

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization

International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
11 June 2020 (11.06.2020)



(10) International Publication Number
WO 2020/117120 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:

A01N 25/30 (2006.01) A01N 37/10 (2006.01)
A01N 37/02 (2006.01)

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

— as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/SE2019/051232

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(22) International Filing Date:

05 December 2019 (05.12.2019)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

1851515-5 05 December 2018 (05.12.2018) SE

(71) Applicant: **DELAVAL HOLDING AB** [SE/SE]; P.O. Box 39, 147 21 Tumba (SE).

(72) Inventors: **HASTINGS, Lauren**; c/o: DeLaval International AB, P.O. Box 39, 147 21 Tumba (SE). **LEIBOWITZ, Sarah**; c/o: DeLaval International AB, P.O. Box 39, 147 21 Tumba (SE). **PARTRIDGE, James**; c/o: DeLaval International AB, P.O. Box 39, 147 21 Tumba (SE).

(74) Agent: **LILLIEHORN, Tobias**; DeLaval International AB, Intellectual Property Support/Legal Affairs, P.O. Box 39, 147 21 Tumba (SE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(54) Title: TEAT DISINFECTANT COMPOSITION

(57) Abstract: Antimicrobially effective compositions comprising lactic acid, salicylic acid, and at least one anionic surfactant are provided. The compositions are effective in controlling pathogen levels, particularly those commonly found on bovine teats to prevent or reduce the incidence of mastitis in lactating cows. In particular, the compositions possess germicidal and/or yeasticidal characteristics. Methods of using the antimicrobial compositions, as a part of a good milking routine, are also provided in which the compositions are applied to bovine teats.



WO 2020/117120 A1

TEAT DISINFECTANT COMPOSITION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

5 The present invention is generally directed toward a teat disinfectant composition that possesses yeasticidal functionality in addition to the antibacterial characteristics that are expected with teat disinfectants. Embodiments of the present invention comprise at least two organic acids and an anionic surfactant.

Description of the Prior Art

10 Antimicrobial compositions are regularly employed to control or prevent pathogenic diseases in animals, especially mastitis in lactating bovines. Mastitis generally results from contact of the bovine mammary gland with pathogenic microorganisms. While it is most common for mastitis to be a result of a bacterial infection, mastitis also can be caused by yeasts or fungi. Regulatory changes in
15 Europe now require that teat disinfectants possess yeasticidal functionality in addition to bactericidal functionality.

 Traditional oxidative germicides like iodine and chlorine dioxide are yeasticidal, but are not ideal for use in some situations. For example, these active ingredients may not be desired in some markets because of residue concerns for
20 iodine or chlorate.

 Some current commercial teat dips do not kill yeast. For example, U.S. Patent No. 9,750,755 describes a germicidal composition that comprises lactic acid and the anionic surfactant sodium octane sulfonate. However, this composition does not possess the yeasticidal functionality required by current
25 European regulations. In addition, various components which comprise some germicidal compositions are manufactured in facilities that produce a wide range of compounds. Certain of these additional compounds may contaminate the components used in the germicidal compositions, which has been deemed unacceptable for a variety of reasons. Therefore, certain traditional germicidal
30 composition components may need to be avoided depending upon the facility in which they are produced.

Accordingly, there is a need in the art to provide a germicidal composition that is effective in controlling, inhibiting, or otherwise reducing the incidence of mastitis in lactating bovines caused by bacteria and/or yeast.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one embodiment of the present invention there is provided a germicidal and/or yeasticidal composition comprising lactic acid, salicylic acid and at least one anionic surfactant. Preferably, the anionic surfactant is selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate, and sodium lauryl ether sulfate.

10

In preferred embodiments, the antimicrobial composition comprises from 0.75% to 10% by weight of lactic acid, from 0.01% to 5% by weight of salicylic acid, and from 0.1% to 15% by weight of at least one anionic surfactant selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate, and sodium lauryl ether sulfate.

15

In certain embodiments, antimicrobial compositions according to the present invention produce at least a 5-log reduction in bacteria levels when tested according to EN 1656 and at least a 4-log reduction in yeast levels when tested according to EN 1657.

20

According to another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a teat dip composition for use in preventing mastitis in bovines that is caused by bacteria and/or yeast. The teat dip comprises lactic acid, salicylic acid and at least one anionic surfactant. The teat dip composition is applied to the teats of the bovines and is effective in reducing the levels of bacteria and/or yeast present on the bovine's teats. In preferred embodiments, the teat dip composition comprises from 0.75% to 10% by weight of lactic acid, from 0.01% to 5% by weight of salicylic acid, and from 0.1% to 15% by weight of at least one anionic surfactant selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate, and sodium lauryl ether sulfate.

25

30

According to still another embodiment of the present invention there are provided methods for preventing or otherwise reducing the incidence of mastitis in

bovines that is caused by bacteria and/or yeast. The methods comprise applying to the teats of the bovine, preferably post milking, a germicidal and/or yeasticidal composition comprising lactic acid, salicylic acid and at least one anionic surfactant. The composition may be applied by any method that is conventional in the art, such as spraying, brushing, dipping or foaming of the animal's teats. Preferably, the composition comprises from 0.75% to 10% by weight of lactic acid, from 0.01% to 5% by weight of salicylic acid, and from 0.1% to 15% by weight of at least one anionic surfactant selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate, and sodium lauryl ether sulfate. The compositions preferably produce at least a 5-log reduction in bacteria levels when tested according to EN 1656 and at least a 4-log reduction in yeast levels when tested according to EN 1657.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Compositions according to embodiments of the present invention possess germicidal and/or yeasticidal characteristics and are suitable for application to animal skin, especially bovine teats to prevent and/or reduce the incidence of mastitis in cattle.

As used herein, the term "germicidal" refers to the ability of a composition to destroy microorganisms, especially pathogenic organisms such as bacteria, and may or may not include bacterial spores.

As used herein, the term "yeasticidal" refers to the ability of a composition to destroy yeast, a type of fungus. Hence, if a composition possesses yeasticidal characteristics, it may also be referred to as fungicidal. A yeasticidal (fungicidal) composition may or may not destroy both vegetative and spore forms of yeast (fungi).

Certain embodiments according to the present invention comprise, consist of, or consist essentially of at least two germicidal agents, and at least one anionic surfactant. Additionally, certain embodiments may further comprise, consist of, or consist essentially of at least one of the following: magnesium oxide, one or more non-ionic surfactants, a thickener or film-forming agent, a buffer system, a dye or

colorant, and one or more skin conditioning agents. These compositions, which are preferably in the form of a solution, are effective in controlling bacteria and yeast when used on lactating cows as a part of a good milking routine.

Embodiments of the present invention generally comprise two germicidal agents, both of which may be organic acids. In particularly preferred 5 embodiments, one of the organic acids is salicylic acid and at least one other of the organic acids is lactic acid. The salicylic acid may be present in embodiments of the present invention in an amount of from 0.01% to 5% by weight, from 0.05% to 2.5% by weight, or from 0.1% to 1% by weight. The lactic acid may be present 10 in embodiments of the present invention in an amount of from 0.75% to 10% by weight, from 1% to 7.5% by weight, or from 2% to 5% by weight.

In some embodiments of the present invention, the use of certain organic acids, such a glycolic acid and formic acid is avoided. In those embodiments, the compositions are essentially free of glycolic and/or formic acid (i.e., comprises less 15 than 0.1%, or less than 0.01%, by weight of the acid). Also, in certain embodiments, the compositions are essentially free of antimicrobially effective solvents, particularly benzyl alcohol and/or phenoxyethanol (i.e., comprises less than 0.1%, or less than 0.01%, by weight of the solvents).

Compositions according to the present invention preferably comprise at 20 least one anionic surfactant that boosts the germicidal efficacy of the lactic and salicylic acids. Preferably, the at least one anionic surfactant is selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), and sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLES), with SLS being most preferred. In certain embodiments, this particular anionic surfactant is present in the 25 antimicrobial composition in an amount of from 0.1% to 15% by weight, from 0.2% to 10% by weight, or from 0.5% to 7% by weight. In particular, when the anionic surfactant comprises a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphate (e.g., MULTITROPE 1214 by Croda), the polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphate is present in the antimicrobial composition in an amount of from 0.1% to 10% by 30 weight, from 0.5% to 5% by weight, or from 1% by weight to 4% by weight. When the anionic surfactant comprises SLS, the SLS can be present in the antimicrobial

composition in an amount of from 0.1% to 5% by weight, from 0.2% to 3% by weight, or from 0.5% to 2% by weight. When the anionic surfactant comprises SLES, the SLES can be present in the antimicrobial composition in an amount of from 0.5% to 15% by weight, from 0.75% to 10% by weight, or from 1% to 5% by weight. It is noted that preferred embodiments of the present invention are essentially free of certain anionic surfactants, such as sodium octane sulfonate (SOS) (i.e., comprise less than 0.1% by weight, or less than 0.01% by weight of the surfactant).

In certain embodiments, the compositions may further comprise one or more non-ionic surfactants. Preferably, the non-ionic surfactant is polyethoxylated polyoxypropylene block copolymer (poloxamer) including the PLURONIC brand of poloxamers commercialized by BASF, or a polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, such as TWEEN 80 (polysorbate 80). The one or more non-ionic surfactants are generally present in an amount of from 0.01% to 5% by weight, from 0.05% to 2.5% by weight, or from 0.1% to 1% by weight. In certain embodiments, the non-ionic surfactant acts as a chelator in these antimicrobial solutions, prolonging the physical and chemical stability of the solutions. In addition, the presence of the non-ionic surfactant, especially the poloxamer, provides advantageous effects from a manufacturing standpoint in that the nonionic surfactant contributes to rapid solubilization of salicylic acid and/or magnesium oxide, which is described in greater detail below. The one or more non-ionic surfactants may also enhance the wetting and/or barrier characteristics of the compositions.

In certain embodiments, one or more additional anionic surfactants may also be present in the antimicrobial composition to act as wetting agents. Generally, though, these additional anionic surfactants do not appreciably affect the antimicrobial performance of the compositions. Exemplary additional anionic surfactants include sodium C14-C15 olefin sulfonate, such as BIO-TERGE AS-40 from Stepan, or sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, such as AEROSOL OT from Cytec.

Certain embodiments of the present invention may also comprise additional barrier- or film-forming agents, which help the composition remain on the animal's skin in between milking cycles. Barrier- and film-forming agents may coat the

animal's skin and physically cover the open end of the teat canal to prevent infiltration of pathogenic microorganisms. Exemplary barrier-forming agents that may be used with the present invention include pullulan and xanthan gum (which may also function as a thickener). These barrier-forming agents may be present
5 in the antimicrobial compositions in an amount of from 0.01% to 5% by weight, from 0.05% to 2.5% by weight, or from 0.1% to 1% by weight.

Compositions according to the present invention may also include one or more skin-conditioning agents. Exemplary skin conditioning agents include sorbitol, lanolin, allantoin and propylene glycol. As explained below, propylene
10 glycol, in conjunction with magnesium oxide, assists in providing low temperature stability for the antimicrobial compositions. The skin conditioning agents may be present in the compositions in an amount of from 1% to 30% by weight, from 5% to 25% by weight, or from 10% to 20% by weight. In certain embodiments, sorbitol is the majority component of the various skin-conditioning agents and can
15 comprise from 5% to 20%, from 7.5% to 15%, or from 10% to 12.5% by weight of the composition. Lanolin may comprise from 0.5% to 8% by weight, from 1% to 6.5% by weight, or from 3% to 5% by weight of the composition. Propylene glycol may comprise from 0.5% to 10% by weight, from 1% to 7.5% by weight, or from 2.5% to 5% by weight of the composition.

20 The compositions according to the present invention may also include a dye so that extent of application of the compositions, especially to animal skin, is readily visually apparent. Exemplary dyes include E102 Granular and E133 Granular.

Certain compositions according to the present invention may further
25 comprise one or more buffering agents. Preferred buffering agents can be either acids or bases that can be used to adjust the pH of the composition. Sodium hydroxide is a particularly preferred buffering agent. The buffering agent may be present in the composition in an amount of from 0.1 to 2.5% by weight, and preferably in an amount of from 0.2% to 1.5% by weight. Preferably, the
30 antimicrobial compositions have a pH of from 2.5 to 3.5, and more preferably 2.8.

Aside from combinations of the aforementioned components, the balance of the composition comprises water, preferably distilled or deionized water. In certain embodiments, the compositions comprise at least 60%, at least 65%, or at least 70% by weight water, and no more than 95%, 90%, or 85% by weight water.

5 It is noted that a problem with the use of certain surfactants, such as SLS, and with the use of certain germicidal organic acids, such as salicylic acid, is that both may tend to fall out of solution at cold temperatures. Therefore, certain embodiments of the present invention may comprise additional components that function to keep these materials in solution. Magnesium oxide (MgO) and
10 propylene glycol are included in the formula to provide low temperature stability, especially to keep SLS and salicylic acid in solution. Magnesium oxide is present within certain embodiments of the present invention in an amount of from 0.01% to 1% by weight, 0.025% to 0.5% by weight, or 0.05% to 0.25% by weight.

With respect to stability, certain compositions according to the present
15 invention are highly storage stable across a wide range of storage conditions. By "storage stable" it is meant that the composition remains as a solution and that individual components do not separate or precipitate out of solution during the storage period. Certain compositions exhibit storage stability for at least 3 months, at least 6 months, or at least one year when stored at temperatures ranging from
20 4°C to 40°C. Preferably, any test of the storage stability of the compositions is performed at a constant temperature over the storage period, the temperature being 4°C, 25°C, or 40°C.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, selection of the ratio of the anionic surfactant, and especially SLS, to the skin conditioning agent, especially
25 propylene glycol, is important to achieving both low temperature stability and antimicrobial efficacy of the formulation. In preferred embodiments, the ratio of the anionic surfactant (e.g., SLS) to the skin conditioning agent (e.g., propylene glycol) is greater than 1:1, from 1:1.5 to 1:10, or from 1:2 to 1:5. In some embodiments, especially when SLS is present, the concentration of SLS should, at the same time,
30 be no greater than 2%.

Preferred compositions according to the present invention exhibit

germicidal and/or yeasticidal efficacy, and preferably both germicidal and yeasticidal efficacy. Measures of germicidal and yeasticidal efficacy include testing of the composition according to EN 1656 and EN 1657, respectively. These test procedures are described in further detail below. Preferably, when tested according to EN 1656, certain compositions according to the present invention produce at least a 5-log reduction in levels of one or more bacteria, including, but not limited to *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *S. uberis*. When tested according to EN 1657, certain compositions according to the present invention produce at least a 4-log reduction in levels of one or more yeasts, such as *C. albicans*. Preferably, the EN 1656 and EN 1657 testing is performed in the presence of an interfering substance, such as 1% reconstituted skim milk, 3% bovine albumin (a simulated "low soil" condition), or 10% bovine albumin and 10% yeast extract (a simulated "high soil" condition).

As mentioned previously, compositions according to the present invention can be applied to animal skin, especially bovine teats, in a therapeutic and/or prophylactic manner to prevent or reduce the incidences of bovine mastitis that is caused by bacteria and/or yeast. Thus, the compositions described herein can be used as a part of a well-established practice to improve animal hygiene and kill bacteria and/or yeast residing on the bovine's teats, which otherwise may infiltrate the teat orifice and potentially cause mastitis. Preferably, compositions according to the present invention are formulated as read-to-use compositions, which do not require further dilution prior to application to the animal's teats. In addition, the compositions are most suitable for use on bovine teats as a post-milking topical application. Application of the compositions may occur by any means conventional in the art including spraying, dipping, or foaming of the animal's teats.

The following Table 1 summarizes preferred compositions according to the present invention. It is understood that the more preferred and most preferred ranges for each component expressed in Table 1 are fully encompassed by the preferred ranges that component, and that any lower limit may be combined with any upper limit of any expressed range.

Table 1

Component	Preferred amount (wt. %)	More preferred amount (wt. %)	Most preferred amount (wt. %)
Lactic acid	0.75-10%	1-7.5%	2-5%
Salicylic acid	0.01-5%	0.05-2.5%	0.1-1%
Anionic surfactant(s) (total)	0.1-15%	0.2-10%	0.5-7%
SLS (when present)	0.1-5%	0.2-3%	0.5-2%
SLES (when present)	0.5-15%	0.75-10%	1-5%
Polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphate (when present)	0.1-10%	0.5-5%	1-4%
MgO	0.01-1%	0.025-0.5%	0.05-0.25%
Nonionic surfactant(s)	0.01-5%	0.05-2.5%	0.1-1%
Skin conditioning agent(s) (total)	1-30%	5-25%	10-20%
Propylene glycol (when present)	0.5-10%	1-7.5%	2.5-5%
Ratio of SLS to propylene glycol (when both present)	<1:1	1:1.5 to 1:10	1:2 to 1:5

EXAMPLES

The following tables describe compositions made in accordance with the present invention. These examples are provided by way of illustration and should not be taken as limiting upon the scope of the present invention. Certain formulations were tested according to several procedures to evaluate characteristics such as germicidal and yeasticidal efficacy.

Microbiology Testing

Microbiology tests were performed to determine if some representative compositions would be capable of the standard log reduction requirement to be a bactericidal and yeasticidal agent. These tests involved exposing the bacteria or yeast to an interfering substance then exposing this mixture to the compositions. The log reduction obtained from these microbiology tests are provided in the tables, below.

EN1656 – Chemical Disinfectants and Antiseptics - Quantitative suspension test for the evaluation of bactericidal activity of chemical disinfectants and antiseptics used in the veterinary field.

In this test, bacteria are exposed to an interfering substance before being exposed to the composition. The interfering substance used was milk (1% reconstituted skim milk). The microorganisms used to evaluate germicidal efficacy were *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10536 (*E. coli*), *Streptococcus uberis* ATCC 19436 (*S. uberis*), *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 (*S. aureus*).

The microorganisms are prepared from glycerol stocks that are spread onto tryptic soy plates and allowed to incubate for 18-24 hours. This is the stock culture. A subculture is then prepared from the stock culture by streaking tryptic soy agar plates and allowing incubation for 18-24 hours. Second and third subcultures are prepared from the first subculture in the same way. These subcultures are the working cultures. Loopfuls of the working cultures are then transferred to diluent to create a standardized bacterial cell suspension, this suspension is used in the testing against the compositions. The bacteria were diluted to form a suspension having an initial concentration of about 10^8 cfu/ml.

Skimmed milk (10 g/l) was used as the interfering substance. 1 ml of interfering substance and 1 ml of bacterial suspension were mixed and left in contact for 2 minutes at 30°C. 8 ml of the formulations described below in Tables 2-5 were then added to the mixture and left in contact for 5 minutes at 30°C. For the inoculum control, one milliliter of the bacteria solution was removed and diluted with 9 ml of diluent at pH 7.0, and then four successive dilutions were made. Samples from each dilution were plated in duplicate and agar was added. For each treatment, one ml of the previous mixture was added to 9 ml of neutralizing solution and then mixed. One ml of the neutralized solution was then placed into petri dishes in duplicate. Approximately 15 ml of sterile tryptic soy agar was added to each Petri dish and when solidified, each plate was incubated at 36°C for 48 hours. Colony forming units on plates were counted after 24 and 48 hours incubation. This procedure was repeated for all samples to be tested. Passing bactericidal efficacy is at least a 5-log reduction of starting inoculum cell counts.

EN1657 – Chemical Disinfectants and Antiseptics - Quantitative suspension test for the evaluation of fungicidal or yeasticidal activity of chemical disinfectants and antiseptics used in the veterinary field.

In this test, yeasts are exposed to an interfering substance before being exposed to the composition. The interfering substance was milk (1% reconstituted skim milk). The microorganism used to evaluate teat disinfection was *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 (*C. albicans*).

The microorganisms are prepared from glycerol stocks that are spread onto malt extract plates and allowed in incubate for 42-48 hours. This is the stock culture. A subculture is then prepared from the stock culture by streaking malt extract agar plates and allowing incubation for 42-72 hours. Second and third subcultures are prepared from the first subculture in the same way. These subcultures are the working cultures. Loopfuls of the working cultures are then transferred to diluent to create a standardized cell suspension, this suspension is used in the testing against the compositions. The yeasts were diluted to form a suspension having an initial concentration of about 10^7 cfu/ml.

Standard general disinfection temperature is 10°C; however, 30°C is a more realistic teat disinfection temperature. All reagents used in the testing of the compositions are equilibrated to temperature before the testing begins. The standard contact time for general disinfection is 30 minutes; however, the practical contact times for teat disinfection is 5 minutes. To test the compositions from Tables 2-5, 1 ml of interfering substance and 1 ml of yeast extract were mixed and left in contact for 2 minutes at 30°C. 8 ml of the formulations described below in Tables 2–5 were then added to the mixture and left in contact for 5 minutes at 30°C. For the inoculum control, one milliliter of the yeast solution was removed and diluted with 9 ml of diluent at pH 7.0, and then three successive dilutions were made. Samples from each dilution were plated in duplicate and agar was added. For each treatment, one ml of the previous mixture was added to 9 ml of neutralizing solution and then mixed. One ml of the neutralized solution was then placed into petri dishes in duplicate. Approximately 15 ml of sterile tryptic soy agar was added to each Petri dish and when solidified, each plate was incubated at

30°C. for 48 hours. Colony forming units on plates were counted after 48 hours incubation. This procedure was repeated for all samples to be tested. Passing yeasticidal efficacy is at least a 4-log reduction of starting inoculum cell counts.

5 The plates with microbial growth populations between 30 and 300 were counted and results were expressed as logarithmic reductions according to EN 1656 and EN 1657 test methods. The tables below provides the results of the EN 1656 and EN 1657 tests as well as the active concentration of each chemical used in the formulation.

10 The data shown in Tables 2 and 3 generally indicate that preferred compositions having lactic acid concentrations of greater than 0.5%, salicylic acid concentrations of 0.1% or greater, and SLS concentrations of 0.5 % or greater exhibit acceptable yeasticidal and antibacterial characteristics.

Table 2

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Ingredient												
Deionized Water	65.30	64.57	71.97	72.02	69.62	72.12	72.51	72.76	73.26	72.02	74.26	75.37
Sorbitol, 70%	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42
Pullulan	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Xanthan gum	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
L(+)-Lactic acid, HS, 90%	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.92	2.22	1.11
Poloxamer 338 (Pluronic F108)	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Allantoin	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Magnesium oxide	0	0.10	0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.050
Lanolin 50%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
E133 Granular (colorant)	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036
E102 Granular (colorant)	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
Sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, 75% (Aerosol OT)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0	0	0.15	0	0
Alpha olefin sulfonate, 40%	0	7.00	0	0	2.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sodium lauryl sulfate, 30%	10	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Propylene glycol	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Salicylic acid	0	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
TWEEN 80	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.30	0.30
Sodium hydroxide, 29%	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.20	0.20

Log Reduction, <i>C. albicans</i> , EN 1657, 30°C, milk, 5 min	5.9	5.4	6.5	6.5	5.4	5.7	4.5	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.1
Log Reduction, <i>S. aureus</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	--	--	--	--	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.5	6.5
Log Reduction, <i>E. coli</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	--	--	--	--	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.7	6.1
Log Reduction <i>S. uberis</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	--	--	--	--	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.2	6.2

Formula 1, which did not comprise MgO or salicylic acid, while exhibiting yeasticidal characteristics, was discovered to not be physically stable at 4°C.

Table 3

Ingredient	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Deionized Water	75.93	72.71	72.86	73.01	73.16	73.31	73.34	76.05	73.13	64.48	75.66
Sorbitol, 70%	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42
Pullulan	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Xanthan gum	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
L(+)-Lactic acid, HS, 90%	0.56	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	5.56	11.11	3.33
Poloxamer 338 (Pluronic F108)	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Allantoin	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10

Magnesium oxide	0.050	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Lanolin 50%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
E133 Granular (colorant)	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036
E102 Granular (colorant)	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
Sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, 75% (Aerosol OT)	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0	0.15	0
Alpha olefin sulfonate, 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sodium lauryl sulfate, 30%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Propylene glycol	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Salicylic acid	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
TWEEN 80	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Sodium hydroxide, 29%	0.20	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.15	0.17	0.31	0.7	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Log Reduction, <i>C. albicans</i> , EN 1657, 30°C, milk, 5 min	<3.0	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.5	5.3	4.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	4.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.6	4.2	5.3	5.3	5.3
Log Reduction, <i>S. aureus</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6
Log Reduction, <i>E. coli</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	5.1	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.5	5.3	6.4	6.4	6.4	5.3	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
Log Reduction <i>S. uberis</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2

Table 4

	22	23	24	25	26
Ingredient					
Deionized Water	74.00	74.75	69.74	60.49	66.84
Sorbitol, 70%	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42
Pullulan	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Xanthan gum	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
L(+)-Lactic acid, HS, 90%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Poloxamer 338 (Pluronic F108)	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Allantoin	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Magnesium oxide	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
Lanolin 50%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
E133 Granular (colorant)	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036
E102 Granular (colorant)	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
Sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, 75% (Aerosol OT)	0	0	0	0	0
Alpha olefin sulfonate, 40%	0	0	0	0	0
Sodium lauryl sulfate, 30%	3.33	3.33	6.66	9.99	6.66
Propylene glycol	2.00	1.00	3.00	9.00	6.00
Salicylic acid	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
TWEEN 80	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Sodium hydroxide, 29%	0.35	0.60	0.28	0.20	0.18
Ratio of parts SLS to parts propylene glycol	1:2	1:1	2:3	3:9	2:6
Log Reduction, <i>C. albicans</i> , EN 1657, 30°C, milk, 5 min	5.5	4.3	5.5	5.2	5.5
Log Reduction, <i>S. aureus</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.5	4.9	6.5	6.5	6.5
Log Reduction, <i>E. coli</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
Log Reduction <i>S. uberis</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2

Formulations 24-26 were not storage stable for 3 weeks at 4C, despite being antimicrobially effective. This indicates that for the formulations tested, it becomes difficult to keep the SLS dissolved when it is present in the formulation in concentrations greater than 2% by weight. However, the data also shows that a minimum ratio of SLS to propylene glycol is required to achieve acceptable yeasticidal and bactericidal performance. In the embodiments tested, a ratio of SLS to propylene glycol of less than 1:1 (i.e., a greater amount of propylene glycol relative to SLS) is required in order to achieve acceptable antimicrobial performance. Without wishing to be bound by any theory, it is believed that the combination of SLS and propylene glycol reduces barriers to cell permeation, possibly allowing lactic acid easier access to the interior of the bacteria and yeast cells.

Table 5

	27	28	29	30
Ingredient				
Deionized water	72.91	65.57	75.13	72.52
Sorbitol, 70%	11.42	11.42	11.42	11.42
Pullulan	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Xanthan gum	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
L(+)-Lactic Acid, HS, 90%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Poloxamer 338 (Pluronic F108)	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Allantoin	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Magnesium oxide	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Lanolin 50%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
E133 Granular (colorant)	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036
E102 Granular (colorant)	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
Sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, 75% (Aerosol OT)	0	0	0	0
Alpha olefin sulfonate, 40%	0	0	0	0
Sodium lauryl sulfate, 30%	0	0	0	0
Propylene glycol	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Salicylic acid	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
TWEEN 80 (Eur. Ph.)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30

Sodium hydroxide, 29%	0.20	0.40	0.50	1.00
Sodium lauryl ether sulfate, 28%	3.57	10.71	0	0
Multitrope 1214, 95% (Alkoxylated phosphate ester)	0	0	1.05	3.16
Log Reduction, <i>C. albicans</i> , EN 1657, 30°C, milk, 5 min	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Log Reduction, <i>S. aureus</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Log Reduction, <i>E. coli</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1
Log Reduction <i>S. uberis</i> , EN 1656, 30°C, milk, 5 min	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3

Claims

1. An antimicrobial composition comprising:
from 0.75% to 10% by weight of lactic acid;
from 0.01% to 5% by weight of salicylic acid;
5 from 0.1% to 15% by weight of at least one anionic surfactant selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether phosphates, sodium lauryl sulfate, and sodium lauryl ether sulfate.
2. The composition according to claim 1, further comprising from 0.01% to 1%
10 by weight of magnesium oxide.
3. The composition according to claim 1 or 2, further comprising from 0.01% to 5% of one or more nonionic surfactants, wherein at least one of the nonionic surfactants comprises a polyoxyethylene, polyoxypropylene block
15 polymer or polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate.
4. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims further comprising from 0.5% to 10%, preferably 1-7.5%, more preferably 2.5-5%,
20 by weight of propylene glycol.
5. The composition according to claim 4 wherein the ratio of nonionic surfactant, such as sodium lauryl sulfate, and propylene glycol is less than 1:1, preferably 1:1.5 to 1:10, more preferably 1:2 to 1:5.
- 25 6. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the anionic surfactant is sodium lauryl sulfate.
7. The composition according to claim 6 wherein the amount of sodium lauryl sulfate is 0.1-5%, preferably 0.2-3%, more preferably 0.5-2% by weight.
- 30 8. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims, further comprising from 5% to 20% by weight of sorbitol.

9. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the amount of lactic acid is 1-7.5%, preferably 2-5%, by weight.
- 5 10. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the amount of salicylic acid is 0.05-2.5%, preferably 0.1-1%, by weight.
11. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the total amount of anionic surfactant is 0.2-10%, preferably 0.5-7%, by weight.
- 10 12. A teat dip composition according to any one of the preceding claims for use in preventing mastitis in bovines that is caused by bacteria and/or yeast, wherein the teat dip composition is applied to the teats of the bovines and is effective in reducing the levels of bacteria and yeast present on the teats.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/SE2019/051232

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 INV. A01N25/30 A01N37/02 A01N37/10
 ADD.
 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
 Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 A01N
 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
 EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2007/275070 A1 (AHMED FAHIM U [US] ET AL) 29 November 2007 (2007-11-29) paragraphs [0015] - [0038], [0088], [0094]; claims; table 5 -----	1-12
Y	US 2016/128944 A1 (CHAWRAI SURESH [IN] ET AL) 12 May 2016 (2016-05-12) paragraphs [0052], [0252] - [0258]; claims -----	1-12

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 February 2020

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/02/2020

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Butkowskyj-Walkiw, T

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/SE2019/051232

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
US 2007275070	A1	29-11-2007	CA 2651737 A1	06-12-2007
			EP 2043590 A1	08-04-2009
			ES 2718608 T3	03-07-2019
			US 2007275070 A1	29-11-2007
			US 2013065865 A1	14-03-2013
			WO 2007140267 A1	06-12-2007

US 2016128944	A1	12-05-2016	AU 2014276460 A1	24-12-2015
			AU 2017203374 A1	08-06-2017
			AU 2018282407 A1	17-01-2019
			BR 112015030501 A2	25-07-2017
			CA 2914583 A1	11-12-2014
			CN 105407718 A	16-03-2016
			EA 201592236 A1	30-06-2016
			EP 3003031 A1	13-04-2016
			HK 1217409 A1	13-01-2017
			JP 2016523232 A	08-08-2016
			KR 20160015335 A	12-02-2016
			NZ 714817 A	28-07-2017
			US 2016128944 A1	12-05-2016
			WO 2014195872 A1	11-12-2014
