

US 20130159949A1

# (19) United States (12) Patent Application Publication (10) Pub. No.: US 2013/0159949 A1

## DAI et al.

# Jun. 20, 2013 (43) Pub. Date:

#### (54) HIGH PERFORMANCE DESIGN RULE **CHECKING TECHNIQUE**

- (71) Applicants: Zuo DAI, Ontario (CA); Dick LIU, Saratoga, CA (US); Ming SU, Nepean (CA)
- (72) Inventors: Zuo DAI, Ontario (CA); Dick LIU, Saratoga, CA (US); Ming SU, Nepean (CA)
- (73) Assignee: SYNOPSYS, INC., Mountain View, CA (US)
- (21)Appl. No.: 13/718,527
- (22) Filed: Dec. 18, 2012

#### **Related U.S. Application Data**

(62) Division of application No. 12/960,086, filed on Dec. 3, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,352,887.

#### **Publication Classification**

- (51) Int. Cl.
- (2006.01)G06F 17/50 U.S. Cl. (52)
- CPC ..... G06F 17/5081 (2013.01) USPC ...... 716/112

#### (57)ABSTRACT

Roughly described, a design rule data set is developed offline from the design rules of a target fabrication process. A design rule checking method involves traversing the corners of shapes in a layout region, and for each corner, populating a layout topology database with values that depend on respective corner locations. After the layout topology database is populated, the values are compared to values in the design rule data set to detect any design rule violations. Violations can be reported in real time, while the user is manually editing the layout. Preferably corner traversal is performed using scan lines oriented perpendicularly to edge orientations, and scanning in the direction of the edge orientations. Scans stop only at corner positions and populate the layout topology database with what information can be gleaned based on the current scan line. The different scans need not reach each corner simultaneously.





# **USER EXPERIENCE**



FIG. 2



FIG. 3



<u>FIG. 4</u>



<u>FIG. 5</u>



<u>FIG. 6</u>



<u>FIG. 7</u>



<u>FIG. 8</u>



<u>FIG. 9</u>



<u>FIG. 10</u>























<u>FIG. 18</u>





<u>FIG. 20</u>





FIG. 22



FIG. 23

#### HIGH PERFORMANCE DESIGN RULE CHECKING TECHNIQUE

#### BACKGROUND

**[0001]** The invention relates to electronic design automation, and more particularly, to methods and apparatuses for rapid checking of design rules in a circuit layout.

**[0002]** Advancements in process technology have impacted integrated circuit manufacturing in at least two key ways. First, scaling of device geometry achieved through sub-wavelength lithography has facilitated packing more devices on a chip. Second, different process recipes have enabled manufacturing of heterogeneous devices with different threshold and supply voltages on the same die. A consequence of these improvements, however, has been an explosion in the number of design rules that need to be obeyed in the layout. Instead of simple width and spacing rules, modern fabrication technologies prescribe complex contextual rules that have to be obeyed for manufacturability.

**[0003]** The increase in the number of rules has complicated the task of creating design rule clean layouts, i.e., layouts that do not have design rule violations. Creating design rule clean layouts for digital circuit designs can be facilitated by the use of standard cell layouts as building blocks, and placement and routing tools that are extended to address the design rules.

**[0004]** Unfortunately, this approach usually does not work for analog, RF and custom circuit designs. Layouts for such designs are typically created manually using layout editors, and because of the number and complexity of the design rules, checking them was a laborious process.

**[0005]** A conventional design rule check (DRC) system requires a powerful two-dimensional geometry engine which supports geometric operations such as Boolean operations like AND, OR, NOT, XOR; sizing operations like grow/ shrink horizontal/vertical/diagonal; other operations like merge, shift, flip, cut, smooth; as well as all-angle geometry for true Euclidean distance calculations. Individual rules are typically checked individually over an entire layout region. This is also true of individual rule values of same rule (e.g. a check against the minimum value for a rule, and another check against a preferred value for the same rule). Each check basically runs an independent sequence of geometry operations, and numerous passes through the layout region are required.

**[0006]** For example, a conventional series of operations to check a minimum spacing rule in a Manhattan only layout, might include steps of

- [0007] Merge all same layer shapes into separate islands;[0008] Grow all islands by half the minimum spacing value;
- [0009] Perform an AND (intersection) operation among the islands; and
- [0010] Draw DRC violation markers based on the resulting shapes of the AND operation.

**[0011]** As another example, a conventional series of operations to check a minimum width rule in a Manhattan only layout, might include steps of

- [0012] Merge all same layer shapes into separate islands;
- [0013] Shrink all islands by (half the minimum width value+epsilon)
- [0014] Eliminate all resulting islands of zero area;
- [0015] Grow back the resulting islands by (half the minimum width value+epsilon);

- [0016] Perform a NOT operation between the original merged islands and grown back islands; and
- [0017] Draw DRC violation markers based on the shapes resulting from the NOT operation.

**[0018]** So long as a good geometry engine is available, the conventional DRC techniques are simple to code, at least for simple rules. They are also flexible and powerful if the geometry engine has a scripting API for relevant geometry operations, and it is relatively straightforward to massively parallelize the DRC process among numerous CPUs.

[0019] On the other hand, it can be seen that checking even simple design rules like those above is extremely expensive computationally. Massive parallelization usually is possible only for offline checks, which typically are performed only between layout iterations. Even then they often can require hours to complete. The conventional approach also suffers from roughly linear growth of the total run time with respect to the number of rules to be checked, with multiple values for a rule counted as separate rules. This makes it very hard to reduce the total run time without turning off selected rules. The conventional approach also suffers from linear growth of run time for individual rule checks, with respect to the length of the geometry operation sequence, i.e., the complexity of the rule. The conventional approach also involves separate checks for Euclidean measurements, and also requires extensive education and training in order to optimize the performance of the customer scripts.

**[0020]** The manual layout editing process could be drastically facilitated if design rule checking could be performed in real time, that is, immediately after each geometric manipulation made by the designer. While some layout editors are able to do this, the checking can be sluggish and usually works only when some of the design rules are turned off.

#### SUMMARY

**[0021]** A need therefore exists for a robust solution to the problem of rapid checking of design rules during a layout editing process.

[0022] Roughly described, a design rule data set is developed offline based on the design rules of a target fabrication process. A design rule checking method then involves traversing the corners of shapes in a subject layout region, and for each corner, populating a layout topology database with values that depend on the respective corner locations. After the layout topology database has been populated, the values are compared to values in the design rule data set to detect any violations of design rules. Any violations can be reported to a user in real time, while the user is manually editing the layout. [0023] Preferably corner traversal is performed using scan lines oriented perpendicularly to edge orientations, and scanning in the direction of the edge orientations. Scans stop only at corner positions and populate the layout topology database with what information can be gleaned based on the current\_ scan\_line. The different scans need not reach each corner simultaneously.

**[0024]** The above summary of the invention is provided in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects of the invention. This summary is not intended to identify key or critical elements of the invention or to delineate the scope of the invention. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts of the invention in a simplified form as a prelude to the more detailed description that is presented later. Particular aspects of the invention are described in the claims, specification and drawings.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**[0025]** The invention will be described with respect to specific embodiments thereof, and reference will be made to the drawings, in which:

**[0026]** FIG. 1 shows a simplified representation of an illustrative digital integrated circuit design flow.

**[0027]** FIG. **2** is a flow chart illustrating an example user experience when using an embodiment of the system as described herein.

**[0028]** FIG. **3** is a flow chart of the overall system flow for an embodiment of the invention.

[0029] FIG. 4, FIG. 7, FIG. 8, FIG. 9, FIG. 10, FIG. 12, FIG. 13, FIG. 14, FIG. 15, FIG. 17, FIG. 18, FIG. 20 and FIG. 23

are flow chart details of the overall system flow in FIG. **3**. **[0030]** FIG. **5** illustrates part of a sweep\_x data structure referred to in FIG. **4**.

**[0031]** FIG. **6** illustrates part of a sweep\_y data structure referred to in FIG. **4**.

**[0032]** FIG. **11**A and FIG. **11**B illustrate simple portions of a layout, highlighting convex and concave corners of a layout shape, respectively.

[0033] FIG. 19A, FIG. 19B and FIG. 19C illustrate certain corner relationships between layout shapes.

[0034] FIG. 19D illustrates two layout shapes for the purpose of a particular design rule check.

**[0035]** FIG. **19**E illustrates three layout shapes together forming an island.

**[0036]** FIG. **21**A, FIG. **21**B, FIG. **21**C, FIG. **21**D, and FIG. **21**E illustrate example visual indications of design rule violations and near-violations.

**[0037]** FIG. **22** is a simplified block diagram of a computer system that can be used to implement software incorporating aspects of the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**[0038]** The following description is presented to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the invention, and is provided in the context of a particular application and its requirements. Various modifications to the disclosed embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the general principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments and applications without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown, but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features disclosed herein.

#### Overall Design Process Flow

**[0039]** FIG. 1 shows a simplified representation of an illustrative digital integrated circuit design flow. At a high level, the process starts with the product idea (step 100) and is realized in an EDA (Electronic Design Automation) software design process (step 110). When the design is finalized, it can be taped-out (step 127). At some point after tape out, the fabrication process (step 150) and packaging and assembly processes (step 160) occur resulting, ultimately, in finished integrated circuit chips (result 170).

**[0040]** The EDA software design process (step **110**) is itself composed of a number of steps **112-130**, shown in linear fashion for simplicity. In an actual integrated circuit design process, the particular design might have to go back through steps until certain tests are passed. Similarly, in any actual design process, these steps may occur in different orders and

combinations. This description is therefore provided by way of context and general explanation rather than as a specific, or recommended, design flow for a particular integrated circuit. [0041] A brief description of the component steps of the EDA software design process (step 110) will now be provided.

**[0042]** System design (step **112**): The designers describe the functionality that they want to implement, they can perform what-if planning to refine functionality, check costs, etc. Hardware-software architecture partitioning can occur at this stage. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include Model Architect, Saber, System Studio, and DesignWare® products.

**[0043]** Logic design and functional verification (step **114**): At this stage, the VHDL or Verilog code for modules in the system is written and the design is checked for functional accuracy. More specifically, the design is checked to ensure that it produces correct outputs in response to particular input stimuli. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include VCS, VERA, Design-Ware®, Magellan, Formality, ESP and LEDA products.

**[0044]** Synthesis and design for test (step **116**): Here, the VHDL/Verilog is translated to a netlist. The netlist can be optimized for the target technology. Additionally, the design and implementation of tests to permit checking of the finished chip occurs. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include Design Compiler®, Physical Compiler, DFT Compiler, Power Compiler, FPGA Compiler, TetraMAX, and DesignWare® products.

**[0045]** Netlist verification (step **118**): At this step, the netlist is checked for compliance with timing constraints and for correspondence with the VHDL/Verilog source code. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include Formality, PrimeTime, and VCS products.

**[0046]** Design planning (step **120**): Here, an overall floor plan for the chip is constructed and analyzed for timing and top-level routing. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include Astro and Custom Designer products.

[0047] Physical implementation (step 122): The placement (positioning of circuit elements) and routing (connection of the same) occurs at this step. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include the Astro, IC Compiler, and Custom Designer products. Aspects of the invention can be performed during this step 122.

**[0048]** Analysis and extraction (step **124**): At this step, the circuit function is verified at a transistor level, this in turn permits what-if refinement. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include AstroRail, PrimeRail, PrimeTime, and Star-RCXT products.

**[0049]** Physical verification (step **126**): At this step various checking functions are performed to ensure correctness for: manufacturing, electrical issues, lithographic issues, and circuitry. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include the Hercules product. Aspects of the invention can be performed during this step **126** as well.

**[0050]** Tape-out (step **127**): This step provides the "tapeout" data to be used (after lithographic enhancements are applied if appropriate) for production of masks for lithographic use to produce finished chips. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include the IC Compiler and Custom Designer families of products.

**[0051]** Resolution enhancement (step **128**): This step involves geometric manipulations of the layout to improve manufacturability of the design. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include Proteus, ProteusAF, and PSMGen products.

**[0052]** Mask data preparation (step **130**): This step provides mask-making-ready "tape-out" data for production of masks for lithographic use to produce finished chips. Example EDA software products from Synopsys, Inc. that can be used at this step include the CATS® family of products.

#### Overview of the Technique

**[0053]** While DRC layout rules are becoming more and more complex at smaller and smaller technology nodes, most if not all of them still can be decomposed into a combination of the relationships among the edges, the corners, and the contours of shapes in the layout. Relationships "among" shapes as used herein includes relationships about a single shape as well. In embodiments herein, multiple perpendicular scan lines are used to collect all the required data in one pass, so that the combinatorial checking on the data is virtually free. The pass speed is improved even further by stopping the scan lines only at corner positions. Note that scans in multiple directions can also be combined an a particular embodiment, so that the algorithm effectively jumps from corner to corner, considering each corner only once.

[0054] In a Manhattan layout, all edges of all shapes are oriented either horizontally or vertically. In this case two scan lines would be used, one vertical (scanning horizontally) and one horizontal (scanning vertically). In each case the scan line stops only at endpoints that it encounters of the edges that are oriented perpendicularly to the scan line. The vertical scan line, for example, stops only at endpoints of horizontally oriented edges, and the horizontal scan line stops only at endpoints of vertically oriented edges. In 45 degree layouts, edges can also be oriented at a 45 degree angle or a 135 degree angle. In this case four scan lines can be used, each scanning in a direction perpendicular to a respective one of the orientations in which edges are included in the layout. While scanning the layout region in each particular direction, "corner" data structures are populated for each corner, with whatever information is easily obtainable from the edge endpoints at the corner, and from other edges that intersect the same scan line. The combined information collected from all the scan lines as they encounter the corner, is sufficient to fully populate the corner data structure.

**[0055]** Other data structures are also populated during a scan, such as information about an island (such as its area), and information about vias.

**[0056]** Once all the data is collected into a layout topology database, design rule checking is accomplished merely by comparing the numeric values in the layout topology database with the constraint values in the design rule data set. Unlike geometry engine approaches, the approach described herein can be performed extremely quickly, often within milliseconds, allowing for design rule checking in real time, immediately as the layout designer makes each alteration in the layout.

**[0057]** Moreover, since most if not all of the design rules can be framed in terms of topological relationships among

edges and corners, it can be seen that the same basic information, collected during the scan, can be used in checking most if not all of the design rules. In most embodiments, there is no need to re-scan the layout region in order to check different design rules; one scan is sufficient for collecting all the needed data. Still further, since the number of topological relationships that can be involved in checking design rules is itself limited, there is little if any additional data collection needed during the scan in order to check new and ever more complex rules. The time required to perform DRC increases less than linearly with increasing numbers of rules, and tapers off to nearly zero.

**[0058]** For example, if minSpacing is supported already, then minSameNetSpacing and minNotchSpacing can be supported for free (no runtime overhead). If minArea is supported already, then minRectArea can be supported for free (no runtime overhead). If 1D spacing is supported already, then 1D extension can be supported easily regardless of whether they share the same "width". It can be seen that the more rules that are to be checked, the greater the likelihood that the next "new rule" can be supported for free or with a little extra overhead.

#### **Example Implementation**

**[0059]** FIG. **2** illustrates an example user experience when using an embodiment of the system as described herein. The flow chart of FIG. **2** occurs within step **122** (FIG. **1**).

[0060] In step 210, the user develops a preliminary layout from a circuit design. As used herein, the term "circuit design" refers to the gate or transistor level design, before layout. The circuit design is often represented internally to the system in a netlist file. The layout is represented internally to the system in a geometry file which defines, among other things, all the shapes to be formed on each mask that will be used to expose the wafer during fabrication. The geometry file can have any of several standard formats, such as GDSII, OASIS, CREF, and so on, or it can have a non-standard format. The file describes the layout of the circuit design in the form of a mask definition for each of the masks to be generated. Each mask definition defines a plurality of polygons. At the time if FIG. 2, no resolution enhancement (RET) has yet been performed. Thus the layout geometries with which the user is working in FIG. 2 are in a sense idealized, since they do not yet take into account the imperfections of lithographic printing using optical wavelengths comparable or larger in size than the size of the geometries in the layout. For example, rectangles are rectangular, and are not yet precorrected for diffractive effects.

**[0061]** In step **212**, the user views the layout on a computer monitor. The user typically selects a region of the layout for magnified viewing, so that only that region is visible on the monitor.

**[0062]** In step **214**, the user, using a mouse or other pointing device, selects a group of one or more shapes from the visible layout region and drags them to a different location. In step **216**, as the user drags the shapes, the system shows on the monitor any design rule violations in real time. In step **218**, the user continues to drag the selected shapes until a position is found at which all design rule violations disappear. The user then performs the next desired editing step, which could be another drag-and-drop as in steps **214-218**.

**[0063]** It can be seen how useful real time immediate design rule checking, enabled by the system herein, can be in manual layout or layout modification efforts.

#### **Relationship Master**

[0064] Before discussing the methods used by an implementation of the system, it will be useful to discuss design rules in general, and how they can be represented within the system. Design rules are a set of rules that are provided by a semiconductor manufacturer, which specify minimum or maximum geometric relationships among the features of a layout. A semiconductor manufacturing process always has some variability, and the purpose of design rules is to ensure that sufficient margin is included in the layout geometries to minimize the likelihood that the variability will result in loss of yield. A set of design rules is specific to a particular semiconductor manufacturing process, so new rules are provided to designers or EDA vendors for each new process or significant process change. Despite their specificity to a particular process, there are many design rules which are similar, except for one or more numeric values, across many processes.

**[0065]** Design rules range from very simple to very complex. Most, however, can be framed as a set of one or more constraint parameters, and a set of one or more constraint values for the constraint parameters. (As used herein, a "parameter" is merely a slot or container for one or more values. It is not itself a value.) For example, a simple design rule is minimum edge-to-edge spacing (sometimes called minSpacing). This rule has one parameter (edge-to-edge spacing), and one value which is the minimum spacing allowed by the rule between edges in a single layer of the layout. Many design rules specify more than one value for a particular parameter, such as an "absolute minimum" value and a "preferred minimum" value.

[0066] More complex rules can have multiple parameters. An End-of-line spacing rule, for example, specifies the minimum spacing between the end of a line and its neighboring geometry. The constraint applies only if the width of the wire is less than a specified value, eolWidth. The constraint applies when any geometry occurs within a region defined by the minimum spacing, where the region includes the distance from each side of the wire. This distance is referred to as a lateral verification distance eolWithin. The constraint applies only if one parallel edge is within a specified rectangular region from the corners of the wire, or it applies only if two parallel edges are within a specified rectangular region from the corners of the wire. These parameters are referred to as parWithin and parSpace. The constraint applies when no parallel edges occur within the region defined by the minimum spacing, or one parallel edge occurs within the region defined by the minimum spacing, or two parallel edges. This rule has the spacing parameter itself, eolSpacing, as well as the following parameters: eolWidth, eolWithin, parWithin and parSpace.

**[0067]** Design rules can also specify constraints on edges in different layers. The MinDualExtension layer pair constraint, for example, specifies the minimum distance a shape on one layer must extend past a shape on a second layer. This rule has one parameter for extensions in the horizontal direction and another parameter for extensions in the vertical directions. This rule can also specify additional pairs of parameters, keyed by wire width. Other more complex parameters are also available for this rule, including optional parameters to qualify when the rule applies.

**[0068]** Design rule sets also often include area rules, such as the minimum area of an island or a hole in a layer. They can also include via rules, which specify constraints on geometric dimensions in the "cut" layer (also sometimes called the via layer), the island in the "cover" layer above the via, and the island in the "cover" layer below the via.

**[0069]** In an embodiment of the invention, all of the values specified by the design rules are provided to the system in the form of a design rule data set. As used herein, the term "data set" does not imply any particular organization. For example, it includes maps, multimaps, trees, as well as ordinary tables, and other data organizations as well. The term also does not necessarily imply any unity or regularity of structure. For example, two or more separate data sets, when considered together, still constitute a "data set" as that term is used herein. The terms "database" and "data structure" are also intended to have the same meaning as "data set".

**[0070]** In the present embodiment, the design rule data set is sometimes referred to herein as the relationship master. A class definition for an example relationship master, in pseudo-C++, is as follows. In order to simplify the discussion, only some of the parameters are shown.

layer\_number m\_layer; // layer number for this instantiation std::set<layer\_number> m\_layers\_above; // identification of layers above current layer std::set<layer\_number> m\_layers\_below; // identification of layers below current layer // the worst case value for spacing relationship on the // same layer, 0 if there is no design rule asking for // min\_spacing relationship int m\_spacing; // the worst case value for dimension relationship on the // same layer, 0 if not applicable (minimum line width) int m\_dimension; // the worst case value for neighbor\_spacing relationship on // the same layer, 0 if not applicable // (also called parallel spacing) int m neighbor spacing; // the worst case value for neighbor\_within relationship on // the same layer, 0 if not applicable int m neighbor within; // the worst case value for neighbor\_dimension relationship on // the same laver int m\_neighbor\_width; int m\_area; // minimum island area int hole area: int m\_common\_run\_length; std::map<layer\_number, int> m\_common\_run\_clearance\_vector\_map; // extensions from this layer to other layers std::map<layer\_number, int> m\_cover\_layers; // extensions from other lavers to this laver std::map<layer\_number, int> m\_cut\_layers; // worst case different layer clearance, from this layer to other layers std::map<layer\_number, int> m\_clearance\_layers; // for via rules std::set<layer\_number> m\_overlap\_layers; std::set<layer\_number> m\_dual\_cover\_layers;

#### Design Rule Checking Flow

};

**[0071]** FIG. **3** is a flow chart of the overall system flow for real time visual layout design rule checking The reader will recognize that the flow can be easily modified for use as a batch job instead. As with all flowcharts herein, it will be appreciated that many of the steps in FIG. **3** can be combined, performed in parallel or performed in a different sequence without affecting the functions achieved. In some cases a re-arrangement of steps will achieve the same results only if

class relationship\_master

certain other changes are made as well, and in other cases a re-arrangement of steps will achieve the same results only if certain conditions are satisfied. However, as described in detail hereinafter, there are certain steps which are performed prior to other steps, in order to obtain benefits of the invention. [0072] In step 310, the relationship master data set is built from a set of design rules for the target fabrication process. This can be done manually, or in some embodiments it can be automated. It is provided to the DRC system either electronically or via a computer readable medium, and it is stored accessibly to the system on a computer readable medium. As used herein, a computer readable medium is one on which information can be stored and read by a computer system. Examples include a floppy disk, a hard disk drive, a RAM, a CD, a DVD, flash memory, a USB drive, and so on. The computer readable medium may store information in coded formats that are decoded for actual use in a particular data processing system. A single computer readable medium, as the term is used herein, may also include more than one physical item, such as a plurality of CD ROMs or a plurality of segments of RAM, or a combination of several different kinds of media.

[0073] In step 312, the system displays on a monitor the layout or layout region selected by the user. As used herein, the term "region" refers to a portion as viewed from above, including whatever layers are pertinent. As a degenerate case, the entire layout is also a "region". The user can manipulate (edit) objects in the layout using familiar editing commands, such as keyboard- or mouse-based behaviors recognized by the system. For example, the user can select a group of objects by clicking and dragging the mouse pointer to form a rectangle around them. The user can then move the objects as a group by clicking within the rectangle and dragging it. Editing commands are recognized by the operating system and delivered to the application program by way of events in a well known manner. For example, user dragging of a group of objects might cause a series of events to be delivered to the application program, one after each movement by some number of pixels, or some number of milliseconds. The application program receives these events and determines for itself what the event represents. Step 312 can include a conventional event loop, whereby the application program repeatedly checks for new events. When it receives an event, step 312 determines that it represents a layout editing command such as user dragging of a group of shapes across the layout. [0074] In step 314, the system collects all the editing shapes, which are the ones that are being edited by the user. For a click-and-drag command, the editing shapes are the

ones that are being moved to a different position in the layout. For a shape re-sizing command, the editing shape is the one being resized.

**[0075]** In step **316**, the system collects all the surrounding shapes, which in a click-and-drag command, are the shapes near the new position of the editing shapes. A selection algorithm is used here which errs on the side of collecting more shapes than necessary, since while inclusion of additional shapes could impact performance, the exclusion of relevant shapes will impact accuracy. One efficient way to collect appropriate shapes is to create a bounding box around the editing shapes in their new position, then extend the box in all four directions by 1.5 times the worst case minimum spacing or the worst case minimum inter-layer clearance, whichever is larger. All shapes at least partially overlapping with the expanded bounding box, in any layer, are then included in the

result. A conventional range search engine can be used for this step. Geometry processing is not needed.

**[0076]** In step **318**, horizontal and vertical scan line trees sweep\_x and sweep\_y are built from all of the collected shapes, including both the editing shapes and the static shapes. The horizontal scan line tree sweep\_x is a map of particular vertical scan lines, and will be scanned horizontally across the selected layout region, from left to right. The vertical scan lines, and will be scanned vertically across the selected layout region, from left to roight.

[0077] FIG. 4 is a flow chart of step 318, and as can be seen, it includes a step 410 of building sweep\_x and another step 412 of building sweep\_y.

**[0078]** FIG. **5** illustrates pertinent parts of the sweep\_x data structure **510**. It contains two tree data structures, called enter\_tree **512** and exit\_tree **514**. Enter-tree is a map of the vertical scan lines, and the vertical position on such scan lines, of the left-hand endpoints of the horizontal edges. Exit\_tree is a map of the vertical scan lines, and the vertical position on such scan lines, of the right-hand endpoints of the horizontal edges.

**[0079]** Map **516** is an expansion of exit\_tree **514**; enter\_tree **512** has the same structure and is therefore not shown in FIG. **5**. It comprises key-value pairs, in which all the keys indicate horizontal positions and all the values are structures of class 'edge-tree', and represent vertical scan lines. A "map" is a standard structure which allows only one entry for each unique key. Thus exit\_tree organizes all the vertical scan lines, and there is one vertical scan line for each horizontal position included. Note that by representing only specific vertical scan lines, the horizontal scanning algorithm will be able to jump over all horizontal positions that do not contain any corners.

[0080] Multimap 518 is an expansion of one of the edge\_ tree structures 520. The other edge\_trees have the same structure and therefore are not shown in FIG. 5. Edge tree 520 also comprises key-value pairs, except that as a "multimap", multiple entries are allowed having the same key. In edge\_tree 520 the keys indicate vertical positions, and all the values are structures of class 'edge', representing an edge having an endpoint on the current vertical scan line. Since this is part of the exit\_tree 514, only those horizontal edges having righthand endpoints at this horizontal position are included in edge\_tree 520. (In the enter\_tree 512, only edges having left-hand endpoints at a given horizontal position are included in the edge tree for the vertical scan line at the given horizontal position.) A multimap is used here rather than a map, in order to accommodate multiple edges having a right-hand endpoint at the same x and y position in the layout region. Multiple edges are possible because some could be on different layers in the layout, or some could even be superimposed on each other in a single layer.

**[0081]** Block **522** is an expansion of one of the edge structures **524**. The other edges have the same structure and therefore are not shown in FIG. **5**. Edge **524** contains information about a particular horizontal edge of one of the shapes in the layout region, and also acts as a holding area for certain information developed during the scan as described hereinafter. At least the following information is included:

- [0082] edge ID: an identifying value for the edge;
- **[0083]** layer ID: an indication of the layer number on which the edge lies;

- **[0085]** edge end (x,y): the x and y coordinates of the right-hand endpoint of the edge;
- **[0086]** edge against scan line? (T/F): a Boolean indicating whether the edge is the bottom edge of a shape (True if it is a bottom edge, False otherwise);
- **[0087]** quadrant depth vector: four slots indicating how many shapes overlap each other in the current layer at the right-hand endpoint of the edge (for exiting edges) or the left-hand endpoint (for entering edges) or the intersection point of the edge and the vertical scan line (for all other edges in the current\_scan\_line), in each of the four quadrants centered at that point (for an embodiment that supports 45 degree geometries, this is an octant depth vector containing eight slots);

[0088] neighbor map: a map of neighboring edges

**[0089]** FIG. 6 illustrates pertinent parts of the sweep\_y data structure 610. Like sweep\_x, sweep\_y contains two tree data structures, called enter\_tree 612 and exit\_tree 614. In sweep\_y, enter-tree is a map of the horizontal scan lines, and the horizontal position on such scan lines, of the lower endpoints of the vertical edges. Exit\_tree is a map of the horizontal scan lines, of the upper endpoints of the vertical edges.

[0090] Map 616 is an expansion of exit\_tree 614; enter\_tree 612 has the same structure and is therefore not shown in FIG. 6. It comprises key-value pairs, in which all the keys indicate vertical positions and all the values are structures of class 'edge-tree', and represent horizontal scan lines. Thus exit\_tree organizes all the vertical scan lines, and since exit\_tree is a map, there is only one horizontal scan line for each vertical position included. Note that by representing only specific horizontal scan lines, the vertical scanning algorithm, like the horizontal scanning algorithm, will be able to jump over all vertical positions that do not contain any corners.

[0091] Multimap 618 is an expansion of one of the edge\_ tree structures 620. The other edge\_trees have the same structure and therefore are not shown in FIG. 6. Edge\_tree 620 also comprises key-value pairs, except that as a "multimap", multiple entries are allowed having the same key. In edge\_tree 620 the keys indicate horizontal positions, and all the values are structures of class 'edge', representing an edge having an endpoint on the current horizontal scan line. Since this is part of the exit\_tree 614, only those horizontal edges having upper endpoints at this vertical position are included in edge\_tree 620. (In the enter\_tree 612, only edges having lower endpoints at a given vertical position are included in the edge\_ tree for the horizontal scan line at the given vertical position.) [0092] Block 622 is an expansion of one of the edge structures 624. The other edges have the same structure and therefore are not shown in FIG. 6. Edge 624 contains information about a particular vertical edge of one of the shapes in the layout region, and also acts as a holding area for certain information developed during the scan as described hereinafter. At least the following information is included:

- [0093] edge ID: an identifying value for the edge;
- **[0094]** layer ID: an indication of the layer number on which the edge lies;
- **[0095]** edge start (x,y): the x and y coordinates of the lower endpoint of the edge;
- **[0096]** edge end (x,y): the x and y coordinates of the upper endpoint of the edge;

- **[0097]** edge against scan line? (T/F): a Boolean indicating whether the edge is the left edge of a shape (it will be True if it is a left edge, False otherwise);
- **[0098]** quadrant depth vector: four slots indicating how many shapes overlap each other in the current layer at the lower endpoint of the edge (for exiting edges) or the upper endpoint (for entering edges) or the intersection point of the edge and the horizontal scan line (for all other edges in the current\_scan\_line), in each of the four quadrants centered at that point (for an embodiment that supports 45 degree geometries, this is an octant depth vector containing eight slots);
- [0099] neighbor map: a map of neighboring edges

**[0100]** As can be seen, sweep\_x contains only horizontal edges and sweep\_y contains only vertical edges. Thus the scan lines in each data structure are perpendicular to the edges that will be encountered during a traversal of the structure. In an embodiment supporting diagonal edges as well, two more sweep data structures are present as well: one containing scan lines oriented parallel to one diagonal and the other containing scan lines oriented parallel to the other diagonal. Each data structure includes only edges oriented perpendicularly to its scan lines, so again, a scan line sweep of the scan lines in each structure will encounter only those edges oriented perpendicularly to the scan line.

**[0101]** FIG. **7** is a flow chart detail of a method **410** for building the horizontal scan line tree sweep\_x. In step **710**, a list is formed of all the horizontal edges of all shapes in the selected region, including editing shapes. In step **712**, the list is sorted by the horizontal position of all the left-hand endpoints of the edges. There may be multiple edges whose left-hand endpoints have the same horizontal position, and these would be grouped together in the sort.

**[0102]** In step **714**, enter\_tree is created for sweep\_x. This is accomplished by, at each unique horizontal position represented in the sorted list (step **716**), creating a scan line multimap (of class 'edge\_tree') for a vertical scan line at that horizontal position (step **718**). In step **720**, the scan line multimap at that horizontal position is populated with all the edges (structures of class 'edge') in the list having left-hand endpoints at the current horizontal position.

**[0103]** After enter\_tree has been created and populated for sweep\_x, the list from step **710** is re-sorted by horizontal position of all the right-hand endpoints of the edges. Again, there may be multiple edges whose right-hand endpoints have the same horizontal position. In step **724**, exit\_tree is created for sweep\_x. Similarly to the creation of enter\_tree, this is accomplished by, at each unique horizontal position represented in the sorted list (step **726**), creating a scan line multimap (of class 'edge\_tree') for a vertical scan line at that horizontal position (step **718**). In step **720**, the scan line multimap at that horizontal position is populated with all the edges (structures of class 'edge') in the list having right-hand endpoints at the current horizontal position.

**[0104]** FIG. **8** is a flow chart detail of a method **412** for building the horizontal scan line tree sweep\_y. In step **810**, a list is formed of all the vertical edges of all shapes in the selected region, including editing shapes. In step **812**, the list is sorted by the vertical position of all the lower endpoints of the edges. Again, there may be multiple edges whose lower endpoints have the same vertical position, and these would be grouped together in the sort.

**[0105]** In step **814**, enter\_tree is created for sweep\_y. This is accomplished by, at each unique vertical position repre-

sented in the sorted list (step **812**), creating a scan line multimap (of class 'edge\_tree') for a horizontal scan line at that vertical position (step **818**). In step **820**, the scan line multimap at that vertical position is populated with all the edges (structures of class 'edge') in the list having lower endpoints at the current vertical position.

**[0106]** After enter\_tree has been created and populated for sweep\_y, the list from step **810** is re-sorted by horizontal position of all the upper endpoints of the edges. Again, there may be multiple edges whose upper endpoints have the same vertical position. In step **824**, exit\_tree is created for sweep\_y. As before, this is accomplished by, at each unique vertical position represented in the sorted list (step **822**), creating a scan line multimap (of class 'edge\_tree') for a horizontal scan line at that vertical position (step **818**). In step **820**, the scan line multimap at that vertical position is populated with all the edges (structures of class 'edge') in the list having upper endpoints at the current vertical position.

**[0107]** Returning now to FIG. **3**, after the horizontal and vertical scan line trees have been built (step **318**), all of the required topographical relationships among the shapes in the layout region are now extracted (step **320**).

[0108] FIG. 9 is a flow chart of step 320, and as can be seen, it includes a step 910 of scanning the horizontal scan tree sweep\_x and another step 912 of scanning the vertical scan tree sweep\_y. Note that in another embodiment the vertical scan can be performed first and the horizontal scan thereafter. In yet another embodiment, the two scans can be performed in an alternating manner. In a particularly advantageous embodiment, since the two scans are independent of each other, and discover different items of information for populating the corner data structures, the two scans are performed simultaneously on two different processor cores. In yet another embodiment, the two scans are coordinated with each other so that they proceed from corner to corner, with all data for a given corner populated before jumping to the next corner. As used herein, the two scans are said to be performed "concurrently" with each other if they overlap in time in such a way that corner data is extracted from at least one endpoint of at least one horizontal edge before corner data is extracted from at least one endpoint of at least one vertical edge, and corner data is extracted from at least one endpoint of at least one vertical edge before corner data is extracted from at least one endpoint of at least one horizontal edge.

**[0109]** FIG. **10** is a flow chart of step **910**, for scanning the horizontal scan tree sweep\_x. In step **1008**, the vertical scan line edge-tree multimap object current\_scan\_line is created. In step **1010**, current\_scan\_line traverses both enter\_tree and the exit\_tree together so that the vertical scan lines from both trees are considered in monotonically varying sequence, left to right. Since these two trees contain only those vertical scan lines on which an endpoint of a horizontal edge lies, intervening vertical scan line is maintained in a multimap object of class edge\_tree, having the structure of edge\_tree **520** (FIG. **5**). It has a current horizontal scanning position, and stores the information shown in block **522** for each horizontal edge that intersects a vertical line at the current horizontal scanning position.

**[0110]** In step **1012**, current\_scan\_line is updated by adding all horizontal edges having a left-hand endpoint located at the current horizontal scan position. In step **1014**, the quadrant depth vector (FIG. **5**) for each edge in the current vertical scan line multimap is updated. In order to illustrate this step, reference is made to FIGS. 11A and 11B, which illustrate simple portions of a layout. FIG. 11A highlights a convex corner 1114, whereas FIG. 11B highlights a concave corner 1134. In FIG. 11A, 1110 is the current vertical scan line and 1112 is a particular edge being considered. Edge 1112 is represented in the enter\_tree and in current\_scan\_line, and has a left-hand endpoint 1114 located on vertical scan line 1110. Edge 1112 also forms the upper edge of a rectangle 1116. Four other rectangles are also shown in the figure, 1118, 1120, 1122 and 1124. Four quadrants, centered at endpoint 1114 and numbered I, II, III and IV for purposes of the present discussion, are also shown in FIG. 11A. Similarly, in FIG. 11B, 1130 is the current vertical scan line and 1132 is a particular edge being considered. Edge 1132 is represented in the enter\_tree, and has a left-hand endpoint 1134 located on vertical scan line 1110. Edge 1132 also forms the upper edge of a rectangle 1136. Four other rectangles are also shown in the figure, 1138, 1140, 1142 and 1144. The four quadrants I, II, III and IV, centered at endpoint 1134, are also shown in FIG. 11B.

[0111] The quadrant depth vector indicates the number of shapes in a particular layer that border a particular edge endpoint in each of the four quadrants centered at that endpoint. In FIG. 11A, quadrants I, II and III contain no shapes that border endpoint 1114, and quadrant IV contains one such shape 1116. Thus the quadrant depth vector at endpoint 1114 is (0,0,0,1). On the other hand, in FIG. 11B, quadrant II contains no shapes that border endpoint 1134, whereas quadrants I, III and IV each contain one such shape. Thus the quadrant depth vector at endpoint 1134 is (1,0,1,1). It can be seen that if exactly one quadrant depth is zero, then the point represents a concave corner of an island, as in FIG. 11B. If exactly two values are zero, and they are in adjacent quadrants, then the endpoint is not on a corner of an island. If the two zeros are in diagonally opposite quadrants, then the endpoint is a corner of two diagonally adjacent islands, sharing the one corner. If exactly three values are zero, as in FIG. 11A, then the endpoint represents a convex corner of an island, island 1116 in FIG. 11A. If none of the values are zero, then the endpoint is inside an island and does not represent a corner of an island. The quadrant depth vector is used in later steps, as described hereinafter.

[0112] In step 1014, the updating of the quadrant depth vector for an edge in the enter\_tree (i.e. an edge whose lefthand endpoint lies on the current vertical scan line), involves incrementing the value for either quadrant I or quadrant IV by one. The value for quadrant I is incremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the edge 1112 indicates True (i.e. the edge is the bottom edge of a shape), or the value for quadrant IV is incremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the edge 1112 indicates False (i.e. the edge is the top edge of a shape). Similarly, the updating of the quadrant depth vector for an edge in the exit\_tree (i.e. an edge whose right-hand endpoint lies on the current vertical scan line), involves decrementing the value for either quadrant I or quadrant IV by one. The value for quadrant I is decremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the exiting edge indicates True (i.e. the edge is the bottom edge of a shape), or the value for quadrant IV is decremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the exiting edge indicates False (i.e. the edge is the top edge of a shape). It can be seen that the quadrant depth vector increments quantities as the vertical

scan line encounters shapes while moving left-to-right across the region. It decrements quantities as the scan line moves past shapes.

**[0113]** In step **1016**, each of the edges whose left-hand endpoint lies on the current scan line are processed. These are the edges represented in enter\_tree. As they are processed, a "corner" data structure for the endpoint is populated. The corner data structure stores the information illustrated in FIGS. **11**A and **11**B, and can be described in a C++ like pseudocode class definition as follows:

	corner					
ł		<i>// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </i>				
	edge* m_origin_x;	// ori_x vertical edge meeting at the				
		the x-coordinates are populated				
	edge* morigin v:	// ori v horizontal edge meeting at				
	euge m_ongm_j,	the corner. Of the edge endpoints.				
		only the v-coordinates are populated.				
	edge* m_target_x;	// tar_x nearest vertical edge,				
	5 5 ,	walking horizontally along shape				
		contour from corner				
	edge* m_target_y;	// tar_y nearest horizontal edge,				
		walking vertically along shape				
		contour from corner				
	edge* m_space_ray_x;	// s_ray_x nearest vertical facing				
		edge, walking horizontally from				
	1 4	corner, away from shape				
	edge* m_space_ray_y;	// s_ray_y nearest horizontal facing				
		edge, walking vertically from corner,				
	- d * d'	away from shape				
	edge* m_dimension_ray_x;	// d_ray_x last vertical edge				
		hafere eviting abare				
	adga* m dimansion rov v	// d _ ray y last harizontal adga				
	euge m_umension_tay_y,	walking vertically into shape before				
		eviting shape				
	std::list <corner*> m_neighbor</corner*>	list: // list of nearest neighbor				
	stammer corner m_nergnoor					
	bool m is convex:	// whether the corner is convex or				
		concave				
	<pre>ray* create_space_ray_x( ) {</pre>					
	ray* p_ray = new ray(this);					
	// the first point is the corner p	// the first point is the corner position i.e., the tail of the arrow				
		obicion, nel, ale ani el ale alle l				
	p_ray->m_p1.x = m_origin	_x->m_point1.x;				
	p_ray->m_p1.x = m_origin p_ray->m_p1.y = m_origin	⊥x->m_point1.x; ⊥y->m_point1.y;				
	p_ray->m_p1.x = m_origin p_ray->m_p1.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos	_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow</pre>	x->m_point1.x; y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space</pre>	x->m_point1.x; y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x->m_point1.x;				
	p_ray->m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray->m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray->m_p2.x = m_space p_ray->m_p2.y = m_origin	x->m_point1.x; y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x->m_point1.x; y->m_point1.y;				
	p_ray->m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray->m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray->m_p2.x = m_space p_ray->m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray;	_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y;				
	p_ray->m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray->m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray->m_p2.x = m_space p_ray->m_p2.y = m_origin return p_ray; };	_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y;				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() {</pre>	_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x->m_point1.x; _y->m_point1.y;				
	p_ray->m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray->m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray->m_p2.x = m_space p_ray->m_p2.y = m_origin return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the for the optimum of the optimum	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1 = m_origin</pre>	<pre>overlap interpretation in the arrow interpretation interpreta</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.x;</pre>				
	p_ray->m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray->m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray->m_p2.x = m_space p_ray->m_p2.y = m_origin return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray->m_p1.x = m_origin p_ray->m_p1.y = m_origin	<pre>_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y i.e. the</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow</pre>	<pre>_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir</pre>	<pre>overlap interpretation of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the _ x-&gt;m_point1.x;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.x; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the i_x-&gt;m_point1.x; ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray:</pre>	<pre>_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the _x-&gt;m_point1.x; _ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; };</pre>	<pre>_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the _ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; _y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow _x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the _x-&gt;m_point1.x; _ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; };</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this);</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-x-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y, i.e., the t_x-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x_y-x</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-y-y, t_y-y-y_y-y_y, the m_y y_y y_y y_y y_y y_y y_y y_y y_y y_y</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y; i() { osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition j.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; itiny-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir // the second point is the x pos</pre>	<pre></pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origin return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origin ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origin p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origin // the second point is the x pos i.e., the head of the arrow</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y; s(() { osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_ipoint1.y; ition of the m_ipoint1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y; y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir // the second point is the x pos i.e., the head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the t_x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_dimension_ray_x, dimension_x-&gt;m_point1.x;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir // the second point is the y pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space i.e., the head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; ray_y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_dimension_ray_x, dimension_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				
	<pre>p_ray-&gt;m_pl.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_pl.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_origir return p_ray; }; ray* create_space_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p2.y = m_space return p_ray; }; ray* create_dimension_ray_x ray* p_ray = new ray(this); // the first point is the corner p p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.x = m_origir p_ray-&gt;m_p1.y = m_origir // the second point is the x pos i.e., the head of the arrow p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space p_ray-&gt;m_p2.x = m_space return p_ray;</pre>	<pre>x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_x, i.e., the ray_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; osition, i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_space_ray_y, i.e., the x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition i.e., the tail of the arrow x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y; ition of the m_dimension_ray_x, dimension_x-&gt;m_point1.x; y-&gt;m_point1.y;</pre>				

-continued

ray* create_dimension_ray_y() { ray* p_ray = new ray(this);
// the first point is the corner position, i.e., the tail of the arrow
$p_ray > m_p1.x = m_origin_x > m_point1.x;$
p_ray->m_p1.y = m_origin_y->m_point1.y;
// the second point is the y position of the m_dimension_ray_y,
i.e., the head of the arrow
p_ray->m_p2.x = m_origin_x->m_point1.x;
p_ray->m_p2.y = m_space_dimension_y->m_point1.y;
return p_ray;
};

**[0114]** A ray object represents essentially an arrow with a head point and tail point. All the tail points coincide with the current corner. For Manhattan layouts the rays are either horizontal or vertical, though in 45 degree layouts it can also have either of the two diagonal orientations. The 'ray' class is described in a C++ like pseudocode class definition as follows:

class ray
{
corner* m_parent_corner;
bool is_s_ray;
point m_p1;
point m_p2;
}

**[0115]** The corner data structures developed during the scan are maintained as entries in a synchronized\_corner\_map structure. This structure is a map, in which the keys identify a layer number and an x and y position on that layer, and the values are objects of class 'corner'.

[0116] FIG. 12 is a flow chart detail of step 1016, for processing the entering edges. In step 1210, each of the entering edges represented in the current vertical scan line are considered. In FIG. 11A, this will be only edge 1112. In FIG. 11B, this will be edge 1132, as well as the top and bottom edges of rectangle 1138. In step 1214, it is determined whether the left-hand endpoint of the current edge is a corner of an island. This is determined by reference to the current quadrant vector, as described previously. If it is not a corner of an island, then the edge is skipped.

[0117] In step 1216, a corner data structure for the left-hand endpoint of the current edge is instantiated in synchronized\_ corner map if it does not already exist. The corner data structure might already exist in synchronized corner map if, for example, the corner had already been encountered because of a different horizontal edge on the same layer that starts at the same point (such as the bottom edge of rectangle 1138 in FIG. 11B), or as part of the vertical scan in an embodiment in which the vertical scan precedes or operates concurrently with the horizontal scan. In step 1218, the system walks upward and downward along the current vertical scan line from the current horizontal edge, populating the available corner information as it is learned. In particular, referring to the corner data structure definition above and the illustrations in FIGS. 11A and 11B, the edges s\_ray\_y, tar\_y and d\_ray\_y, as well as any others required by the design rules, are populated. Note that these values identify the shape edges at the head of the respective ray. The ray itself is identified separately in the corner data structure, as previously mentioned.

[0118] In one embodiment, all design rule checks are performed only after all scans are complete. However, the present embodiment incorporates a feature in which the system performs certain simple edge-based rule checks as part of step 1218. For example, if the current edge is a top edge and the walk upwards along the current vertical scan line meets the bottom edge of a shape in the same layer, then s\_ray\_y is populated in the corner data structure and the minimum spacing rule is checked as well. This check involves comparing the length of s\_ray\_y with the minimum spacing value in the relationship\_master. If the current edge is a top edge and the walk upwards along the current vertical scan line meets the top edge of a shape in a different layer, then the minimum extension rule is checked by comparing the distance walked to the minimum extension value for the appropriate layer pair in the relationship master. If the current edge is a bottom edge and the walk upwards along the current vertical scan line meets the top edge of a shape in the same layer, then d\_ray\_y is populated, and also the minimum dimension rule is checked. This check involves comparing the value of d\_ray\_y with the minimum dimension value in the relationship\_master. If the current edge is a bottom edge and the walk upwards along the current vertical scan line meets the top edge of a shape in a different layer, then the minimum overlap rule is checked. Similar checks are performed during the walk downward from the current edge. If during the walks up and down the current vertical scan line, the distance walked exceeds the worst case limit from the relationship master, there is no design rule violation encountered and it is not necessary to populate further items in the corner data structure that would be encountered in the current walking direction.

**[0119]** After the available corner structure information items have been populated, then the system returns to step **1210** to consider the next entering edge in the current vertical scan line.

**[0120]** FIG. **13** is a flow chart detail of step **1018** for processing exiting edge corners. In step **1310**, each of the exiting edges represented in the current vertical scan line are considered. In step **1314**, it is determined whether the right-hand endpoint of the current edge is a corner of an island. This is determined by reference to the current quadrant vector, as described previously. If it is not a corner of an island, then the edge is skipped.

[0121] In step 1316, a corner data structure for the righthand endpoint of the current edge is instantiated in synchronized\_corner\_map if it does not already exist. Again, the corner data structure might already exist in synchronized\_ corner\_map if, for example, the corner had already been encountered because of a different horizontal edge on the same layer that ends at the same point, or as part of the vertical scan in an embodiment in which the vertical scan precedes or operates concurrently with the horizontal scan. In step 1318, the system walks upward and downward along the current vertical scan line from the current horizontal edge, populating the available corner information as it is learned. In particular, referring to the corner data structure definition above and the illustration in FIGS. 11A and 11B, the edges s\_ray\_y, tar\_y and d\_ray\_y, as well as any others required by the design rules, are populated.

**[0122]** In addition, preferably but not essentially, the system also in step **1318** performs the same edge-based rule checks for the exiting edges as performed and described above with respect to step **1218** for entering edges.

**[0123]** After the available corner structure information items have been populated, then the system returns to step **1310** to consider the next exiting edge in the current vertical scan line.

**[0124]** Returning to FIG. **10**, after both the entering and exiting edges having an endpoint on the current vertical scan line are processed, the system populates or updates information about islands (step **1020**). Islands are represented in objects of class 'island', and maintained in a map of class 'island\_map'. They are instantiated as the vertical scan line encounters them as it scans horizontally, and are updated as the vertical scan line moves across them horizontally, corner to corner. Pertinent parts of the 'island' data structure are described in a C++ like pseudocode class definition as follows:

class island			
{			
// For horizontal scan, this is the iterator in			
<pre>// current_scan_line of the bottom_most_edge of the island</pre>			
edge_tree::iterator m_start_iterator;			
// For horizontal scan, this is the iterator in			
<pre>// current_scan_line of the top_most_edge of the island edge_tree::iterator m_end_iterator;</pre>			
// Islands are split or merged during the horizontal scan.			
// When an island is split, the island id is not split			
// (i.e., multiple islands will share same id), so we know			
// these islands are actually sub-islands of a larger island;			
// When multiple islands merge together, the smallest island			
// id is used as the shared id for all the islands merged together.			
int m_island_id;			
// accumulating the common run length against the same layer.			
// For efficiency, 2D spacing rules are checked during scan, // not after. In another embodiment they could be checked afterwards			
			int m_last_valid_common_run_position;
// accumulating the common run length against different layers			
std::map <layer_number, int=""> m_last_valid_top_position_vector;</layer_number,>			
			std::map <layer_number, int=""></layer_number,>
m_last_valid_bottom_position_vector;			
// accumulating the area of this island so far			
int m_area;			
// accumulating the area of the potential hole right above this island.			
int m_hole_area;			
// Horizontal position that current_scan_line stopped last time			
int m_last_position_updated;			
};			

**[0125]** Among other things, the island data structure accumulates the following information about a particular island during the process of the horizontal scan: area of the island, area of a hole just above the island, common run lengths against other islands in the same layer and islands in other layers. For clarity of illustration, the present description will concentrate primarily on the island area as an example of island-based rule checking Reference will be made to FIG. **19**E, which illustrates a sample layout region having three overlapping rectangles **1932**, **1934** and **1936**, all on a single layer. Because they overlap on a single layer, they form a single island **1930**.

**[0126]** Roughly described, island area is accumulated during the horizontal scan by using the shape corners to divide the island into non-overlapping "island rectangles", the area of which are easily determined from the horizontal edges represented in the current vertical scan line. In the example of FIG. **19**E, the method divides the island **1930** into five island rectangles bounded horizontally by the broken vertical lines

**1938.** Like for the extraction of corner data, the updating of island data takes place only at those vertical scan lines containing a corner of the island. Horizontal scanning does not stop anywhere between corners. A rectangle (not shown) disposed entirely within rectangle **1932**, for example, will not bear on any island design rule and does not become a stopping place during the scan. A high level description of the process is illustrated in the flow chart of FIG. **23**.

[0127] Referring to FIG. 23, as mentioned, the islands are stored in a map called island\_map. The keys of island\_map identify the lower left corner of a respective island. In step 2310, each island having a corner lying on the current vertical scan line is considered. In step 2312, if the corner represents an island being encountered for the first time during the scan, a new island data structure is instantiated in island\_map (step 2314). The area is set to zero (step 2316), and in step 2324, the value of m\_last\_position\_updated for the new island is set equal to the x-position of the current vertical scan line.

[0128] If the current island is already represented in island map, then effectively a vertical slice is made through the current island at the current vertical scan line; and the area of the left-adjacent rectangle is added to the area being accumulated. Accordingly, in step 2318, the height H of the leftadjacent rectangle is calculated as the distance along the current vertical scan line from the bottom edge of the current island to the top edge of the current island. This information is available in current\_scan\_line, because at least one of the top and bottom edges is a corner, and the y-position of the corner is available as the left- or right-hand endpoint of a horizontal edge in the current vertical scan line. The other of the top and bottom edges may also be a corner, or may be an edge that merely intersects the current vertical scan line. In either case its y-position is available as well in current\_scan\_ line. In step 2320, the width W of the left-adjacent rectangle is calculated as the horizontal position of the current\_scan\_ line minus the last scan line position at which island information was updated, which is the value in m\_last\_position\_ updated. In step 2322 the product of H and W is added to the area value for the current island.

[0129] In step 2324, as mentioned above, the value of  $m\_last\_position\_updated$  for the new island is set equal to the x-position of the current vertical scan line. The method then returns to step 2310 for consideration of the next island having a corner on the current vertical scan line.

**[0130]** Once all islands having a corner on the current vertical scan line have been considered, then any two or more of such islands that are now vertically-adjacent are merged into a single island in step **2326** and their area values summed. In step **2328**, any island that is now split into two, perhaps separated vertically by a newly encountered hole or notch, are split. The details of the merging and splitting operations are not important for an understanding of the invention. Note that whereas island area information is captured during the horizontal scan, it is not compared to the design rule values in the present embodiment until later.

**[0131]** Returning to FIG. **10**, after the island data has been updated based on the current scan line, in step **1022**, as a time saving technique, the quadrant depth vectors for each of the entering horizontal edges in the current vertical scan line are copied from the right-hand quadrants to the corresponding left-hand quadrants. In this manner the left-hand quadrant depth values can be incremented or decremented as the vertical scan line moves rightward, and will contain accurate values when the scan line reaches the right hand endpoint of

the edge. In step **1024**, all the exiting edges are removed from the current vertical scan line. The routine then returns to step **1010** for the next horizontal scan position.

**[0132]** Returning to FIG. 9, after the horizontal scan tree has been scanned, the vertical scan tree is scanned (step 912). FIG. 14 is a flow chart of step 912, for scanning the vertical scan tree sweep\_y

[0133] FIG. 14 is a flow chart of step 912, for scanning the vertical scan tree sweep\_y. In step 1408, the horizontal scan line edge-tree multimap object current\_scan\_line is created. In step 1410, current\_scan\_line traverses both enter\_tree and the exit\_tree together so that the horizontal scan lines from both trees are considered in monotonically varying sequence, bottom to top. Since these two trees contain only those horizontal scan lines on which an endpoint of a vertical edge lies, intervening horizontal scan line is maintained in a multimap object of class edge\_tree, having the structure of edge\_tree 620 (FIG. 6). It has a current horizontal scanning position, and stores the information shown in block 622 for each vertical edge that intersects a horizontal line at the current vertical scanning position.

[0134] In step 1412, current\_scan\_line is updated by adding all vertical edges having a lower endpoint located at the current horizontal scan position. In step 1414, the quadrant depth vector (FIG. 6) for each edge in the current horizontal scan line multimap is updated. This step involves, for an edge in the enter\_tree (i.e. a vertical edge whose lower endpoint lies on the current horizontal scan line), incrementing the value for either quadrant I or quadrant II by one. The value for quadrant I is incremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the edge 1112 indicates True (i.e. the edge is the left-hand edge of a shape), or the value for quadrant II is incremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the edge 1112 indicates False (i.e. the edge is the right-hand edge of a shape). Similarly, the updating of the quadrant depth vector for an edge in the exit\_tree (i.e. an edge whose upper endpoint lies on the current horizontal scan line), involves decrementing the value for either quadrant I or quadrant II by one. The value for quadrant I is decremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the exiting edge indicates True (i.e. the edge is the left-hand edge of a shape), or the value for quadrant II is decremented if the "edge against scan line?" Boolean for the exiting edge indicates False (i.e. the edge is the right-hand edge of a shape). It can be seen that the quadrant depth vector increments quantities as the horizontal scan line encounters shapes while moving upward across the region. It decrements quantities as the scan line moves past shapes.

**[0135]** In step **1416**, each of the edges whose lower endpoint lies on the current scan line are processed. These are the edges represented in enter\_tree. As they are processed, the "corner" data structure for the endpoint is populated in synchronized\_corner\_map. As mentioned, the relevant corner data structure may already exist from a previously encountered different vertical edge on the same layer that starts at the same point, or as part of the horizontal scan in an embodiment in which the horizontal vertical scan precedes or operates concurrently with the vertical scan.

**[0136]** FIG. **15** is a flow chart detail of step **1416**, for processing the entering edges. In step **1510**, each of the entering edges represented in the current horizontal scan line are considered. In step **1514**, it is determined whether the lower endpoint of the current edge is a corner of an island. This is

determined by reference to the current quadrant vector, as described previously. If it is not a corner of an island, then the edge is skipped.

**[0137]** In step **1516**, a corner data structure for the left-hand endpoint of the current edge is instantiated in synchronized\_corner\_map if it does not already exist. In step **1518**, the system walks leftward and rightward along the current horizontal scan line from the current vertical edge, populating the available corner information as it is learned. In particular, referring to the corner data structure definition above and the illustrations in FIGS. **11**A and **11**B, the edges s\_ray\_x, tar\_x and d\_ray\_x, as well as any others required by the design rules, are populated.

[0138] In an embodiment, certain edge-based rule checks are also performed as part of step 1518, similar to those performed in step 1218. For example, if the current edge is a right-hand edge and the walk rightward along the current horizontal scan line meets the left-hand edge of a shape in the same layer, then s\_ray\_x is populated in the corner data structure and the minimum spacing rule is checked as well. This check involves comparing the length of s\_ray\_x with the minimum spacing value in the relationship\_master. If the current edge is a right-hand edge and the walk rightwards along the current horizontal scan line meets the right-hand edge of a shape in a different layer, then the minimum extension rule is checked by comparing the distance walked to the minimum extension value for the appropriate layer pair in the relationship\_master. If the current edge is a left-hand edge and the walk rightwards along the current horizontal scan line meets the right-hand edge of a shape in the same layer, then d\_ray\_x is populated, and also the minimum dimension rule is checked. This check involves comparing the value of d ray x with the minimum dimension value in the relationship\_master. If the current edge is a left-hand edge and the walk rightwards along the current horizontal scan line meets the right-hand edge of a shape in a different layer, then the minimum overlap rule is checked. Similar checks are performed during the walk leftward from the current edge. If during the walks leftward and rightward along the current horizontal scan line, the distance walked exceeds the worst case limit from the relationship master, there is no design rule violation encountered and it is not necessary to populate further items in the corner data structure that would be encountered in the current walking direction.

**[0139]** After the available corner structure information items have been populated, then the system returns to step **1510** to consider the next entering edge in the current horizontal scan line.

**[0140]** FIG. **16** is a flow chart detail of step **1418** for processing exiting edge corners. In step **1610**, each of the exiting edges represented in the current horizontal scan line are considered. In step **1614**, it is determined whether the upper endpoint of the current edge is a corner of an island. This is determined by reference to the current quadrant vector, as described previously. If it is not a corner of an island, then the edge is skipped.

**[0141]** In step **1616**, a corner data structure for the upper endpoint of the current edge is instantiated in synchronized\_ corner\_map if it does not already exist. Again, the corner data structure might already exist in synchronized\_corner\_map. In step **1618**, the system walks leftward and rightward along the current horizontal scan line from the current vertical edge, populating the available corner information as it is learned. In particular, referring to the corner data structure definition above and the illustration in FIGS. **11**A and **11**B, the edges s\_ray\_x, tar\_x and d\_ray\_x, as well as any others required by the design rules, are populated.

**[0142]** In addition, preferably but not essentially, the system also in step **1618** performs similar edge-based rule checks for the exiting edges as performed and described above with respect to step **1318**.

**[0143]** After the available corner structure information items have been populated, then the system returns to step **1610** to consider the next exiting edge in the current horizontal scan line.

**[0144]** Returning to FIG. **14**, after both the entering and exiting edges having an endpoint on the current horizontal scan line are processed, it is not necessary to populate or update information about islands. This was done during the horizontal scan (step **1020** in FIG. **10**), and no additional information will be determined during the vertical scan. For example, the area of an island, determined as a vertical scan line scans across the island horizontally, will not be any different than the area determined as a horizontal scan line scans across the island vertically.

**[0145]** In step **1422**, as a time saving technique, the quadrant depth vectors for each of the entering vertical edges in the current horizontal scan line are copied from the upper quadrants to the corresponding lower quadrants. In this manner the lower quadrant depth values can be incremented or decremented as the horizontal scan line moves upward, and will contain accurate values when the scan line reaches the upper endpoint of the edge. In step **1424**, all the exiting edges are removed from the current horizontal scan line. The routine then returns to step **1410** for the next vertical scan position.

**[0146]** Returning to FIG. **3**, after step **320**, all the topographical relationships needed to perform the checks in the design rule set have been collected into a layout topology database. As mentioned, the term 'database' as used herein does not imply any unity or regularity of structure, and in the present embodiment the layout topology database includes synchronized\_corner\_map, island\_map and via\_map, and other collections of data as well. In step **322**, the values in the layout topology database are compared to those in the relationship master, in order to check all the design rules. In one embodiment, all design rule violations are reported, whereas in another embodiment, only those violations involving editing shapes are reported.

**[0147]** FIG. **17** is a flow chart detail of step **322**. These are illustrative examples of design rules that are checked in the present embodiment only after the scans across the layout region have been completed. The grouping of these checks as shown in FIG. **17** is only for convenience of the present description; it may or may not correspond to any grouping in any particular embodiment. For purposes of the present description, the design rules that are checked in FIG. **17** are grouped as follows. Corner-to-corner rules are checked in step **1710**, and other corner-based rules are checked in step **1712**. Island-based rules are checked in step **1714**, and other rules (such as via-based rules) are checked in step **1716**. Details are provided herein regarding some of the corner-to-corner rules, some other corner-based rules, and some island-based rules.

**[0148]** FIG. **18** is a flow chart detail of step **1710**, for checking the corner-to-corner rules. In step **1810**, the system builds a map of space and dimension rays from the ray information previously populated into the synchronized corner map. Rays from all layers are included, but only those space\_rays that

extend from convex corners, and only those dimension\_rays that extend from concave corners, are included in this\_ray map. In addition, instead of the rays representing the shape edges encountered when walking away from the corner, the rays in the ray map formed in step **1810** represent true rays from the corner to the encountered edge.

**[0149]** In step **1812**, the ray map is scanned left-to-right to identify intersections of the rays. A conventional scan line algorithm can be used for this purpose.

**[0150]** In step **1814**, it is determined whether the current ray intersection is an intersection of two space\_rays. The two corners from which these space\_rays extend both have to be convex, so the situation is as illustrated in FIG. **19A**, where s\_rays **1910** and **1912** intersect. In this case the corner-to-corner Euclidean spacing **1914** is calculated. If the two shapes are located on the same layer, the spacing **1914** is compared to the minimum corner-to-corner spacing value in relationship\_master. If they are on different layers, it is compared to the minimum corner-to-corner clearance in relationship\_master (step **1816**).

[0151] If the intersecting rays are not both space\_rays, then in step 1818 it is determined whether they are both dimension\_rays in the same layer. The two corners from which these dimension\_rays extend both have to be concave, so the situation is as illustrated in FIG. 19B, where d\_rays 1916 and 1918 intersect. In this case the corner-to-corner Euclidean dimension 1920 is again calculated and compared to the minimum dimension rule value in relationship\_master (step 1820).

**[0152]** If the intersecting rays are not both dimension\_rays, then in step **1822** it is determined whether one is a space\_ray on one layer, and the other is a dimension\_ray on a different layer. Since the corner from which the space\_ray extends is convex, and the corner from which the dimension\_ray extends in concave, the situation is as illustrated in FIG. **19**C. In this figure, s\_ray **1922** from a corner of shape **1921** intersects d\_ray **1924** from a corner of shape **1923**, and the two shapes are on different layers. In this case the distance that the shape on one layer extends past the edge of the shape the other layer is calculated in both dimensions, and compared to the minExtension or minDualExtension value in relationship\_master (step **1824**).

**[0153]** Various other corner-based design rule checks can be performed within this loop as well, not shown in FIG. **18**. The routine then loops back to step **1812** to continue scanning for more intersecting rays.

**[0154]** FIG. **20** is a flow chart detail of step **1712**, for checking certain other corner-based rules. These rules are checked inside a loop **2010** which traverses the synchronized\_corner\_ map. In step **2012**, the edge length rule is checked from the current corner. For the horizontal edge meeting at this corner, this involves subtracting the x-position of the corner (ori\_x) from the x-position of the nearest vertical edge, walking horizontally along the shape contour (tar\_x) and comparing the absolute value of the difference to the minimum edge length value in the relationship\_master. For the vertical edge meeting at this corner, this involves subtracting the y-position of the corner (ori\_y) from the y-position of the nearest horizontal edge, walking vertically along the shape contour (tar\_ y) and comparing the absolute value of the difference to the minimum edge length value in the relationship\_master.

[0155] In step 2014, it is determined whether the current corner is concave or convex. If it is concave, then in step 2016 the concave corner edge length rule is checked. This rule

requires that at least one of the two adjacent edges forming a concave corner have at least a minimum length. This test can be performed using the same values from the corner data structure as used in step **2012** (ori\_x, tar\_x, ori\_y and tar\_y). The lengths determined for the two edges are compared to the minimum concave corner edge length value in the relationship\_master.

[0156] In step 2018, the notch rule is checked. This rule requires that a 'notch' in an island have at least a specified minimum width. Framed in terms of corners, the rule requires that two adjacent concave corners be at least a specified distance apart. This rule need be checked for a horizontallyadjacent corner only of the horizontally-adjacent corner is concave, and need be checked for a vertically-adjacent corner only of the vertically-adjacent corner is concave. For example, in the illustration of FIG. 11B, only the horizontally-adjacent corner need be checked for violation of the notch rule. The notch rule can be tested by subtracting the x-position of the current corner (ori\_x) from the x-position of the nearest vertical facing edge, walking horizontally from corner, away from the shape, which is already available in the current corner data structure as space\_ray\_x. The absolute value of the difference is then compared to the minimum notch width value in the relationship master. For a notch formed with a vertically-adjacent concave corner, the y-position of the current corner (ori\_y) is subtracted from the y-position of the nearest horizontal facing edge, walking vertically from the current corner, away from the shape, which is already available in the current corner data structure as space

ray\_y. The absolute value of the difference is then compared to the minimum notch width value in the relationship\_master. [0157] If in step 2014, it is determined that the current corner is convex, then in step 2020 the convex corner edge length rule is checked. This rule requires that at least one of the two adjacent edges forming a convex corner have at least a minimum length. This test can be performed using the same values from the corner data structure as used in step 2012 (ori\_x, tar\_x, ori\_y and tar\_y). The lengths determined for the two edges are compared to the minimum convex corner edge length value in the relationship\_master.

[0158] In step 2022, an end-of-line spacing rule is checked. In its simplest form, this rule requires that at the end of a line, a specified minimum spacing is required to the neighboring geometry. Referring to FIG. 19D, where the line in question is line 1926, the rule requires that for an end-of-line width eolWidth less than one specified value, the end-of-line spacing eolSpace must be at least another specified value. If the current corner is convex corner 1828, then the width of the line 1926 in the horizontal dimension is easily determined by subtracting the x-position of the current corner (ori\_x) from the x-position of the last vertical edge walking horizontally into shape, before exiting shape, which is already available in the current corner data structure as d\_ray\_x. The spacing to the next neighboring geometry is available in the current corner data structure as s\_ray\_y. Thus the absolute value of the subtraction is compared to the value for eolWidth in the relationship master, and if small enough to invoke the rule, s\_ray\_y is then compared to the value for eolSpace in the relationship\_master. For a horizontally-oriented line, the width of the line in the vertical dimension is determined by subtracting the y-position of the current corner (ori\_y) from the y-position of the last horizontal edge walking vertically into shape, before exiting shape, which is already available in the current corner data structure as d\_ray\_y. The spacing to

the next neighboring geometry is available in the current corner data structure as s\_ray\_x. Thus the absolute value of the subtraction is compared to the value for eolWidth in the relationship\_master, and if small enough to invoke the rule, s\_ray\_x is then compared to the value for eolSpace in the relationship\_master.

**[0159]** After all the desired rules are checked for the current corner, the routine returns to step **2010** to consider the next corner in synchronized\_corner\_map.

[0160] Returning to FIG. 17, after the corner-based rules have been checked in steps 1710 and 1712, island-based rules are then checked in step 1714. Example island-based design rules that can be checked here include the minimum island area rule, the minimum hole area rule, minimum common run dependent separation against other islands in the same layer, and minimum common run dependent separation against islands in other layers. In an embodiment, these are all checked within a single traversal of island\_map, where the values for all required topological relationships in the layout region have already been populated. For example, the area of each island in island\_map has already been populated during the horizontal scan. The step of checking the minimum island area rule, therefore, is accomplished simply by comparing the stored island area for the current island with the minimum area value in the relationship master. Note that in an embodiment, during the horizontal scan, accumulation of island area is aborted once the accumulated area exceeds the worst case minimum required in the relationship master. The stored area values will still be determined in this step 1714 to satisfy the minimum island area rule.

**[0161]** Other rules, such as via-based rules, are checked in step **1716**.

[0162] Returning to FIG. 3, step 324 involves reporting any design rule violations to the user or to another entity. If reported to the user, the report can take place promptly (e.g. for real time feedback) or later (e.g. if performed as a batch job). Where the violations are reported to the user promptly, this enables the user to modify the layout to correct for the design rule violations. Whereas any form of reporting can be used, preferably the design rule violations are reported by way of visual indications on the user's monitor, as markers on the layout region itself. In an embodiment, near violations are also indicated. Marker information can be anything that can be used to render a visual indicator of the violation, but preferably it identifies a rectangle for designating the location of the violation within the layout region. In an embodiment, the rectangle is shown in a size which indicates the magnitude of the primary value of the rule being violated. This information can be very useful as it indicates graphically how much is needed to correct the violation. For near-violations, it can be a ruler indicating the current spacing. For example, if the violation is a minimum spacing violation, a rectangle might encompass the (too-small) spacing area, or a ruler disposed across the space might indicate actual spacing if it is larger than the minimum.

**[0163]** All of the design rule checks output marker information for any violation. The marker information is collected in a map structure. In step **324**, the marker information is converted to visible form on the user's monitor or provided to another entity. In addition, as shown in FIG. **3**, once the markers have been output, the system returns to step **312** to await the next editing command. This may be as simple as another slight movement of the current editing shapes being dragged across the layout region. This event will result in

another traversal through steps **314-324** of FIG. **3**, thus causing a change in the visual indicator as seen by the user. Because of the efficiency of the design rule checking techniques described herein, in the embodiment herein the new markings will appear nearly immediately with each drag of the editing shapes.

[0164] FIG. 21A is an example visual indication of a violation of a minimum spacing rule. In this drawing, editing rectangle 2112 has been moved too close to static rectangle 2110, and a box 2114 appears indicating how much end-ofline spacing is required by the rule. If the minimum spacing value that is being violated is an absolute value, then the box 2114 might appear in one color, whereas if it is a preferred value that is being violated, then the box 2114 might appear in another color. A third color can be used to indicate a most preferred value, and so on. As the user pulls the editing shape 2112 apart from static shape 2110, the box 2114 disappears and a ruler appears, such as ruler 2116 in FIG. 21B. Ruler 2116 indicates the actual distance between the end of editing shape 2112 and the nearest edge of static shape 2110, and thereby indicates how much closer shape 2112 can be brought to shape 2110 before the minimum spacing rule will be violated.

**[0165]** FIG. **21**C is an example visual indication of a violation of a corner-to-corner spacing rule. In this drawing, editing rectangle **2112** has been moved too close to a corner of static rectangle **2110**, and a box **2118** appears indicating the violation. Again, the box **2118** can appear in either of two colors to indicate violation of an absolute or preferred value for this design rule. As the user pulls the editing shape **2112** apart from static shape **2110**, the box **2114** disappears and a ruler appears, such as corner-to-corner ruler **2120** in FIG. **21**D. Ruler **2020** indicates the actual corner-to-corner distance between the end of editing shape **2112** and the nearest edge of static shape **2110**.

**[0166]** FIG. **21**E is an example visual indication of a violation of a corner-to-corner minimum dimension rule. In this drawing, a corner of editing rectangle **2112** overlaps a corner of a same layer static rectangle **2110**, but the overlap is too small to satisfy the minimum dimension rule. A box **2022** appears indicating the violation.

**[0167]** Similar visual indicators to indicate violations of other design rules will be apparent to the reader. It can be seen that the markings provide nearly immediate feedback to the user as the layout is edited, thereby greatly facilitating the manual layout effort. It should be noted that the absence of any visual indication to the user also constitutes a notification to the user that no design rule violation has been detected.

**[0168]** In the embodiments described herein, all the corner data structures are completely populated before the cornerbased rules are checked. This is the most advantageous arrangement, but some benefits of the invention can be obtained even if only some (i.e. more than one; preferably more than two) of the corner data structures are completely populated before the corner-based rules are checked. Similarly, all island data structures are completely populated before the island-based rules are checked. Again, while this is the most advantageous arrangement, some benefits of the invention can be obtained even if only some (i.e. more than one; preferably more than two) of the island data structures are completely populated before the island-based rules are checked. Again, while this is the most advantageous arrangement, some benefits of the invention can be obtained even if only some (i.e. more than one; preferably more than two) of the island data structures are completely populated before the island-based rules are checked.

### Hardware

[0169] FIG. 22 is a simplified block diagram of a computer system 2210 that can be used to implement software incorporating aspects of the present invention. Computer system 2210 includes a processor subsystem 2214 which communicates with a number of peripheral devices via bus subsystem 2212. These peripheral devices may include a storage subsystem 2224, comprising a memory subsystem 2226 and a file storage subsystem 2228, user interface input devices 2222, user interface output devices 2220, and a network interface subsystem 2216. The input and output devices allow user interaction with computer system 2210. Network interface subsystem 2216 provides an interface to outside networks, including an interface to communication network 2218, and is coupled via communication network 2218 to corresponding interface devices in other computer systems. Communication network 2218 may comprise many interconnected computer systems and communication links. These communication links may be wireline links, optical links, wireless links, or any other mechanisms for communication of information. While in one embodiment, communication network 2218 is the Internet, in other embodiments, communication network 2218 may be any suitable computer network.

**[0170]** The physical hardware component of network interfaces are sometimes referred to as network interface cards (NICs), although they need not be in the form of cards: for instance they could be in the form of integrated circuits (ICs) and connectors fitted directly onto a motherboard, or in the form of macrocells fabricated on a single integrated circuit chip with other components of the computer system.

[0171] User interface input devices 2222 may include a keyboard, pointing devices such as a mouse, trackball, touchpad, or graphics tablet, a scanner, a touch screen incorporated into the display, audio input devices such as voice recognition systems, microphones, and other types of input devices. In general, use of the term "input device" is intended to include all possible types of devices and ways to input information into computer system 2210 or onto computer network 2218. [0172] User interface output devices 2220 may include a display subsystem, a printer, a fax machine, or non-visual displays such as audio output devices. The display subsystem may include a cathode ray tube (CRT), a flat-panel device such as a liquid crystal display (LCD), a projection device, or some other mechanism for creating a visible image. The display subsystem produces the images illustrated in FIGS. 21A-21E, for example. The display subsystem may also provide non-visual display such as via audio output devices. In general, use of the term "output device" is intended to include all possible types of devices and ways to output information from computer system 2210 to the user or to another machine or computer system.

**[0173]** Storage subsystem **2224** stores the basic programming and data constructs that provide the functionality of certain embodiments of the present invention. For example, the various modules implementing the functionality of certain embodiments of the invention may be stored in storage subsystem **2224**. These software modules are generally executed by processor subsystem **2214**.

**[0174]** Memory subsystem **2226** typically includes a number of memories including a main random access memory (RAM) **2230** for storage of instructions and data during program execution and a read only memory (ROM) **2232** in which fixed instructions are stored. File storage subsystem **2228** provides persistent storage for program and data files,

and may include a hard disk drive, a floppy disk drive along with associated removable media, a CD-ROM drive, an optical drive, or removable media cartridges. The databases and modules implementing the functionality of certain embodiments of the invention may be stored by file storage subsystem **2228**. The host memory **2226** contains, among other things, computer instructions which, when executed by the processor subsystem **2214**, cause the computer system to operate or perform functions as described herein. As used herein, processes and software that are said to run in or on "the host" or "the computer system", execute on the processor subsystem **2214** in response to computer instructions and data in the host memory subsystem **2226** including any other local or remote storage for such instructions and data.

**[0175]** Bus subsystem **2212** provides a mechanism for letting the various components and subsystems of computer system **2210** communicate with each other as intended. Although bus subsystem **2212** is shown schematically as a single bus, alternative embodiments of the bus subsystem may use multiple busses.

**[0176]** Computer system **2210** itself can be of varying types including a personal computer, a portable computer, a work-station, a computer terminal, a network computer, a television, a mainframe, or any other data processing system or user device. Due to the ever-changing nature of computers and networks, the description of computer system **2210** depicted in FIG. **22** is intended only as a specific example for purposes of illustrating certain embodiments of the present invention. In another embodiment, the invention can be implemented using multiple computer systems, such as in a server farm. Many other configurations of computer system **2210** are possible having more or less components than the computer system depicted in FIG. **22**.

[0177] In an embodiment, the steps set forth in the flow charts and descriptions herein are performed by a computer system having a processor such as processor subsystem 2214 and a memory such as storage subsystem 2224, under the control of software which includes instructions which are executable by the processor subsystem 2214 to perform the steps shown. The software also includes data on which the processor operates. The software is stored on a computer readable medium, which as mentioned above and as used herein, is one on which information can be stored and read by a computer system. Examples include a floppy disk, a hard disk drive, a RAM, a CD, a DVD, flash memory, a USB drive, and so on. The computer readable medium may store information in coded formats that are decoded for actual use in a particular data processing system. A single computer readable medium, as the term is used herein, may also include more than one physical item, such as a plurality of CD-ROMs or a plurality of segments of RAM, or a combination of several different kinds of media. When the computer readable medium storing the software is combined with the computer system of FIG. 22, the combination is a machine which performs the steps set forth herein. Means for performing each step consists of the computer system (or only those parts of it that are needed for the step) in combination with software modules for performing the step. The computer readable medium storing the software is also capable of being distributed separately from the computer system, and forms its own article of manufacture.

**[0178]** Additionally, the geometry file or files storing the layout, the relationship master dataset, and the layout topology database are themselves stored on computer readable

media. Such media can be distributable separately from the computer system, and form their own respective articles of manufacture. When combined with a computer system programmed with software for reading, revising, and writing the geometry files, and for design rule checking, they form yet another machine which performs the steps set forth herein.

**[0179]** As used herein, the "identification" of an item of information does not necessarily require the direct specification of that item of information. Information can be "identified" in a field by simply referring to the actual information through one or more layers of indirection, or by identifying one or more items of different information which are together sufficient to determine the actual item of information. In addition, the term "indicate" is used herein to mean the same as "identify".

[0180] As used herein, a given signal, event or value is "responsive" to a predecessor signal, event or value if the predecessor signal, event or value influenced the given signal, event or value. If there is an intervening processing element, step or time period, the given signal, event or value can still be "responsive" to the predecessor signal, event or value. If the intervening processing element or step combines more than one signal, event or value, the signal output of the processing element or step is considered "responsive" to each of the signal, event or value inputs. If the given signal, event or value is the same as the predecessor signal, event or value, this is merely a degenerate case in which the given signal, event or value is still considered to be "responsive" to the predecessor signal, event or value. "Dependency" of a given signal, event or value upon another signal, event or value is defined similarly.

[0181] The foregoing description of preferred embodiments of the present invention has been provided for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise forms disclosed. Obviously, many modifications and variations will be apparent to practitioners skilled in this art. In particular, and without limitation, any and all variations described, suggested or incorporated by reference in the Background section of this patent application are specifically incorporated by reference into the description herein of embodiments of the invention. The embodiments described herein were chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its practical application, thereby enabling others skilled in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments and with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated. It is intended that the scope of the invention be defined by the following claims and their equivalents.

#### 1-24. (canceled)

**25**. A method for checking a set of layout design rules on a region of an integrated circuit layout, the layout including a plurality of shapes each including edges in a plurality of different orientations, each of the edges having endpoints at respective locations in the layout, endpoints of edges in a first one of the orientations being first endpoints and endpoints of edges in a second one of the orientations being second endpoints,

for use by a computer system having access to a design rule data set indicating constraint values of design rules in the data set, the method comprising the steps of:

- the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension which is perpendicular to the first edge orientation, so as to encounter first endpoints of the edges having the first orientation;
- in response to encountering each of at least a first subset of at least two of the first endpoints, the computer system populating a layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective first endpoint location;
- the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension which is perpendicular to the second edge orientation, so as to encounter second endpoints of the edges having the second orientation;
- in response to encountering each of at least a second subset of at least two of the second endpoints, the computer system populating the layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective second endpoint location;
- after the layout topology database has been populated with values in dependence upon the first endpoint location of all endpoints in the first subset of endpoints, and values in dependence upon the second endpoint location of all endpoints in the second subset of endpoints, the computer system comparing values in the layout topology database to values in the design rule data set to detect any violations of design rules in the set of design rules; and where a design rule violation is detected reporting it to a
- where a design rule violation is detected, reporting it to a user.

26. A method according to claim 25, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprises the step of the computer system scanning the layout region so as to encounter the first endpoints in a monotonically varying position in the first dimension.

27. A method according to claim 26, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprises the step of the computer system scanning the layout region so as to encounter the second endpoints in a monotonically varying position in the second dimension.

**28**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein each of the first endpoints has a position in the first dimension,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprises the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**29**. A method according to claim **28**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**30**. A method according to claim **28**, wherein the second endpoints each have a position in the second dimension,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprises the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**31**. A method according to claim **30**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

- wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands
- and wherein the second subset of second endpoints includes all endpoints of the second edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**32**. A method according to claim **31**, wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints,

and wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**33**. A method according to claim **28**, wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**34**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension avoids first endpoints which do not lie on an island contour.

**35**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension, completes before the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension, begins.

**36**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension, and the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension, are performed concurrently.

**37**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein the plurality of orientations consists of first and second orientations which are perpendicular to each other.

**38**. A method according to claim **25**, wherein a design rule violation is detected in the step of the computer system comparing values.

39. (canceled)

**40**. A system for checking a set of layout design rules on a region of an integrated circuit layout, the layout including a plurality of shapes each having shape corners at respective locations in the layout, comprising:

- a computer system having access to a design rule data set indicating constraint values of design rules in the data set, the computer system further having access to computer instructions and data which, when applied to the computer system, perform the steps of:
- scanning the layout region in a first dimension which is perpendicular to the first edge orientation, so as to encounter first endpoints of the edges having the first orientation;

- in response to encountering each of at least a first subset of at least two of the first endpoints, the computer system populating a layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective first endpoint location;
- scanning the layout region in a second dimension which is perpendicular to the second edge orientation, so as to encounter second endpoints of the edges having the second orientation;
- in response to encountering each of at least a second subset of at least two of the second endpoints, the computer system populating the layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective second endpoint location;
- after the layout topology database has been populated with values in dependence upon the first endpoint location of all endpoints in the first subset of endpoints, and values in dependence upon the second endpoint location of all endpoints in the second subset of endpoints, comparing values in the layout topology database to values in the design rule data set to detect any violations of design rules in the set of design rules; and
- where a design rule violation is detected, reporting it to a user.
- 41. (canceled)

**42**. A computer program product for checking a set of layout design rules on a region of an integrated circuit layout, the layout including a plurality of shapes each having shape corners at respective locations in the layout, for use by a computer system having access to a design rule data set indicating constraint values of design rules in the data set, the computer program product comprising

- a computer readable medium having stored thereon a plurality of software code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the steps of:
- scanning the layout region in a first dimension which is perpendicular to the first edge orientation, so as to encounter first endpoints of the edges having the first orientation;
- in response to encountering each of at least a first subset of at least two of the first endpoints, populating a layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective first endpoint location;
- scanning the layout region in a second dimension which is perpendicular to the second edge orientation, so as to encounter second endpoints of the edges having the second orientation;
- in response to encountering each of at least a second subset of at least two of the second endpoints, populating the layout topology database with values in dependence upon the respective second endpoint location;
- after the layout topology database has been populated with values in dependence upon the first endpoint location of all endpoints in the first subset of endpoints, and values in dependence upon the second endpoint location of all endpoints in the second subset of endpoints, comparing values in the layout topology database to values in the design rule data set to detect any violations of design rules in the set of design rules; and
- where a design rule violation is detected, reporting it to a user.

**43**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprises the step of the computer system scanning the

17

44. A system according to claim 43, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprises the step of the computer system scanning the layout region so as to encounter the second endpoints in a monotonically varying position in the second dimension.

**45**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein each of the first endpoints has a position in the first dimension,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprises the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**46**. A system according to claim **45**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour.

wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**47**. A system according to claim **45**, wherein the second endpoints each have a position in the second dimension,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprises the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**48**. A system according to claim **47**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

- wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands
- and wherein the second subset of second endpoints includes all endpoints of the second edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**49**. A system according to claim **48**, wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, and the positions in the first dimension of first endpoints,

and wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**50**. A system according to claim **45**, wherein the step of the computer system jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprises the step of the computer system jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**51**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the

single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

and wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension avoids first endpoints Which do not lie on an island contour.

**52**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension, completes before the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension, begins.

**53**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a first dimension, and the step of the computer system scanning the layout region in a second dimension, are performed concurrently.

**54**. A system according to claim **40**, wherein the plurality of orientations consists of first and second orientations which are perpendicular to each other.

**55**. A product according to claim **42**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region so as to encounter the first endpoints in a monotonically varying position in the first dimension.

**56**. A product according to claim **55**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region so as to encounter the second endpoints in a monotonically varying position in the second dimension.

57. A product according to claim 42, wherein each of the first endpoints has a position in the first dimension,

and wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a first dimension comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**58**. A product according to claim **57**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**59**. A product according to claim **57**, wherein the second endpoints each have a position in the second dimension,

and wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a second dimension comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**60**. A product according to claim **59**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

- wherein the first subset of first endpoints includes all endpoints of the first edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands
- and wherein the second subset of second endpoints includes all endpoints of the second edges which endpoints coincide with corners of the islands.

**61**. A product according to claim **60**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension of first endpoints,

and wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints, comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only monotonically among positions in the second dimension which match positions in the second dimension of second endpoints.

**62.** A product according to claim **57**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of jumping only among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints, comprise code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of

jumping only monotonically among positions in the first dimension which match positions in the first dimension of first endpoints.

**63**. A product according to claim **42**, wherein each of the shapes in the plurality of shapes is specific to a layer, the single layer geometric union of the shapes in the plurality of shapes forming a plurality of islands, the islands each having a contour,

and wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a first dimension avoid first endpoints which do not lie on an island contour.

**64**. A product according to claim **42**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a first dimension, complete before the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a second dimension, begin.

**65**. A product according to claim **42**, wherein the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a first dimension, and the code portions and data which when executed by the computer system perform the step of scanning the layout region in a second dimension, execute concurrently.

**66**. A product according to claim **42**, wherein the plurality of orientations consists of first and second orientations which are perpendicular to each other.

\* \* \* \* \*