



US 20090197854A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**
Karlin et al.

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2009/0197854 A1**

(43) **Pub. Date: Aug. 6, 2009**

(54) **USE OF PICOPLATIN TO TREAT
COLORECTAL CANCER**

(75) Inventors: **David A. Karlin**, Los Altos, CA
(US); **Hazel B. Breitz**, Seattle, WA
(US); **Paul L. Weiden**, Seattle, WA
(US)

Correspondence Address:
**SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER,
P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 (US)**

(73) Assignee: **Poniard Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**,
Seattle, WA (US)

(21) Appl. No.: **12/367,394**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 6, 2009**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 11/982,841,
filed on Nov. 5, 2007.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/857,066, filed on Nov. 6, 2006, provisional application No. 60/857,725, filed on Nov. 8, 2006, provisional application No. 60/877,495, filed on Dec. 28, 2006, provisional application No. 60/889,191, filed on Feb. 9, 2007, provisional application No. 60/931,589, filed on May 24, 2007, provisional application No. 60/983,852, filed on Oct. 30, 2007.

Publication Classification

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61K 31/282 (2006.01)
A61P 35/00 (2006.01)
C12Q 1/68 (2006.01)
(52) **U.S. Cl.** **514/188; 435/6**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The invention provides a method of treatment of colorectal cancer by administration of the anti-cancer platinum drug picoplatin in conjunction with 5-FU and leucovorin in a variety of treatment regimens. Dosages, dosing schedules, and ancillary treatments are described.

USE OF PICOPLATIN TO TREAT COLORECTAL CANCER

CROSS REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Applications, Ser. Nos. 60/857,066 (filed Nov. 6, 2006), 60/857,725 (filed Nov. 8, 2006), 60/877,495 (filed Dec. 28, 2006), 60/889,191 (filed Feb. 9, 2007), 60/931,589 (filed May 24, 2007), and 60/983,852 (filed Oct. 30, 2007), and is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. non-provisional application Ser. No. 11/982,841, filed Nov. 5, 2007, which are all incorporated by reference herein in their entireties.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Colorectal cancer remains the second most common cause of cancer-related death in the United States and a significant cause of cancer-related death in other countries as well.¹ For decades, the only approved chemotherapeutic drug for treatment of colorectal cancer was 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and it continues to be the backbone of most first-line chemotherapeutic regimens for patients with advanced disease. However, there has been much progress made in treatment of colorectal cancer in the past decade, with the approval of several new therapeutic agents including irinotecan, oxaliplatin, capecitabine, and most recently, cetuximab and bevacizumab.^{2,3} Importantly, a variety of new chemotherapeutic regimens utilizing these agents have been devised, which have led to increased response rates and incremental increases in the time to progression and median survival for patients with advanced disease.^{2,3} Response rates for 5-FU/leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin as single agent therapy have been low (23%, 18%, and 12%, respectively), progression-free survival has been short (median 4.0, 4.3, and 4.0 months, respectively), and median survival has also been short, approximately (12, 12, and 14.5 months, respectively).⁴ With the introduction of 5-FU-based combination chemotherapeutic regimens using irinotecan and oxaliplatin, the response rate has increased substantially, with response rates reported as high as 64% (FOLFOX7), time to progression ranging from 8.9-12.3 months, and median survival now approaching approximately 20 months in some reports.²⁻⁴

[0003] Unfortunately, however, these newer combination chemotherapy regimens do have increased toxicity. Regimens containing irinotecan are associated with significant diarrhea and other gastrointestinal toxicity, while those containing oxaliplatin are associated with neurotoxicity.²⁻¹⁰ The neurotoxicity observed is of two types: first, a cumulative and often dose limiting sensory loss with paresthesias that can interfere with function and second, a disturbing cold sensitivity that limits patient acceptance of the FOLFOX regimen.⁷⁻¹⁰ Thus a drug of comparable efficacy without neurotoxicity would be a welcome substitute for oxaliplatin in combination with 5-FU and leucovorin.

[0004] Picoplatin is a platinum analogue that has demonstrated synergy with 5-FU in vitro in pre-clinical studies and has undergone extensive Phase 1 and 2 testing in a variety of cancers.¹¹⁻²² Like other platinum analogues, picoplatin causes cell death by the formation of covalent cross-links in DNA that interfere with DNA replication and transcription, leading to cell death. Cisplatin, the first platinum analogue, was introduced approximately 20 years ago and is still widely

used. The approval of cisplatin was followed by approval of carboplatin, and most recently by that of oxaliplatin.

[0005] Treatment with platinum analogues is limited by their toxicity. While neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity are the main dose-limiting toxicities (DLT) observed following cisplatin treatment, myelosuppression is most significant following carboplatin treatment. Carboplatin is known to cause cumulative dose-related toxicity that results in slow bone marrow recovery. Peripheral neurotoxicity is well documented in patients treated with oxaliplatin. The unacceptable nephrotoxicity, oto-, and neurotoxicity associated with earlier platinum analogues has not been reported with picoplatin either in animal studies or in clinical trials.^{11, 19-22}

[0006] The efficacy of platinum analogues is also limited by several (intrinsic or acquired) mechanisms of resistance, including impaired cellular uptake, intracellular inactivation by thiols [e.g., reduced glutathione], and enhanced DNA repair and/or increased tolerance to platinum-DNA adducts.²³ Pre-clinical studies indicate that picoplatin can overcome these three mechanisms of resistance. This has been demonstrated in vitro and by using human ovarian xenograft tumor models that exhibit resistance to cisplatin.¹³⁻¹⁷ Several human ovarian and colon cell lines with induced resistance to oxaliplatin retain sensitivity to picoplatin.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

[0007] In Phase 1 studies, indications of activity were seen in subjects with ovarian cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), small cell lung cancer (SCLC), colorectal cancer, head and neck cancer, renal cell cancer, thymic cancer, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, leiomyosarcoma, liver cancer, mesothelioma, and prostate cancers.^{24,25} In Phase 2 studies, indications of efficacy were seen in subjects with ovarian, NSCLC, SCLC, mesothelioma, prostate cancer, and breast cancer.

[0008] Picoplatin and processes for making picoplatin and for using picoplatin in treatment are disclosed and claimed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,665,771 (issued Sep. 9, 1997), and 6,518,428 (issued Feb. 11, 2003), and in PCT/GB0102060, filed May 10, 2001, published as WO2001/087313, which are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties.

[0009] About 40% of patients with mCRC have K-ras mutations and their mCRC does not respond to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors such as cetuximab and panitumumab. Many cetuximab-treatment studies in mCRC demonstrated very low or even zero response rates, short progression-free survival, and short overall survival in K-ras mutation positive mCRC. Because K-ras wild type CRC patients treated with EGFR inhibitors have significantly higher objective response rates, increased progression-free survival, and increased overall survival, K-ras testing is now used in routine clinical practice to select the subset of mCRC patients most likely to benefit from treatment with an EGFR inhibitor. Subset selection spares patients who are unlikely to respond to EGFR inhibitors for side effects and the cost of an ineffective drug. Examples of companies that offer K-ras testing to medical oncologists include:

[0010] For example, see: M. Brink et al., *Carcinogenesis*. 2003; 24:703-10; A. Lièvre et al., *J Clin Oncol*. 2008; 26:374-9; W. De Roock et al., *Ann Oncol*. 2007, Nov. 12; F. Di Fiore et al., *Br J Cancer*. 2007; 96:1166-9; A. Lièvre et al., *Cancer Res*. 2006; 66:3992-5; C. S. Karapetis et al., *NEJM*. 2008; 359 (N 17):1757-1765; Amado et al., 2008 American Society of Clinical Oncology Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium, Abstract 278.

[0011] Picoplatin (SP-4-3) (cis-aminodichloro(2-methylpyridine)Pt(II)), and useful prodrugs and analogs thereof are disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,665,771; 6,518,428; 6,413,953 and PCT/GB/01/02060.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0012] An embodiment of the present invention provides a method of treatment of colorectal cancer, comprising administering to a patient afflicted with colorectal cancer picoplatin, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and leucovorin, wherein 5-FU and leucovorin are administered intravenously at least twice at intervals of about 2-6 weeks and the picoplatin is administered with the leucovorin and 5-FU every other time that the fluorouracil and leucovorin are administered.

[0013] Another embodiment of the invention provides a method of treatment of colorectal cancer, comprising administering to a patient afflicted with colorectal cancer effective amounts of a combination of picoplatin, 5-FU and leucovorin, wherein the picoplatin, 5-FU and leucovorin are administered intravenously at least twice at intervals of about two weeks, wherein the amount of picoplatin is less than the maximum tolerated dose of picoplatin when administered in said combination.

[0014] Another embodiment of the invention provides a method of treatment of colorectal cancer, comprising administering to a patient afflicted with colorectal cancer picoplatin, 5-FU, and leucovorin, wherein 5-FU and leucovorin are administered intravenously at intervals of about two weeks, and the picoplatin is administered with the leucovorin and 5-FU every time that the fluorouracil and leucovorin are administered, wherein the picoplatin is administered at a dose of about 45-120 mg/m².

[0015] In various embodiments of the present method, the patient has not previously been treated for metastatic disease, or the patient has not previously had systemic treatment, such as chemotherapy, for localized or metastatic disease. For example, the patient may have had surgery to remove or to de-bulk the primary tumor and then be treated with one of the picoplatin, 5-FU, leucovorin regimens (e.g., FOLPI) of the invention to prevent or delay progression of the cancer, including to prevent or delay the development of metastases. The patient may have received earlier chemotherapy at the time of primary tumor treatment, at least 6 months prior to the present picoplatin treatment.

[0016] In various embodiments, the picoplatin can be administered with curative intent, rather than merely seeking to arrest the disease with no remission. The dosage of the picoplatin can be increased beyond that bringing about disease stasis in order to achieve a cure in the patient.

[0017] The picoplatin and the leucovorin can be administered concurrently in any treatment cycle where picoplatin is administered.

[0018] In another embodiment of the invention, the picoplatin is administered substantially concurrently with the leucovorin and the picoplatin is administered at every second treatment of the patient with the 5-FU and the leucovorin, e.g., every four weeks. The leucovorin can be administered at a dosage of about 250-500 mg/m², preferably at about 400 mg/m². The picoplatin is administered at a dosage of about 60-180 mg/m². The 5-FU is administered at a total dosage of about 2500-3000 mg/m². A preferred treatment cycle for leucovorin and 5-FU is every two weeks, and picoplatin is administered every 4 weeks, e.g., at a low dose of about 60-75

mg/m², e.g., 60 mg/m², or at a high dose of about 120-180 mg/m², preferably about 120-150 mg/m², e.g. about 150 mg/m².

[0019] Therefore, in one embodiment of the invention, the leucovorin, at a dosage of 250-500 mg/m², is administered as an about 2 hour infusion concurrently with the picoplatin, when it is given, wherein the picoplatin dosage is 120-180 mg/m², e.g., about 150 mg/m²; the administration of the leucovorin and the picoplatin being followed by a 5-FU dosage of about 400 mg/m² as a bolus; the 5-FU dosage being followed by 5-FU at a dosage of 2,400 mg/m², preferably administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion, wherein the leucovorin and 5-FU are provided to the patient at intervals of two weeks and the leucovorin, picoplatin, and 5-FU are provided to the patient at alternating intervals of four weeks. In another embodiment, a low dose of picoplatin of about 45-75 mg/m², e.g., about 60-75 mg/m², e.g., about 60 mg/m², is administered.

[0020] In another embodiment of the invention, the leucovorin, at a dosage of 400 mg/m², is administered as a 2 hour infusion; the administration of the leucovorin being followed by a 5-FU bolus at a dosage of 400 mg/m²; the 5-FU bolus dosage being followed by parenteral 5-FU at a dosage of 2,400 mg/m², preferably administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion; the administration of the leucovorin and the 5-FU taking place every two weeks; wherein every two weeks picoplatin, at a dosage of up to about 50 mg/m², e.g., at about 40-50 mg/m², e.g., about 45 mg/m², is administered concurrently with the leucovorin, preferably simultaneously. Picoplatin dosages of about 45-105 mg/m² can also be administered.

[0021] It has unexpectedly been found that, in some cases, the combination of low doses of picoplatin administered with leucovorin and 5-FU at every treatment cycle, are as effective as, or more effective than, higher doses, e.g., the MTD, given at the same intervals, in producing a response. The MTD for the 2 week and 4 week picoplatin administration schedules (see Table 1) are discussed below. Preferably, such doses in the initial treatment are lower or substantially lower than the MTD. Such doses can range from about 40-60 mg/m² of picoplatin every two weeks, given with leucovorin and followed by 5-FU, as discussed below.

[0022] It has surprisingly been found that a total cumulative dose in excess of about 900 mg/m² can be tolerated by patients without neuropathy of Grade 2 or higher being observed.

[0023] As used herein, the term "concurrently" means that the administrations are simultaneous, overlapping or close enough in time so that the two or more agents administered are present in vivo in therapeutically effective amounts.

[0024] The present method also can comprise administration of an effective amount of a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist, as an anti-emetic.

[0025] The present invention also provides a method comprising administering picoplatin in a dosage form comprising an isotonic solution comprising water, a tonicity adjuster, and about 0.5 mg/mL dissolved picoplatin. The dosage form can also comprise an effective amount of dissolved or dispersed 5-FU and/or leucovorin in accord with the doses disclosed herein. The dosage form also does not contain a preservative or bacteriostatic agent. An appropriate volume of the dosage form can be administered to achieve a desired therapeutic dose.

[0026] The dosage form also can comprise a first container comprising the picoplatin solution and a second container comprising a solution of leucovorin. The two containers can further comprise means to simultaneously administer the contents to a patient, e.g., the containers can be plastic intravenous bags that can be independently connected to a single intravenous tube so that the content of each container can be simultaneously administered to the patient, e.g., via a Y-link. These containers can be packaged together with instructions regarding their end-use, e.g., in a kit.

[0027] In various embodiments, the invention provides a method for selecting a regimen of treatment for a patient afflicted with mCRC comprising: (a) identifying a patient afflicted with mCRC, (b) determining if the mCRC comprises a wild type K-ras gene or a mutated K-ras gene and (c) if the mCRC comprises a K-ras mutation positive genotype, then administering to the patient picoplatin and, optionally, 5-FU and leucovorin.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0028] The use of picoplatin to treat metastatic colorectal cancer will be conducted in three parts. Phase 1 is a dose escalation study to identify the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of picoplatin that can be administered either every two weeks or every four weeks, with 5-FU and leucovorin (LV) administered every two weeks, as initial therapy for subjects with metastatic colorectal cancer who have not been previously treated for metastatic disease. Phase 2 is a randomized study. In one arm of the study, picoplatin is tested at the MTD and selected schedule (every four weeks) combined with 5-FU and leucovorin that are administered every two weeks, to assess safety and efficacy. In the other arm, picoplatin will be substituted for oxaliplatin in a modified FOLFOX 6 regimen wherein the 100 mg/m² oxaliplatin dose in FOLFOX 6 has been reduced to 85 mg/m², and administered every 2 weeks, so that the two agents can be compared in the context of a widely used regimen. It is believed that cancer patients can be more effectively treated with the regimen of the present invention, which employ picoplatin instead of cisplatin, carboplatin or oxaliplatin, because they will experience fewer side effects, such as neuropathy, while preferably receiving higher doses of the platinum (Pt) drug.

[0029] Subjects eligible for the Phase 1 study will have Stage IV colorectal cancer and will have received no systemic therapy for metastatic cancer. Prior adjuvant chemotherapy with a 5-FU-based treatment regimen not containing oxaliplatin or irinotecan is acceptable if there has been a treatment-free interval of at least 6 months.

Phase 1

[0030] Subjects are assigned centrally to treatment with picoplatin administered either every two or every four weeks and are assigned a dose of picoplatin to be given dependent on the study results to date. Each patient also receives 5-FU and leucovorin therapy every two weeks. Cohorts of 3 subjects receive their assigned dose of picoplatin and leucovorin and 5-FU according to the following schedule:

[0031] Day 1: Picoplatin, assigned dosage, as a 2-hour infusion, given either every cycle of 5-FU and leucovorin (q 2 weeks, Schedule A) or with every other cycle of 5-FU and leucovorin (q 4 weeks, Schedule B). Leucovorin, 400 mg/m²

in D5W (water-5% dextrose), will be administered as a 2 hour infusion, either alone or, if the patient is to receive picoplatin, at the same time as picoplatin in separate bags using a Y-line. The leucovorin (\pm picoplatin) will be followed by a 5-FU bolus=400 mg/m² and then by 5-FU, 2,400 mg/m² in D5W administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion.

[0032] Subjects in Phase 1 are centrally assigned to one of two schedules of picoplatin. The first cohort of q 2 week (Schedule A) subjects are treated with picoplatin at a dosage of 45 mg/m², every cycle, q 2 weeks. Subsequent sequential cohorts of subjects assigned to this schedule receive picoplatin at dose levels increasing by 15 mg/m² if treatment is well tolerated and until unacceptable dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) establishes the MTD.

[0033] The MTD is defined as the dose of picoplatin below the dose at which at least one third of at least 6 subjects experience a DLT. Tolerance data from only the first 4 weeks of treatment is used to determine the MTD. Thus, data following the first two doses of picoplatin in the q 2 week (Schedule A) subjects and following only the first dose of picoplatin in the q 4 week (Schedule B) subjects are considered. The first cohort of q 4 week (Schedule B) subjects will be treated with picoplatin at a dosage of 60 mg/m², every other cycle, q 4 weeks. Subsequent sequential cohorts of subjects assigned to this schedule will receive picoplatin at dose levels increasing by 30 mg/m² if treatment is well tolerated and until unacceptable dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) establishes the MTD. Depending on the pattern and severity of toxicity observed, additional intermediate dose levels of either schedule of picoplatin administration may be studied.

[0034] Within each schedule, the cohort size is 3 subjects, and is expanded to 6 subjects if a DLT is observed. Within each cohort of each schedule, one patient is treated initially; if no DLT is observed within the following 4 weeks (2 drug cycles), the remaining two subjects may be treated. If a DLT is observed in the first patient within a cohort, whether or not to proceed with enrollment of additional subjects in the cohort will be determined on a case-by-case basis. All subjects within a q 2 week (Schedule A) cohort will have completed 2 cycles (a cycle—the 2-day treatment regimen and an additional 12-day follow-up period) prior to escalating the dose in the next cohort of subjects. All subjects within a q 4 week (Schedule B) cohort will have completed 1 cycle of the 2-day treatment regimen (which should include 5FU/leucovorin) and an additional 26-day follow-up period prior to escalating the dose in the next cohort of Schedule B subjects.

[0035] If no DLT is observed among the 3 subjects within a cohort, picoplatin dose escalation may proceed in the next cohort of that schedule of picoplatin. If one DLT is observed, the cohort size at the specified dose and schedule of picoplatin is expanded to 6 subjects. Additional subjects may be entered at any dosage level and schedule below the dose at which 2 of 6 have DLT to obtain additional safety or efficacy data.

Phase 2

[0036] The dose of the Phase 2 component of this study is selected based on the dose intensity of picoplatin achieved on each dose and schedule, the number of cycles tolerated and a subjective assessment of the tolerability and safety profile of each dose and schedule and a preliminary assessment of

response rate in accord with Phase 1. The schedule for Phase 2 is selected as Schedule B, the q 4 week schedule. The subjects (approximately 100 with metastatic CRC, at about 25 clinical sites) are randomized to the modified FOLFOX 66 or to FOLPI-150.

[0037] The FOLPI regimen is as follows:

[0038] Picoplatin 150 mg/m², is administered with every alternate cycle of 5-FU and leucovorin (q 4 weeks, Schedule B) as a 2 hour infusion. Leucovorin (400 mg/m² in D5W) is administered every 2 weeks as a 2-hour infusion, either alone, or given at the same time as the picoplatin in a separate bag using a Y-line. The administration of leucovorin+picoplatin is followed by a 5-FU bolus of 400 mg/m² and then by 5-FU, 2400 mg/m² in D5W administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion.

[0039] The modified FOLFOX 6 regimen is as follows:

[0040] Oxaliplatin 85 mg/m², as a 2-hour infusion is administered every 2 weeks. Leucovorin (400 mg/m² in D5W) is administered every 2 weeks as a 2-hour infusion. Oxaliplatin is given at the same time as the leucovorin in a separate bag using a Y-line. The administration of leucovorin+oxaliplatin is followed by a 5-FU bolus of 400 mg/m² and then by 5-FU, 2400 mg/m² in D5W administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion.

[0041] Neuropathy assessment is performed at baseline and after every two cycles of therapy (approximately every month) by an independent neurologist. The subject and the neurologist are not informed whether the platinum infused is

oxaliplatin or picoplatin. This assessment by the neurologist is used to determine the incidence of Grade 2 or greater peripheral neuropathy. In Phase 2, for the purpose of determining toxicity for dose reduction or study drug discontinuation, the treating physician performs a neurological assessment using the NCI CTCAE. These CTCAE criteria are used to determine the need to dose reduce prior to each cycle. The assessment of the neurologist is used for determination of the safety endpoint, the incidence of neuropathy, and is performed independently every other cycle using the protocol-specified neuropathy scale, but is not be used for dose modification. For all subjects, hematology and serum chemistry laboratory studies are obtained prior to each treatment cycle. Treatment cycles (5-FU and leucovorin+picoplatin or oxaliplatin depending on schedule) are repeated every 2 weeks, but may be delayed up to 2 weeks while awaiting recovery of clinical or laboratory abnormalities. Data from all cycles of treatment and cumulative toxicity are assessed for safety analysis.

[0042] Tumor evaluations will be done at baseline and after every 4th treatment of 5-FU/leucovorin (every 8 weeks, unless doses have been delayed) on study. The efficacy endpoint will include objective response rate according to RECIST criteria.²⁶ Duration of response, time to progression, progression-free survival, and overall survival are also evaluated.

[0043] The study treatments are summarized in Table 1, below:

TABLE 1






TABLE 1-continued



Phase 2



^aPicoplatin: over 2 hours 150 mg/m²; oxaliplatin: 85 mg/m², over 2 hours; LV: 400 mg/m² over 2 hours (concurrent with picoplatin when given or oxaliplatin) followed by 5-FU: 400 mg/m² bolus and then 2400 mg/m² over 46 hours. All subjects continue cycles every two weeks until progression or discontinuation of study drug due to toxicity.

Selection of Picoplatin Dose

[0044] Picoplatin was generally tolerated in combination with other myelosuppressive chemotherapeutic agents in previous Phase 1 studies at doses of 120-150 mg/m² administered every 3 weeks, i.e., doses equivalent to 80-100 mg/m² every 2 weeks or 160-200 mg/m² administered every 4 weeks. None of these studies, however, studied picoplatin in combination with 5-FU and leucovorin. 5-FU/leucovorin is not generally myelotoxic and thus the doses of picoplatin selected as the initial starting doses in the dose escalation portions of the current study, i.e., 45 mg/m² every two weeks and 60 mg/m² every four weeks, were well below the expected MTDs of picoplatin administered on these schedules.

Administration of Picoplatin

[0045] Investigational-site staff must use standard cytotoxic handling procedures when preparing picoplatin for administration. Picoplatin is supplied as a ready-to-use formulation. The contents of the vials must be transferred to a suitable bag for administration. The compatibility of the formulation with typical infusion equipment has been assessed, and results have established compatibility with EVA infusion bags, PVC infusion tubing, and polypropylene syringes when the materials are protected from light. PVC infusion bags are not recommended for administration of picoplatin.

[0046] The compatibility of the formulation with typical administration sets has been assessed, and limits of accept-

ability have been set as 8 hours in a covered infusion bag. The product is highly sensitive to light and should not be exposed to ambient light for more than 1 hour without light protection. The bag must be protected from light during preparation and administration at the time of use.

[0047] There is no preservative or bacteriostatic agent present in the picoplatin formulation. Therefore, picoplatin must be transferred under aseptic conditions. The solution must be completely used or discarded within 8 hours of introduction into an infusion bag. As with all platinum complexes, contact with aluminum should be avoided.

[0048] Picoplatin should be administered by peripheral vein or central line; it must not be given by the intramuscular or subcutaneous route. The starting dose will be calculated based on the body surface area from the height and weight of the patient. If the patient's weight changes by more than 10%, the treating physician must recalculate the body surface area and amend the dose.

[0049] Picoplatin should be administered over 2 hours. It should be administered concurrently with leucovorin, in separate bags using a Y-line, when the two drugs are to be given on the same day. These two drugs have been tested and shown to be compatible when administered in this manner.

[0050] Subjects also received anti-emetic therapy consisting of a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist plus dexamethasone 30 minutes prior to a dose of picoplatin. Subjects may also receive anti-emetic therapy for several days following treatment, which may include oral lorazepam, prochlorperazine, or equivalent for up to 7 days, as clinically indicated for breakthrough nausea and/or vomiting.

Guidance for Administration

[0051] Detailed guidance for administration of 5-FU and leucovorin are provided in the product labels. Briefly, leucovorin 400 mg/m² IV infusion in D5W will be administered over 2 hours at the same time as picoplatin (if picoplatin is to be given on that day), in separate bags using a Y-line, followed by a bolus of 5-FU=400 mg/m² and then by 5-FU 2,400 mg/m² in D5W (recommended) administered as a 46-hour continuous IV infusion.

Dose Modifications

Dose Modification of Picoplatin

[0052] Dose-reduction is mandatory if any of the following hematological events are observed during the previous cycle: absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $<0.5 \times 10^9/L$ for at least 5 days; absolute neutrophil count $<1.0 \times 10^9/L$ complicated with Grade ≥ 2 fever ($>38.5^\circ C.$); platelet count $<25 \times 10^9/L$; not reaching a platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^9/L$ and ANC $\geq 1.5 \times 10^9/L$ by Day 15.

[0053] Dose reduction is also required for any treatment events involving any treatment-related Grade 3 toxicity, any Grade 4 toxicity, or any renal toxicity or neurotoxicities as described below.

[0054] For subjects receiving picoplatin every 2 weeks, the dose reduction should be 15 mg/m²; for subjects receiving picoplatin every 4 weeks the dose reduction should be 30 mg/m².

Dose Reduction in the Event of Serum Creatinine Changes

[0055] Serum creatinine must be measured before every dose of picoplatin. For subjects with abnormal serum creati-

nine, the dose of picoplatin (but not 5-FU or leucovorin) must be modified according to the following table in Phase 1:

Serum Creatinine Value	Dose modification for q 2 week (Schedule A) picoplatin subjects	Dose modification for q 4 week (Schedule B) picoplatin subjects
\leq institutional ULN	recommended dose	recommended dose
>1.0 to 1.5 times ULN	reduce by 25%	reduce by 25%
>1.5 to 2.0 times ULN	reduce by 50%	reduce by 50%
>2.0 times ULN	discontinue treatment with picoplatin	discontinue treatment with picoplatin

[0056] In Phase 2, the following dose reductions will be required for elevated serum creatinine:

Serum creatinine	Dose modification for Phase 2 FOLPI subjects
\leq institutional ULN	recommended dose
>1.0 to 1.5 times ULN	reduce by picoplatin 30 mg/m ²
>1.5 to 2.0 times ULN	reduce by picoplatin 60 mg/m ²
>2.0 times ULN	discontinue treatment with picoplatin

Dose Modification in the Event of Neurotoxicity

[0057] The dose of picoplatin should be modified according to the CTCAE grade of toxicity and its duration as follows:

Toxicity Grade	Duration of Toxicity	
	Resolves before next cycle	Persistent (present at start of next cycle)
Grade 1	No change	Maintain picoplatin dose
Grade 2	No change	Reduce picoplatin dose by 30 mg/m ²
Grade 3	Reduce picoplatin dose by 30 mg/m ²	Discontinue picoplatin
Grade 4		Discontinue picoplatin

[0058] Up to three dose reductions of a 30 mg/m² may occur should toxicity not improve or worsen at a later cycle.

Dose Modification of 5-FU

[0059] The first time the dose of picoplatin is reduced, the bolus dose of 5-FU should be omitted. The second time the dose of picoplatin is reduced, the infusional dose should be reduced by 600 mg/m². Once decreased, the reduced dose of 5-FU should be continued; i.e., the dose of 5-FU should not be subsequently increased.

[0060] If the platelet count or ANC count is Grade 1 or 2 at day 15 in a cycle with picoplatin, and the subject receives the alternate i.e., even numbered cycle that does not include picoplatin, the dose of 5-FU should not be reduced at this cycle. At the next treatment cycle, the doses of picoplatin and 5-FU should be reduced by one level. Dose modifications for Grade 3 or 4 non-hematological events must be made. Continue treatment only once toxicity has resolved to $<$ Grade 3.

Dose Modification of Leucovorin

[0061] There are no dose modifications for leucovorin, unless drug sensitivity is suspected because of a temporal relationship to the time of leucovorin administration.

Results

[0062] 50 patients have been treated to date in Phase 1. In the q 2 w schedule, 1 of 6 patients showed a DLT of Grade 4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia at a picoplatin dose level of 105 mg/m². The q 2 w schedule is now being evaluated at 120 mg/m². In the q 4 w schedule, DLT was observed at 180 mg/m² in 2 of 6 patients. Patients have received up to 24 cycles and the therapy was well tolerated. For both schedules, dose delays were primarily from neutropenia or thrombocytopenia, with increased hematological toxicity observed at higher doses. Grade 3 non-hematological toxicities related to treatment include 1 coronary artery spasm following FU infusion, 1 picoplatin infusion allergic reaction, 1 stomatitis, 2 diarrhea, 1 azotemia. The cardiac and stomatitis events were attributed to the 5-FU component. No Grade 2 or higher neuropathy has been reported, even for four patients who have received a cumulative picoplatin dose of greater than about 900 mg/m², a surprising and unexpected result, particularly in view of a high incidence of moderate to severe neuropathy observed at comparable doses of oxaliplatin. Picoplatin can be safely administered with FU and LV without the dose limiting neuropathy associated with FOLFOX.

[0063] In Schedule A (picoplatin q 2 week), the preferred dosage range is about 45-120 mg/m², e.g., doses of 45 to 105 mg/m², e.g., 45 mg/m².

[0064] In Schedule B (picoplatin q 4 week), the preferred dose can be higher, e.g., about 120-210 mg/m², e.g., 120-180 mg/m², e.g., 150 mg/m². A lower dose can also be administered, e.g., at 45-90 mg/m², e.g., 60 mg/m².

[0065] Of 44 evaluated subjects evaluated by CT scan there have been 6 confirmed partial responses and one complete response (unconfirmed) (16%). Twenty-six of 32 subjects of the Q2 week schedule have been evaluated and 2 partial responses were observed. Surprisingly, 2/3 patients in cohort A1 (45 mg/m²) showed a partial response. Eighteen of 18 subjects in the Q4 week schedule have been evaluated and 5 partial responses were observed (28%).

Phase II Study

[0066] Some preliminary results of a Phase II study of picoplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin in potential neuropathy-sparing first line therapy against mCRC are shown below. A randomized, controlled study comparing the safety and efficacy of Q4W FOLPI (FOLPI with a 4 week dosing interval for picoplatin) at the picoplatin maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of 150 mg/m² in comparison with an mFOLFOX-6 regimen of 85 mg/m² oxaliplatin in combination with 5-FU and leucovorin in patients with metastatic CRC and no prior chemotherapy was carried out. Efficacy was assessed by objective tumor response, progression-free survival, and overall survival. The safety of each regimen was evaluated based on the incidence of adverse effects. Peripheral neuropathy was assessed in a blind study by an independent neurologist.

[0067] Study Demographics, Drug Exposure, Response, Adverse Events, and Hematologic Toxicities are as shown below. Each arm of the study was comparable in size, and of comparable median age.

		Demographics*	
		FOLPI N = 50	mFOLFOX-6 N = 51
Age (in years)	Median (range)	59 (25-78)	62 (34-81)
Age Group	<65 years	35 (70%)	27 (53%)
	≥65 years	15 (30%)	24 (47%)
ECOG PS	0	5 (10%)	10 (20%)
	1	42 (84%)	39 (77%)
Adjuvant Therapy	Yes	4 (8%)	5 (10%)
Original Site of Disease	Colon	21 (42%)	36 (71%)
	Rectum	26 (52%)	11 (22%)
	Colon + Rectum	3 (6%)	3 (6%)
Number of Metastatic Sites	Median (range)	2 (1-6)	2 (1-6)
	Sites of Metastatic Disease		
	Liver	43 (86%)	43 (84%)
	Lymph nodes	20 (40%)	19 (37%)
	Lung	20 (40%)	15 (29%)
	Peritoneum/ pelvic/ abdominal	10 (20%)	7 (14%)
	Bone	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
	Ascites	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
	Skin/soft tissue	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
	Other	9 (18%)	6 (12%)

*Data are not available for all patients at this time

		Study Drug Exposure	
		FOLPI H = 47 ^a	mFOLFOX-6 N = 50 ^a
Mean cycle ^b per patient		6.51	6.64
Median cycle ^b per patient		7	6.5
Range		1-14	1-16
Mean mg/m ² /week		36.29	36.44
s.d.		11.80	4.62
Median mg/m ² /week		33.16	36.81
Max		65.63	46.97
Min		20.00	19.83
Median relative dose intensity		88.4%	86.6%

^adatabase not complete

^b2-week cycles

		Response	
		RECIST Response Rate (interim data)	
		FOLPI N = 50	mFOLFOX-6 N = 51
Partial Response		6	5
Stable Disease		14 (3 uPR)	18 (4 uPR)
Progressive Disease		15	16
Too early to assess		10	8
Not Evaluable		5	4
Neuropathy ≥ grade 2		9%	27%
Grade 3/4 neuropathy		0	5%

uPR = unconfirmed partial response

-continued

Demographics*			
Adverse Events*			
		FOLPI N = 34	mFOLFOX-6 N = 37
Neutropenia		71%	22%
Thrombocytopenia		59%	19%
Nausea		44%	46%
Asthenia		38%	30%
Anemia		24%	32%
Vomiting		24%	11%
Anorexia		24%	14%
Neuropathy		18%	65%
Diarrhea		18%	11%
Alopecia		24%	3%

*based on AE reports (⊗) in database, database not complete

Hematologic Toxicity*			
	Grade	FOLPI N = 44	mFOLFOX-6 N = 42
ANC	1	4 (9%)	8 (19%)
	2	6 (14%)	2 (5%)
	3	12 (27%)	4 (10%)
	4	12 (27%)	2 (5%)
Platelets	1	6 (14%)	3 (7%)
	2	7 (16%)	0
	3	15 (34%)	2 (5%)
	4	3 (7%)	2 (5%)
Hemoglobin	1	11 (25%)	14 (33%)
	2	12 (27%)	12 (29%)
	3	5 (11%)	1 (2%)
	4	3 (11%)	1 (2%)

*Data are not available for all patients at this time
⊗ indicates text missing or illegible when filed

[0068] From the above tables, it can be seen that FOLPI and mFOLFOX-6 deliver almost identical dose intensities of platinum. However, the Q4W FOLPI regimen exhibits less frequent and severe neurotoxicity than does mFOLFOX-6, while producing comparable rates of Partial Response and Stable Disease. Neurotoxicity is not dose-limiting for the FOLPI regimen, and the Q4W FOLPI regimen has comparable non-neurological tolerability to mFOLFOX-6. Although thrombocytopenia and neutropenia are more frequent and severe with FOLPI than with mFOLFOX-6, they are manageable, and the acute gastrointestinal toxicity of the two regimens is similar.

REFERENCES

[0069] The following references and other publications, patents and patent applications cited herein are incorporated by reference herein.

[0070] 1. Jemal et al., *Cancer Statistics, 2004*. *CA Cancer J Clin* 54(1): 8-29, 2004.

[0071] 2. Hoff et al., *Oncology (Huntingt)* 18(6): 705-708, 2004.

[0072] 3. Meyerhardt et al., *N Engl J Med* 352(5): 476-87, 2005.

[0073] 4. Penland et al., *Oncology (Huntingt)* 18(6): 715-722, 2004.

[0074] 5. Saltz et al., *N Engl J Med*, 343(13): 905-14, 2000.

[0075] 6. Tournigand et al., *J Clin Oncol*, 22(2): 229-37, 2004.

[0076] 7. de Gramont et al., *J Clin Oncol*, 18(16): 2938-47, 2000.

[0077] 8. Rothenberg et al., *J Clin Oncol*, 21(11): 2059-69, 2003.

[0078] 9. Andre et al., *N Engl J Med*, 350(23): 2343-51, 2004.

[0079] 10. Hwang et al., In: *Clinical Use of Oxaliplatin: Case Studies and Roundtable Discussion*, Editor Marshall J, CMP Healthcare Media, Oncology Publishing Group, Manhasset, N.Y. 2004.

[0080] 11. Douillard, J Y, Schiller, J., *Eur J Cancer* 38(Suppl 8): S25-S31, 2002.

[0081] 12. Beale, P, et al., *Br J Cancer* 88(7): 1128-1134, 2003.

[0082] 13. Raynaud F I, et al., *Clin Cancer Res* 3(11): 2063-2074, 1997.

[0083] 14. Holford J, et al., *Anticancer Drug Des* 13(1): 1-18, 1998.

[0084] 15. Holford J, et al., *Br J Cancer* 77(3): 366-373, 1998.

[0085] 16. Rogers P, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38(12):1653-1660, 2002.

[0086] 17. Sharp S Y, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38(17):2309-15, 2002.

[0087] 18. Plasencia C, et al., *Invest New Drugs* 22(4):399-409, 2004.

[0088] 19. Murakami H, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38(Suppl 8): S1-S5, 2002

[0089] 20. Giaccone G, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38 (Suppl 8): S19-S24, 2002.

[0090] 21. Gore M E, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38(18): 2416-2420, 2002.

[0091] 22. Treat J, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 38(Suppl 8): S13-18, 2002.

[0092] 23. Perez R P, et al., *Eur J Cancer* 34(10): 1535-42, 1998.

[0093] 24. Gelmon K A, et al., *Ann Oncol* 15(7):1115-22, 2004.

[0094] 25. Gelmon K A, et al., National Cancer Institute of Canada—Clinical Trials Group trial, IND 129. *Ann Oncol* 14: 543-548, 2003.

[0095] 26. Therasse P, et al., *New Guidelines to Evaluate the Response to Treatment in Solid Tumors*. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 92(3): 205-216, 2000.

[0096] The following patent applications are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties:

[0097] U.S. Ser. No. 61/027,387, filed Feb. 8, 2008, attorney docket no. 295.114prv

[0098] PCT Ser. No. _____, filed Feb. 6, 2009, attorney docket no. 295.114wo1

[0099] U.S. Ser. No. 61/027,382, filed Feb. 8, 2008, attorney docket no. 295.115prv

[0100] PCT Ser. No. _____, filed Feb. 6, 2009, attorney docket no. 295.115wo1

[0101] U.S. Ser. No. 61/027,360, filed Feb. 8, 2008, attorney docket no. 295.116prv

[0102] PCT Ser. No. _____, filed Feb. 6, 2009, attorney docket no. 295.116wo1

[0103] U.S. Ser. No. 11/982,841, filed Nov. 5, 2007, attorney docket no. 295.093us1

- 1. A method of treatment of colorectal cancer, comprising: administering to a patient afflicted with colorectal cancer picoplatin, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and leucovorin, wherein 5-FU and leucovorin are administered intravenously at least twice at intervals of about 2-6 weeks and the picoplatin is administered with the leucovorin and 5-FU every other time that the fluorouracil and leucovorin are administered.
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the picoplatin is administered at a dose of about 60-180 mg/m², preferably at a dose of about 150 mg/m².
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the interval of administration of the 5-FU and the leucovorin is about two weeks and the interval of administration of the picoplatin is about four weeks.
- 4. A method of treatment of colorectal cancer, comprising: administering to a patient afflicted with colorectal cancer effective amounts of a combination of picoplatin, 5-FU and leucovorin, wherein the picoplatin, 5-FU and leucovorin are administered intravenously at least twice at intervals of about two weeks, wherein the amount of picoplatin is less than the maximum tolerated dose of picoplatin when administered in said combination.
- 5. The method of claim 4, wherein the picoplatin is administered at a dose of about 45-150 mg/m², preferably at a dose of about 135-150 mg/m².
- 6. The method of claim 4, wherein the interval of administration of the picoplatin, 5-FU and the leucovorin is about two weeks.
- 7. A method for selecting a regimen of treatment for a patient afflicted with mCRC comprising; (a) identifying a patient afflicted with mCRC, (b) determining if the mCRC comprises a wild type K-ras gene or a mutated K-ras gene and (c) if the mCRC comprises a K-ras mutation positive genotype, then administering at least twice to the patient picoplatin and, optionally, 5-FU and leucovorin.
- 8. The method of claim 1 wherein the patient has not previously been treated for metastatic disease.
- 9. The method of claim 1 wherein the patient has not previously been treated systemically.
- 10. The method of claim 1 wherein the patient has previously had surgery to remove or de-bulk a colorectal tumor.
- 11. The method of claim 1 wherein the administration is effective to prevent or delay development or metastasis of the colorectal cancer.
- 12. The method of claim 1 wherein the picoplatin, when administered, and the leucovorin are administered substantially concurrently.

- 13. The method of claim 1 wherein the picoplatin, when administered, and the leucovorin are administered simultaneously.
- 14. The method of claim 1 wherein the 5-FU is administered following the administration of the picoplatin and the leucovorin.
- 15. The method of claim 4 wherein the picoplatin is administered substantially concurrently with the leucovorin followed by administration of the 5-FU at every treatment of the patient.
- 16. The method of claim 1 wherein the leucovorin is administered at a dosage of about 400 mg/m².
- 17. The method of claim 1 wherein the 5-FU is administered at a total dosage per dosing of about 2000-3000 mg/m².
- 18. The method of claim 1 wherein the picoplatin is administered at a dosage of about 60-180 mg/m².
- 19. The method of claim 18 wherein the picoplatin is administered at a dosage of about 120-180 mg/m².
- 20. The method of claim 1 wherein a subsequent dose of picoplatin is administered at about a 15-30 mg/m² lower dose than a previous dose.
- 21. The method of claim 18 wherein the picoplatin is administered at least once at a dosage of about 150 mg/m².
- 22. The method of claim 1 wherein the picoplatin is administered at least once at a dosage of about 60-75 mg/m².
- 23. The method of claim 4 wherein the picoplatin is administered at least once at a dosage of about 40-45 mg/m².
- 24. The method of claim 1, wherein a cumulative dose of greater than about 900 mg/m² of picoplatin is delivered to the patient.
- 25. The method of claim 1 wherein the leucovorin, at a dosage of about 400 mg/m², is administered as a 2 hour infusion, the administration of the leucovorin being followed by a 5-FU bolus at a dosage of about 400 mg/m²; the 5-FU bolus being followed by 5-FU at a dosage of about 2,400 mg/m² administered as a 46 hour continuous infusion; wherein the leucovorin and the 5-FU are administered to the patient every 2 weeks and about 60-150 mg/m² of the picoplatin is administered to the patient with the leucovorin every 4 weeks, wherein at least the initial dose of picoplatin is about 150 mg/m².
- 26. The method of claim 1 further comprising administration of a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist.
- 27. The method of claim 1 comprising administering the picoplatin in a dosage form comprising an isotonic solution comprising,
 - (a) water;
 - (b) a tonicity adjuster; and
 - (c) about 0.5 mg/mL dissolved picoplatin.

* * * * *