

⑫ **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

⑰ Application number: 79200196.8

⑤① Int. Cl.²: C 01 C 1/02

⑱ Date of filing: 18.04.79

⑳ Priority: 29.04.78 NL 7804668

⑦① Applicant: STAMICARBON B.V., Postbus 10, NL-6160 MC Geleen (NL)

④③ Date of publication of application: 14.11.79
Bulletin 79/23


⑦② Inventor: Biermans, Andreas Johannes,
Slagboomweg 23, NL-6129 GA Urmond (NL)

⑥④ Designated Contracting States: BE DE FR GB IT NL SE

⑦④ Representative: Hatzmann, Marius Jan,
OCTROOBUREAU DSM P.O.Box 9, NL-6160 MA Geleen (NL)

⑤④ **Process for the separation of ammonia and carbon dioxide from mixtures containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water.**

⑤⑦ An improved process for the separate recovery of substantially pure ammonia and substantially pure carbon dioxide from mixtures containing ammonia and carbon dioxide, and possibly water, such as are obtained as by-products in the manufacture of melamine from urea or the synthesis of urea from ammonia and carbon dioxide. The by-product mixture is introduced into a process loop having an ammonia separation zone (1) with a NH_3 -rectifying column (3), a carbon dioxide separation zone (2) with a CO_2 -rectifying column (18), and a desorption zone (3) where virtually all NH_3 and CO_2 are removed in desorber (10), the zone to which the mixture is initially fed being dependent upon its composition. The separation is effected by maintaining a system pressure differential between the ammonia and carbon dioxide separation zones, or adding diluting water to the carbon dioxide separation zone. The efficiency of the separation is enhanced by introducing an ammonia containing gaseous phase into the bottom of the carbon dioxide separation zone.



EP 0 005 292 A1

PROCESS FOR THE SEPARATION OF AMMONIA
AND CARBON DIOXIDE FROM MIXTURES CONTAINING
AMMONIA, CARBON DIOXIDE AND WATER

The invention relates to a process for the separate recovery
5 of ammonia substantially free of carbon dioxide and water, and carbon
dioxide substantially free of ammonia and water, from a mixture
essentially containing ammonia and carbon dioxide, in which process:

an ammonia off-gas substantially free of carbon dioxide and
water is separated in an ammonia separation zone, and the
10 resulting residual liquid phase containing ammonia, carbon
dioxide and water is removed therefrom and introduced into a
carbon dioxide separation zone;

a carbon dioxide off-gas substantially free of ammonia and water
is separated in a carbon dioxide separation zone and the
15 resulting residual liquid phase containing ammonia, carbon
dioxide and water is removed therefrom.

In some chemical processes mixtures containing ammonia and
carbon dioxide, and sometimes also containing water, are obtained
as by-products. For instance, in the synthesis of melamine from urea,
20 a gas mixture is obtained which, in addition to melamine, also contains
ammonia and carbon dioxide in amounts of at least 1.7 tons per ton of
melamine. Such mixtures are also obtained in the preparation of urea
from ammonia and carbon dioxide, resulting from the decomposition of
by-product ammonium carbamate, and its separation from the urea product.
25 In order to effectively utilize this ammonia and carbon dioxide after
separating it from the melamine or urea, for example as recycle to a
urea synthesis process, it is in most cases necessary to raise the
gases to a higher pressure. Compression of such mixture requires
special measures to prevent the condensation of ammonia and carbon
30 dioxide and the deposition of solid ammonium carbamate thereby formed.

For this reason, such gas mixtures are usually absorbed in water
or in an aqueous solution, which results in the formation of an ammonium
carbamate solution which can be pumped to the urea synthesis reactor,
sometimes being concentrated by desorption and repeated

absorption at a higher pressure. A disadvantage of this procedure is that the water, recycled to the urea reactor together with the ammonia and carbon dioxide, has an unfavorable effect on the urea synthesis reaction.

5 It has been proposed to separately remove the ammonia and carbon dioxide from the by-product mixtures, and to separately recycle them in order to avoid the formation and deposition of ammonium carbamate. However, the binary system of ammonia and carbon dioxide forms
10 a maximum boiling azeotrope at a molar ammonia-to-carbon dioxide ratio of about 2:1, and therefore cannot be separated by simple distillation. This phenomenon also occurs in the ternary system of ammonia, carbon dioxide and water, and the term azeotrope as used herein should
15 be understood to include this phenomenon in the ternary system as well. Also as used herein, with respect to such binary or ternary mixture, the term "rich" with respect to ammonia shall be understood to mean that when heat is applied to a mixture 'rich' in ammonia, substantially pure gaseous
20 ammonia escapes, until the remaining mixture has a composition on the boundary line (to be defined hereinafter). On the other hand the term 'lean' with respect to ammonia means that the mixture is not 'rich' in ammonia.

Conversely the term 'rich' with respect to carbon-dioxide
25 means that when heat is applied to a mixture 'rich' in carbon dioxide, substantially pure carbon dioxide escapes. The term 'lean' with respect to carbon dioxide means, that the mixture is not 'rich' in carbon dioxide.

Figure 1 shows the ammonia-carbon dioxide-water
30 ternary system at constant pressure in a triangular diagram. The system is divided into two areas by line

III which is termed herein the "boundary line", and which represents the azeotropic composition at constant pressure at varying water concentrations. This boundary line cannot be transgressed by means of normal
5 distilling or rectifying techniques.

Thus when a liquid mixture rich with respect to ammonia, that is, falling within the area I on Figure 1, is rectified, gaseous ammonia escapes until the liquid composition reaches the boundary line. When a mixture
10 rich with respect to carbon dioxide, falling within area II on Figure 1 is rectified, gaseous carbon dioxide escapes until the liquid composition reaches the boundary line. Once the liquid mixture composition is at the boundary line, further rectification or
15 distillation at constant pressure results in a gaseous mixture of all components, but the composition of the remaining liquid mixture does not leave the boundary line. See also, P.J.C. Kaasenbrood, Chemical Reaction Engineering, Proceedings of the Fourth European
20 Symposium, Sept. 9-11, 1968, published by Pergamon Press (1971), pages 317-328.

Various methods have been proposed to get around this azeotrope, all of which entail the separation of the ammonia-carbon dioxide mixtures into their constitu-
25 ents. Separate recovery of ammonia is most important, it being the most valuable component.

Some of these processes are based on selective absorption of either the ammonia or the carbon dioxide in a liquid. The Netherlands Patent Application No.
30 143,063, for example, describes a process in which ammonia is absorbed in an aqueous solution of an ammonium salt of a strong acid, such as ammonium nitrate, at an elevated pressure. Selective absorption of carbon dioxide by washing a gas mixture with an
35 aqueous alkanolamine solution, such as monoethanolamine

is disclosed in German Patent Specification No. 669,314. However, all of these processes have the drawback that the absorbed component must thereafter be removed from the absorbent and purified.

5 It has further been proposed to separate ammonia and carbon dioxide from mixtures of ammonia, carbon dioxide and water by distilling off most of the ammonia in a first step followed by distilling off the carbon dioxide in a second step carried out at a higher system
10 pressure. The term "system pressure" as used herein means the sum of the partial pressures of ammonia, carbon dioxide and water. Processes of this kind are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,112,177 and 4,060,591 and in British Patent Nos. 916,945 and 1,129,939.

15 U.S. Patent No. 3,112,177 describes a process in which in a first step carried out at a system pressure of between 1 and 5 atmospheres absolute, carbon dioxide gas is separated from a mixture of ammonia, carbon dioxide and water, which mixture is lean with respect
20 to ammonia. The remaining liquid is then stripped with, for instance, methane at an overall pressure of 1 atmosphere absolute. This results in a lowering of the system pressure and in the escape of ammonia and some carbon dioxide, so that a mixture of methane, ammonia
25 and carbon dioxide with an overall pressure of 1 atmosphere absolute is obtained. In order to remove traces of carbon dioxide contained in the gas mixture, part of the mixture is condensed, causing the carbon dioxide to be absorbed by the liquid ammonia.

30 U.S. Patent No. 4,060,591 discloses a process for recovering ammonia from aqueous mixtures also containing CO_2 and H_2O wherein the mixture is first deacidified by stripping out CO_2 at an elevated pressure. The remaining liquid is then stripped of all NH_3 , CO_2 and
35 H_2O , and the resulting gas mixture is scrubbed or

stripped at a reduced pressure, relative to the deacidification step, to yield a gas stream of pure ammonia.

A similar process is described in British Patent 916,945 wherein an ammoniacal liquor is deacidified at
5 an elevated pressure in a column wherein the ascending gas is washed of ammonia by cold water, thereby yielding gaseous carbon dioxide. The remaining liquid is thereafter expanded into a stripper wherein it is freed of all ammonia and carbon dioxide. The resulting
10 gaseous mixture is washed or scrubbed with all or a portion of the ammoniacal liquor feed, before the latter is deacidified, yielding a substantially pure ammonia stream.

In British Patent 1,129,939, a gas mixture consisting of ammonia and carbon dioxide, rich with respect
15 to ammonia, is absorbed in water or an aqueous solution. Ammonia is distilled from the resulting aqueous solution at atmospheric pressure. The remainder of the solution is then subjected to fractional distillation at a
20 pressure of between 5 and 20 atmospheres absolute with heating in order to remove the carbon dioxide.

These latter processes are based on the principle that changing the pressure of a system of ammonia, carbon dioxide and water makes it possible to separate
25 out ammonia at the lower pressure and carbon dioxide at the higher pressure. In these "pressure differential" processes the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone should be at least twice that in the ammonia separation zone. Preferably the ratio between
30 the system pressures in the ammonia separation and the the carbon dioxide separation zones should be between about 1:5 and 1:20, if the separation is to proceed smoothly.

However, the pressure differential processes have
35 the drawback that if the ammonia and carbon dioxide

mixture is available at a pressure of more than 1 atmosphere, it first has to be expanded to 1 atmosphere. Gaseous ammonia is then released having a maximum pressure of 1 atmosphere, or even lower in the event
5 a large amount of another gas is present. If this ammonia is to be subjected to further processing, such as in a urea synthesis process, it has to be raised to a higher pressure. The compression energy required for this is quite substantial. Furthermore, the carbon
10 dioxide concentration in the ammonia has to be kept extremely low in order to avoid the formation and deposition of solid ammonium carbamate in the compressor and high pressure lines.

An alternate process not requiring this pressure differential is disclosed and claimed in the Netherlands patent
15 application 76 12 163. The process therein described permits the recovery of ammonia and carbon dioxide separately from such mixtures, without the need for such a pressure differential, if the ammonia and carbon
20 dioxide containing feed supplied to a carbon dioxide separation zone is diluted by the addition of water in an amount of between 0.2 and 6 times, by weight, the total weight of such ammonia and carbon dioxide contain-
ing feed. For simplicity this latter process will be
25 referred to herein as a "dilution process."

According to one embodiment of the dilution process, ammonia substantially free of carbon dioxide and water is first separated from a mixture of ammonia, carbon dioxide and possibly water, rich with respect
30 to ammonia, in an ammonia separation zone. From the residual liquid phase leaving the bottom of this ammonia separation zone, carbon dioxide is separated in the carbon dioxide separation zone, wherein the

residual liquid phase from the ammonia separation zone fed to the carbon dioxide separation zone is diluted with between 0.2 and 6 times its weight of water.

According to another embodiment of the dilution process, carbon dioxide substantially free of ammonia and water is first separated in a carbon dioxide separation zone from a mixture of ammonia, carbon dioxide and possibly water, which mixture is lean with respect to ammonia. From the bottom of this carbon dioxide separation zone, the residual liquid phase is fed to a desorption zone wherein virtually all ammonia and carbon dioxide are desorbed and the resulting gas phase is introduced into the ammonia separation zone. Ammonia, substantially free of carbon dioxide and water, is recovered from this resulting gas phase in the ammonia separation zone, and the resulting liquid phase is supplied to the carbon dioxide separation zone. Diluting water is added to this carbon dioxide separation zone in an amount of between 0.2 and 6 times, by weight, the combined total weight of the initial mixture to be separated, plus the residual liquid phase from the ammonia separation zone fed into the carbon dioxide separation zone.

According to another embodiment of the dilution process, where the ammonia and carbon dioxide containing mixture to be separated also contains a substantial quantity of water, it may be advantageous to feed this mixture initially to the desorption zone wherein the ammonia and carbon dioxide are desorbed, and, together with some water vapor, are introduced into the ammonia separation zone. Ammonia, substantially free of carbon dioxide and water vapor, is obtained from the top of the ammonia separation zone, and the residual liquid phase, containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water, is introduced into the carbon dioxide separation zone.

Diluting water is also introduced into the carbon dioxide separation zone in an amount of between about 0.2 to 6 times, by weight, the total quantity of the residual liquid phase from the ammonia separation zone fed into the carbon dioxide separation zone. Carbon dioxide, substantially free of ammonia and water, is obtained from the top of the carbon dioxide separation zone, and the residual liquid phase from the bottom of the carbon dioxide separation zone is fed to the desorption zone.

In both the pressure differential and dilution processes, the composition of the residual liquid phase from the carbon dioxide separation zone should reach or closely approximate the boundary line, or azeotropic composition under the prevailing conditions, in order to maximize the efficiency of the separation. However in practice such a composition is very difficult to achieve. Rather this composition remains rich with respect to carbon dioxide (in area II on Figure 1), some distance from the boundary line. Unless the carbon dioxide content of the residual liquid can be brought to within 1% by weight of the boundary line composition, excessive energy is consumed by the separation, and the recycle flows throughout the entire separation are significantly larger than would otherwise be required. Often, however, it has been found that the distance of this residual liquid composition from the boundary line is greater, for instance between 1.5 and 2% by weight.

The term "distance from the boundary line" as used herein denotes the difference between the carbon dioxide content of the residual liquid, and the carbon dioxide content of the corresponding point on the boundary line, both expressed as percent by weight. Thus on Figure 1,

the composition of this residual liquid would be represented by a point in area II, relatively close to the boundary line. The corresponding point on the boundary line would be the point of intersection of
5 the boundary line and a straight line drawn from the CO₂ vertex through the point representing the residual liquid composition in area II.

Inasmuch as even a slight increase in the carbon dioxide content in the residual liquid from the carbon
10 dioxide separation zone can result in a significant increase in energy requirements to maintain the same separation, attempts have been made at keeping the carbon dioxide concentration as low as possible. However, it has been found that maintaining a constant
15 carbon dioxide concentration is very difficult, because the separation is very sensitive to even slight variations in process conditions that normally occur. These variations most generally result in an increase in the carbon dioxide content of this residual liquid, and thus
20 an undesirable increase in energy consumption.

It has now surprisingly been found that the above mentioned difficulties can be avoided if the composition of the residual liquid phase removed from the bottom of the carbon dioxide separation zone is caused to be lean
25 with respect to carbon dioxide rather than rich as in the above noted processes. In other words, with reference to Figure 1, the composition of this residual liquid phase is caused to be on, or on the ammonia side (area I) of boundary line III. This is achieved,
30 according to the present invention, by introducing into the portion of said carbon dioxide separation zone from which said residual liquid phase is removed, an ammonia containing gaseous phase in an amount sufficient to make said residual liquid phase removed therefrom lean with respect to carbon dioxide.

5 The ammonia containing gaseous phase may be pure ammonia, or
any mixture containing ammonia that is capable of raising the ammonia
to carbon dioxide ratio in the liquid phase removed from the carbon
dioxide separation zone. This gaseous phase may even be a mixture
5 of ammonia and carbon dioxide, provided its NH_3/CO_2 molar ratio
is higher than that of the gas phase in equilibrium with this residual
liquid phase.

By preference the resulting residual liquid phase removed from
the carbon dioxide zone is introduced into a desorption zone and
10 an off-gas containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water vapour is
separated from a liquid phase of desorption water substantially free
of ammonia and carbon dioxide and a portion of said off-gas is
introduced into said ammonia separation zone and the remainder of
said off-gas is introduced into the carbon dioxide separation zone
15 as said ammonia containing gaseous phase. Preferably about 1 to 50 %
by weight, most preferably about 5 to 40 % by weight, of this desorption
zone off-gas is diverted to the bottom of the carbon dioxide separation
zone.

The quantity of such ammonia containing gaseous phase fed into
20 the bottom of the carbon dioxide separation zone should be sufficient
to move the carbon dioxide content of the residual liquid phase removed
therefrom at least to the corresponding point on the boundary line, but
preferably to a distance of not more than 2 % by weight past the boundary
line into the ammonia rich side of this line. Of course the gross composi-
25 tion of the total mass flow into the carbon dioxide separation zone must
be on the side of the boundary line rich with respect to carbon dioxide.
Otherwise, no carbon dioxide can be separated off.

When using the process of this invention in conjunction with the
dilution process described above, particularly low energy consumptions
30 can be realized, and the separation becomes virtually insensitive to
fluctuations in the composition of the feed(s) to the carbon dioxide
separation zone. Furthermore, the volume of liquid flows required to
be circulated through the various separation zone can be significantly

reduced.

Preferably the pressures in the various separation zones should be between about 50 and 5000 kPa. A pressure of about 1800 kPa or more, depending upon the temperature of the cooling water available, as referred to hereinafter, in the ammonia separation zone offers the advantage that the separated ammonia can be liquified relatively easily and inexpensively by cooling with cooling water. In the dilution type process, the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone can be the same as in the ammonia separation zone, but can be higher as well. Preferably, however, the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone will not be greater than twice the system pressure in the ammonia separation zone

The temperatures maintained in the various separation zones depend on the pressures, the composition of the feed and the purity required of the products to be separated. In the dilution process, these temperatures, where rectifying columns are used in the separation zones, generally range within the limits stated in the following table:

	bottom	top
NH ₃ -separation	+60 to +170°C	-35 to +66°C
CO ₂ -separation	+75 to +200°C	0 to +100°C

The temperatures in the desorption zone are also determined by the pressures, the composition of the feed to the zone and the purity requirements (amount of ammonia and carbon dioxide) for the desorption water removed from the bottom of this zone. Generally these temperatures are chosen to be higher than the boiling point of the liquid phase to be desorbed at the pressures used.

When using the process of this invention in conjunction with a pressure differential type of process, the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone should be at least twice the system pressure in the ammonia separation zone. However, for the separation to proceed smoothly it is preferable that the ratio of the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone to the system pressure in the ammonia separation zone be within the range of between about 1:5 and 1:20.

10 The temperatures maintained in the various separation zones again depend upon the pressure chosen, the composition of the feed and the purity required of the products to be separated.

It may also be of some advantage, when using a pressure differential type of process, to feed some diluting water into the carbon dioxide separation zone to assist in the separation. In such case the amount of diluting water added depends upon many factors including the pressure differential used between the carbon dioxide and ammonia separation zones, and the composition of the various feeds.

15
20

The choice to which separation step the mixture to be separated is initially fed depends i.a. upon the composition of this mixture. Thus, if it is rich with respect to ammonia, (in area I on Figure 1), then it is most advantageously fed to the ammonia separation step. On the other hand, if it is lean with respect to ammonia, (on boundary line III or within area II on Figure 1), then it is most advantageously fed initially to the carbon dioxide separation step. Finally, if the mixture to be separated is rich with respect to ammonia and also contains a substantial quantity of water, it may be most

25
30

advantageous to feed it initially to the desorption step.

The ammonia and carbon dioxide zones may consist of any type of apparatus suitable for separating the gaseous and liquid components in accordance with the invention including, but not limited to, rectification, distillation and washing columns. However, rectification columns, or columns having rectification zones, are most suitable for use in the improved process of this invention.

Some variations may be made in the flows between the various separation zones of the separation process to which the present process is applied, and still be within the scope and intent of this invention.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows a diagram of the $\text{NH}_3/\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system at constant pressure, which was described in detail above.

20

Figure 2 shows a diagram for the process according to the invention used in conjunction with a dilution process in which the NH_3 and the CO_2 separation zones are operated at almost the same pressure.

Figure 3 shows a diagram of a similar process in which the separations are effected at different pressures.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE
PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

5

One preferred embodiment of this invention is illustrated in Figure 2 wherein the ammonia addition is applied to a dilution process in which the ammonia and carbon dioxide separation zones are operated at substantially the same pressure. In this Figure 2, the ammonia separation zone is comprised of NH₃-rectifying column 3, the carbon dioxide separation zone of CO₂-rectifying column 18 and the desorption zone of desorber 10.

10

15 A mixture of NH₃, CO₂ and H₂O is fed to an NH₃-rectifying column 3 through conduit 1 and pump 2. NH₃ is discharged from the top of column 3 through conduit 4. This NH₃ can be condensed by (deep-) cooling in condenser 5. A non-condensed gaseous mixture of NH₃ and inert gas escapes from the condenser. This inert gas comes from the air introduced into the installation to passivate the structural materials used in vessels and conduits in order to reduce corrosion to an acceptable level. Naturally, oxygen or an oxygen-releasing substance may be used for this purpose instead of air.

20

25 Part of the air is fed to the NH₃-rectifying column 3 through compressor 6 and conduits 7 and 8 and part to desorber 10 through conduit 9. The gaseous mixture from condenser 5 is freed of NH₃ in scrubber 11 by washing it with water supplied through conduit 34, while an amount of absorption heat is removed by cooling part of the resulting aqueous NH₃ solution that is discharged through pump 12 in a recycle cooler 13 and returning it to scrubber 11 through conduit 14. The solution then formed is returned to NH₃-rectifying column 3

30

35

through conduit 15.

The inert gas is discharged through conduit 16 and fed to the bottom of CO₂-rectifying column 18 through conduit 17. If so desired, it may also be vented completely or partly through conduit 19. Part of the NH₃ liquified in condenser 5 flows back through conduit 20 to the NH₃-rectifying column to be used as reflux. A solution of NH₃ and CO₂ in water is discharged from the bottom of the NH₃-rectifying column 3 through conduit 21.

This solution is passed into the CO₂-rectifying column 18, which is operated at virtually the same pressure as the NH₃-rectifying column 3. Part of the bottom product of desorber 10 is fed to column 18 as a diluant by way of pump 22 and conduit 23. In order to obtain a better heat distribution, this desorption water is first allowed to give off part of its heat in the bottom of the CO₂-rectifying column 18. The remainder of the heat required for the rectification is supplied here by means of heating coils 24 and, for instance, steam. Part of the liquid flow from conduit 23 is discharged through conduit 25 after being cooled in condensers 26 and 27 and through conduit 28. An additional amount of washing water is fed to column 18 through conduit 29 to remove the NH₃ as completely as possible from the CO₂. A gas consisting of CO₂ and inert gas, if any, essentially free of NH₃, escapes from the top of column 18 through conduit 30. The bottom product, or residual liquid phase, of column 18, which is a diluted solution of NH₃ and CO₂ in water, is passed through conduit 31 to desorber 10. Virtually all NH₃ and CO₂ are removed in desorber 10 by heating, for instance with steam in heating coils 32. The resulting desorption water is virtually free of NH₃

and CO_2 and flows through conduit 23 to CO_2 -rectifying column 18. The gaseous mixture of NH_3 , CO_2 and H_2O formed in desorber 10 flows partly to NH_3 -rectifying column 3 through conduit 33 and partly to the bottom of the CO_2 -rectifying column through conduit 38.

5 In the above embodiment of the process according to the invention, this desorption zone off-gas mixture of NH_3 , CO_2 and H_2O , having a composition lying in the area rich in NH_3 , serves to make the aqueous liquid phase in the bottom of the CO_2 -rectifying column rich with respect to NH_3 .

10 Another preferred embodiment of the invention utilizing the dilution process is illustrated in Figure 3 wherein the CO_2 rectification is effected at a pressure higher than the NH_3 rectification.

This figure is virtually the same as Figure 2, and the reference figures have the same meaning. The differences lie in the fact
15 that a compressor A and a pump B, respectively, are installed in conduits 17 and 21 to raise the pressure of the flows of gas and liquid, respectively. Furthermore, conduit 33 comprises a reducing valve C through which part of the gaseous mixture from desorber 10 is reduced in pressure. Here the desorption column
20 10 is operated at virtually the same system pressure as the CO_2 rectification. Conduit 18 moreover comprises a reducing valve D which is used to lower the pressure of part of the air fed in.

A third embodiment of the invention utilizing the pressure differential process can also be effected in the apparatus illustrated
25 in Figure 3. In such case the pressure at which the CO_2 -separation is effected is at least 2 times, but preferably 5 times as high as the pressure at which the NH_3 separation is effected. In this case no diluant need to be added to the CO_2 -separation zone as is the case in the dilution type process.

30 The invention will be further described by means of the following examples, which are for illustrative purposes only, and the scope of the invention is not restricted thereto.

Example 1

Substantially pure NH_3 and substantially pure
35 CO_2 were separated from a mixture of NH_3 , CO_2 and H_2O

in an installation having a configuration as shown in Figure 3, using the dilution type process.

The reference figures relate to Figure 3 as described above in relation to Figure 2 and percentages are percentages by weight. The pressures mentioned relate to the $\text{NH}_3/\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system pressure. The actual pressure may be slightly higher owing to the presence of inert gas.

At a pressure of 1800 kPa and a temperature of 66°C , 44348 kg/h of a solution of NH_3 and CO_2 in water, the composition of which is 38.3% NH_3 , 21.1% CO_2 and 40.6% water, are added to the NH_3 -rectifying column 3. 635 kg/h of air are added by way of compressor 6, 248 kg/h being introduced into the NH_3 -rectifying column 3 and 387 kg/h into desorber 10.

25,270 kg/h of a gaseous mixture consisting of 55.4% NH_3 , 9.6% CO_2 , 33.5% H_2O and 1.5% of inert gas from desorber 10, having a temperature of 184.7°C , are expanded to 1800 kPa through valve C and then also fed into the NH_3 -rectifying column 3. 37,246 kg/h of gaseous mixture consisting of 98.0% NH_3 , 0.3% H_2O and 1.7% inert gas are discharged from the top of this column. Part of this gaseous mixture is liquified by cooling in condenser 5. 17,824 kg/h of this mixture are returned to column 3 as a reflux. 16,959 kg/h of liquid NH_3 are discharged. 2,464 kg/h of gaseous mixture consisting of 74.2% NH_3 and 25.8% inert gas escape from condenser 5. This mixture is washed in scrubber 11 with 2000 kg/h of water. Heat is removed from scrubber 11 via recycle condenser 13. Per hour, 3829 kg of a solution consisting of 47.8% NH_3 and 52.2% H_2O are returned to the NH_3 -rectifying column. The temperature in the top of this column amounts to 53°C .

635 kg/h of inert gas are passed through conduits 16 and 17 and compressor A to the CO₂-rectifying column 18, which is operated at a system pressure of 3000 kPa. 54,273 kg/h of a liquid with a temperature of 131°C and
5 a composition of 25.8% NH₃, 21.8% CO₂ and 52.4% H₂O are passed from the bottom of the NH₃-rectifying column 3 through conduit 21 and pump B to the CO₂-rectifying column 18.

This column 18, in which the pressure is 3000 kPa,
10 is fed with 7200 kg/h of a gas mixture from desorber 10 through conduit 38. This amount is 22.4% of the total amount of gas mixture leaving desorber 10. Column 18 is also fed, through conduit 25, with 46,313 kg/h of a
15 diluant consisting of water and traces of NH₃ and CO₂, which has a temperature of 231°C when leaving the desorber. Part of this heat is released in the bottom of the CO₂-rectifying column 18. In all 72,227 kg/h of liquid are discharged from the desorber, so that
20 25,914 kg/h of water, after cooling in condenser 27, are discharged from the system, and this desorption water may be used, for instance, for the absorption of NH₃ and CO₂.

5,951 kg/h of washing water are fed to the top of the CO₂-rectifying column in order to wash out the last
25 traces of NH₃. The bottom temperature of the CO₂-rectifying column 18 is kept at a temperature of 180°C by means of steam. The temperature at the top is 55°C. A gaseous mixture of 10,061 kg/h escapes from the top and contains, inter alia, 93.2% CO₂ and contains less
30 than 100 ppm NH₃. 104,311 kg/h of a solution having a temperature of 180°C and consisting of 79.9% H₂O, 17.3% NH₃ and 3.0% CO₂ are passed from the bottom of the column 18 to desorber 10. The composition of this liquid is on the side of the boundary line that is rich

in NH_3 . In this desorber the solution is virtually freed of NH_3 and CO_2 by means of steam, so that 72,227 kg/h of water, with only traces of NH_3 and CO_2 , can be discharged. At the top of the desorber the temperature
 5 is 185°C.

Example 2

In the process described in Example 1, the ratio between the amounts of gas mixture from the desorber that were returned to the NH_3 and the CO_2 separating
 10 zones, respectively, were varied. In this manner, residual liquid phases were obtained in the bottom of the CO_2 -separating zone having compositions lying on the side of the boundary rich in CO_2 , on the boundary line, and on the side of the boundary rich in NH_3 ,
 15 respectively. The table shows the relative energy consumptions depending upon the position of the composition of the residual liquid phase removed from the CO_2 -separating zone relative to the boundary line. In all cases the same results with regard to the quanti-
 20 ty and purity of the various components obtained were aimed at. In each experiment the energy consumption is put at 100% when no gas containing NH_3 is passed to the CO_2 -separating zone.

Table

25	Distance from boundary line	-1	0	+1
Experiment	relative energy consumption			
1	89%	89%	100%	
2	85%	-	100%	
3	92%	-	100%	

With respect to the "distance from the boundary line" on the above table, "-1" means 1% by weight of CO₂ on the side of the boundary line rich with respect to ammonia; "0" means a residual liquid phase having a
5 composition on the boundary line; and "+1" means 1% by weight CO₂ on the side of the boundary rich with respect to CO₂, which resulted from no ammonia containing gaseous phase being passed into the bottom of the ammonia separation column.

C L A I M S

1. A process for the separate recovery of ammonia substantially free of carbon dioxide and water, and carbon dioxide substantially free of ammonia and water, from a mixture essentially containing ammonia and carbon dioxide, in which process:

5 an ammonia off-gas substantially free of carbon dioxide and water is separated in an ammonia separation zone, and the resulting residual liquid phase containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water is removed therefrom and introduced into a carbon dioxide separation zone;

10 a carbon dioxide off-gas substantially free of ammonia and water is separated in a carbon dioxide separation zone and the resulting residual liquid phase containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water is removed therefrom; characterized in that

15 into the portion of said carbon dioxide separation zone from which said residual liquid phase is removed, an ammonia containing gaseous phase in an amount sufficient to make said residual liquid phase removed therefrom lean with respect to carbon dioxide is introduced.

2. A process according to claim 1, characterized in that
20 the resulting residual liquid phase removed from the carbon dioxide zone is introduced into a desorption zone and an off-gas containing ammonia, carbon dioxide and water vapour is separated from a liquid phase of desorption water substantially free of ammonia and carbon dioxide and a portion of said off-gas is introduced into said
25 ammonia separation zone and the remainder of said off-gas is introduced into the carbon dioxide separation zone as said ammonia containing gaseous phase.

3. A process according to claim 2, characterized in that
1 to 50 % of the gaseous mixture are fed to the CO₂-separation zone.

4. A process according to claim 3, characterized in that 5-40 % of the gaseous mixture are fed to the CC_2 -separation zone.

5. A process according to claim 1, characterized in that into the carbon dioxide separation zone an amount of diluting water is introduced of from 0.2 to 6 times the feed to said zone.

6. A process according to claim 1, characterized in that the system pressure in the carbon dioxide separation zone is higher than in the ammonia separation zone.

10 7. Process according to claim 1, substantially as described and elucidated with reference to the drawing and/or the examples.




FIG.1




FIG.2




FIG. 3



DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. ²)
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	
A	<u>FR - A - 2 367 702</u> (BASF)		C 01 C 1/02
A	<u>FR - A - 1 457 776</u> (ALLIED CHEMICAL CORP.)		
A	<u>US - A - 3 114 681</u> (BIEKART)		
A	<u>NL - A - 67 06 135</u> (ÖSTERREICHISCHE STICKSTOFFWERKE) & <u>GB - A - 1 129 939</u>		
A	<u>NL - A - 70 07 873</u> (STAMICARBON) & <u>GB - A - 1 341 100</u>		
D,A	<u>NL - A - 143 063</u> (MONTECATINI)		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl. ²)
D,A	<u>DE - C - 669 319</u> (PINTSCH)		C 01 C 1/02
D,A	<u>US - A - 3 112 177</u> (SEIICHI FUJISE)		B 01 D 3/14
D,A	<u>US - A - 4 060 591</u> (GARBER)		C 01 B 31/20
D,A	<u>GB - A - 916 945</u> (BERGWERKSVERBAND)		C 01 C 1/00
D,A	<u>GB - A - 1 129 939</u> (ÖSTERREICHISCHE STICKSTOFFWERKE)		
D,A	<u>NL - A - 76 12 163</u> (STAMICARBON) & <u>FR - A - 2 370 247</u>		

			CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS
			X: particularly relevant A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: conflicting application D: document cited in the application L: citation for other reasons
			&: member of the same patent family, corresponding document
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	
The Hague	02-08-1979	STEELANDT	