency check to shown therein can be executed in any order that accom-15 validate that the next hop is directed to

Each sequence shown in FIG. 18 represents one or more (e.g., 175.\*) are directed to the border leafs (e.g., Leaf 3 and processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the N). When there is more than one border leaf and t processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in the N). When there is more than one border leaf and two next example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 18 can be 20 hops (e.g., 175, e.g., 175<sup>\*</sup> $\rightarrow$  Leaf 3, N) the appl example method. The sequences shown in FIG. 18 can be 20 hops (e.g., 175, e.g., 175.\*->Leaf 3, N) the application can implemented in a network environment such as network validate both next hops (for network redundancy th implemented in a network environment such as network validate both next hops (for network redundancy this is environment 700 shown in FIG. 7. The application can be common). present on Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the When routing between two next hops, the leaf (e.g., Leaf application is not so limited. 1) can compute a hash based on flow (e.g., source IP, source

Method 1800 can begin at sequence 1810. At sequence 25 1810, an application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300) can hash will be modulo with the number of border leafs. In this receive various models (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or example, there are two border leafs so the comput receive various models (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or H\_Model) to be checked. For example, each Controller 116 H\_Model) to be checked. For example, each Controller 116 modulo 2 (e.g., hash % 2). The routing would then be in the Fabric 120 can send to the application (e.g., Assurance sprayed among the modulo output. Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620) one or more L\_Models 30 At sequence 1850, one or more events can be generated.<br>270A/B, and each Leaf 104 and Spine 102 sends its corre-<br>50 For example, when the validations are consistent H\_Model configurations are provided and/or received. when one or more of the validations are not consistent (e.g., Those received models will include information content, at 35 inconsistent with expectations of the validat least some of which will be subject to the validation process. tions are not on the correct leafs (according the L\_Model) or<br>For example, each border leaf (e.g., Leaf 3, Leaf N, etc.) in when there are missing or extra inf the Fabric 120 can send to the application, information associated with software model (C\_Model). In some associated with software model (C\_Model). In some can at least be determined based on which validation is examples, the information can be the LPM Table (e.g., L3 40 inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.

Table) and L3out configuration.<br>
Learned routes can be present in the LPM Table within the<br>
Ci\_Model 274 of border nodes (e.g., border Leaf) and the<br>
EPG of L3out in the L\_Model 270A. At sequence 1820, the<br>
An overlapping EPG of L3out in the L\_Model  $270A$ . At sequence 1820, the application examines the Ci\_Model 624 for one or more 45 cause misrouting and lost packets during forwarding (e.g., border Leafs along with the L\_Model 622 to confirm that the using LPM). In a fabric there can be multiple learned routes are consistent with each other. For example, each VRF, for example, BD subnets; EPG subnets (function when the EPG of L3out includes the imported route 175.\*, same as BD subnets); L3out interface; L3out loop when the EPG of L3out includes the imported route 175.\*, same as BD subnets); L3out interface; L3out loopback; the LPM Table should include 175.\* as an imported route L3out static routes; L3out loopbacks; learned routes; e (and no other learned routes, unless imported from a differ- 50 These multiple networks can be determined by operator ent border leaf or a different L3out). In response to discrep-<br>intent or imported (e.g., learned). Each ancies of the learned routes between EPG of L3out and the subnets) can have an IP address and a mask (e.g., IPv4, IPv6, LPM Table an error event can be generated (e.g., at sequence etc.). The mask determines how much of th 1860). In response to no discrepancies, an informational used in forwarding data (e.g., LPM). For example, an event can be generated.  $55 \text{ IP/mask}$  of 200.1.1.1/16 would be used as 200.1.\* because

 $Ci$  Model 624 and L Model 622, although the subject FIG. 19 illustrates network diagram 1900 of potential matter of interest may be extract from overlapping fields of subnet overlaps and exceptions. Generally, subnets in a the models the common format as well as from fields in the fabric can be spread out across the possible s the models the common format as well as from fields in the fabric can be spread out across the possible subnets. How-<br>
<sup>60</sup> ever, operator intent (e.g., misintent) and masks can create

The application thus checks the Ci\_Model 624 configuration for all of Leafs within a common VRF Leaf Group to 65

the border leaf (e.g., **748**). Turning to FIG. 7, the LPM Table Ci\_Model and across multiple Ci\_Models. With respect to of Leaf 2 (e.g., **742**) shows an equivalent learned route (e.g., FIG. 7, this check would confirm tha FIG. 7, this check would confirm that all listings of  $175$ .\* are

route 185.\* is thus a discrepancy and can generate an error. hops for the imported routes. For a learned route in a source FIG. 18 illustrates an example flowchart for an example Leaf, this is a Ci\_Model level consistency Leaf, this is a Ci\_Model level consistency check to validate plishes the technical advantages of the present disclosure By way of non-limiting example, in FIG. 7 the Ci\_Model for and can include fewer or more sequences than illustrated. Leafs 1 and 2 are checked to confirm that the d can include fewer or more sequences than illustrated. Leafs 1 and 2 are checked to confirm that the learned routes Each sequence shown in FIG. 18 represents one or more  $(e.g., 175.*)$  are directed to the border leafs  $(e.g., Le$ 

1) can compute a hash based on flow (e.g., source IP, source port, destination IP, destination port, etc.). The computed

when there are missing or extra information the application can generate an error event. The severity of the error event

ent can be generated.<br>Sequence 1820 may require a common format step for the last 16 bits (in an IPv4 address) are masked.

60 ever, operator intent (e.g., misintent) and masks can create unintended overlap. For example, BD1 subnets and BD2 At sequence 1830, the application can validate the learned unintended overlap. For example, BD1 subnets and BD2 routes are present on the "source" are "student" leafs (e.g., subnets can overlap in that BD1 and BD2 can be c where the VRF for the EPG/Logical Groups are present). with at least one identical subnets (e.g., both are configured<br>The application thus checks the Ci Model 624 configuration with a subnet of 100.1.1.1). In this example, for all of Leafs within a common VRF Leaf Group to 65 overlap and an event is generated. In other examples, this confirm that the learned routes are consistent across the overlap can be constrained by the mask. For example confirm that the learned routes are consistent across the overlap can be constrained by the mask. For example, BD1 group. This is can be a C-Model level consistency check per can have a mask of 32 (e.g., 100.1.1.1/32) and can have a mask of 32 (e.g., 100.1.1.1/32) and BD2 can have

would have subnet 100.1.1.\*. As such, anything other than more L\_Models 270A/B, and one or more Leafs 104 and 100.1.1.1 would be forwarded to BD2. Spines 102 can send corresponding Ci\_Model 274, and

complete overlap is still possible as shown by BD3 subnets L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or H\_Model configurations are and BD4 subnets. For example, BD3 subnets can include provided and/or received. Those received models will and BD4 subnets. For example, BD3 subnets can include provided and/or received. Those received models will 200.1.1.0/16 and BD4 subnets can include 200.1.1.1/24. In include information content, at least some of which will 200.1.1.0/16 and BD4 subnets can include 200.1.1.1/24. In include information content, at least some of which will be this example, while the subnets are different, the mask would subject to the validation process. In some this example, while the subnets are different, the mask would subject to the validation process. In some examples, the overlap them, BD3 subnet would be 200.1.\* and BD4 subnet 10 information can include the multiple networ overlap them, BD3 subnet would be 200.1.<sup>\*</sup> and BD4 subnet 10 information can include the multiple networks in each VRF would be 200.1.1.<sup>\*</sup>. As such, any forwarding to 200.1.1.0 (or (e.g., from the LPM table, tenant confi 200.1.1.<sup>\*</sup>) would go to BD4 subnet even though the intent<br>could be to send to BD3 subnet (because LPM). This missible overlap between the received networks for each could be to send to BD3 subnet (because LPM). This missible overlap between the received networks for each overlapping would generate an event. One exception to this VRF (e.g., in the L Models received). Subnets can be fou would be if BD3 and BD4 were the same BD (e.g., for-15 warding would be to the same BD so no clash).

contract can be in different VRFs, as shown in FIG. 12 (e.g., direct an overlap. An overlap for which no exception exists dashed line from BD2 to VRF2). For example, BD2 can be constitutes a discrepancy. bound to VRF2 and BD1 can be bound to VRF1. When 20 At sequence 2030, one or more events can be generated.<br>Security Contract 2 is formed between EPG3 (bound to For example, when the validations are consistent (e.g., BD2) a BD2) and EPG1 (bound to BD1) the subnets from BD2 (e.g., consistent with expectations of the validation) the applica-<br>300.\* and 400.\*) are leaked to VRF1 and the subnets from can generate an information event. In other exa 400.\* independently and VRF2 cannot use subnets 100.\* tions are not on the correct leafs (according the L\_Model) or and 200.\* independently without their being a clash of when there are missing or extra information the app and 200.\* independently without their being a clash of when there are missing or extra information the application subnets. Appliance 300 is configured to verify and check can generate an error event. The severity of the e there are no clashes between subnets when contracts are can at least be determined based on which validation is formed between EPGs.<br>30 inconsistent and which device had the inconsistency (e.g.,

There are some exceptions to the overlapping which are<br>structure production device, test device, access point, border leaf,<br>shown by the Learned Routes and BD5 subnets. In this<br>example, the Learned Routes can have a subnet 200.1.1.\*. The BD5 subnet is owned by the fabric (e.g., 35 tion is not so limited, and the methodologies can be applied internal) and the Learned Routes is owned external. In this to other topologies.<br>example, the overlap BD5, and everything else will be forwarded external. As such as switches, routers, load balancers, client devices, and such, internal routing is given preference over external and 40 so forth. there will be no misrouting of data. However, when the FIG. 21 illustrates an example network device 2100 situations are reversed (BD5 subnet being 200.\* and exter-<br>suitable for performing switching, routing, load balancin nal being 200.1.1.\*), the internal network is not provided and other networking operations. Network device 2100 preference and internal data could be sent outside the fabric, includes a central processing unit (CPU) 2104,

FIG. 20 illustrates an example flowchart for an example the control of appropriate software or firmware, the CPU network assurance of learned routes of a network environ-<br>2104 is responsible for executing packet management network assurance of learned routes of a network environ-<br>ment. The method shown in FIG. 20 is provided by way of detection, and/or routing functions. The CPU 2104 can example, as there are a variety of ways to carry out the accomplish all these functions under the control of software method. Additionally, while the example method is illus-  $50$  including an operating system and any appr method. Additionally, while the example method is illus- 50 including an operating system and any appropriate applica-<br>trated with a particular order of sequences, those of ordinary tions software. CPU 2104 may include one skill in the art will appreciate that FIG. 20 and the sequences sors 2108, such as a processor from the INTEL X86 family shown therein can be executed in any order that accom-<br>of microprocessors. In some cases, processor 2 shown therein can be executed in any order that accom-<br>plishes the technical advantages of the present disclosure specially designed hardware for controlling the operations of

and can include tewer or more sequences than illustrated. 55 network device 2100. In some cases, a memory 2106 (e.g.,<br>Each sequence shown in FIG. 20 represents one or more<br>processes, methods or subroutines, carried out in environment 700 shown in FIG. 7 and network diagram 60 interface cards (sometimes referred to as "line cards").<br>1900 shown in FIG. 19. The application can be present on Generally, they control the sending and receiving of Appliance 300 and/or Checker 620, although the application packets over the network and sometimes support other<br>peripherals used with the network device 2100. Among the

2010 an application (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300) 65 frame relay interfaces, cable interfaces, DSL interfaces, receives various models (e.g., L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or token ring interfaces, and the like. In addition, var

a mask of 24 (e.g., 100.1.1.1/24). In this example, when trollers  $116$  in the Fabric 120 can send to the application forwarding data BD1 would have subnet 100.1.1.1 and BD2 (e.g., Assurance Appliance 300 and/or Checker 0.1.1.1 would be forwarded to BD2.<br>While the above overlap is constrained by the mask, a  $\frac{102 \text{ cm}}{276}$  and  $\frac{102 \text{ cm}}{276}$ While the above overlap is constrained by the mask, a 5 Ci\_Model 276. In another example, some but not all of the complete overlap is still possible as shown by BD3 subnets L\_Model, C\_Model, and/or H\_Model configurations a

VRF (e.g., in the L\_Models received). Subnets can be found in the L\_Model 270A/B, and learned routes can be found in arding would be to the same BD so no clash). individual Ci\_Model 274 configuration for each VRF. All of in some situations, the EPGs to which there is a security these subnets, including learned routes, can be examined to In some situations, the EPGs to which there is a security these subnets, including learned routes, can be examined to contract can be in different VRFs, as shown in FIG. 12 (e.g., direct an overlap. An overlap for which no

preference and internal data could be sent outside the fabric, includes a central processing unit (CPU) 2104, interfaces and an error can be generated. 45 2102, and a bus 2110 (e.g., a PCI bus). When acting under d an error can be generated. 45 2102, and a bus 2110 (e.g., a PCI bus). When acting under FIG. 20 illustrates an example flowchart for an example the control of appropriate software or firmware, the CPU detection, and/or routing functions. The CPU 2104 can accomplish all these functions under the control of software plishes the technical advantages of the present disclosure specially designed hardware for controlling the operations of and can include fewer or more sequences than illustrated. 55 network device 2100. In some cases, a me

not so limited.<br>
Method 2000 can begin at sequence 2010. At sequence interfaces that may be provided are Ethernet interfaces, high-speed interfaces may be provided such as fast token

ring interfaces, wireless interfaces, Ethernet interfaces, well as a special-purpose processor where software instruc-<br>Gigabit Ethernet interfaces, ATM interfaces, HSSI inter-<br>tions are incorporated into the actual process Gigabit Ethernet interfaces, ATM interfaces, HSSI inter-<br>faces, POS interfaces, FDDI interfaces, WIFI interfaces, processor 2210 may be a completely self-contained comfaces, POS interfaces, FDDI interfaces, WIFI interfaces, processor 2210 may be a completely self-contained com-<br>3G/4G/5G cellular interfaces, CAN BUS, LoRA, and the puting system, containing multiple cores or processors, a like. Generally, these interfaces may include ports appropri-  $\bar{5}$  bus, memory controller, cache, etc ate for communication with the appropriate media. In some may be symmetric or asymmetric. cases, they may also include an independent processor and, To enable user interaction with the computing device<br>in some instances, volatile RAM. The independent proces-<br>2200, an input device 2245 can represent any number o sors may control such communications intensive tasks as input mechanisms, such as a microphone for speech, a packet switching, media control, signal processing, crypto 10 touch-sensitive screen for gesture or graphical inp packet switching, media control, signal processing, crypto 10 touch-sensitive screen for gesture or graphical input, key-<br>processing, and management. By providing separate proces-<br>board, mouse, motion input, speech and so processing, and management. By providing separate proces-<br>solar , mouse, motion input, speech and so forth. An output<br>sors for the communications intensive tasks, these interfaces device 2235 can also be one or more of a n allow the master microprocessor 604 to efficiently perform mechanisms known to those of skill in the art. In some routing computations, network diagnostics, security func-<br>instances, multimodal systems can enable a user to routing computations, network diagnostics, security func-

the only network device architecture on which the present There is no restriction on operating on any particular hard-<br>invention can be implemented. For example, an architecture ware arrangement and therefore the basic fea having a single processor that handles communications as 20 easily be substituted for improved well as routing computations, etc., is often used. Further, arrangements as they are developed. other types of interfaces and media could also be used with Storage device 2230 is a non-volatile memory and can be the network device 2100.

employ one or more memories or memory modules (includ-25 ing memory 2106) configured to store program instructions ing memory 2106) configured to store program instructions devices, digital versatile disks, cartridges, random access for the general-purpose network operations and mechanisms memories (RAMs) 2225, read only memory (ROM) 2 for roaming, route optimization and routing functions and hybrids thereof.<br>
described herein. The program instructions may control the The storage device 2230 can include services 2232, 2234,<br>
operation of an operating sys cations, for example. The memory or memories may also be software modules are contemplated. The storage device configured to store tables such as mobility binding, regis- 2230 can be connected to the system connection 2205 configured to store tables such as mobility binding, regis-<br>tration, and association tables, etc. Memory 2106 could also one aspect, a hardware module that performs a particular tration, and association tables, etc. Memory 2106 could also one aspect, a hardware module that performs a particular hold various software containers and virtualized execution function can include the software component s hold various software containers and virtualized execution function can include the software component stored in a environments and data.

specific integrated circuit (ASIC), which can be configured tion 2205, output device 2235, and so forth, to carry out the to perform routing and/or switching operations. The ASIC function. can communicate with other components in the network For clarity of explanation, in some instances the present<br>device 2100 via the bus 2110, to exchange data and signals 40 technology may be presented as including individu

wherein the components of the system are in electrical 45 In some embodiments the computer-readable storage communication with each other using a connection 2205, devices, mediums, and memories can include a cable or communication with each other using a connection 2205, devices, mediums, and memories can include a cable or such as a bus. Exemplary system 2200 includes a processing wireless signal containing a bit stream and the like. such as a bus. Exemplary system 2200 includes a processing wireless signal containing a bit stream and the like. How-<br>unit (CPU or processor) 2210 and a system connection 2205 ever, when mentioned, non-transitory computerunit (CPU or processor) 2210 and a system connection 2205 ever, when mentioned, non-transitory computer-readable that couples various system components including the sys-<br>storage media expressly exclude media such as energ tem memory 2215, such as read only memory (ROM) 2220 50 carrier signals, electromagnetic waves, and signals per se.<br>and random access memory (RAM) 2225, to the processor<br>2210. The system 2200 can include a cache of high-sp 2210. The system 2200 can include a cache of high-speed be implemented using computer-executable instructions that memory connected directly with, in close proximity to, or are stored or otherwise available from computer r memory connected directly with, in close proximity to, or are stored or otherwise available from computer readable integrated as part of the processor 2210. The system 2200 media. Such instructions can comprise, for exampl integrated as part of the processor 2210. The system 2200 media. Such instructions can comprise, for example, instruc-<br>can copy data from the memory 2215 and/or the storage 55 tions and data which cause or otherwise config device 2230 to the cache 2212 for quick access by the purpose computer, special purpose computer, or special processor 2210. In this way, the cache can provide a per-<br>purpose processing device to perform a certain function processor 2210. In this way, the cache can provide a per-<br>formance boost that avoids processor 2210 delays while group of functions. Portions of computer resources used can formance boost that avoids processor 2210 delays while group of functions. Portions of computer resources used can waiting for data. These and other modules can control or be accessible over a network. The computer executa configured to control the processor  $2210$  to perform various  $\omega$  instructions may be, for example, binaries, intermediate actions. Other system memory  $2215$  may be available for format instructions such as assembly lan actions. Other system memory 2215 may be available for use as well. The memory 2215 can include multiple different use as well. The memory 2215 can include multiple different source code. Examples of computer-readable media that types of memory with different performance characteristics. may be used to store instructions, information u The processor 2210 can include any general purpose pro-<br>
information created during methods according to described<br>
cessor and a hardware or software service, such as service 1 65 examples include magnetic or optical disks 2232, service 2 2234, and service 3 2236 stored in storage USB devices provided with non-volatile memory, net-<br>device 2230, configured to control the processor 2210 as worked storage devices, and so on. device 2230, configured to control the processor 2210 as

puting system, containing multiple cores or processors, a bus, memory controller, cache, etc. A multi-core processor

2200, an input device 2245 can represent any number of tions, etc.<br>
Although the system shown in FIG. 21 is one specific above 2200. The communications interface 2240 can gen-Although the system shown in FIG. 21 is one specific device 2200. The communications interface 2240 can gennetwork device of the present invention, it is by no means erally govern and manage the user input and system outpu ware arrangement and therefore the basic features here may easily be substituted for improved hardware or firmware

e network device 2100.<br>Regardless of the network device's configuration, it may can store data that are accessible by a computer, such as can store data that are accessible by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, solid state memory

vironments and data.<br>The network device 2100 can also include an application-<br>Inardware components, such as the processor 2210, connec-The network device 2100 can also include an application-<br>specific integrated circuit (ASIC), which can be configured tion 2205, output device 2235, and so forth, to carry out the

device 2100, such as routing, switching, and/or data storage devices, device components, steps or routines in a method operations, for example. operations, for example.<br>
FIG. 22 illustrates a computing system architecture 2200 software.

be accessible over a network. The computer executable instructions may be, for example, binaries, intermediate may be used to store instructions, information used, and/or information created during methods according to described

Devices implementing methods according to these disconfirm bridge domain (BD) subnets in the populated closures can comprise hardware, firmware and/or software,<br>and can take any of a variety of form factors. Typical and co digital assistants, rackmount devices, standalone devices,<br>and so con. Functionality described herein also can be<br>embodied in peripherals or add-in cards. Such functionality<br>embodied in peripherals or add-in cards. Such fu

can also be implemented on a circuit board among different<br>chips or different processes executing in a single device, by 10<br>way of further example.<br>The instructions, media for conveying such instructions,<br>computing resourc

used to explain aspects within the scope of the appended subtract one of the software models claims no limitation of the claims should be implied based local logical hardware model; claims, no limitation of the claims should be implied based local logical hardware model;<br>on particular features or arrangements in such examples, as subtract the corresponding local logical model from the on particular features or arrangements in such examples, as subtract the corresponding local one of ordinary skill would be able to use these examples to 20 one of the software models; one of ordinary skill would be able to use these examples to  $20$  one of the software models;<br>derive a wide variety of implementations. Further and wherein a mismatch between the subtractions represents a derive a wide variety of implementations. Further and wherein a mism<br>although some subject matter may have been described in discrepancy. language specific to examples of structural features and/or<br>method steps, it is to be understood that the subject matter<br>discussed which when executed by the at least one processor, causes<br>defined in the appended claims is defined in the appended claims is not necessarily limited to 25 the at least one processor to generate an error event in these described features or acts. For example, such func-<br>response to a mismatch between the subtract tionality can be distributed differently or performed in<br>
tionality can be distributed differently or performed in<br>
described features and steps are disclosed as examples of<br>
described features and steps are disclosed as e

one of a set and multiple members of the set satisfy the claim. For example, proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric, compris-<br>claim language reciting "at least one of A and B" means A, 35 ing:

proper deployment of a configuration in a fabric, comprising:  $\frac{40}{\pi}$ 

- at least one memory configured to store data; and<br>at least one processor operable to execute instructions
- municate within the fabric, the global logical model including at least one virtual routing and forwarding including at least one virtual routing and forwarding converting, for each network device, the global model<br>instance (VRF);<br>to a local logical model in the first format, the local
- instructions from the global logical model in a second format executable on the one or more network devices, the subset of instructions being instructions from the 55 global logical model that are specific to operability of global logical model that are specific to operability of populating each of the created VRF containers with the the one or more network devices;<br>local logical model and the software model for each of
- convert, for each network device, the global logical model into a local logical model in the first format, the local into a local logical model in the first format, the local confirming bridge domain (BD) subnets in the populated logical model being at least a portion of the received 60 VRF container match.
- 
- populate each of the created containers with the local 65 logical model and the software model for each of the network devices associated with the VRF; and

providing the functions described in these disclosures.<br>
The state of the at least one processor to:<br>
Although a variety of examples and other information was the at least one processor to:<br>
15 which when executed by the a

- 
- 
- 

- Exam hanguage rechting " at least one of A and B " means A, 35" receiving, from a controller, a global logical model in a " first format, the global logical model containing What is claimed is: first format, the global logical model containing instructions on how endpoints connected to a network 1. A system for performing a network assurance check of instructions on how endpoints connected to a network<br>
oper deployment of a configuration in a fabric compris-<br>
fabric communicate within the fabric, the global logica  $\frac{1}{2}$  ing:<br>
at least one memory configured to store data; and  $\frac{40}{2}$  model including at least one virtual routing and for
	- least one processor operable to execute instructions receiving, from one or more network devices within the associated with the data, which when executed by the fabric, a software model being at least a subset of associated with the data, which when executed by the fabric, a software model being at least a subset of at least one processor, causes the processor to:  $\frac{1}{2}$  instructions from the global logical model in a second at least one processor, causes the processor to: instructions from the global logical model in a second receive, from a controller, a global logical model in a first 45 format executable on the one or more network devices, format, the global logical model containing instructions the subset of instructions being instructions from the on how endpoints connected to a network fabric com-<br>global logical model that are specific to operability of global logical model that are specific to operability of the one or more network devices;

instance (VRF);<br>into a local logical model in the first format, the local<br>receive, from one or more network devices within the logical model being at least a portion of the received receive, from one or more network devices within the logical model being at least a portion of the received fabric, a software model being at least a subset of global logical model that is specific to operability of the global logical model that is specific to operability of the corresponding each network device;

- format executing a container for each VRF of the at least one VRF<br>in the received global logical model;
- local logical model and the software model for each of<br>the network devices associated with the VRF; and
- 

global logical model that is specific to operability of the **9**. The method of claim **8**, wherein in the populated corresponding each network device;<br>create a container for each VRF of the at least one VRF logical model re

in the received global logical model;<br> **10**. The method of claim 8, in the populated VRF con-<br>
pulate each of the created containers with the local 65 tainer a local logical model without a corresponding software model represents an error in deployment of the global logical model.

11. The method of claim 8, wherein the populating logical model that is specific to operability of the morises a set union, such that the populated VRF con-<br>corresponding each network device; comprises a set union, such that the populated VRF con-<br>
tainer does not contain any duplicative BD subnets.<br>
create a container for each VRF of the at least one VRF

12. The method of claim 8, wherein the method further in the received global logical model;<br>comprises, in the container:  $\frac{1}{5}$  populate each of the created containers with the local

- subtracting one of the software models from a corre-<br>sponding local logical hardware model;<br>subtracting the corresponding local logical model from<br>the one of the software models;<br>the one of the software models;<br> $\frac{1}{10}$
- 

13. The method of claim 12, further comprising generat-<br>ing an error event in response to a mismatch between the 17. The at least one non-transitory computer readable

- storing instructions, which when executed by a processor to contain any duplicative BD subnets.<br>
causes the processor to:<br>
receive, from a controller, a global logical model in a first that is the state one non-transitory municate within the fabric, the global logical model  $\frac{25}{25}$  subtract one of the software models from a corresponding and form a corresponding  $\frac{25}{25}$ including at least one virtual routing and forwarding
	- receive, from one or more network devices within the<br>fabric a software model being at least a subset of wherein a mismatch between the subtractions represents a fabric, a software model being at least a subset of wherein a mism<br>instructions from the slobel logical model in a second discrepancy. instructions from the global logical model in a second  $\frac{30}{30}$  discrepancy.<br> **20.** The at least one non-transitory computer readable global logical model that are specific to operability of the one or more network devices;
	- convert, for each network device, the global model into a  $35<sup>2</sup>$  musulated container. local logical model in the first format, the local logical model being at least a portion of the received global

- tainer does not contain any duplicative BD subnets. create a container for each VRF of the at least one variation of claim  $\alpha$  wherein the method further in the received global logical model;
	- comprises, in the community.<br>
	subtracting one of the software models from a corre-<br>
	subtracting one of the created with the VDE and
		-

wherein a mismatch between the subtractions represents a medium of claim 15, wherein in the populated container a discrepancy.<br>  $\frac{13}{13}$ . The method of claim 12, further comprising generat-<br>  $\frac{13}{13}$ 

subtractions.<br>
14 The method of claim 8 further comprising generating  $\frac{15}{2}$  logical model without a corresponding software model rep-14. The method of claim 8, further comprising generating <sup>15</sup> logical model without a corresponding software model rep-<br>15 represents an error in deployment of the global logical model.

an error event in response to a mismatch in the bridge<br>domain (BD) subnets in the populated VRF.<br>15. At least one non-transitory computer readable medium<br>15. At least one non-transitory computer readable medium<br>to claim 15

- 
- including at least one virtual founting and forwarding<br>instance (VRF);<br>one of the software models,<br>one of the software models,
	-

20. The at least one non-transitory computer readable<br>the subset of instructions being instructions from the medium of claim 15, further comprising instructions, which the subset of instructions being instructions from the medium of claim 15, further comprising instructions, which<br>when executed by the at least one processor, causes the at least one processor to generate an error event in response to a mismatch in the bridge domain (BD) subnets in the