

((19) United States (12) Patent Application Publication (10) Pub. No.: US 2021/0258030 A1 Han et al. (43) Pub. Date: Aug. 19, 2021

(54) CIRCUITS FOR
INTERMEDIATE-FREQUENCY-FILTERLESS, (51) Int Classification Classification DOUBLE-CONVERSION RECEIVERS

- (71) Applicants: Guoxiang Han, New York, NY (US); Peter R. Kinget, Summit, NJ (US); Tanbir Haque, New York, NY (US)
- (72) Inventors: Guoxiang Han, New York, NY (US); Peter R. Kinget, Summit, NJ (US); Tanbir Haque, New York, NY (US)
- (21) Appl. No.: 17/176,349
- (22) Filed: Feb. 16, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. $63/130,070$, filed on Dec. 23, 2020, provisional application No. $62/977,007$, filed on Feb. 14, 2020.

Aug. 19, 2021

(52) U.S. CI . CPC H04B 1/12 (2013.01) ; H04B 1/30 (2013.01) ; $H04L$ $25/08$ (2013.01) ; $H04B$ 2001/307 (2013.01); **H04B 1/18** (2013.01)

(57) ABSTRACT

Circuits for a receiver, comprising: M first mixers that each receive an input signal, that are each clocked by a different phase of a first common clock frequency, and that each provide an output, wherein M is a count of the first mixers; and M sets of \bar{N} second mixers, wherein N is a count of the second mixers in each of the M sets, wherein each second mixer in each set of N second mixers receives as an input the output of a corresponding one of the M first mixers, wherein each of the N second mixers in each of the M sets are clocked by a different phase of a second common clock frequency, and wherein each of the second mixers has an output.

FIG. 8

FIG .11

Provide

**CIRCUITS FOR
INTERMEDIATE-FREQUENCY-FILTERLESS,** DOUBLE-CONVERSION RECEIVERS

CROSS - REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/977,007, filed Feb. 14, 2020, and of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/130,070, filed Dec. 23, 2020, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

[0002] The ever-increasing demands on wireless through-
put require modern handset receivers to aggregate signals
from multiple non-contiguously allocated RF carriers.
Accordingly, new receivers that can receive signals fr

SUMMARY

[0003] In accordance with some embodiments, circuits for intermediate-frequency-filterless, double-conversion receivers are provided.

[0004] In some embodiments, circuits for a receiver are provided, the circuits comprising: M first mixers that each receive an input signal, that are each clocked by a different phase of a first common clock frequency, and that each provide an output, wherein M is a count of the first mixers; M sets of N second mixers, wherein N is a count of the second mixers in each of the M sets, wherein each second mixer in each set of N second mixers receives as an input the output of a corresponding one of the M first mixers, wherein each of the N second mixers in each of the M sets are clocked by a different phase of a second common clock frequency, and wherein each of the second mixers has an output; M harmonic rejection termination networks that each receive the outputs of the N second mixers in a corresponding one of the M sets, and that each provide an in-phase output and a quadrature-phase output; M in-phase trans-impedance amplifiers that each receive the in-phase from a corresponding one of the M harmonic rejection
termination networks and that each provide an in-phase
baseband output signal; and M in-phase trans-impedance
amplifiers that each receive the quadrature-phase output
fr

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0005] FIG. 1 is an example of a schematic of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

 $[0006]$ FIG. 2 is an example of a schematic of a mixer-first branch of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.
[0007] FIG. 3 is an example of a schematic of second layer circuitry of a mixer-first branch of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0008] FIG. 4 is an example of a schematic of harmonic re-combination circuitry of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0009] FIG. 5 is an example of a schematic of sideband separation circuitry of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments .

[0010] FIG. 6 is an example of a schematic of a single-ended mixer first branch of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0011] FIG. 7 is an example of a schematic of a two dual-conversion low noise transconductance amplifier branches of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0012] FIG. 8 is an example of a schematic of a low noise transconductance amplifier of a receiver in accordance with

[0013] FIG. 9 is an example of Miller compensated transimpedance amplifiers of a receiver in accordance with some

[0014] FIG. 10 is an example of a table for sinusoidally modulating a transconductor of an in-phase branch of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0015] FIG. 11 is an example of a table for sinusoidally modulating a transconductor of a quadrature-phase branch of a receiver in accordance with some embodiments.

[0016] FIG. 12 is an example of a table for thermometer coding in accordance with some embodiments.

[0017] FIG. 13 is an example of a table for controlling transconductance unit cells in accordance with some embodiments .

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0018] In accordance with some embodiments, circuits for intermediate-frequency-(IF)-filter-less, double-conversion receivers for concurrent dual-carrier reception are provided. [0019] Turning to FIG. 1, in accordance with some embodiments, an example 100 of a schematic of a circuit for an intermediate-frequency- (IF)-filter-less, double-conversion receiver for concurrent dual-carrier reception is shown. As illustrated, circuit 100 includes a receiver front end 102 (which comprises two low-noise transconductance amplifier $(LNTA)$ branches 104 and multiple double-conversion mixer-first branches 106), harmonic recombination and sideband separation circuitry 108, digital noise cancellation circuitry 110, a first clock source 114, and a second clock source 116.

[0020] In some embodiments, the circuit of FIG. 1 supports concurrent reception from two RF carriers have frequencies in a range from 100 MHz to 1200 MHz and separated apart by 200 MHz to 600 MHz.

[0021] In some embodiments, during operation in some modes, double-conversion mixer-first branches 106 translate a low-pass baseband impedance twice: first to a frequency F_M ; and then to a frequency $(F_C +/-F_M)$. In some embodiments, doing this provides concurrent narrow-band impedance matching at two distinct frequencies only, while reflecting out-of-band signals for good linearity.

[0022] In some embodiments, LNTA branches 104 use direct digital synthesis (DDS)-modulated LNTAs for multiphase, switched-transconductance mixing at F_M , and standard 8-phase mixing at F_c with harmonic rejection (HR) baseband circuits.
[0023] In some embodiments, two RF carriers at $(F_c+/-$

 F_M) can be received, while spurious responses at (m· F_C +/-n- F_M) can be reduced for m<(M-1) (e.g., 7) and n<(N-1) (e.g., 15) with M-phase (e.g., 8-phase) F_C and N-phase (e.g., 16 phase) F_M clocks, where M and N are integers and powers of 2.

[0024] If some embodiments, this architecture can be extended to more clock phases to suppress more harmonics,

subject to the process technology supporting the necessary clock speeds. For example, compared to 6 nm CMOS, a better process node (i.e., CMOS processes with smaller transistor feature lengths) (e.g., in 28 nm CMOS) usually offers a better logic gate for smaller gate delay and faster edge transition. Thus, in some embodiments, if one were to use 28nn CMOS process, the DDS circuits can operate at a significantly higher clock speed to support more DDS clock phases.

[0025] As shown in FIG. 1, signals received at RF_{in} 112 can include real and imaginary components 122 and 124, respectively, at $F_C - F_M$ and real and imaginary components 126 and 128, respectively, at ($F_C + F_M$). In response to these signals, circuit 100 can produce components 132, 134, 136, and 138 at the outputs of digital noise cancellation circuitry 110.

[0026] Although circuit 100 is shown in FIG . 1 as being implemented in a differential manner , it should be under stood that circuit 100 can be implemented in a single-ended manner in some embodiments.

[0027] Turning to FIG. 2, an example 200 of a schematic of a circuit that can be used to implement four double conversion mixer-first branches (MFBs) 106 and portions of circuitry 108 in accordance with some embodiments is shown. In some embodiments, circuit 200 creates an RF interface with tuned impedance matching at $(F_c + /-F_M)$.

[0028] As illustrated, circuit 200 includes four first layer mixers 202, four second layer circuits 204, harmonic recombination circuits 206, sideband separation circuits 208, first clock source 210, second clock source 212, first 12.5% duty cycle clock generator 214, and second 12.5% duty cycle clock generator 216. [0029] In some embodiments, RF signals around (F_c +/-

 F_M) are received at VR, down-converted to F_M , and then further down-converted to baseband without IF filtering.
The eight baseband outputs from second layer circuits 204
are harmonically combined into four linearly independent outputs 242, 244, 246, and 248, while rejecting higher-order F_C harmonics. Addition and subtraction circuits then extract the I/Q components from each RF carrier to provide signals BB_{1-1} , BB_{O-1} , BB_{O-2} , and BB_{1-2} .

[0030] As described above in connection with FIG. 1, signals in VR received at mixers 202 can include real and imaginary components 124, respectively, at F_C-F_M and real and imaginary components 126 and 128, respecand real and imaginary components 126 and 128, respectively, at $F_c + F_M$. In response to these signals, circuitry 206 can produce components 222 and 226 (corresponding to components 122 and 126 , respectively) at 242 , components 224 and 228 (corresponding to components 124 and 128 , respectively) at 244 , components 224 and 228 (corresponding to components 124 and 128 , respectively) at 246 , and components 222 and 226 (corresponding to components 122 and 126, respectively) at 248. Components 232, 234, 238,

and 236 can then provided at outputs BB_{1-1} , BB_{Q-1} , BB_{Q-2} ,
and BB_{1-2} , respectively.
[0031] In some embodiments, mixers 202 can be implemented in any suitable manner. For example, in some
embodiments mixers 202 switches. In some embodiments, each RF switch can be realized as a custom-designed LVT RF NMOS transistor, placed in a deep N-well with the body terminal floating to ground.

[0032] In some embodiments, a switch width of 100 μ m can be used for both the first-layer mixers (mixers 202) and the second-layer mixers (mixers 302 (see below)). In some embodiments, an alternate way to size the switches of mixers 202 and 302 is to use small-size switches for the F_c clock (mixers 202) and large-size switches for the F_M clock (mixers 302), such that the sum of the two switch resistances stavs the same.

[0033] In some embodiments, each of first layer mixers 202 is clocked by a unique pair of phases (e.g.: phases 0 and 4; phases 1 and 5; phases 2 and 6; or phases 3 and 7) of an eight (0 . . . 7) phase, 12.5% duty cycle, non-overlapping
clock at a frequency F_C .
[0034] Although circuit 200 is shown in FIG. 2 as being
implemented in a differential manner, it should be under-

stood that circuit 200 can be implemented in a single-ended manner in some embodiments.

[0035] Turning to FIG. 3, an example 300 of a schematic of a circuit that can be used to implement each second layer circuit 204 of FIG. 2 in accordance with some embodiments is shown. As illustrated, circuit 300 includes four differential passive mixers 302 (each of which is connected to two of eight F_M clock phases as shown in the figure), a passive HR termination network 303, two differential transimpedance examplifiers (TIAs) 312, and four feedback capacitors C_F :
[0036] Although circuit 300 is shown in FIG. 3 as being

implemented in a differential manner, it should be understood that circuit 300 can be implemented in a single-ended manner in some embodiments.

[0037] In some embodiments, mixers 302 can be implemented in any suitable manner. For example, in some embodiments mixers 302 can be implemented using switches which can be custom-design LVT RF NMOS transistors, placed in

network 303 includes baseband capacitors C_B 304, and resistors 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, and 312. In some embodi-
ments, resistors 306 and 308 can have values of 2^*R_n , resistors 307 and 309 can have values of $(2+\sqrt{2})^*R_B$, resistors 310 can have values of 2^*R_B , and resistors 312 can have values of $2 * \sqrt{2} * R_B$, where R_B is any suitable value as described below. In some embodiments, C_B can have a value of 10 pF, C_F can have a value of 3.5 pF (for single-carrier reception) or 0.89 pF (for dual-carrier reception), and RF can have a value of 4.5Ω (for single-carrier reception) or 18Ω (for dual-carrier reception).

[0039] In some embodiments, during operation, passive HR termination network 303 combines the down-converted signals with sinusoidal weighting in currents, while maintaining a constant resistance seen by the baseband capacitors C_B . It rejects 3rd and 5th F_M harmonics at the input of baseband TIAs 312 and offers a tuned impedance matching at F_M . By providing circuit 300 as the termination of each first-layer mixer 202, which uses a pair of an 8-phase differential passive mixers clocked at F_c , the tuned RF interface is then translated to $(F_c +/-F_M)$. The narrowbandpass tuned impedance matching at $(\overline{F}_C + / -\overline{F}_M)$ reflects the out-of-band blocker signals, thus enhancing the out-of-
band linearity of LNTA branches 104 significantly.

[0040] Turning to FIG. 4, components of harmonic recombination circuitry 206 are shown in accordance with some embodiments. As illustrated, circuitry 206 includes amplifiers 402 and 404, subtracters 406, and adders 408 in some embodiments. Any suitable amplifiers can be used to implement amplifiers 402 and 404, and amplifiers 402 and 404 can have gains of one and $1/\sqrt{2}$, respectively, in some embodi-
ments. Any suitable subtracters and adders can be used to implement subtracters 406 and adders 408, respectively, in some embodiments.

[0041] Turning to FIG. 5, components of sideband separation circuitry 208 are shown in accordance with some embodiments. As illustrated, circuitry 208 includes adders 502 and subtracters 504 in some embodiments . Any suitable adders and subtracters can be used to implement adders 502 and subtracters 504, respectively, in some embodiments.

[0042] As described further below, in some embodiments, circuit 100 can be configured to operate in a variety of modes. For example, in some embodiments, circuit 100 can be configured for single-carrier reception or for concurrent, buble-carrier reception.

[0043] In some embodiments, when the circuit of FIG. 1

is performing single-carrier reception, first-layer mixers 202 can be bypassed using any suitable circuitry (e.g., switches (not shown)), and second-layer mixers 302 can be clocked at F_c instead of at F_M . In some such embodiments, four sets of second layer circuits 204 can be operated in parallel to help reduce the switch and routing resistance and improve the out-of-band S_{11} reflection for better linearity, but at the cost of a dynamic power penalty. Alternatively, in some such embodiments, all but one second layer circuit can be turned off.

[0044] In some embodiments, when the circuit of FIG. 1 is performing concurrent, dual-carrier reception, the double-conversion mixer-first branches can be treated as two 8-path filters connected in series and terminated with low-pass, baseband impedances. These double-conversion mixer-first branches can be implemented in a fully single-ended, a single-ended-differential, or a fully differential realization. [0045] In some embodiments, when the circuit of FIG. 1 is operating for single-carrier reception as described above and in a single-ended realization, its RF input impedance can be represented by:

$$
Z_{in}(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left\{ 2R_{SW} + 8 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 \cdot Z_{BB}(\omega - m \cdot \omega_C) \right\} =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{R_{SW}}{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 \cdot Z_{BB}(\omega - m \cdot \omega_C)
$$
\n(1)

where $Z_{BB}(\omega)$ is the loading impedance, R_{SW} is the passive mixer switch resistance, m is any integer, $|\alpha_m| = |\text{sinc}(m\pi/8)/8|$, and ω_C is $2\pi F_C$. For a source impedance of 50 Ω and ideal mixer switches (i.e., $R_{SW}=$ impedance matching.
[0046] Similarly, in some embodiments, when the circuit

of FIG. 1 is operating for single-carrier reception as described above and in a differential realization, its RF input impedance can be represented by:

$$
Z_{in}(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left\{ 4R_{SW} + 8 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |2\alpha_m|^2 \cdot Z_{BB}(\omega - m \cdot \omega_C) \right\} =
$$

$$
R_{SW} + 2 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |2\alpha_m|^2 \cdot Z_{BB}(\omega - m \cdot \omega_C)
$$

(2)

where m is an odd integer. For a source impedance of 1000 and ideal mixer switches, R_B needs to be 0.84 Ω for imped-

ance matching.

[0047] In some embodiments, when the circuit of FIG. 1 is operating for dual-carrier reception and in a fully singleended double-conversion mixer-first branch, its RF input impedance can be represented as follows:

 $Z_{in}(\omega) =$ (3)

$$
2R_{SW} + 8^2 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 \cdot |\alpha_n|^2 \cdot \cdot Z_{BB}[\omega - (m \cdot \omega_C + n \cdot \omega_M)]
$$

where m, n are any integers, $|\alpha_n| = |\text{sinc}(\text{ln} \pi/8)/8|$, ω_C is $2\pi F_C$, and ω_M is $2\pi F_M$. The input impedance is then twice the switch resistance in series with the scaled, frequency-translated baseband impedance at $(m \cdot F_{c} + n \cdot F_{M})$. For ideal mixer switches (i.e., $R_{SW} = 0$), R_B needs to be 3.53 Ω for impedance matching.

[0048] In some embodiments, the profiles have spurious matching at $(m \cdot F_{c} + n \cdot F_{M})$ where m and n are any integers. To reduce the spurious matching, the second-layer passive mixers can be realized differentially, given that the first-layer passive mixers produce differential outputs. The RF input impedance can thus be represented by:

$$
Z_{in}(\omega) = 2R_{SW} + \frac{8^2}{2} \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\alpha_m|^2 \cdot |\alpha_n|^2 \cdot \cdots
$$

\n
$$
\left[1 + e^{-j(m+n)\pi}\right]^2 \cdot Z_{BB}[\omega - (m \cdot \omega_C + n \cdot \omega_M)].
$$
\n(4)

where m and n are any integers. Impedance matching now occurs at $(m \cdot F_{C} + n \cdot F_{M})$, where $(m+n)$ is even. For ideal mixer switches, R_B needs to be 1.76 Ω for impedance matching.

[0049] With a differential realization of both the first-layer mixers and the second-layer mixers, the unwanted responses get suppressed for even m and n. The RF input impedance can thus be represented by:

$$
Z_{in}(\omega) = (5)
$$

$$
4R_{SW} + \frac{8^2}{2} \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |2\alpha_m|^2 |2\alpha_n|^2 \cdot Z_{BB} [\omega - (m \cdot \omega_C + n \cdot \omega_M)]
$$

where m, n are both odd integers. For ideal mixer switches, R_B needs to be 0.88 Ω for impedance matching, hence the R_B values for both single-carrier and concurrent dual-carrier reception are the same to the first o

layer passive mixers are bypassed, and the four sets of second-layer mixers are operated in parallel and clocked at F_C . In this case, the total switch resistance will be reduced by a factor of four. In some embodiments, FIG. 6 can be used to study gain and noise performance of this configuration . In some embodiments, the circuit of FIG. 6 performs the harmonic recombination at the baseband TIA inputs. The conversion gain can be derived as:

$$
GC_{MFB,SNGL} \equiv \frac{V_{MFB,l}}{V_{RF}} = \frac{G_{MXR} \cdot R_{F,MFB}}{R_{SW} + \eta R_B} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{R_{F,MFB}}{R_{SW} + \eta R_B} \cdot \mathrm{sinc}(\pi/8) \quad \ \ (6)
$$

where G_{MXR} =sinc($\pi/8$)/4 is the passive mixer current conversion gain, $R_{F,MFB}$ is the TIA feedback resistance, and η =8· $|\alpha_1|^2$ is the impedance translation coefficient.

[0051] The noise factor of this configuration can be rep-
resented by:

$$
F \approx \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^2(\pi/8)} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \frac{R_{SW}}{R_S} + \frac{R_B}{8R_S} \cdot \left[1 + \sqrt{2} \cdot \left(\frac{R_1 + R_B}{R_B} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\gamma}{G_{mcp}R_S} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{R_1 + R_B}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{R_B} + \frac{1}{R_{F,MFB}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}.
$$
⁽⁷⁾

For $R_s = 50\Omega$, $R_{sw} = 2.5\Omega$, $R_B = 399.2\Omega$, $\gamma = 1$ (for 65 nm CMOS process), $G_{m, op} = 3$ mS, $R_1 = (R_S + R_{SW})$, and $R_{F\text{MFB}}$ =4.5 Ω , the NF is calculated as 12.2 dB, whereas a simulated NF using schematic-level behavioral models can be calculated as 12.4 dB. The 0.2 dB difference probably stems from the power loss .

[0052] In some embodiments, a single-ended-differential realization yields the same performance as that of a fully differential realization. Thus, in some embodiments, the conversion gain from the RF input to the sideband-

$$
CG_{MFB, DUAL} = \frac{1}{2R_{SW} + 2\eta^2 \cdot R_B} \cdot G_{MXR}^2 R_{F,MRB} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{R_{F,MFB}}{2R_{SW} + 2\eta^2 \cdot R_B} \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi/8)
$$
\n
$$
(8)
$$

where $2\eta^2$ is the impedance translation coefficient of the single-ended-differential configuration in equation (4). The first factor of '2' stems from the harmonically recombining gain for the F_C clocks, and the second factor of '2' is the sideband separation gain. Under the impedance matching condition (i.e., $2R_{\rm SF} + 2\eta^2 \cdot R_B = R_s$), equation (8) reduces to:

$$
CG_{MFB, DUAL} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{R_{F,MFB}}{R_S} \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi/8)
$$
\n⁽⁹⁾

[0053] Following the same logic and procedures, the noise factor can be represented by:

$$
F \approx \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^4(\pi/8)} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \frac{2R_{SW}}{R_S} + \frac{R_B}{(8^2/2) \cdot R_S} \cdot \left[1 + \sqrt{2} \cdot \left(\frac{R_1 + R_B}{R_B} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{(10)}{G_{m,op}R_S} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{R_1 + R_B}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{R_B} + \frac{1}{R_{F,MFB}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}
$$

where R_1 now is $(8^2/2) \cdot (R_s + 2R_{SW})$. For $R_S = 50\Omega$, $2R_{SW} = 10\Omega$, $R_B = 1412\Omega$, $\gamma = 1$, $G_{m, op} = 750$ uS, and

 $R_{F,MFB}$ =18 Ω , the NF is calculated as 13.1 dB, whereas the simulated NF is 13.6 dB. The 0.5 dB difference probably stems from the power loss.
[0054] In some embodiments, due to the time-varying

nature and the transparency of the passive mixers in the first and second layers, the mixer-first branches may exhibit harmonic folding and down-conversion. While undesired signals at clock harmonics are down-converted, a differential N-path realization as described herein in accordance with some embodiments can help to suppress the responses at the even clock harmonics . In some embodiments , the HR converted signals in current with sinusoidal weights to reject the responses at the odd clock harmonics, up to the 5th harmonic. Undesired signals at clock harmonics can fold to the desired signal band. The harmonic folding rejection ratio (HFRR), which is the ratio of the gain of the wanted RF signals to the gain of the unwanted RF signals that fold back to the desired signal band, can be represented by:

$$
HFRR_{(m,n)} = \left| \frac{\operatorname{sinc}^2(\pi/8)}{\operatorname{sinc}(m\pi/8)\operatorname{sinc}(n\pi/8)} \right| \tag{11}
$$

conversion gain from the RF input to the sideband-separated
output for fully differential realization can be represented
by:
 $\frac{1}{2}$ clocks for the double-conversion mixer-first branches where $m=8k_1\pm 1$, $n=8k_2\pm 1$, and $k_1, k_2\in \mathbb{Z}$. In some embodiments, increasing the number of clock phases, especially for the F_M clocks, can be used to mitigate the harmonic folding, however, at the cost of reducing the maximum RF operating frequency and increasing the dynamic switch power. In

clocks for the double-conversion mixer-first branches . [0055] Double-conversion LNTA branches are incorporated into the circuit of FIG. 1 to perform noise cancellation with the mixer-first branches for better receiver sen [0056] Turning to FIG. 7, an example 700 of a schematic of circuitry that can be used to implement LNTA branches 104 and part of circuitry 108 of FIG. 1 in accordance with some embodiments is illustrated.

[0057] As shown, circuitry 700 includes LNTA branches 702 and 704, harmonic combination circuits 706 and sideband separation circuits 708.

[0058] As described above in connection with FIG. 1, signals in V_{RF} can include real and imaginary components 122 and 124, respectively, at F_C-F_M and real and imaginary components 126 and 128, respectively, at F_C+F_M . nents 742 and 746 (corresponding to components 122 and 126, respectively) at $bb_{1(t)}$, components 744 and 748 (corresponding to components 124 and 128, respectively) at bb₂₍₀, components 744 and 748 (corresponding to components 124 and 128, respectively) at $bb_{3(t)}$, and components 742 and 746 (corresponding to components 122 and 126, respectively) at $bb_{4(t)}$. Components 752, 754, 758, and 756 can then be provided at outputs bb_{1-1} , bb_{0-

 bb_{1-2} , respectively.
[0059] In some embodiments, to support concurrent signal reception, the LNTA branches combine conventional low-
noise receiver design with direct digital synthesis (DDS)

modulation.

[0060] In some embodiments, each LNTA can be include

DDS circuits 710/720, 31 (or any other suitable number) transconductor unit slices 730/732, mixers 734/736, and filters 738/739 . Any suitable transconductor unit slices can

be used to implement slices 730/732 in some embodiments.
In some embodiments, mixers 734/736 can be implemented similarly to mixers 202 of FIG. 2, as described above. Filters 738/739 can be implemented in any suitable manner, such as using TIAs with feedback capacitors similarly to what is illustrated in FIG. 3, in some embodiments.

[0061] Each DDS circuit 710/720 comprises a numerically controlled oscillator (NCO) $712/722$, a phase accumulator $714/724$, a 32-depth (or any other suitable size) memory 716/726, and a logic decoder 718/728.
[0062] Each NCO 712/722 can provide a clock output at

a frequency (e.g., for 8-phase DDS modulation, the NCO can provide a clock frequency of $8*F_M$, and for 16-phase DDS modulation, the NCO can provide a clock frequency of $16*F_M$) set by a hardware processor or any other suitable control mechanism (not shown).

[0063] Each phase accumulator $714/724$ can accumulate a count based on the output of the corresponding NCO and a control input (not shown) that controls the rate (e.g., $1 \times$, $2 \times$, $4x$, $8x$, etc.) at which the accumulator increments its count (e.g., for 8-phase DDS modulation, the accumulator can have an increment of 4, and for 16-phase DDS modulation,

the accumulator can have an increment of 2).
[0064] Each memory 716/726 can include a look-up table that contains data for sinusoidally modulating the transconductor unit slices. In some embodiments, this table can be created as shown in FIG. 10 (for in-phase) or FIG. 11 (for quadrature-phase). As shown, the tables can receive a 5-bit (or any other suitable size) input and provide a 5-bit (or any other suitable size) magnitude ("MAG") output and a polarity ("POL") bit.
[0065] Each logic decoder 718/728 can include a ther-
mometer encoding table (e.g., such as the table of FIG. 12)

for converting the 5-bit magnitude output by the corresponding memory 716/726 into a 31-bit (or any other suitable size) thermometer encoded output. Each logic decoder 718/728 can also include 31 (or any other suitable number) transconductor unit cell control tables (an example of which is shown in FIG. 13). Each bit of the thermometer encoded output can the drive its own transconductor unit cell control table . The bit of the thermometer encoded output can be used as an output enable ("oe") input along with the corresponding polarity bit ("pol") to drive the transconductor unit cell control table. As illustrated, in response to the input signals "oe" (output enable) and "pol" (polarity), each transconductor unit cell control table can provide five output signals ctl_t , ctl_sp_A , ctl_sp_A , ctl_sp_B , and ctl_sp_B , which can be used to control transmission gates **812** in FIG. **8** as

can be used to control transmission gates 81.
[0066] In this way, during operation, transconductance unit cells 730/732 can be sinusoidally modulated at F_M by DDS circuits 710 and 720, in some embodiments.

[0067] Each LNTA branch operates as a multi-phase, switched-transconductance mixer to translate signals from $(F_c \pm F_M)$ to F_c in some embodiments. In some embodiments, to reject 3rd and 5th F_M harmonics, the DDS phase accumulator increment can be set to 4 and the DDS circuits can be clocked at $8 \cdot F_M$. In some embodiments, to additionally reject 7th and 9th F_M harmonics, the DDS phase accumulator increment can be set to 2 and the DDS circuits can be clocked at $16 \cdot F_M$.

[0068] In some embodiments, the RF currents at the outputs of the transconductor unit cells ($I_{RF,I}$ and $I_{RF,Q}$ in FIG. 7) are translated from F_c to baseband using passive mixers driven by 8-phase 12.5%-duty-cycle, non-overlapping clocks at F_C . The outputs of the four baseband TIAs are harmonically combined by circuits 706 to reject 3rd and 5th F_C harmonics.

[0069] The two LNTA branches, when modulated with in-phase and quadrature-phase sinusoidal DDSs 710 and 720, respectively, generate four outputs, $bb_1(t)$, $bb_2(t)$, bb_3 (t), $bb_A(t)$, at the output of harmonic recombination circuits 706 that contain overlapping but linearly independent I/Q components from the two RF carriers at $(F_c \pm F_M)$. The I/Q components of each RF carrier are extracted using baseband addition and subtraction circuits 762, 764, 766, and 768 in sideband separation circuits 708. For example, by summing
the outputs $bb_1(t)$ and $bb_4(t)$ with addition circuit 762, the
in-phase component bb_{1-1} from the lower RF carrier is obtained.

[0070] The components of circuits 706 and 708 can be implemented in the same manner as corresponding compo nents in FIGS. 4 and 5 as described above.

[0071] In some embodiments, for single-carrier reception, one LNTA branch can be disabled, and the DDS controls in the other LNTA branch can be fixed, so that the receiver operates as an 8-phase harmonic rejection (HR) receiver.

[0072] The conversion gain of each LNTA branch from RF input to baseband output when operating in a dual-carrier reception mode can be represented by :

$$
CG_{LB,DUAL} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot G_{m,pk} R_{F,LB} \cdot \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \cdot \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)
$$
 (12)

where N is the number of DDS modulation phases, $G_{m,pk}$ is the peak LNTA transconductance, and $R_{F, LB}$ is the TIA feedback resistance .

[0073] For single-carrier operation, the branch operates as an 8-phase HR receiver with a conversion gain given by:

$$
CG_{LB,SNGL} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot G_{m,pk} R_{F,LB} \cdot \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)
$$
\n⁽¹³⁾

which is very close to equation (12) except for the sinc(π/N) multiplication factor. In some embodiments, the conversion gains for both modes are very close; for 8-phase modulation, the conversion gain in the dual-carrier reception mode is only 0.2 dB lower than the gain for single-carrier reception, while for 16-phase modulation, the conversion gain is only 0.1 dB lower.

[0074] The noise factor of the DDS-modulated LNTA branch with 8-phase modulation at F_M and 8-phase HR mixing at F_C can be represented by:

$$
F_{LB} = \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^4(\pi/8)} \cdot \left\{ 2 + \frac{2\gamma}{G_{m,pk}R_S} \cdot \left[1 + 2\text{cos}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right] \right\}
$$
(14)

where the first term of '2' is due to the noise of R_s (the source resistance) and R_T (the termination resistance).

[0075] The noise factor with 16-phase DDS modulation at F_M and 8-phase HR mixing at F_C can be represented by:

$$
F_{LB} = \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^2(\pi/8) \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi/16)}.
$$
\n
$$
\left\{ 2 + \frac{2\gamma}{G_{m,pk}R_S} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} + \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) + \cos(\frac{3\pi}{8}) \right] \right\}.
$$
\n(15)

[0076] For the double-conversion LNTA branches, the $F_{NC, DUAL}$ = (18) harmonic rejection happens in both the F_c and F_M clock domains. To the first order, the harmonic rejection ratio (HRR) is obtained by multiplying two HRR expressions; e.g., when using 8-phase DDS modulation and 8-phase F_c clocks, the HRR at the sideband-separated outputs of the double-conversion LNTA branches at $(m F_c+n F_M)$ is:

$$
HRR_{(m,n)} = \frac{\text{sinc}(\pi/8)}{\text{sinc}(m\pi/8)} \cdot \frac{\text{sinc}(\pi/8)}{\text{sinc}(n\pi/8)} \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 + \rho_c \cdot 2\text{cos}(\pi/4) \cdot 1 + \rho_m \cdot 2\text{cos}(\pi/4)
$$
 (16)

 $1 + \rho_c \cdot 2\cos(m\pi/4) \quad 1 + \rho_m \cdot 2\cos(n\pi/4)$

where m, n are both odd integers, ρ_m is the ratio of the
quantized, mid-level transconductance and the peak
transconductance, and ρ_c is the ratio of the baseband voltage
gains used in the harmonic recombining networ clock .

[0077] In some embodiments, the mixer-first architecture with the incorporated, double-conversion LNTA branches as described herein can only cancel part of the noise of the baseband termination resistors shown in FIG . 3. Because of the configuration of the HR termination network, some of the noise appears with the same conversion polarity at the outputs of the two signal branches, and some appear in with an opposite conversion polarity. For example, the noise due
to the shunt $2R_B$ and $(2+\sqrt{2})R_B$ resistors in the mixer-first

branches will produce outputs with an opposite polarity,
whereas the noise due to the series $2R_B$ and $(2\sqrt{2})R_B$
resistors produces outputs with the same polarity.
[0078] As described above, in some embodiments, the
cir non-overlapping clocks at F_c as those for the mixer-first branches. The noise factor after cancellation when in this mode, $F_{NC,SNGL}$, can be represented by:

$$
F_{NC,SNGL} = (17)
$$

$$
\frac{v_{no,SVGL}^{2}/\Delta f}{2 \cdot 4}
$$

$$
kTR_{S} \cdot \left[\frac{R_{SW} + \eta R_{B}}{R_{S} + R_{SW} + \eta R_{B}} \cdot (CG_{LB,SVGL} - K \cdot CG_{MFB,SVGL})\right]^{2}
$$

 $[0079]$ By properly selecting the value of K (the coefficient to adjust the relative gain of the two LNTA branches, which can be found by simulation), the noise due to any of the resistors is 303 of FIG. 3 and the baseband op-amps can be partially cancelled.

[0080] In some embodiments, when the circuit of FIG. 1 is operating in dual-carrier reception mode and is being modulated by 8-phase in-phase and quadrature-phase DDSs, the noise factor after sideband separation with noise cellation, $F_{NC.DUAL}$, can be represented by:

$$
\frac{v_{no,DUAL}^2/\Delta f}{2.4kTR_S \cdot \left[\frac{2R_{SW} + 2\eta^2 \cdot R_B}{R_S + 2R_{SW} + 2\eta^2 \cdot R_B} \cdot (CG_{LB,DUAL} - K \cdot CG_{MFB,DUAL})\right]^2}
$$

where $\overline{V_{no,DLAL}}^2/\Delta f$ is the total noise at the combined output. [0081] In some embodiments, the bandwidth at the RF input node should cover all significant higher-order harmonics (e.g. the 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th clock harmonics for 8-phase receivers) to avoid a large NF degradation. E.g., the bandwidth at the RF input node should be greater than 4900 MHz for F_C =700 MHz.

[0082] Turning to FIG. 8, an example 800 of a schematic of a differential modulated low noise transconductance amplifier (LNTA) that can be used to implement LNTAs 730 and 732 of FIG. 7 in accordance with some embodiments is

shown.
[0083] As illustrated in FIG. 8, the differential modulated LNTA uses two cascoded common-source amplifiers 802 and 804. In some embodiments, there can be 31 (or any other suitable number) identical unit slices in each of the cascoded common-source amplifiers. As also shown, all 31 (or any other suitable number) of the unit slices share a central common-mode feedback circuit (comprising resistors 806, operational amplifier 808 , and resistors 810) for stabilized DC operating points.

[0084] In some embodiments, the common-source devices can be sized for a (gm/ID) of 10 (or any other suitable number) for good linearity, and the cascoded devices can be sized for a (gm/ID) of 16 (or any other suitable number) for stated for a general form a general enterprise performance.
 $\frac{100851}{10000}$ In some embodiments, to enable or disable a slice

rapidly during modulation, the output of each unit slice can be connected to a switch matrix (e.g., formed by transmission gates $812-822$ in FIG. 8) that conducts the RF current to either the subsequent mixing stage (via transmission gates) **814, 816, 820,** and **822** controlled by ctl_sp_A<0:30>, ctl_sn_A<0:30>, ctl_sn_A<0:30>, ctl_sp_B<0:30>, ctl_sn_B<0:30>), respectively, or a dummy low-impedance termination (e.g., 0.6V at the output (o) of transmission ga

[0086] As shown in FIG. 8, each of transmission gates $812-822$ can be formed as shown in 824 .

[0087] In some embodiments, the operating frequency of each LNTAs is limited by the junction capacitances from drain and source terminals of the LNTA to the substrate. These capacitances stem from the cascoded devices and the switch matrices. In some embodiments, to mitigate these capacitances, the switches in all of the switch matrices after each unit slice can be designed with transmis low-voltage CMOS technology (LVT) devices with floating

where $\overline{v_{no,SWGL}}^2/\Delta f$ is the total noise at the combined output, k is the Boltzmann constant, and T is temperature.

bodies to rails. In some embodiments, for the same purpose, the 8-phase mixers can use transmission gates that are also floating their bodies to rails. In some embodiments, this approach can result in each mixer cell having a 20% reduction in parasitic capacitance with 80 switch resistance.

[0088] Turning to FIG. 9, an example 900 of a schematic of a Miller-compensated operational amplifier that can be used to implement baseband transimpedance amplifiers of low-noise transconductance amplifier (LNTA) branches 104 and multiple double-conversion mixer-first branches 106 of FIG. 1 in some embodiments is shown.

[0089] In some embodiments, the TIAs can use programmable feedback resistors and programmable feedback capacitors for gain control and bandwidth control, respectively.

[0090] In some embodiments, each TIA has an equivalent, differential 15 pF capacitor at its inputs to attenuate the down-converted, out-of-band blocking signals.

[0091] It is noted that in FIGS. 8 and 9, certain component sizes and voltages are shown. It should be understood that these sizes and voltages are merely for purposes of illustra tion and that any suitable component sizes and voltages can be used in some embodiments.

[0092] The trace routing resistance from the mixer outputs to the baseband TIA inputs limits the linearity of the signal branch . In some embodiments , multiple thin metal layers can be stacked to bring the routing resistance below 30. This resistance can be further reduced with CMOS processes that offer more ultra-thick metal (UTM) layers in some embodiments.

[0093] In some embodiments, for the non-overlapping mixer clocks at F_C , differential input clocks running at 4.Fc can be first divided by four using standard, 4-stage CMOS latches, producing 8-phase 50%-duty-cycle clocks, and then
NOR logic gates can be used to generate the 8-phase NOR logic gates can be used to generate the 8-phase 12.5%-duty-cycle, non-overlapping clocks. In some embodiments, the nonoverlapping mixer-clocks at F_M can be generated in the same way.
[0094] In some embodiments, to accommodate the need

for different DDS clock rates, extra reconfigurable clock dividers can be used to support 8-phase and 16-phase DDS modulation with higher input clock rates.

[0095] In some embodiments, direct digital synthesizer circuits 710 and 720 in LNTA branches 702 and 704, respectively, are designed to vary the LNTA transconductances sinusoidally with a period of $1/F_M$. In some embodiments, direct digital synthesizer circuits 710 and 720 each contains a phase accumulator with programmable accumulating increments, a 7-bit-wide, 32-depth flip-flop-based SRAM as its look-up table, a thermometer-like logic decoder, and 31 drivers for each LNTA unit slice switch matrix.

[0096] In some embodiments, in the digital domain, gain and I/Q phase imbalances can be compensated and the signals then harmonically combined to reject 3rd and 5th F_C harmonics. Sideband separation can also be performed to extract I/Q information from each RF carrier in some embodiments .

[0097] In some embodiments, for concurrent dual-carrier reception, if, for example, the lower RF carrier is targeted, a single-point calibration can be performed by first injecting a continuous wave tone near the higher RF carrier with a 2 MHz intermediate frequency and acquiring the coefficients for gain and phase mismatches to cancel this tone at the

[0098] In some embodiments, more sophisticated compensation techniques, like multi-tap adaptive filtering, can be used for further improvement in harmonic rejection and

sideband separation.
[0099] The resulting calibration coefficients can be used
for measurements in some embodiments.

[0100] In some embodiments, noise cancellation can be realized by first performing complex baseband shifting and weighting to the mixer-first branch I/Q outputs and then summing these outputs with the LNTA branch outputs.

 $[0101]$ To cancel the termination noise from the mixer-first branches, standard mixer-first branches arranged in a double-conversion fashion can be used in some embodi-
ments. More particularly, in some embodiments, the outputs of the second-layer, 8-phase mixer switches can be connected to corresponding input of a TIA (one for each mixer) each by a resistor R_B , and harmonic recombination can be realized afterward. The noise due to these termination resistors at the outputs of the two signal branches may appear as common mode, whereas the desired signals may appear differential. Then, the termination noise can be fully cancelled, and the system's noise factor becomes:

$$
F_{CN} \approx \frac{1}{\text{sinc}^4(\pi/8)} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma}{G_{m,pk}R_S} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) \right] \right\}
$$
(19)

[0102] In some embodiments, as the number of clock phases increases, the number of TIAs can also be increased. However, to maintain the same noise performance, the TIA operational amplifiers can be sized down, and the TIA feedback resistance can be sized up the same amount in

 $[0103]$ In some embodiments, more conversion stages can be used to receive more signals by putting one or more extra set of mixers before the first layer mixers. For example, to concurrently receive four carriers at $(F_c \pm F_w \pm F_w)$, three layers of passive mixing can be used in the mixer-first branch clocked at F_c , F_M , and F_N with $F_c \rightarrow F_M \rightarrow F_N$.

[0104] The low-pass, baseband impedance is then first converted to F_N , then to $(F_M \pm F_N)$, and next to $(F_C \pm F_M \pm F_N)$, thus offering narrow-band tuned impedance matching at four distinct frequencies. Signals at those frequencies are downconverted to baseband and can be separated using addition and subtraction circuits. Similarly, more conversion stages can be included after the modulated LNTAs. In this case, the LNTAs are modulated at F_N and are followed by two passive-mixing layers clocked at F_M and F_C , respectively. However, more passive mixing layers require more series RF switches, resulting in a larger equivalent switch resistance and more complicated signal routing.

[0105] Although the invention has been described and illustrated in the foregoing illustrative embodiments, it is understood that the present disclosure has been made only by way of example, and that numerous changes in the details of implementation of the invention can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, which is limited only by the claims that follow. Features of the disclosed embodiments can be combined and rearranged in various ways.

- 1. A circuit for a receiver, comprising:
- M first mixers that each receive an input signal, that are each clocked by a different phase of a first common clock frequency, and that each provide an output, wherein \overline{M} is a count of the first mixers;
- M sets of N second mixers, wherein N is a count of the second mixers in each of the M sets, wherein each second mixer in each set of N second mixers receives as an input the output of a corresponding one of the M first mixers, wherein each of the N second mixers in each of the M sets are clocked by a different phase of a second common clock frequency , and wherein each of the second mixers has an output;
- M harmonic rejection termination networks that each receive the outputs of the N second mixers in a corre sponding one of the M sets, and that each provide an in-phase output and a quadrature-phase output;
- M in-phase trans-impedance amplifiers that each receive the in-phase output from a corresponding one of the M
harmonic rejection termination networks and that each provide an in-phase baseband output signal; and
- M in-phase trans-impedance amplifiers that each receive the quadrature-phase output from a corresponding one of the M harmonic rejection termination networks and that each provide an quadrature-phase baseband output
- signal.
2. The circuit of claim 1, further comprising:
- a plurality of low noise transconductance amplifier branches each comprising:
a transconductor having an input connected to the input
- signal and a transconductor output signal;
- M third mixers that each receive a corresponding one of the transconductor output signals, that are each clocked by a different phase of the first common clock frequency , and that each provide a third mixer output signal; and
- M filters that each receive a corresponding on of the third mixer output signals and provide a filtered

3. The circuit of claim 2, wherein the transconductor comprises a plurality of transconductor unit cells that indi-

vidually controllable.
4. The circuit of claim 3, wherein each of the plurality of low noise transconductance amplifier branches further comprises a direct digital synthesis circuit the controls the plurality of transconductor unit cells.

5. The circuit of claim 4, wherein the direct digital synthesis circuit comprises:

- a numerically controllable oscillator; and
an accumulator.
-

6. The circuit of claim 1, further comprising a harmonic recombination circuit.

7. The circuit of claim 6, further comprising a sideband separation circuit.
8. The circuit of claim 1, wherein each of the first mixers

is differential and clocked by two phases at the first common clock frequency each having a 12.5% duty cycle.

9. The circuit of claim 8, wherein each of the second mixers is differential and clocked by two phases at the second common clock frequency each having a 12.5% duty cycle.

> \mathbf{R} \Rightarrow \ast