



(11) **EP 3 653 236 A1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
20.05.2020 Bulletin 2020/21

(51) Int Cl.:
A61M 1/00 ^(2006.01) **A61F 5/445** ^(2006.01)
A61L 28/00 ^(2006.01) **A61L 29/02** ^(2006.01)
A61L 29/04 ^(2006.01) **A61L 29/08** ^(2006.01)
A61L 29/12 ^(2006.01)

(21) Application number: **19218078.4**

(22) Date of filing: **16.03.2012**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR

• **Nguyen-Demary, Tinh**
Miltown, NJ New Jersey 08850 (US)

(30) Priority: **17.03.2011 US 201161453667 P**

(74) Representative: **Wilson Gunn**
Blackfriars House
The Parsonage
5th Floor
Manchester M3 2JA (GB)

(62) Document number(s) of the earlier application(s) in accordance with Art. 76 EPC:
12833128.7 / 2 686 031

(71) Applicant: **ConvaTec Technologies Inc.**
Las Vegas, NV 89169-6754 (US)

Remarks:

This application was filed on 19-12-2019 as a divisional application to the application mentioned under INID code 62.

(72) Inventors:
• **TSAI, Mingliang Lawrence**
Holmdel, NJ New Jersey 07722 (US)

(54) **HIGH BARRIER ELASTOMER**

(57) A fecal catheter or ostomy pouch made of an odor barrier material including a thermoplastic elastomer, odor barrier modifier and an antiblocking agent.

EP 3 653 236 A1

Description

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 **[0001]** The present invention relates to an odor barrier material for a fecal catheter, a fecal pouch, or an ostomy pouch.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 **[0002]** Fecal catheters have occasionally been criticized for the transmission of fecal odor through the tubular walls.

[0003] A possible cause of the poor odor barrier is the silicone material of which these devices are composed. The odor barrier of silicone is known to be one of the worst among polymer materials. For example, the oxygen transmission rate of silicone has been reported to be 775,000 cc mil/m²/day. Therefore, a 20 mil thick silicone catheter is about 3 orders of magnitude worse than a commonly used ostomy film having a transmission rate of 50 cc/m²/day or less.

15 **[0004]** Another possible explanation for silicone fecal catheter having poor odor barrier is that the extruded silicone utilized in such devices is relatively rough and as a result could trap fecal components. The contours of the spots trapping the fecal material provide substantial surface area, through which odor is transmitted.

[0005] The combination of high odor transmission rate and large surface area contributes to the poor odor barrier characteristics of the silicone used in fecal catheters.

20 **[0006]** It would be desirable to develop a material to be used in a fecal catheter that has the desirable characteristics of silicone while providing an odor barrier substantially better than that of silicone.

[0007] It is the object of the present invention to provide an odor barrier polymer having these characteristics.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

25 **[0008]** Thermoplastic elastomer (TPE) or curable elastomer is well known for use in medical devices. However, these elastomers are not known to exhibit high odor barrier properties. The present invention is the modification of elastomer to achieve a high odor barrier while maintaining its softness, ability for post extrusion converting (welding and bonding) and non-blocking characteristics.

30 **[0009]** One embodiment of the present invention related to single layer of catheter made from a high barrier elastomer such that the odor barrier measured by oxygen transmission rate per ASTM D3985 is not more than 50,000 cc mil/m²/day at 23°C, or more preferably not more than 5,000 cc mil/m²/day. Such a catheter is at least 10 times better in odor barrier than the silicone catheter.

35 **[0010]** U.S. Pat. No. 6,946,522, 7,056,971, and 7,060,753 disclosed the use of a liquid polyisobutene oil plasticizer to improve the gas barrier of the TPE. However, these formulations, especially when targeting a soft elastomer, i.e., Shore A less than 60, creates a blocking issue in which the surface of TPE catheter seals against each other upon folding and packaging. The use of a higher amount of oil plasticizer would allow a softer TPE, but it comes with an adverse effect in an oily surface, resulting in poor post-extrusion converting (welding and bonding). In addition, the TPE based on an olefin block copolymer (OBC, such as Infuse™ made by Dow) was not disclosed. Although common approaches exist to minimize the blocking, including adding mineral oil or slip additives. These approaches; however, 40 have drawbacks in that they prevent the parts from being further converted into a fecal catheter due to their adverse effects on the surface bonding. U.S. Pat. No. 7,629,406 disclosed the use of an organoclay at a concentration less than 4% to improve the barrier properties of high density polyethylene (HDPE). However, the use of organoclay in a TPE was not mentioned with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or preferably not more than 50.

45 **[0011]** Another embodiment of the present invention is related to a fecal catheter, having a Shore A hardness not more than 60 and an oxygen transmission rate not more than 2,500 cc/m²/day or preferably not more than 1,000 cc/m²/day, comprising (1) a thermoplastic elastomer, (2) odor barrier modifier, and (3) an antiblocking agent of at least 0.1%, wherein thermoplastic elastomer is selected from the group consisting of a styrenic block copolymer, a thermoplastic vulcanizate, or a polyolefin elastomer, and wherein odor barrier modifier is selected from the group consisting of polyisobutene, polybutene, or an organoclay, and wherein the antiblocking agent is selected from the group consisting of 50 an essentially inorganic fillers, such as silica, talc, clay, mica, etc. and blends thereof. It is noted organoclays can be used in one embodiment as both the odor barrier modifier and as the antiblocking agent. Organoclays include montmorillonite clay, smectite clay, intercalated clay, nanoclay, or a mixture of above. Organoclay described in this invention includes montmorillonite clay, made by Nanocor, which is the most common member of the smectite clay family. Organoclay may consist of nanoclay with a unique morphology such that one dimension is in the nanometer range. In addition, 55 organoclay is preferred to be intercalated with an organic intercalant resulting in a clay-chemical complex wherein the clay gallery spacing has increased due to the process of surface modification. Under the proper conditions of temperature and shear, an intercalate is capable of exfoliating in a resin matrix. Optionally, the following additives can be used to facilitate the manufacturing of catheter extrusion, including melt viscosity modifier, tackifier, detackifier, plasticizer, etc.

[0012] Styrenic block copolymer (SBC) based thermoplastic elastomer includes styrene-isoprene-styrene (SIS), styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), styrene-ethylene/butylene-styrene (SEBS), styrene-ethylene/propylene-styrene (SEPS), and blends thereof. Thermoplastic vulcanizate (TPV) includes a blend of curable rubber and a polyolefin (i.e., PP or PE, homopolymers and copolymers). Curable rubbers include EPDM, EP rubber, santoprene, etc. A polyolefin elastomer includes an olefin block copolymer (OBC), such as Infuse made by Dow, where a crystalline phase of a olefin block copolymer acted as hard blocks, and the amorphous block copolymer acted as soft blocks within the same polymer matrix. The following is a summary of odor barrier as measured by oxygen transmission rate at 23°C per ASTM D3985:

Table 1 - Odor Barrier Comparison of Various Elastomers without Organoclays or zeolites

	Silicone	SBC	TPV	OBC	SBC w/ polyisobutene	SBC w/ polyisobutene and Organoclay
OTR, cc/m ² /day, 20 mil thick wall, ASTM D3985	37,500	2,500 - 4,500	2,500	2,500	1,000	250
Onion Barrier, ISO 8670-3	Very poor, detectable in 10 minutes	Poor, detectable in 60 minutes	Poor, detectable in 60 minutes	Poor, detectable in 60 minutes	Better, detectable in 120 minutes	Good, not detectable for 8 hrs

[0013] When antiblocking agent, at least 0.1% or more preferably more than 0.5%, was added to a high barrier elastomer formulation containing a SBC thermoplastic elastomer and a liquid polyisobutene, an odor barrier in the range of around 1000 cc/m²/day was achieved for making a non-blocking fecal catheter. This level of odor barrier improvement was confirmed by an onion test per ISO 8670-3 such that the onion odor breakthrough was longer than 120 minutes. Additional barrier improvement was seen in fecal catheter made from PTE containing a SBC thermoplastic elastomer, a liquid polyisobutene, an organoclay. In this example, onion odor breakthrough was longer than 8 hours. The results of both oxygen barrier and onion barrier were shown in Table 1.

[0014] Another embodiment of the present invention is a fecal catheter based on a multilayer structure, wherein at least one layer is selected from a high barrier elastomer described above without any antiblocking agent, and at least another skin layer is selected from the group consisting of (1) same high barrier elastomer described above with at least 0.1% of antiblocking agent, or an elastomer alloy with or without the use of antiblocking agent. An elastomer alloy is defined as a blend of two polymer matrixes which are incompatible in a molecular level. The advantage of antiblocking agent is to impart a rough surface so that the catheter is not blocking. The advantage of an elastomer alloy is that the incompatibility in a molecular level creates a roughness on the catheter surface, giving rise to a non-blocking catheter. In addition, common materials to add for an elastomer alloy include, but not limited to, thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU). Due to a more polar structure in TPU, the subsequent converting of an elastomer alloy is easier. Since the majority of the catheter structure is provided by a high barrier elastomer, the odor barrier and the softness is maintained based on the disclosure above. Because both the center layer and the skin layer are elastomers based, the adhesion between the layers of a fecal catheter is sufficiently good.

[0015] Besides the addition of anti-blocking agent, cold processing conditions can be utilized to enhance a rough surface of the catheter wall so that the catheter is not blocking. The effect of anti-blocking agent and/or cold processing conditions is a rough surface that could be characterized by surface roughness using a non-contact surface structure analyzer, such as Scanning White Light interferometry (SWLI), Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), etc. A non-contact imaging surface structure analyzer based on SWLI is made by Zygo NewView 7300. A non-contact atomic force microscopy can be made by FEI. A typical parameter that has been used to quantify the quality of a surface topography is the surface roughness, which is represented by the arithmetic mean value, Ra. In this invention disclosure, a rough surface with Ra not less than 0.1 μm or preferably not less than 1 μm when antiblocking agent is used with or without a cold processing temperature, resulted in a non-blocking fecal catheter.

[0016] An important characteristic about the formulation described in this invention is its ability to be post-extrusion converted, both by welding and adhesive bonding. Greater than 5 N/in adhesive strength and heat weld strength was achieved.

[0017] Another embodiment of the present invention is related to the use of organoclays and/or zeolites to improve the odor barrier of the elastomer catheter. For example, a single layer of catheter can be made from an organoclay-containing elastomer selected from the group consisting of silicone, polyurethane, styrenic block copolymer, thermoplastic vulcanizate, or polyolefin elastomer with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0018] The use of nanocomposite (nanoclays) fillers to improve the odor barrier is not new. The addition of nanocomposite fillers creates the tortuous path for the odor causing compounds; thus improving the odor barrier for the substrate. There are various nanocomposite containing coating, additives, or polymers marketed by various companies, such as Nanocor, Nanoresin, Southern Clays, Nano-X, Inmat, etc. Since nanocomposites are mostly clay based, it is relatively rigid. Therefore, the challenges of using nanocomposite fillers in FMS application are two fold, (1) the difficulty in the wetting and adhesion of the nanocomposite fillers or coating onto the silicone tubing, and (2) the odor barrier property upon flexing. Therefore, the uniqueness of this invention is the formulation of a soft tube with a completely covered, and/or relatively uniformly dispersed nanocomposite containing catheter which would not crack upon flexing. Such a soft nanoclay-containing catheter is characterized with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0019] Another embodiment of the present invention is related to single layer of catheter made from a zeolite-containing elastomer selected from the group consisting of silicone, polyurethane, styrenic block copolymer, thermoplastic vulcanizate, or polyolefin elastomer with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0020] The addition of zeolite creates the tortuous paths and sites to adsorb the odor causing compounds; thus improving the odor barrier for the substrate. There are various zeolites marketed by various companies, such as UOP. Since zeolites are hard fillers, they produce rigidity when used. Therefore, the challenges of using zeolites in FMS application are two fold, (1) the difficulty in the wetting and adhesion of the zeolite coating onto the silicone tubing, and (2) the odor barrier property upon flexing. Therefore, the uniqueness of this invention is the formulation of a soft tube with relatively uniform zeolite-containing elastomeric catheter which would not crack upon flexing. Such a soft zeolite-containing catheter is characterized with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0021] Another embodiment of the present invention is related to an organoclay-containing coating onto an elastomer substrate selected from the group consisting of silicone, polyurethane, styrenic block copolymer, thermoplastic vulcanizate, or polyolefin elastomer with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0022] Another embodiment of the present invention is related to a zeolite-containing coating onto an elastomer substrate selected from the group consisting of silicone, polyurethane, styrenic block copolymer, thermoplastic vulcanizate, or polyolefin elastomer with a Shore A hardness not more than 60, or more preferably not more than 50.

[0023] A series of experiments were conducted as described below. A total of four different nanocomposites were evaluated, two from Southern Clay and two from Nanocor. Two types of coating matrix were used, silicone and polyurethane. The following is a summary of these findings:

Exp. #1: Silicone coating consisting of nanocomposites:

[0024] 2.5% of the following nanocomposites were added into a two-part silicone made by Nusil 6350, including

- (1) Southern Clay Cloisite Na+, Hydrated Aluminum Silicate,
- (2) Southern Clay Cloisite 15A, Ammonium salts with Bentonite,
- (3) Nanocor 1.30E (Octadecyl ammonium surface compatibilized montmorillonite), and
- (4) Nanocor 1.34 TCN (methyl, bis hydroxyethyl octadecyl ammonium surface compatibilized montmorillonite).

[0025] The two-part silicone was applied onto the silicone catheter as a coating, and was then heat cured at 130 deg C for 30 minutes. The coated catheter was then tested for onion odor barrier per ISO 8670-3:2000. About 5 grams of onion was chopped and filled inside a 12 cm long coated silicone tubing (i.e., catheter).

Nanocomposite	None	Cloisite NA+	Cloisite 15A	Nanocor 1.30E	Nanocor 1.34TCN
Coating Matrix, Nusil 6350	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone
Substrate, Dow Corning C6-135	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone
Onset of Onion Smell	5 minutes	45 minutes	45 minutes	90 minutes	60 minutes

[0026] As can be seen, the addition of 2.5% nanocomposites in a silicone coating improves the onion odor barrier in the silicone tubing. The control with a silicone coating had an onset of onion odor outside of the closed silicone tubing at around 5 minutes. This is about the same as the silicone tube without any coating. After a silicone coating consisting of 2.5% nanocomposites was applied onto a silicone tube, the onset of the onion odor was extended to 45 - 90 minutes.

EP 3 653 236 A1

Exp. #2: Polyurethane coating consisting of nanocomposites. without primer:

[0027] 2.5% of the following nanocomposites were added into a two-part polyurethane made by Smooth-On, Vytaflex 30, including

- (1) Southern Clay Cloisite Na+, Hydrated Aluminum Silicate,
- (2) Southern Clay Cloisite 15A, Ammonium salts with Bentonite,
- (3) Nanocor 1.30E (Octadecyl ammonium surface compatibilized montmorillonite), and
- (4) Nanocor 1.34TCN (methyl, bis hydroxyethyl octadecyl ammonium surface compatibilized montmorillonite).

[0028] The two-part polyurethane was applied onto the silicone catheter as a coating, and was then room temperature cured for 6 hours. The coated catheter was then tested for onion odor barrier per ISO 8670-3:2000. About 5 grams of onion was chopped and filled inside a 12 cm long coated silicone tubing (i.e., catheter).

Nanocomposite	None	Cloisite NA+	Cloisite 15A	Nanocor 1.30E	Nanocor 1.34TCN
Coating Matrix, Vytaflex 30	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane
Primer, Dow Corning 1200	No	No	No	No	No
Substrate, Dow Corning C6-135	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone
Onset of Onion Smell	30 minutes	6 hours	6 hours	> 12 hours	> 12 hours

[0029] As can be seen, the addition of 2.5% nanocomposites significantly improves the onion odor barrier. The control with a polyurethane coating, but without any nanocomposites, was able to extend the onset of onion odor outside of the closed silicone tubing from 5 minutes to 30 minutes. After a polyurethane coating consisting of 2.5% nanocomposites was applied onto a silicone tube, the onset of the onion odor was extended to 6 - 12 hours. Despite of the odor barrier improvement, the coating adhesion was poor.

Exp. #3: Polyurethane coating consisting of nanocomposites, with primer:

[0030] The same set of experiment as Exp. #2 was repeated with the use of a silane primer, Dow Corning 1200. The same level of onion odor improvement was observed. That is, after a polyurethane coating consisting of 2.5% nanocomposites was applied onto a silicone tube primed with a silane, the onset of the onion odor was extended from 30 minutes in the control without any nanocomposites to 6 - 12 hours. The polyurethane coating stuck well to the silicone tube, and was able to resist the flex.

Nanocomposite	None	Cloisite NA+	Cloisite 15A	Nanocor 1.30E	Nanocor 1.34TCN
Coating Matrix, Vytaflex 30	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane	Polyurethane
Primer, Dow Corning 1200	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substrate, Dow Corning C6-135	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone	Silicone
Onset of Onion Smell	30 minutes	6.5 hours	6 hours	> 12 hours	> 12 hours

Exp. #4: Nanocomposites in a silicone slab:

[0031] 2% of Nanocor 1.30E was added to make into a 1 mm thick (i.e., 40 mil) silicone gel slab, Nusil 6350. Onion barrier was compared on silicone slab with and without the nanocomposite per ISO 8670-3:2000.

EP 3 653 236 A1

	1 mm thick silicone slab without nanocomposites (control)	1 mm thick silicone slab with 2% Nanocor 1.30E	
5	Onset of Onion Smell	5 - 10 minutes	60 minutes

[0032] As a result, the addition of 2% of Nanocor 1.30E improved the onion odor barrier of the silicone slab from 5 minutes to about 60 minutes.

[0033] Another embodiment of the present invention is an improved odor barrier fecal catheter, having a Hardness of less than Shore A 60, comprising at least (a) one layer of a silane coupling agent, and (b) at least one layer of nanocomposite coating. This formulation, when applied onto a silicone fecal catheter, would result in an oxygen transmission rate no more than 20,000 cc/m²/day, or preferably, no more than 10,000 cc/m²/day, or more preferably no more than 5,000 cc/m²/day. A silicone tube without the use of silane coupling agent and without the nanocomposite coating has an oxygen permeation rate of around 37,500 cc/m²/day. A silicone with the nanocomposite coating, but without the silane coupling agent, has an oxygen permeation rate of close to 30,000 - 35,000 cc/m²/day due to the lack of bonding. Alternatively, the same formulation can be applied onto a thermoplastic elastomer (TPE) or a polyurethane tubing (PU), having a Hardness of less than Shore A 60, such that the gas barrier is improved to no more than 25,000 cc/m²/day, or preferably, no more than 10,000 cc/m²/day, or more preferably no more than 5,000 cc/m²/day.

[0034] The materials described in this invention can be used as a fecal catheter. A fecal pouch is commonly connected to a fecal catheter in use. The same materials described for fecal catheter were used to make a fecal pouch. Similar odor barrier characteristics against oxygen transmission and onion odor shown in Table 1 were obtained in the lab. Thus, besides a fecal catheter, the same material construction could be used for an ostomy pouch.

[0035] Certain definitions of the invention are set out in the following numbered Paragraphs:

1. A fecal catheter made of an odor barrier material comprising:

- i. a thermoplastic elastomer selected from the group consisting of a styrenic block copolymer, a thermoplastic vulcanizate, or a polyolefin elastomer;
- ii. an odor barrier modifier selected from polyisobutene, polybutene, or an organoclay; and
- iii. an antiblocking agent selected from the group consisting of essentially inorganic fillers, including silica, talc, clay, and mica.

2. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the styrenic block copolymer includes styrene-isoprene-styrene (SIS), styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), styrene-ethylene/butylene-styrene (SEBS), styrene-ethylene/propylene-styrene (SEPS), or a blend of above,.

3. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the thermoplastic vulcanizate includes a blend of curable rubber and a polyolefin.

4. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 3 wherein said polyolefin is polyethylene and polypropylene, including homopolymers and copolymers thereof.

5. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 3 wherein the curable rubber is selected from the group consisting of ethylene propylene diene Monomer (EPDM), ethylene propylene rubber, and santoprene and blends thereof.

6. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the polyolefin elastomer includes an olefin block copolymer wherein a crystalline phase of olefin block copolymer acts as hard blocks and an amorphous block copolymer acts as soft blocks.

7. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the organoclay includes montmorillonite clay, smectite clay, intercalated clay, nanoclay, or a mixture of above.

8. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the oxygen barrier transmission rate per ASTM D3985 is 1000 cc/m²/day or less at 23°C.

9. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 having a Shore A hardness of 60 or less.

10. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 having an arithmetic mean surface roughness Ra not less than 0.1 μm or preferably not less than 1 μm.

11. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the surface of the fecal catheter can be bonded with an adhesive having an adhesive strength greater than 5 N/in.

12. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 1 wherein the surface of the fecal catheter can be heat welded having a weld strength greater than 5 N/in.

13. A fecal catheter made of an odor barrier material comprising:

- i. a thermoplastic elastomer selected from the group consisting of a styrenic block copolymer, a thermoplastic vulcanizate, or a polyolefin elastomer;
- ii. an odor barrier modifier selected from polyisobutene, polybutene, or an organoclay; and
- iii. an antiblocking agent selected from the group consisting of essentially inorganic fillers, including silica, talc, clay, and mica, said odor barrier material having a Shore A hardness 60 or less and an arithmetic mean surface roughness Ra not less than 0.1 μm or preferably not less than 1 μm .

14. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 13 wherein the oxygen barrier transmission rate per ASTM D3985 is 1000 cc/m²/day or less at 23°C.

15. An ostomy pouch made of an odor barrier material comprising:

- i. a thermoplastic elastomer selected from the group consisting of a styrenic block copolymer, a thermoplastic vulcanizate, or a polyolefin elastomer;
- ii. an odor barrier modifier selected from polyisobutene, polybutene, or an organoclay; and
- iii. an antiblocking agent selected from the group consisting of essentially inorganic fillers, including silica, talc, clay, and mica.

16. A fecal catheter haing odor barrier material comprising:

- an elastomer selected for the group consisting of silicone, polyurethane, styrenic block copolymer, thermoplastic vulcanizte or polyolefin elastomer;
- a nanocomposite filler material coated on or present within said elastomer so as to provide an odor barrier: said elastomer and nanocomposite forming a soft catheter tube having a Shore A hardness of not more than 60.

17. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 16 wherein said catheter of Paragraph 16 wherein said catheter tube does not crack upon flexing.

18. The fecal catheter of Paragraph 16 wherein said tube is extruded and may be converted both by welding and adhesive bonding.

19. A fecal catheter having odor barrier material comprising:

- an elastomer substrate comprising a zeolite containing coating on said elastomer substrate;
- said elastomer and zeolite forming a soft catheter tube having a Shore A hardness of not more than 60.

20. A fecal catheter having odor barrier material comprising:

- a silicone tube;
- at least one layer of nanocomposite coating on said tube; and
- at least one layer of a silane coupling agent;
- said coated tube having an oxygen transmission rate no more than 20,000 cc/m²/day.

Claims

1. An ostomy pouch made of an odor barrier material comprising:

- i. a thermoplastic elastomer selected from the group consisting of a styrenic block copolymer, a thermoplastic vulcanizate, or a polyolefin elastomer;
- ii. an odor barrier modifier selected from polyisobutene, polybutene, or an organoclay; and
- iii. an antiblocking agent selected from the group consisting of essentially inorganic fillers, including silica, talc, clay, and mica,

wherein said ostomy pouch has an arithmetic mean surface roughness not less than 0.1 μm .

2. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the antiblocking agent is present in an amount of at least 0.1%.

3. The ostomy pouch of claim 2 wherein said ostomy pouch has a Shore A hardness of 60 or less.

4. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the styrenic block copolymer includes styrene-isoprene-styrene (SIS), styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), styrene-etheylene/butylene-styrene (SEBS), stvrene-etheylene/propylene-styrene (SEPS), or a blend of above.

EP 3 653 236 A1

- 5
- 6
- 10
- 15
- 20
- 25
- 30
- 35
- 40
- 45
- 50
- 55
5. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the thermoplastic vulcanizate includes a blend of curable rubber and a polyolefin wherein said polyolefin is polyethylene and polypropylene, including homopolymers and copolymers thereof; wherein the curable rubber is selected from the group consisting of ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM), ethylene propylene rubber, and santoprene and blends thereof.
 6. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the polyolefin elastomer includes an olefin block copolymer wherein a crystalline phase of olefin block copolymer acts as hard blocks and an amorphous block copolymer acts as soft blocks.
 7. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the organoclay includes montmorillonite clay, smectite clay, intercalated clay, nanoclay, or a mixture of above.
 8. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the surface of the ostomy pouch can be: i) bonded with an adhesive having an adhesive strength greater than 196.8 N/m (5 N/in); or ii) heat welded having a weld strength greater than 196.8 N/m (5 N/in).
 9. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the odor barrier material has an oxygen barrier transmission rate per ASTM D3985 that is 1000 cc/m²/day or less at 23°C.
 10. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the organoclay is the odor barrier modifier and wherein the antiblocking agent is clay, wherein the clay further comprises organoclay.
 11. The ostomy pouch of claim 1 wherein the ostomy pouch is a multilayer structure comprising a high bather elastomer; said multilayer structure forms the ostomy pouch having a Shore A hardness of not more than 60, said ostomy pouch does not crack upon flexing.
 12. The ostomy pouch of claim 11 wherein the multilayer structure comprises a central layer and a skin layer, optionally wherein the skin layer comprises the antiblocking agent.
 13. The ostomy pouch of claim 11 having odor barrier material further comprising a silicone tube; at least one layer of nanocomposite coating on said tube; and at least one layer of a silane coupling agent; said coated tube having an oxygen transmission rate no more than 20,000 cc/m²/day.
 14. The ostomy pouch of any one of claims 1-12 wherein said ostomy pouch is extruded and may be converted both by welding and adhesive bonding.
 15. The ostomy pouch of claim 12 wherein the antiblocking agent is the inorganic filler, optionally wherein the inorganic filler is a zeolite.



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 19 21 8078

5

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	WO 01/34685 A1 (NEIL CHARLES O [US]; XU JIANWEI [US]; ACQUARULO LAWRENCE A JR [US]) 17 May 2001 (2001-05-17) * claims 14 and 15; page 1, line 3 - line 7 * * page 3, line 13 - line 17 * * page 4, line 11 - line 12 * * page 5, line 12 *	1-15	INV. A61M1/00 A61F5/445 A61L28/00 A61L29/02 A61L29/04 A61L29/08 A61L29/12
A	WO 2008/052018 A2 (SQUIBB BRISTOL MYERS CO [US]; TSAI MINGLIANG LAWRENCE [US]; GREGORY CH) 2 May 2008 (2008-05-02) * claim 1 *	1-15	
A	WO 2007/106671 A1 (POLYONE CORP [US]; AMCOL INTERNATIONAL CORP [US]; QIAN GUOQIANG [US];) 20 September 2007 (2007-09-20) * claim 1 *	1-15	
A	US 2007/237916 A1 (RASMUSSEN TORBEN [DK] ET AL) 11 October 2007 (2007-10-11) * claims 1, 10; paragraphs 83, 85 *	1-15	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC) A61L
A	US 2002/119301 A1 (ZHANG JOHN JIANBIN [US] ET AL) 29 August 2002 (2002-08-29) * claim 1 *	1-15	
A	US 2009/216207 A1 (NIELSEN SOEREN KIRKEGAARD [DK]) 27 August 2009 (2009-08-27) * paragraphs [0017], [0021] *	1-15	
A	US 4 546 143 A (WEIL JOACHIM [DE] ET AL) 8 October 1985 (1985-10-08) * claim 1 *	1-15	
		----- -/--	
1 The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 9 April 2020	Examiner Cadamuro, Sergio
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03.02 (P04C01)

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 19 21 8078

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	US 6 946 182 B1 (ALLGEUER THOMAS T [CH] ET AL) 20 September 2005 (2005-09-20) * col. 41, l. 23-41; co. 27, l. 13-19; col. 21, l. 66 to col. 22, l. 18; col. 26, l. 50-67; col. 29, l. 11 to col. 30, l. 12 *	1-15	
A	----- US 2009/088711 A1 (SHELLEY PHIL [US] ET AL) 2 April 2009 (2009-04-02) * claim 1 * -----	1-15	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 9 April 2020	Examiner Cadamuro, Sergio
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

1
EPO FORM 1503 03.02 (P04C01)

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 19 21 8078

5 This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-04-2020

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0134685	A1	17-05-2001	AT 402973 T 15-08-2008
			EP 1297058 A1 02-04-2003
			US 6833392 B1 21-12-2004
			US 2004259969 A1 23-12-2004
			WO 0134685 A1 17-05-2001

WO 2008052018	A2	02-05-2008	AU 2007308971 A1 02-05-2008
			BR P10717337 A2 10-12-2013
			CA 2667822 A1 02-05-2008
			CN 101557780 A 14-10-2009
			EP 2104478 A2 30-09-2009
			JP 5624321 B2 12-11-2014
			JP 2010508085 A 18-03-2010
			NZ 577154 A 29-06-2012
			RU 2009119733 A 10-12-2010
			US 2008103463 A1 01-05-2008
WO 2008052018 A2 02-05-2008			

WO 2007106671	A1	20-09-2007	US 2009036580 A1 05-02-2009
			WO 2007106671 A1 20-09-2007

US 2007237916	A1	11-10-2007	AT 468219 T 15-06-2010
			DK 2001671 T3 09-08-2010
			EP 2001671 A2 17-12-2008
			US 2007237916 A1 11-10-2007
			US 2010121290 A1 13-05-2010
			WO 2007110080 A2 04-10-2007

US 2002119301	A1	29-08-2002	AR 025685 A1 11-12-2002
			AT 310050 T 15-12-2005
			AU 7371200 A 17-04-2001
			BR 0014008 A 21-05-2002
			CA 2384434 A1 22-03-2001
			CN 1379799 A 13-11-2002
			DE 60024126 T2 27-07-2006
			EG 22776 A 31-08-2003
			EP 1226214 A1 31-07-2002
			ES 2252059 T3 16-05-2006
			HK 1047443 A1 28-07-2006
			HU 0202695 A2 28-12-2002
			JP 4772244 B2 14-09-2011
			JP 2003509565 A 11-03-2003
			KR 20020029438 A 18-04-2002
			MX PA02002512 A 30-07-2002
			PE 20010512 A1 13-06-2001
TR 200200679 T2 21-06-2002			

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

55

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 19 21 8078

5 This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

09-04-2020

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		US 2002001707 A1	03-01-2002
		US 2002119301 A1	29-08-2002
		WO 0119920 A1	22-03-2001
		ZA 200201711 B	27-08-2003

US 2009216207 A1	27-08-2009	AU 2007214130 A1	16-08-2007
		CA 2636615 A1	16-08-2007
		CN 101384233 A	11-03-2009
		EP 1983948 A1	29-10-2008
		JP 2009525201 A	09-07-2009
		US 2009216207 A1	27-08-2009
		WO 2007090411 A1	16-08-2007

US 4546143 A	08-10-1985	AT 22311 T	15-10-1986
		AU 1303683 A	06-10-1983
		CA 1221483 A	05-05-1987
		DE 3211917 A1	13-10-1983
		EP 0090387 A2	05-10-1983
		JP S58180540 A	22-10-1983
		NZ 203742 A	16-08-1985
		US 4546143 A	08-10-1985
		ZA 8302259 B	25-01-1984

US 6946182 B1	20-09-2005	NONE	

US 2009088711 A1	02-04-2009	AU 2008308961 A1	09-04-2009
		CA 2700538 A1	09-04-2009
		DK 2203208 T3	29-07-2019
		EP 2203208 A1	07-07-2010
		ES 2739030 T3	28-01-2020
		HU E045468 T2	30-12-2019
		JP 5453275 B2	26-03-2014
		JP 2010540110 A	24-12-2010
		JP 2013163043 A	22-08-2013
		LT 2203208 T	25-07-2019
		US 2009088711 A1	02-04-2009
		US 2014228816 A1	14-08-2014
		WO 2009045874 A1	09-04-2009

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 6946522 B [0010]
- US 7056971 B [0010]
- US 7060753 B [0010]
- US 7629406 B [0010]