

US 20160002656A1

(19) United States (12) Patent Application Publication (10) Pub. No.: US 2016/0002656 A1 OLIVIER et al. $\frac{1}{20}$

Jan. 7, 2016

(54) IDENTIFICATION AND USE OF KRP Publication Classification MUTANTS IN WHEAT

- (71) Applicant: TARGETED GROWTH, INC., SEATTLE, WA (US)
- (72) Inventors: **Jean Paul OLIVIER**, Seattle, WA (US); (52) U.S. Cl.
 TODARO, Seattle, WA (US); CPC ... Jorge DUBCOVSKY, Davis, CA (US); Wenjun ZHANG, Davis, CA (US) (57) ABSTRACT
-
-

- Apr. 11, 2012, now Pat. No. 9,062,323.
-

CPC *C12N 15/8261* (2013.01); *A01H 1/04* (2013.01); *A01H 5/10* (2013.01)

The invention provides a wheat cell, part, tissue culture or (21) Appl. No.: 14/715,317 whole plant comprising at least one disrupted KRP gene of the present invention. The present invention also provides (22) Filed: May 18, 2015 methods of increasing weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, and/or yield of a wheat plant by utilizing the disrupted KRP genes of the present invention. Furthermore, Related U.S. Application Data methods of breeding wheat plants to produce new wheat

methods of breeding wheat plants having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or (63) Continuation of application No. 13/444,305, filed on plants having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or $\frac{1}{2}$ Apr. 11, 2012, now Fat. 190. 9,002,323.
provides isolated Kinase Inhibitor Protein (KIP) Related Pro-
(60) Provisional application No. 61/474,203, filed on Apr. tein (KRP) polynucleotide sequences and isolated KRP Provisional application No. 61/474,203, filed on Apr. tein (KRP) polynucleotide sequences and isolated KRP 11, 2011. polypeptide sequences and methods of their use.

 $FG.1$

FIG. 2

Patent Application Publication Jan. 7, 2016 Sheet 2 of 3 US 2016/0002656 A1

FIG.3B

IDENTIFICATION AND USE OF KRP MUTANTS IN WHEAT

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/444,305, filed Apr. 11, 2012, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser.
No. 61/474,203, filed Apr. 11, 2011, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for all purposes.

STATEMENT REGARDING THE SEQUENCE LISTING

[0002] The Sequence Listing associated with this application is provided in text format in lieu of a paper copy, and is hereby incorporated by reference into the specification. The name of the text file containing the Sequence Listing is TARG-016_02US_ST25.txt. The text file is about 97 KB, was created on Jun. 24, 2015, and is being submitted elec tronically via EFS-Web.

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0003] The invention generally relates to identifying and using compositions and methods for improving the agro nomic characteristics of wheat, such as by increasing wheat yield. More specifically, the present invention relates to com positions and methods for improving one or more agronomic characteristics of wheat by identifying and using one or more mutant Kinase Inhibitor Protein (KIP) Related Proteins (KRP).

BACKGROUND

0004. The most important trait as a target for crop improvement is yield. Efforts to improve crop yields by developing new plant varieties can be divided into two approaches. One is to reduce crop yield losses by breeding or engineering crop varieties with increased resistance to abiotic stress conditions such as drought, cold, or salt or to biotic stress conditions resulting from pests or disease-causing
pathogens. While this approach has value, it does not provide fundamentally improved crop yield in the absence of stress conditions and in fact, such resistance may direct plant resources that otherwise would be available for increased yield in the plant. The second approach is to breed or engineer new crop varieties in which the basic yield capacity is increased.

[0005] Classical breeding programs have initially produced substantial gains in improved yield in a variety of crops. A commonly experienced pattern though has been substantial gains in yield initially followed by incremental further improvements that become smaller and more difficult to obtain. More recently developed approaches based on molecular biology technologies have in principle offered the altering the timing, location, or level of expression of plant genes or heterologous genes that play a role in plant growth and/or development. Substantial progress has been made over the past twenty years in identifying plant genes and or heter ologous genes that have a role in plant growth and/or devel opment. Despite these gains in using molecular approaches, nomic and horticultural plants produced through more conventional plant breeding. Because of the complexity of plant growth regulation and how it relates in the end to yield traits, it is still not obvious which, if any, of particular genes would
be clear candidates to improve crop yield through either plant breeding and/or molecular techniques.

[0006] KRP proteins belong to a class of cell cycle inhibitors that bind to and inhibit cyclin/CDK kinase complexes. Mutation of conserved residues within KRP family members are expected to modify KRP's ability to function as an inhibi tor of cyclin-CDK kinase complexes. Specifically, some mutations in KRP genes would lead to expression of a non functional KRP cell cycle inhibitor or a cell cycle inhibitor with reduced activity. This loss of or reduced cyclin/CDK kinase inhibitory activity would lead to increased cyclin-CDK kinase activity in cells when normally these cells would have reduced cyclin-CDK activity. This loss of or reduced cyclin/CDK kinase inhibitory activity would lead to increased cell divisions in tissue where the normal wild-type KRP version is expressed. This increased cell division would result in positive agronomic traits such as increased yield, increased seed size, larger plants, larger leaves, larger roots etc. For background on KRP-related technologies, see, for example, WO/2007/016319 and US20070056058, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety for all pur poses. The present invention identifies new KRP genes and proteins in wheat as well as providing methods for their use in producing improved wheat plants through conventional plant breeding and/or molecular methodologies.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

0007. The present invention provides a plant comprising in its genome one or more disrupted KRP genes. The present invention in another aspect provides a plant cell, plant part, or tissue culture derived from the plants of the present invention. [0008] The present invention provides mutants in KRP genes, for example, KRP1, KRP2, KRP4, KRP5, including but not limited to those as listed in Tables 2 to 3.

[0009] In another aspect, the present invention provides methods for increasing weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs in a plant. The organ can be any part of a plant, for example, organs that contribute to yield in a plant. In some embodiments, the organ is seed, leaf, branch, root, shoot, stigma, ovule, pollen, seed pods, seed heads, or tiller. In some embodiments, said methods comprise disturbing one or more KRPs in the plant. In some embodiments, methods for increasing seed weight, seed size, seed number and/or yield in a plant are provided. In one embodiment, the plant is a mono cotyledon plant. In some embodiments, the plant can be a monocotyledon plant selected from the Triticeae tribe, for example, wheat. Methods of disrupting a gene function include but are not limited to mutagenesis (e.g., chemical esis, insertional mutagenesis, signature tagged mutagenesis, site-directed mutagenesis, and natural mutagenesis), anti sense, knock-outs, and/or RNA interference.

[0010] In some embodiments, mutations described in the Tables 2 and 3 can be integrated into species closely related to the plants in the Triticeae tribe, or plants closely related to wheat. In some embodiments, amino acids in conserved domains or sites compared to KRP orthologs in other species can be substituted or deleted to make mutants with reduced or abolished activity, mutants that lead to loss-of-function (e.g., protein instability), and/or mutants that lead to gain-of-function (e.g., more stable or more active protein). In some embodiments, one or more KRPs in a wheat plant are knocked down or knocked out by one or more methods avail able to one skilled in the art.

[0011] In some embodiments, in a tetraploid wheat plant, one or two copies of a KRP gene are disrupted (e.g., KRP1A, KRP1B: KRP2A, KRP2B: KRP4A, KRP4B; and KRP5A, KRP5B); in a hexaploid wheat plant, one or more copies of one, two, or three copies of a KRP gene are disrupted (e.g., KRP1A, KRP1B, KRP1D; KRP2A, KRP2B, KRP2D; KRP4A, KRP4B, KRP4D; and KRP5A, KRP5B, KRP5D).

[0012] In another aspect, the present invention provides methods of producing a plant having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, a plant with increased seed size, seed number, and/or seed yield compared to a wild type reference plant. Such methods comprising utilizing mutations in the KRP genes as described herein.

[0013] The present invention also provides a plant having increased seed size, seed number, and/or seed yield compared to a wild type reference plant, wherein the plant has one or more mutations in one or more KRP genes. In some embodi ments, said plant is a monocot plant. In some embodiments, said monocot plant is a plant from the Triticeae tribe. In some embodiments, said plant is wheat.

[0014] The present invention further provides a seed, a fruit, a plant cell or a plant part of the transgenic plants as described herein. For example, the present invention provides a pollen of the plant, an ovule of the plant, a genetically related plant population comprising the plant, a tissue culture of regenerable cells of the plant. In some embodiments, the regenerable cells are derived from embryos, protoplasts, mer istematic cells, callus, pollen, leaves, anthers, stems, petioles, roots, root tips, fruits, seeds, flowers, cotyledons, and/or hypocotyls.

[0015] The present invention also provides methods of breeding a crop species having improved agronomic and hor ticultural characteristics, such as new plant types having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, a plant with increased seed size, seed number, seed weight and/or seed yield compared to a wild type refer ence plant.

[0016] In some embodiments, such methods comprise making a cross between a *Triticum* sp. mutant with one or more mutations listed in Tables 2 and 3 with a second *Triticum* sp. to produce an F1 plant, or with a species in the Triticeae tribe which can intercross with said Triticum sp. The method may further comprise backcrossing the F1 plant to the second Triticum sp. or species in the Triticeae tribe; and repeating the backcrossing step to generate an near isogenic line, wherein the one or more mutations are integrated into the genome of said second Triticum sp. or the species in the Triticeae tribe; wherein the near isogenic line derived from the second Triticum sp. or the species in the Triticeae tribe with the integrated mutations has altered weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, altered seed weight, seed size, seed number, and/or seed yield. Optionally, such methods can be facilitated by molecular markers or TILLING®.

[0017] The present invention also provides methods of decreasing the activity of one or more KRP proteins in a plant cell, plant part, tissue culture or whole plant comprising con with an inhibitory nucleic acid having complementarity to a gene encoding said KRP protein. In some embodiments, the plant is a plant from the Triticeae tribe. In some embodiments, said plant is wheat.

[0018] The present invention provides isolated wheat KRPs, including by way of example, KRP1A, KRP1B, KRP1D, KRP2A, KRP2B, KRP2D, KRP4B, KRP4D, KRP5A, KRP5D, and mutations in these genes. Based on our findings, it appears that hexaploid wheat has been naturally selected to have what appear to be knock-out mutations in KRP4A and KRP5B. This was discovered during the course of TILLING®. For KRP4A, the gene appears to be completely missing from the hexaploid wheat genome, although it is still present in the tetraploid genome. For KRP5B, there appears to be one missing nucleotide in the gene, which would shift the translational frame and produce a predicted truncation of a few amino acids further on.

[0019] The present invention provides an isolated nucleic acid sequence comprising a sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55,56,58, 59,60, 62,63,64,66, 67,68, 70, 71,73, 74,76 and 77, and fragments and variations derived from thereof, which encode a KRP gene.

[0020] In one embodiment, the present invention provides an isolated polynucleotide encoding plant KRP protein, com prising a nucleic acid sequence that shares at least 90%, at least 91%, at least 92%, at least 93%, at least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at least 98%, at least 99%, at least 99.1%, at least 99.2%, at least 99.3%, at least 99.4%, at least 99.5%, at least 99.6%, at least 99.7%, at least 99.8%, or at least 99.9% identity to SEQID NOs:40, 41, 43,44, 46,47, 49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77.

[0021] The present invention further provides an isolated amino acid sequence (e.g., a peptide, polypeptide and the like) comprising a sequence selected from the group consist ing of SEQID NOs:42, 45, 48,51,54, 57, 61, 65, 69,72, 75, and 78 and fragments and variations derived from thereof,

which form a KRP protein.
[0022] In some embodiments, the present invention provides an isolated amino acid sequence which forms a protein that shares an amino acid sequence having at least 90%, at least 91%, at least 92%, at least 93%, at least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at least 98%, at least 99%, at least 99.1%, at least 99.2%, at least 99.3%, at least 99.4%, at least 99.5%, at least 99.6%, at least 99.7%, at least 99.8%, or at least 99.9% identity to SEQID NOs:42, 45, 48,51,54, 57. 61, 65, 69,72, 75, and 78.

[0023] In one embodiment, isolated polynucleotides of the present invention comprise a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (a) sequences recited in SEQID NOs: 40, 41, 43,44, 46, 47,49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71, 73,74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; (b) complements of the sequences recited in SEQID NOs:40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47,49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; (c) reverse complements of the sequences recited in SEQ ID NOs: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; (d) reverse sequences of the sequences recited in SEQ ID NOs: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; and (e) sequences having at least 50%, 75%, 90%. 95% or 98% identity, as defined herein, to a sequence of (a)-(d) or a specified region of a sequence of $(a)-(d)$.

0024. The present invention also provides a chimeric gene comprising the isolated nucleic acid sequence of any one of the polynucleotides described above operably linked to suit able regulatory sequences.

[0025] The present invention also provides recombinant constructs comprising the chimeric gene as described above. [0026] The present invention further provides interfering RNA (RNAi) constructs based on nucleic acid sequences of the present invention. In some embodiments, the RNAi con structs are can be transformed into a wheat plant to down regulate one or more KRPs. The RNAi construct can be, but is not limited to antisense oligonucleotide construct, double strand oligonucleotide construct, siRNA construct, or inverted repeat construct. In some embodiment, the RNAi constructs comprise a plant promoter, Such as a constitutive promoter, an inducible promoter, or a tissue-specific pro moter. In some embodiments, the promoter is embryonic specific or seed specific.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0027] FIG. 1 depicts a phylogenetic tree of rice (Os) , corn (Zm) and wheat (Ta) KRPs.

[0028] FIG. 2 depicts PCR using indicated genome-specific primers on wheat deleted for a given genome. $N1A=A$ genome deleted. N1B-B genome deleted. N1D-D genome deleted. T4-tetraploid TILLING® line. T6=hexaploid TILL-ING® line.

[0029] FIG. 3A and FIG. 3B depict RT-PCR on wheat KRP transcripts. RNA was extracted using a OIAGEN® kit, and cDNAs were produced with the INVITROGENTM reverse transcription system. FIG. 3A, expression in young seeds from three developmental stages. Lanes 1-3: 2-6 days after anthesis (pools 1, 2 and 3). Lanes 4-6: 8-12 days after anthesis (pools 1, 2 and 3). Lanes 7-8: 14-18 days after anthesis (pools 1 and 2). FIG. 3B, expression in indicated tissues. Actin served as an internal transcript control.

SEQUENCES

[0030] Sequence listings for SEQ ID No: 1-SEQ ID No: 87 are part of this application and are incorporated by reference herein. Sequence listings are provided at the end of this docu ment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0031] All publications, patents and patent applications, including any drawings and appendices, and all nucleic acid sequences and polypeptide sequences identified by GenBank Accession numbers, herein are incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

[0032] The following description includes information that may be useful in understanding the present invention. It is not an admission that any of the information provided herein is prior art or relevant to the presently claimed inventions, or that any publication specifically or implicitly referenced is prior art.

DEFINITIONS

[0033] As used herein, the verb "comprise" as is used in this description and in the claims and its conjugations are used in its non-limiting sense to mean that items following the word are included, but items not specifically mentioned are not excluded.

0034. As used herein, the term "plant" refers to any living organism belonging to the kingdom Plantae (i.e., any genus/ species in the Plant Kingdom). This includes familiar organisms such as but not limited to trees, herbs, bushes, grasses, vines, ferns, mosses and green algae. The term refers to both monocotyledonous plants, also called monocots, and dicoty ledonous plants, also called dicots. Examples of particular plants include but are not limited to plants in the Triticeae tribe (e.g., plants in the Triticum genus), plants in the tribe of Oryzeae (e.g., plants in Oryza genus), plants in the Andro pogoneae tribe (e.g., plants in the Zea genus, such has corn). Other non-limiting examples of plant include, potatoes, roses, apple trees, sunflowers, bananas, tomatoes, opo, pumpkins, squash, lettuce, cabbage, oak trees, guzmania, geraniums, hibiscus, *clematis*, poinsettias, sugarcane, taro, duck weed, pine trees, Kentucky blue grass, zoysia, coconut trees, brassica leafy vegetables (e.g. broccoli, broccoli raab, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, Chinese cabbage (Bok Choy and Napa), cauliflower, cavalo, collards, kale, kohlrabi, mustard greens, rape greens, and other brassica leafy vegetable crops), bulb Vegetables (e.g. garlic, leek, onion (dry bulb, green, and Welch), shallot, and other bulb—vegetable crops), citrus fruits (e.g. grapefruit, lemon, lime, orange, tangerine, citrus hybrids, pummelo, and other citrus fruit crops), cucurbit veg etables (e.g. cucumber, citron melon, edible gourds, gherkin, muskmelons (including hybrids and/or cultivars of *cucumis* melons), water-melon, cantaloupe, and other cucurbit vegetable crops), fruiting vegetables (including eggplant, ground cherry, pepino, pepper, tomato, tomatillo, and other fruiting vegetable crops), grape, leafy vegetables (e.g. romaine), root/ tuber and corm vegetables (e.g. potato), and tree nuts (al mond, pecan, pistachio, and walnut), berries (e.g., tomatoes, barberries, currants, elderberries, gooseberries, honeysuckles, mayapples, nannyberries, Oregon-grapes, see-buckthorns, hackberries, bearberries, lingonberries, strawberries, sea grapes, lackberries, cloudberries, loganberries, raspber ries, salmonberries, thimbleberries, and wineberries), cereal crops (e.g., corn, rice, wheat, barley, sorghum, millets, oats, ryes, triticales, buckwheats, fonio, *quinoa*, oil palm), pome fruit (e.g., apples, pears), stone fruits (e.g., coffees, jujubes, mangos, olives, coconuts, oil palms, pistachios, almonds, apricots, cherries, damsons, nectarines, peaches and plums), vine (e.g., table grapes, wine grapes), fiber crops (e.g. hemp, cotton), ornamentals, and the like.

[0035] As used herein, the term "plant part" refers to any part of a plant including but not limited to the shoot, root, stem, seeds, stipules, leaves, petals, flowers, ovules, bracts, branches, petioles, internodes, bark, pubescence, tillers, rhi Zomes, fronds, blades, pollen, stamen, and the like. The two main parts of plants grown in some sort of media, such as soil, are often referred to as the "above-ground' part, also often referred to as the "shoots", and the "below-ground' part, also often referred to as the "roots'.

[0036] The term "a" or "an" refers to one or more of that entity; for example, "a gene' refers to one or more genes or at least one gene. As such, the terms "a" (or "an"), "one or more' and "at least one' are used interchangeably herein. In addi tion, reference to "an element' by the indefinite article "a" or "an" does not exclude the possibility that more than one of the elements are present, unless the context clearly requires that there is one and only one of the elements.

[0037] As used herein, the term "nucleic acid" refers to a polymeric form of nucleotides of any length, either ribonucle otides or deoxyribonucleotides, or analogs thereof. This term refers to the primary structure of the molecule, and thus includes double- and single-stranded DNA, as well as double and single-stranded RNA. It also includes modified nucleic acids such as methylated and/or capped nucleic acids, nucleic acids containing modified bases, backbone modifications, and the like. The terms "nucleic acid' and "nucleotide sequence" are used interchangeably.

0038. As used herein, the terms "polypeptide." "peptide." and "protein" are used interchangeably herein to refer to polymers of amino acids of any length. These terms also include proteins that are post-translationally modified through reactions that include glycosylation, acetylation and phosphorylation.

[0039] As used herein, the term "homologous" or "homologue" or "ortholog" is known in the art and refers to related sequences that share a common ancestor or family member and are determined based on the degree of sequence identity. The terms "homology", "homologous", "substantially similar" and "corresponding substantially" are used interchangeably herein. They refer to nucleic acid fragments wherein changes in one or more nucleotide bases do not affect the ability of the nucleic acid fragment to mediate gene expression or produce a certain phenotype. These terms also refer to modifications of the nucleic acid fragments of the instant invention such as deletion or insertion of one or more nucle otides that do not substantially alter the functional properties of the resulting nucleic acid fragment relative to the initial, unmodified fragment. It is therefore understood, as those skilled in the art will appreciate, that the invention encom passes more than the specific exemplary sequences. These terms describe the relationship between a gene found in one species, subspecies, variety, cultivar or strain and the corre sponding or equivalent gene in another species, subspecies, variety, cultivar or strain. For purposes of this invention homologous sequences are compared. "Homologous sequences" or "homologues" or "orthologs" are thought, believed, or known to be functionally related. A functional relationship may be indicated in any one of a number of ways, including, but not limited to: (a) degree of sequence identity and/or (b) the same or similar biological function. Preferably, both (a) and (b) are indicated. The degree of sequence identity may vary, but in one embodiment, is at least 50% (when using standard sequence alignment programs known in the art), at least 60%, at least 65%, at least 70%, at least 75%, at least 80%, at least 85%, at least 90%, at least about 91%, at least about 92%, at least about 93%, at least about 94%, at least about 95%, at least about 96%, at least about 97%, at least about 98%, or at least 98.5%, or at least about 99%, or at least 99.5%, or at least 99.8%, or at least 99.9%. Homology can be determined using software programs readily available in the art, such as those discussed in Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (F. M. Ausubel et al., eds., 1987) Supplement 30, section 7.718, Table 7.71. Some alignment programs are MacVector (Oxford Molecular Ltd, Oxford, U.K.), ALIGN Plus (Scientific and Educational Software, Pennsylvania) and AlignX (Vector NTI, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). Another alignment program is Sequencher (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, Mich.), using default parameters.

[0040] As used herein, the term "nucleotide change" refers to, e.g., nucleotide substitution, deletion, and/or insertion, as is well understood in the art. For example, mutations contain alterations that produce silent substitutions, additions, or deletions, but do not alter the properties or activities of the encoded protein or how the proteins are made.

[0041] As used herein, the term "protein modification" refers to, e.g., amino acid substitution, amino acid modifica tion, deletion, and/or insertion, as is well understood in the art

[0042] As used herein, the term "derived from" refers to the origin or source, and may include naturally occurring, recom binant, unpurified, or purified molecules. A nucleic acid oran amino acid derived from an origin or source may have all kinds of nucleotide changes or protein modification as defined elsewhere herein.

As used herein, the term "at least a portion" or "fragment" of a nucleic acid or polypeptide means a portion having the fragment of the full length molecule, up to and including the full length molecule. For example, a portion of a nucleic acid may be 12 nucleotides, 13 nucleotides, 14 nucleotides, 15 nucleotides, 16 nucleotides, 17 nucleotides, 18 nucleotides, 19 nucleotides, 20 nucleotides, 22 nucleotides, 24 nucle otides, 26 nucleotides, 28 nucleotides, 30 nucleotides. 32 nucleotides, 34 nucleotides. 36 nucleotides, 38 nucleotides, 40 nucleotides, 45 nucleotides, 50 nucleotides, 55 nucle otides, and so on, going up to the full length nucleic acid. Similarly, a portion of a polypeptide may be 4 amino acids, 5 amino acids, 6 amino acids, 7 amino acids, and so on, going up to the full length polypeptide. The length of the portion to be used will depend on the particular application. A portion of a nucleic acid useful as hybridization probe may be as short as 12 nucleotides; in one embodiment, it is 20 nucleotides. A portion of a polypeptide useful as an epitope may be as short as 4 amino acids. A portion of a polypeptide that performs the function of the full-length polypeptide would generally be longer than 4 amino acids.

[0043] As used herein, "sequence identity" or "identity" in the context of two nucleic acid or polypeptide sequences includes reference to the residues in the two sequences which are the same when aligned for maximum correspondence over a specified comparison window. When percentage of sequence identity is used in reference to proteins it is recog nized that residue positions which are not identical often differ by conservative amino acid substitutions, where amino acid residues are substituted for other amino acid residues with similar chemical properties (e.g., charge or hydrophobicity) and therefore do not change the functional properties of the molecule. Where sequences differ in conservative sub stitutions, the percent sequence identity may be adjusted upwards to correct for the conservative nature of the substi tution. Sequences which differ by such conservative substi tutions are said to have "sequence similarity" or "similarity." Means for making this adjustment are well-known to those of skill in the art. Typically this involves scoring a conservative increasing the percentage sequence identity. Thus, for example, where an identical amino acid is given a score of 1 and a non-conservative substitution is given a score of Zero, a conservative substitution is given a score between zero and 1.
The scoring of conservative substitutions is calculated, e.g., according to the algorithm of Meyers and Miller, Computer Applic. Biol. Sci., 4:11-17 (1988).

[0044] As used herein, the term "substantially complementary' means that two nucleic acid sequences have at least about 65%, preferably about 70% or 75%, more preferably about 80% or 85%, even more preferably 90% or 95%, and most preferably about 98% or 99%, sequence complementa rities to each other. This means that primers and probes must exhibit sufficient complementarity to their template and tar get nucleic acid, respectively, to hybridize under stringent conditions. Therefore, the primer and probe sequences need not reflect the exact complementary sequence of the binding region on the template and degenerate primers can be used. For example, a non-complementary nucleotide fragment may be attached to the 5'-end of the primer, with the remainder of the primer sequence being complementary to the Strand. Alternatively, non-complementary bases or longer sequences can be interspersed into the primer, provided that the primer has sufficient complementarity with the sequence of one of the strands to be amplified to hybridize therewith, and to thereby form a duplex structure which can be extended by polymerizing means. The non-complementary nucleotide sequences of the primers may include restriction enzyme sites. Appending a restriction enzyme site to the end(s) of the target sequence would be particularly helpful for cloning of the target sequence. A Substantially complementary primer sequence is one that has sufficient sequence complementarity to the amplification template to result in primer binding and second-strand synthesis. The skilled person is familiar with the requirements of primers to have sufficient sequence complementarity to the amplification template.

0045. As used herein, the terms "polynucleotide', 'poly nucleotide sequence", "nucleic acid sequence", "nucleic acid fragment", and "isolated nucleic acid fragment" are used interchangeably herein. These terms encompass nucleotide sequences and the like. A polynucleotide may be a polymer of RNA or DNA that is single- or double-stranded, that option ally contains synthetic, non-natural or altered nucleotide bases. A polynucleotide in the form of a polymer of DNA may be comprised of one or more segments of cDNA, genomic DNA, synthetic DNA, or mixtures thereof. Nucleotides (usu ally found in their 5'-monophosphate form) are referred to by a single letter designation as follows: "A" for adenylate or deoxyadenylate (for RNA or DNA, respectively), "C" for cytidylate or deoxycytidylate, "G" for guanylate or deox yguanylate, "U" for uridylate, "T" for deoxythymidylate, "R" for purines (A or G), "Y" for pyrimidines (C or T), "K" for G or T. "H" for A or C or T. "I" for inosine, and "N" for any nucleotide.

[0046] As used herein, the phrase "a biologically active variant" or "functional variant" with respect to a protein refers to an amino acid sequence that is altered by one or more amino acids with respect to a reference sequence, while still maintains substantial biological activity of the reference sequence. The variant can have "conservative' changes, wherein a substituted amino acid has similar structural or chemical properties, e.g., replacement of leucine with isoleu cine. Alternatively, a variant can have "nonconservative' changes, e.g., replacement of a glycine with a tryptophan. Analogous minor variations can also include amino acid deletion or insertion, or both. Guidance in determining which amino acid residues can be substituted, inserted, or deleted without eliminating biological or immunological activity can be found using computer programs well known in the art, for example, DNASTAR software.
[0047] The term "primer" as used herein refers to an oligo-

nucleotide which is capable of annealing to the amplification target allowing a DNA polymerase to attach, thereby serving as a point of initiation of DNA synthesis when placed under conditions in which synthesis of primer extension product is induced, i.e., in the presence of nucleotides and an agent for polymerization such as DNA polymerase and at a suitable temperature and pH. The (amplification) primer is preferably single stranded for maximum efficiency in amplification. Preferably, the primer is an oligodeoxyribonucleotide. The primer must be sufficiently long to prime the synthesis of extension products in the presence of the agent for polymer ization. The exact lengths of the primers will depend on many factors, including temperature and composition (A/T vs. G/C content) of primer. A pair of bi-directional primers consists of one forward and one reverse primer as commonly used in the art of DNA amplification such as in PCR amplification.

[0048] The terms "stringency" or "stringent hybridization conditions" refer to hybridization conditions that affect the stability of hybrids, e.g., temperature, salt concentration, pH, formamide concentration and the like. These conditions are empirically optimized to maximize specific binding and minimize non-specific binding of primer or probe to its target nucleic acid sequence. The terms as used include reference to conditions under which a probe or primer will hybridize to its target sequence, to a detectably greater degree than other sequences (e.g. at least 2-fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence dependent and will be different in cally at higher temperatures. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5° C. lower than the thermal melting point (Tm) for the specific sequence at a defined ionic strength and pH. The Tm is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of a complementary target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe or primer. Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about $1.0 M Na⁺$ ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M Na+ ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30° C. for short probes or primers (e.g. 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60° C. for long probes or primers (e.g. greater than 50 nucleotides). Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. Exemplary low stringent conditions or "condi tions of reduced stringency' include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37° C. and a wash in 2xSSC at 40°C. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in 50% formamide, 1M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37° C., and a wash in 0.1×SSC at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. Hybridization procedures are well known in the art and are described by e.g. Ausubel et al., 1998 and Sambrook-et al., 2001.

[0049] As used herein, "coding sequence" refers to a DNA sequence that codes for a specific amino acid sequence. "Regulatory sequences' refer to nucleotide sequences located upstream (5' non-coding sequences), within, or down stream (3' non-coding sequences) of a coding sequence, and which influence the transcription, RNA processing or stabil ity, or translation of the associated coding sequence.

[0050] As used herein, "regulatory sequences" may include, but are not limited to, promoters, translation leader sequences, introns, and polyadenylation recognition sequences.

[0051] As used herein, "promoter" refers to a DNA sequence capable of controlling the expression of a coding sequence or functional RNA. The promoter sequence consists of proximal and more distal upstream elements, the latter elements often referred to as enhancers. Accordingly, an "enhancer" is a DNA sequence that can stimulate promoter activity, and may be an innate element of the promoter or a heterologous element inserted to enhance the level or tissue specificity of a promoter. Promoters may be derived in their entirety from a native gene, or be composed of different elements derived from different promoters found in nature, or even comprise synthetic DNA segments. It is understood by those skilled in the art that different promoters may direct the expression of a gene in different tissues or cell types, or at different stages of development, or in response to different environmental conditions. It is further recognized that since in most cases the exact boundaries of regulatory sequences have not been completely, defined, DNA fragments of some

variation may have identical promoter activity.
[0052] As used herein, a "plant promoter" is a promoter capable of initiating transcription in plant cells whether or not its origin is a plant cell, e.g. it is well known that Agrobacterium promoters are functional in plant cells. Thus, plant promoters include promoter DNA obtained from plants, plant viruses and bacteria such as Agrobacterium and Bradyrhizobium bacteria. A plant promoter can be a constitutive pro moter or a non-constitutive promoter.

[0053] As used herein, a "constitutive promoter" is a promoter which is active under most conditions and/or during most development stages. There are several advantages to using constitutive promoters in expression vectors used in plant biotechnology, such as: high level of production of proteins used to select transgenic cells or plants; high level of expression of reporter proteins or scorable markers, allowing easy detection and quantification; high level of production of a transcription factor that is part of a regulatory transcription system; production of compounds that requires ubiquitous activity in the plant; and production of compounds that are required during all stages of plant development. Non-limiting exemplary constitutive promoters include, CaMV 35S pro moter, opine promoters, ubiquitin promoter, actin promoter, alcohol dehydrogenase promoter, etc.

[0054] As used herein, a "non-constitutive promoter" is a promoter which is active under certain conditions, in certain types of cells, and/or during certain development stages. For example, tissue specific, tissue preferred, cell type specific, cell type preferred, inducible promoters, and promoters under development control are non-constitutive promoters. Examples of promoters under developmental control include promoters that preferentially initiate transcription in certain tissues, such as stems, leaves, roots, or seeds.

0055 As used herein, "inducible" or "repressible" pro moter is a promoter which is under chemical or environmen tal factors control. Examples of environmental conditions that may effect transcription by inducible promoters include anaerobic conditions, or certain chemicals, or the presence of light.

[0056] As used herein, a "tissue specific" promoter is a promoter that initiates transcription only in certain tissues. Unlike constitutive expression of genes, tissue-specific expression is the result of several interacting levels of gene regulation. As such, in the art sometimes it is preferable to use promoters from homologous or closely related plant species to achieve efficient and reliable expression of transgenes in particular tissues. This is one of the main reasons for the large amount of tissue-specific promoters isolated from particular plants and tissues found in both scientific and patent litera ture. Non-limiting tissue specific promoters include, beta amylase gene or barley hordein gene promoters (for seed gene expression), tomato pz7 and pz130 gene promoters (for ovary gene expression), tobacco RD2 gene promoter (for root gene (for fruit gene expression), and embryo specific promoters, e.g., a promoter associated with an amino acid permease gene (AAP1), an oleate 12-hydroxylase:desaturase gene from Lesquerella fendleri (LFAH 12), an 2S2 albumin gene (2S2), a fatty acid elongase gene (FAEI), or a leafy cotyledon gene (LEC2).

[0057] As used herein, a "tissue preferred" promoter is a promoter that initiates transcription mostly, but not necessar ily entirely or solely in certain tissues.

[0058] As used herein, a "cell type specific" promoter is a promoter that primarily drives expression in certain cell types in one or more organs, for example, vascular cells in roots, leaves, stalk cells, and stem cells.

[0059] As used herein, a "cell type preferred" promoter is a promoter that primarily drives expression mostly, but not necessarily entirely or solely in certain cell types in one or more organs, for example, vascular cells in roots, leaves, stalk cells, and stem cells.

[0060] As used herein, the "3' non-coding sequences" or "3' untranslated regions" refer to DNA sequences located down-
stream of a coding sequence and include polyadenylation recognition sequences and other sequences encoding regulatory signals capable of affecting mRNA processing or gene expression. The polyadenylation signal is usually characterized by affecting the addition of polyadenylic acid tracts to the 3' end of the mRNA precursor. The use of different 3' non-coding sequences is exemplified by Ingelbrecht, I. L., et al. (1989) Plant Cell 1:671-680.

[0061] As used herein, "RNA transcript" refers to the product resulting from RNA polymerase-catalyzed transcription of a DNA sequence. When the RNA transcript is a perfect complementary copy of the DNA sequence, it is referred to as the primary transcript. An RNA transcript is referred to as the mature RNA when it is an RNA sequence derived from posttranscriptional processing of the primary transcript. "Messenger RNA (mRNA)" refers to the RNA that is without introns and that can be translated into protein by the cell. "cDNA" refers to a DNA that is complementary to and syn-
thesized from an mRNA template using the enzyme reverse transcriptase. The cDNA can be single-stranded or converted into the double-stranded form using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. "Sense" RNA refers to RNA transcript that includes the mRNA and can be translated into protein within a cell or in vitro. "Antisense RNA" refers to an RNA transcript that is complementary to all or part of a target primary transcript or mRNA, and that blocks the expression of a target gene (U.S. Pat. No. 5,107,065). The complementarity of an antisense RNA may be with any part of the specific gene transcript, i.e., at the 5' non-coding sequence, 3' non coding sequence, introns, or the coding sequence. "Func tional RNA" refers to antisense RNA, ribozyme RNA, or other RNA that may not be translated but yet has an effect on cellular processes. The terms "complement" and "reverse complement" are used interchangeably herein with respect to mRNA transcripts, and are meant to define the antisense RNA of the message.

[0062] As used herein, the term "operably linked" refers to the association of nucleic acid sequences on a single nucleic acid fragment so that the function of one is regulated by the other. For example, a promoter is operably linked with a coding sequence when it is capable of regulating the expres sion of that coding sequence (i.e., that the coding sequence is under the transcriptional control of the promoter). Coding sequences can be operably linked to regulatory sequences in a sense or antisense orientation. In another example, the complementary RNA regions of the invention can be oper ably linked, either directly or indirectly, 5' to the target mRNA, or 3' to the target mRNA, or within the target mRNA, or a first complementary region is 5' and its complement is 3' to the target mRNA.

[0063] As used herein, the term "recombinant" refers to an artificial combination of two otherwise separated segments of sequence, e.g., by chemical synthesis or by the manipulation of isolated segments of nucleic acids by genetic engineering techniques.

[0064] As used herein, the phrases "recombinant construct", "expression construct", "chimeric construct", "con struct", and "recombinant DNA construct" are used interchangeably herein. A recombinant construct comprises an artificial combination of nucleic acid fragments, e.g., regula tory and coding sequences that are not found together in nature. For example, a chimeric construct may comprise regulatory sequences and coding sequences that are derived from different sources, or regulatory sequences and coding sequences derived from the same source, but arranged in a manner different than that found in nature. Such construct may be used by itself or may be used in conjunction with a vector. If a vector is used then the choice of vector is depen dent upon the method that will be used to transform host cells as is well known to those skilled in the art. For example, a plasmid vector can be used. The skilled artisan is well aware of the genetic elements that must be present on the vector in order to successfully transform, select and propagate host cells comprising any of the isolated nucleic acid fragments of the invention. The skilled artisan will also recognize that different independent transformation events will result in dif ferent levels and patterns of expression (Jones et al., (1985) EMBO J. 4:2411-2418; De Almeida et al., (1989) Mol. Gen. Genetics 218:78-86), and thus that multiple events must be screened in order to obtain lines displaying the desired expression level and pattern. Such screening may be accom plished by Southern analysis of DNA, Northern analysis of mRNA expression, immunoblotting analysis of protein expression, or phenotypic analysis, among others. Vectors can be plasmids, viruses, bacteriophages, pro-viruses, phagemids, transposons, artificial chromosomes, and the like, some of a host cell. A vector can also be a naked RNA polynucleotide, a naked DNA polynucleotide, a polynucle otide composed of both DNA and RNA within the same strand, a poly-lysine-conjugated DNA or RNA, a peptideconjugated DNA or RNA, a liposome-conjugated DNA, or the like, that is not autonomously replicating.

[0065] The term "expression", as used herein, refers to the production of a functional end-product e.g., an mRNA or a protein (precursor or mature).

[0066] As used herein, the phrase "plant selectable or screenable marker" refers to a genetic marker functional in a plant cell. A selectable marker allows cells containing and expressing that marker to grow under conditions unfavorable to growth of cells not expressing that marker. A screenable marker facilitates identification of cells which express that marker.

[0067] As used herein, the term "inbred", "inbred plant" is used in the context of the present invention. This also includes any single gene conversions of that inbred. The term single allele converted plant as used herein refers to those plants which are developed by a plant breeding technique called backcrossing wherein essentially all of the desired morpho logical and physiological characteristics of an inbred are recovered in addition to the single allele transferred into the inbred via the backcrossing technique.

0068. As used herein, the term "sample includes a sample from a plant, a plant part, a plant cell, or from a transmission vector, or a soil, water or air sample.
[0069] As used herein, the term "offspring" refers to any

plant resulting as progeny from a vegetative or sexual reproduction from one or more parent plants or descendants thereof. For instance an offspring plant may be obtained by cloning or selfing of a parent plant or by crossing two parent plants and include selfings as well as the F1 or F2 or still further generations. An F1 is a first-generation offspring pro duced from parents at least one of which is used for the first
time as donor of a trait, while offspring of second generation $(F2)$ or subsequent generations $(F3, F4, etc.)$ are specimens produced from selfings of F1's, F2's etc. An F1 may thus be (and usually is) a hybrid resulting from a cross between two true breeding parents (true-breeding is homozygous for a trait), while an F2 may be (and usually is) an offspring result ing from self-pollination of said F1 hybrids.

[0070] As used herein, the term "cross", "crossing", "cross pollination" or "cross-breeding" refer to the process by which the pollen of one flower on one plant is applied (artificially or naturally) to the ovule (stigma) of a flower on another plant. [0071] As used herein, the term "cultivar" refers to a variety, strain or race of plant that has been produced by horticul tural or agronomic techniques and is not normally found in wild populations.

[0072] As used herein, the terms "dicotyledon" and "dicot" refer to a flowering plant having an embryo containing two seed halves or cotyledons. Dicotyledon plants at least include the Eudicot, Magnoliid, Amborella, Nymphaeales, Aus trobaileyales, Chloranthales, and Ceratophyllum groups. Eudicots include these clades: Ranunculales, sabiales, Proteales, Trochodendrales, Buxales, and Core Eudicots (e.g., Berberidopsidales, Dilleniales, Gunnerales, Caryophyllales, Santalales, Saxifragales, Vitales, Rosids and Asterids). Non limiting examples of dicotyledon plants include tobacco, tomato, pea, alfalfa, clover, bean, soybean, peanut, members of the Brassicaceae family (e.g., camelina, Canola, oilseed rape, etc.), amaranth, Sunflower, Sugarbeet, cotton, oaks, maples, roses, mints, squashes, daisies, nuts; cacti, violets and buttercups.

[0073] As used herein, the term "monocotyledon" or "monocot" refer to any of a subclass (Monocotyledoneae) of flowering plants having an embryo containing only one seed leaf and usually having parallel-veined, leaves, flower parts in multiples of three, and no secondary growth in stems and roots. Non-limiting examples of monocotyledon plants include lilies, orchids, corn, rice, wheat, barley, sorghum, millets, oats, ryes, triticales, buckwheats, fonio, quinoa, grasses. Such as tall fescue, goat grass, and Kentucky blue grass; grains, such as wheat, oats and barley, irises, onions, palms.

As used herein, the term "gene' refers to any segment of DNA associated with a biological function. Thus, genes include, but are not limited to, coding sequences and/or the regulatory sequences required for their expression. Genes can also include nonexpressed DNA segments that, for example, form [0074] As used herein, the term "genotype" refers to the genetic makeup of an individual cell, cell culture, tissue, organism (e.g., a plant), or group of organisms.

[0075] As used herein, the term "hemizygous" refers to a cell, tissue or organism in which a gene is present only once in a genotype, as a gene in a haploid cell or organism, a sex-linked gene in the heterogametic sex, or a gene in a segment of chromosome in a diploid cell or organism where its partner segment has been deleted.

0076. As used herein, the terms "heterologous polynucle otide' or a "heterologous nucleic acid' or an "exogenous DNA segment" refer to a polynucleotide, nucleic acid or DNA segment that originates from a source foreign to the particular host cell, or, if from the same source, is modified from its original form. Thus, a heterologous gene in a host cell includes a gene that is endogenous to the particular host cell, but has been modified. Thus, the terms refer to a DNA seg ment which is foreign or heterologous to the cell, or homolo gous to the cell but in a position within the host cell nucleic acid in which the element is not ordinarily found. Exogenous DNA segments are expressed to yield exogenous polypep tides.

[0077] As used herein, the term "heterologous trait" refers to a phenotype imparted to a transformed host cell or trans genic organism by an exogenous DNA segment, heterologous polynucleotide or heterologous nucleic acid.

[0078] As used herein, the term "heterozygote" refers to a diploid or polyploid individual cell or plant having different alleles (forms of a given gene) present at least at one locus. [0079] As used herein, the term "heterozygous" refers to the presence of different alleles (forms of a given gene) at a particular gene locus.

[0080] As used herein, the terms "homolog" or "homologue" refer to a nucleic acid or peptide sequence which has a common origin and functions similarly to a nucleic acid or peptide sequence from another species.

[0081] As used herein, the term "homozygote" refers to an individual cell or plant having the same alleles at one or more or all loci. When the term is used with reference to a specific locus or gene, it means at least that locus or gene has the same alleles.

[0082] As used herein, the terms "homozygous" or "HOMO" refer to the presence of identical alleles at one or more or all loci in homologous chromosomal segments. When the terms are used with reference to a specific locus or gene, it means at least that locus or gene has the same alleles. [0083] As used herein, the term "hybrid" refers to any individual cell, tissue or plant resulting from a cross between parents that differ in one or more genes.

[0084] As used herein, the term "inbred" or "inbred line" refers to a relatively true-breeding strain.

[0085] As used herein, the term "line" is used broadly to include, but is not limited to, a group of plants vegetatively propagated from a single parent plant, via tissue culture tech niques or a group of inbred plants which are genetically very similar due to descent from a common parent(s). A plant is said to "belong" to a particular line if it (a) is a primary transformant (TO) plant regenerated from material of that line; (b) has a pedigree comprised of a TO plant of that line; or (c) is genetically very similar due to common ancestry (e.g., via inbreeding or selfing). In this context, the term "pedigree' denotes the lineage of a plant, e.g. in terms of the sexual crosses affected Such that a gene or a combination of genes, in heterozygous (hemizygous) or homozygous condition, imparts a desired trait to the plant.

[0086] As used herein, the terms "mutant" or "mutation" refer to a gene, cell, or organism with an abnormal genetic constitution that may result in a variant phenotype.

As used herein, the term "open pollination" refers to a plant population that is freely exposed to some gene flow, as opposed to a closed one in which there is an effective barrier to gene flow.

I0087 As used herein, the terms "open-pollinated popula tion" or "open-pollinated variety" refer to plants normally capable of at least some cross-fertilization, selected to a stan dard, that may show variation but that also have one or more genotypic or phenotypic characteristics by which, the popu lation or the variety can be differentiated from others. A hybrid, which has no barriers to cross-pollination, is an openpollinated population or an open-pollinated variety.

[0088] As used herein when discussing plants, the term "ovule" refers to the female gametophyte, whereas the term "pollen" means the male gametophyte.

[0089] As used herein, the term "phenotype" refers to the observable characters of an individual cell, cell culture, organism (e.g., a plant), or group of organisms which results
from the interaction between that individual's genetic makeup (i.e., genotype) and the environment.

[0090] As used herein, the term "plant tissue" refers to any part of a plant. Examples of plant organs include, but are not limited to the leaf, stem, root, tuber, seed, branch, pubes-
cence, nodule, leaf axil, flower, pollen, stamen, pistil, petal, peduncle, stalk, stigma, style, bract, fruit, trunk, carpet, sepal, anther, ovule, pedicel, needle, cone, rhizome, stolon, shoot, pericarp, endosperm, placenta, berry, stamen, and leaf sheath.

[0091] As used herein, the term "self-crossing", "self pol-
linated" or "self-pollination" means the pollen of one flower on one plant is applied (artificially or naturally) to the ovule (stigma) of the same or a different flower on the same plant.

[0092] As used herein, the term "transformation" refers to the transfer of nucleic acid (i.e., a nucleotide polymer) into a cell. As used herein, the term "genetic transformation" refers to the transfer and incorporation of DNA, especially recom binant DNA, into a cell.

[0093] As used herein, the term "transformant" refers to a cell, tissue or organism that has undergone transformation. The original transformant is designated as "T0" or " T_0 ." Selfing the T0 produces a first transformed generation desig nated as "T1" or " T_1 ."

[0094] As used herein, the term "transgene" refers to a nucleic acid that is inserted into an organism, host cell or vector in a manner that ensures its function.

[0095] As used herein, the term "transgenic" refers to cells, cell cultures, organisms (e.g., plants), and progeny which have received a foreign or modified gene by one of the various methods of transformation, wherein the foreign or modified gene is from the same or different species than the species of the organism receiving the foreign or modified gene.

[0096] As used herein, the term "transposition event" refers to the movement of a transposon from a donor site to a target site.

[0097] As used herein, the term "variety" refers to a subdivision of a species, consisting of a group of individuals within the species that are distinct in form or function from other similar arrays of individuals.

[0098] As used herein, the term "vector", "plasmid", or "construct" refers broadly to any plasmid or virus encoding an exogenous nucleic acid. The term should also be construed to include non-plasmid and non-viral compounds which facilitate transfer of nucleic acid into virions or cells, such as, for example, polylysine compounds and the like. The vector may be a viral vector that is suitable as a delivery vehicle for delivery of the nucleic acid, or mutant thereof, to a cell, or the vector may be a non-viral vector which is suitable for the same purpose. Examples of viral and non-viral vectors for delivery of DNA to cells and tissues are well known in the art and are described, for example, in Maetal. (1997, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94:12744-12746).

[0099] As used herein, the phrase "seed size" refers to the volume of the seed material itself, which is the space occupied by the constituents of the seed.

[0100] As used herein, the phrase "seed number" refers to the average number of seeds produced from each fruit, each plant, or each predetermined area (e.g., 1 acre).

[0101] As used herein, the phrase "Test Weight" or "Grain" Test Weight" is a determination of bulk density (mass/volume), measured for commerce under specific conditions defined in the U.S. by the USDA-FGIS. Test weight is a general indicator of grain quality and higher test weight nor mally means higher quality grain. Graintest weight in units of pounds per bushel specifies the weight of a "volume' bushel, which is 32 quarts (30.283 cubic centimeters) of grain. When grain is traded, samples are usually tested for quality, and test weight is one of the tests carried out. Test weights have been a part of U.S. grain grades since the United States Grain Standards Act was passed by Congress in 1916. U.S. grades for most grains specify test weight minimums for each grade level. For instance, the official minimum allowable test weight in the U.S. for No. 1 yellow corn is 56 lbs/bu and for No. 2 yellow cornis 54 lbs/bu (USDA-GIPSA, 1996). By law, a "weight" bushel of corn is exactly 56 pounds, a soybean bushel is 60 pounds, and a wheat bushel is 60 pounds, regard less of the test weight. The "weight' bushel is used for the basis of payment for grain, but price discounts are often tied to shipments of lower grade grain possessing low test weight. [0102] As used herein, the phrase "Grain Apparent Density" refers to grain density determined in a fashion wherein the bulk density (mass/volume) of cereal seed is sometimes measured with the aid of a gas pycnometer, which typically uses helium and measures the Volume of the sample. Grain kernels contain internal Void spaces and intercellular spaces and are not completely porous to helium. Since the gas cannot reach all internal spaces, the Volume of material comprising the kernel can be overestimated with gas pycnometry and a density lower than the "true density' of grain material is determined (Chang, C S (1988) Cereal Chem: 65:13-15).
[0103] As used herein, the phrase "Grain True Density"

refers to the bulk density of grain, expressed as the quotient of mass divided by Volume, whereby all Void space not compris ing solid materials of the seed has been eliminated before, or discounted in, determination of the Volume used in the cal culation (Chang, C S (1988) Cereal Chem:65:13-15).

[0104] As used herein, the term "cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor" (also referred to herein as "CDK inhibitor" or "CKI") refers to a class of proteins that negatively regulate cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs). CKIs amenable to the present invention are those having separate polypeptide regions capable of independently binding a cyclin and a CDK. Such CKIs include, for example, identified families of plant CKIs (the seven identified Arabidopsis CKIs), having homology to Kinase Inhibitor Proteins (KIPs) in animals, referred to as KIP-related proteins (KRPs) (also known as Inhibitors of "CDKs," or "ICKs").

[0105] The term "naturally occurring," in the context of CKI polypeptides and nucleic acids, means a polypeptide or nucleic acid having an amino acid or nucleotide sequence that is found in nature, i.e., an amino acid or nucleotide sequence that can be isolated from a source in nature (an organism) and which has not been intentionally modified by human inter vention. As used herein, laboratory strains of plants which ics are considered naturally-occurring plants.

[0106] As used herein, "wild-type CKI gene" or "wild-type CKI nucleic acid" refers to a sequence of nucleic acid, corresponding to a CKI genetic locus in the genome of an organ ism, that encodes a gene product performing the normal function of the CKI protein encoded by a naturally-occurring nucleotide sequence corresponding to the genetic locus. A genetic locus can have more than one sequence or allele in a population of individuals, and the term "wild-type' encom passes all Such naturally-occurring alleles that encode a gene product performing the normal function. "Wild-type' also encompasses gene sequences that are not necessarily natu rally occurring, but that still encode a gene product with normal function (e.g., genes having silent mutations or encoding proteins with conservative substitutions).
[0107] As used herein, the term "wild-type CKI polypep-

tide" or "wild-type CKI protein" refers to a CKI polypeptide encoded by a wild-type gene. A genetic locus can have more than one sequence orallele in a population of individuals, and the term "wild-type' encompasses all such naturally-occur ring alleles that encode a gene product performing the normal function.

Breeding Methods

[0108] Classic breeding methods can be included in the present invention to introduce one or more recombinant KRPs of the present invention into other plant varieties, or other close-related species that are compatible to be crossed with the transgenic plant of the present invention.

[0109] Open-Pollinated Populations.
[0110] The improvement of open-pol The improvement of open-pollinated populations of such crops as rye, many maizes and sugar beets, herbage grasses, legumes such as alfalfa and clover, and tropical tree depends essentially upon changing gene-frequencies towards fixation of favorable alleles while maintaining a high (but far from maximal) degree of heterozygosity. Uniformity in Such populations is impossible and trueness-to-type in an open pollinated variety is a statistical feature of the population as a whole, not a characteristic of individual plants. Thus, the heterogeneity of open-pollinated populations contrasts with the homogeneity (or virtually so) of inbred lines, clones and hybrids.

0111 Population improvement methods fall naturally into two groups, those based on purely phenotypic selection, nor mally called mass selection, and those based on selection with progeny testing. Interpopulation improvement utilizes the concept of open breeding populations; allowing genes to flow from one population to another. Plants in one population (cultivar, strain, ecotype, or any germplasm source) are crossed either naturally (e.g., by wind) or by hand or by bees (commonly Apis mellifera L. or Megachile rotundata F.) with plants from other populations. Selection is applied to improve one (or sometimes both) population(s) by isolating plants with desirable traits from both sources.

[0112] There are basically two primary methods of open-pollinated population improvement. First, there is the situation in which a population is changed en masse by a chosen selection procedure. The outcome is an improved population that is indefinitely propagable by random-mating within itself in isolation. Second, the synthetic variety attains the same end result as population improvement but is not itself propagable as such; it has to be reconstructed from parental lines or clones. These plant breeding procedures for improving open pollinated populations are well known to those skilled in the art and comprehensive reviews of breeding procedures rou tinely used for improving cross-pollinated plants are pro vided in numerous texts and articles, including: Allard, Prin ciples of Plant Breeding, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1960); Simmonds, Principles of Crop Improvement, Longman Group Limited (1979); Hallauer and Miranda, Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding, Iowa State University Press (1981); and, Jensen, Plant Breeding Methodology, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1988).

[0113] Mass Selection.

[0114] In mass selection, desirable individual plants are chosen, harvested, and the seed composited without progeny testing to produce the following generation. Since selection is based on the maternal parent only, and there is no control over pollination, mass selection amounts to a form of random mating with selection. As stated herein, the purpose of mass selection is to increase the proportion of Superior genotypes in the population.

[0115] Synthetics.

[0116] A synthetic variety is produced by crossing inter se a number of genotypes selected for good combining ability in all possible hybrid combinations, with subsequent maintenance of the variety by open pollination. Whether parents are (more or less inbred) seed-propagated lines, as in some sugar beet and beans (Vicia) or clones, as inherbage grasses, clovers and alfalfa, makes no difference in principle. Parents are selected on general combining ability, sometimes by test crosses or topcrosses, more generally by polycrosses. Paren tal seedlines may be deliberately inbred (e.g. by selfing or sib crossing). However, even if the parents are not deliberately inbred, selection within lines during line maintenance will ensure that some inbreeding occurs. Clonal parents will, of course, remain unchanged and highly heterozygous.

[0117] Whether a synthetic can go straight from the parental seed production plot to the farmer or must first undergo one or two cycles of multiplication depends on seed produc tion and the scale of demand for seed. In practice, grasses and clovers are generally multiplied once or twice and are thus considerably removed from the original synthetic.

0118 While mass selection is sometimes used, progeny testing is generally preferred for polycrosses, because of their operational simplicity and obvious relevance to the objective, namely exploitation of general combining ability in a syn thetic.

[0119] The number of parental lines or clones that enter a synthetic varies widely. In practice, numbers of parental lines range from 10 to several hundred, with 100-200 being the average. Broad based synthetics formed from 100 or more clones would be expected to be more stable during seed multiplication than narrow based synthetics.

[0120] Pedigreed Varieties.

[0121] A pedigreed variety is a superior genotype developed from selection of individual plants out of a segregating population followed by propagation and seed increase of self pollinated offspring and careful testing of the genotype over several generations. This is an open pollinated method that works well with naturally self pollinating species. This method can be used in combination with mass selection in variety development. Variations in pedigree and mass selection in combination are the most common methods for generating varieties in self pollinated crops.

[0122] Hybrids.

 $[0123]$ A hybrid is an individual plant resulting from a cross between parents of differing genotypes. Commercial hybrids are now used extensively in many crops, including con (maize), sorghum, sugarbeet, sunflower and broccoli. Hybrids can be formed in a number of different ways, includ ing by crossing two parents directly (single cross hybrids), by crossing a single cross hybrid with another parent (three-way or triple cross hybrids), or by crossing two different hybrids (four-way or double cross hybrids).

[0124] Strictly speaking, most individuals in an out breeding (i.e., open-pollinated) population are hybrids, but the term is usually reserved for cases in which the parents are indi viduals whose genomes are sufficiently distinct for them to be recognized as different species or subspecies. Hybrids may be fertile or sterile depending on qualitative and/or quantitative differences in the genomes of the two parents. Heterosis, or hybrid vigor, is usually associated with increased heterozy gosity that results in increased vigor of growth, Survival, and fertility of hybrids as compared with the parental lines that were used to form the hybrid. Maximum heterosis is usually achieved by crossing two genetically different, highly inbred lines.

[0125] The production of hybrids is a well-developed industry, involving the isolated production of both the paren tal lines and the hybrids which result from crossing those lines. For a detailed discussion of the hybrid production pro cess, see, e.g., Wright, Commercial Hybrid Seed Production 8:161-176. In Hybridization of Crop Plants.

Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes (TILLING®)

[0126] TILLING® (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes) is a method in molecular biology that allows directed identification of mutations in a specific gene. TILL ING \mathbb{R} was introduced in 2000, using the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. TILLING® has since been used as a reverse genetics method in other organisms such as Zebrafish, corn, wheat, rice, soybean, tomato and lettuce.

[0127] The method combines a standard and efficient technique of mutagenesis with a chemical mutagen (e.g., Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS)) with a sensitive DNA screening-
technique that identifies single base mutations (also called point mutations) in a target gene. EcoTILLING is a method that uses TILLING® techniques to look for natural mutations in individuals, usually for population genetics analysis. See Comai, et al., 2003, Efficient discovery of DNA polymorphisms in natural populations by EcoTILLING. The Plant Journal 37,778-786. Gilchrist et al. 2006. Use of EcoTILL variation in wild populations of Populus trichocarpa. Mol. Ecol. 15, 1367-1378. Melhede et al. 2006. EcoTILLING for the identification of allelic variation within the powdery mil dew resistance genes mlo and Mla of barley. Plant Breeding 125, 461–467. Nieto et al. 2007, EcoTILLING for the identi fication of allelic variants of melon eIF4E, a factor that con trols virus susceptibility. BMC Plant Biology 7, 34-42, each of which is incorporated by reference hereby for all purposes. DEcoTILLING is a modification of TILLING® and Eco-TILLING which uses an inexpensive method to identify frag ments (Garvin et al., 2007, DEco-TILLING: An inexpensive method for SNP discovery that reduces ascertainment bias. Molecular Ecology Notes 7, 735-746).

[0128] The TILLING® method relies on the formation of heteroduplexes that are formed when multiple alleles (which could be from a heterozygote, or a pool of multiple homozy gotes and heterozygotes) are amplified in a PCR, heated, and then slowly cooled. A "bubble' forms at the mismatch of the two DNA strands (the induced mutation in TILLING® or the natural mutation or SNP in EcoTILLING), which is then cleaved by single stranded nucleases. The products are then separated by size on several different platforms.

[0129] Several TILLING® centers exists over the world that focus on agriculturally important species: UC Davis (USA), focusing on Rice; Purdue University (USA), focusing on Maize; University of British Columbia (CA), focusing on Brassica napus; John Innes Centre (UK), focusing on Bras sica rapa; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research, focusing on Arabidopsis: Southern Illinois University (USA), focusing on Soybean; John Innes Centre (UK), focusing on Lotus and Medicago; and INRA (France), focusing on Pea and Tomato. [0130] More detailed description on methods and compositions on TILLING® can be found in references Nos. 1-35b, U.S. Pat. No. 5,994,075, US 2004/0053236 A1, WO 2005/ 055704, and WO 2005/048692, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes.
[0131] The inventors used TILLING® in both tetraploid

 $(4x)$ wheat (containing A and B genomes) and hexaploid $(6x)$ wheat (containing A, B and D genomes) for the following wheat KRP genes: KRP1, KRP2. KRP4 and KRP5. The num bering of the KRPs in wheat does not necessarily correspond to the numbering of the KRPs in Arabidopsis (e.g. wheat KRP1 is not necessarily equivalent to Arabidopsis KRP1).

Triticeae Tribe

[0132] Intense use of wild Triticeae can be seen in the Levant as early as 23,000 years ago. Triticeae is a tribe within the Pooideae subfamily of grasses that includes genera with many domesticated species. Major crop genera are found in this tribe including wheat (See Wheat taxonomy), barley, and tion and others used for animal feed or rangeland protection. Among the world's cultivated species, this tribe has some of the most complex genetic histories. An example is bread wheat, which contains the genomes of three species, only one of them originally a wheat Triticum species.

[0133] Genera in the Triticeae tribe include, but are not limited to, Aegilops (goat grasses-jointed goatgrass, Tausch goatgrass, etc.); Agropyron (crested wheatgrasses—Desert wheatgrass, quackgrass, etc.); Amblyopyrum (Slim wheat grass—amblyopyrum, etc.); Australopyrum (Australian wheatgrasses—velvet wheatgrass, pectinated wheatgrass, etc.); *Cockaynea* (See Stenostachys; Cockaynea is a younger, and hence invalid, name for Stenostachys, etc.); Crithopsis (delileana grass etc.); Dasypyrum (Mosquito grass; etc.); $Ely-$ mus (Elymus (wild ryes—blue wildrye, Texas ryegrass, etc.); Elytrigia, Eremium (Argentine desert ryegrass, etc.); Eremopyrum (false wheatgrasses—tapertip false wheatgrass, annual wheatgrass, etc.); Festucopsis; Haynaldia; Henrardia; Heteranthelium; Hordelymus; Hordeum (barleys-common barley, foxtail barley, etc.); *Hystrix* (porcupine grass– bottlebrush grass, etc.); Kengyilia; Leymus (wild rye-American dune grass, lyme grass, etc.); Lophopyrum (tall wheatgrass); Malacurus Pascopyrum (western wheatgrass etc.); Peridictyon; Psathyrostachys (Russian wildrye, etc.); Pseudoroegneria (bluebunch wheatgrasses—beardless wheatgrass, etc.); Secale (Ryes—Cereal rye, Himalayan Rye, etc.); Sitanion; Stenostachys (New Zealand wheatgrasses, etc); Taeniatherum (medusahead etc.); Thinopyrum (intermediate wheatgrass, Russian wheatgrass, thick quackgrass, etc.); Triticum (Wheats—common wheat, durum wheat, etc.).

I0134) Triticeae and its sister tribe Bromeae (possible cul tivars: Bromus mango S. America) when joined form a sister clade with Poeae and Aveneae (oats). Inter-generic gene flow characterized these taxa from the early stages. For example, Poeae and Aveneae share a genetic marker with barley and 10 other members of Triticeae, whereas all 19 genera of Triticeae bear a wheat marker along with Bromeae. Genera within Triticeae contain diploid, allotetraploid and/or allohexaploid genomes, the capacity to form allopolyploid genomes varies within the tribe. In this tribe, the majority of diploid species tested are closely related to Aegilops, the more distal members (earliest branch points) include Hordeum (Barley), Eremian, Psathyrostachys.

[0135] Many genera and species of Triticeae are exemplary of allopolyploids, having more chromosomes than seen in typical diploids. Typically allopolyploids are tetraploid or species results from natural random events tolerated by polyploid capable plants. Likewise natural allopolyploid plants may have selective benefits and may allow the recombination of distantly related genetic material facilitating at a later time a reversion back to diploid. Poulard wheat is an example of a stable allotetraploid wheat.

[0136] $Aegilops$ appears to be basal to several taxa such as Triticum, Ambylopyrum, and Crithopsis. Certain species Such as Aegilops speltoides could potentially represent core vari ants of the taxa. The generic placement may be more a matter of nomenclature. Aegilops and Triticum genera are very closely related; the Aegilops species occupy most of the basal branch points in bread wheat evolution indicating that Triticum genus evolved from *Aegilops* after an estimated 4 million years ago. The divergence of the genomes is followed by allotetraploidation of a speltoid goatgrass x basal wheat species Triticum boeoticum with strains in the middle eastern region giving rise to cultivated emmer wheat.

Triticum spp.

[0137] Triticum sp. is a grass cultivated worldwide. In 2007 world production of wheat was 607 million tons, making it the third most-produced cereal after maize (784 million tons) and rice (651 million tons). Globally, wheat is the leading source of vegetable protein in human food, having a higher protein content than either maize (corn) or rice, the other major cereals. In terms of total production tonnages used for food, it is currently second to rice as the main human food. [0138] Wheat is planted to a limited extent as a forage crop for livestock, and its straw can be used as a construction material for roofing thatch. The husk of the grain, separated when milling white flour, is bran. Wheat germ is the embryo portion of the wheat kernel. It is a concentrated source of vitamins, minerals, and protein, and is sustained by the larger, starch storage region of the kernel—the endosperm.

[0139] Non-limiting examples of *Triticum* species include, T. aestivum (e.g., common wheat, or bread wheat, a.k.a. Triti cum aestivum L. Subsp. Aestivum; Club wheat, a.k.a. Triticum aestivum subspecies compactum (Host) MacKey; Macha wheat, a.k.a. Triticum aestivum subsp. macha (Dek. and Men.) MacKey: Vavilovi wheat, a.k.a. Triticum aestivum subsp. vavilovi (Tuman) Sears; Shot wheat, a.k.a. Triticum aestivum subsp. sphacrococcum (Perc.) MacKey), T. aethiopicum, T. araraticum, T. boeoticum (e.g., wild Einkorn, a.k. a. Triticum boeotictim Boiss), T. carthlicum, T. Compactum, T. dimitrium, T. dicoccoides (e.g., wild emmer, a.k.a. Triticum dicoccoides (Koern. ex Ascb. & Graebn.) Aaronsohn.), T. dicoccum (e.g., Emmer), T. durum (e.g., durum wheat), T. ispahanicum, T. karamyschevi, T. macha, T. militinae, T. monococcum (e.g., Einkom, a.k.a. Triticum monococcum L.), T. polonicum, T. spelta, T. sphaerococcum, T. timopheevi (e.g. timopheevi wheat, a.k.a. Triticum timopheevi (Zbuk.) Zbuk.), T. turanicum (e.g., oriental wheat, a.k.a. Triticum $turanicum$ jakubz), $T. turgidum$ (e.g., poulard wheat, a.k.a. Triticum turgidium L.), T. urartu, T. Vavilovii, and T. Zhuk ovskyi.

[0140] Wheat genetics is more complicated than that of most other domesticated species. Some wheat species are diploid, with two sets of chromosomes, but many are stable polyploids, with four sets of chromosomes (tetraploid) or six (hexaploid). Most tetraploid wheats (e.g. emmer and durum wheat) are derived from wild emmer, T. dicoccoides. Wild emmer is itself the result of a hybridization between two diploid wild grasses, T . *urartu* and a wild goatgrass such as Aegilops searsii or Ae. speltoides. The unknown grass has never been identified among now Surviving wild grasses, but the closest living relative is Aegilops speltoides. The hybridization that formed wild emmer (AABB) occurred in the wild, long before domestication, and was driven by natural selec tion. Hexaploid wheats evolved in farmers' fields. Common wheat (Triticum aestivum, 2n, 42, AABBDD) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world. Either domesticated emmer or durum wheat hybridized with yet another wild diploid grass (Aegilops cylindrica) to make the hexaploid wheats, spelt wheat and bread wheat. These have three sets of paired chromosomes, three times as many as in diploid wheat. Synthetic hexaploids made by crossing the wild goatgrass wheat ancestor Aegilops tauschii and various durum wheats are now being deployed, and these increase the genetic diver sity of cultivated wheats.

[0141] Plant breeding methods for *Triticum* spp. are well known. Non-limiting methods for Triticum spp. breeding and agriculturally important traits (e.g., improving wheat yield, biotic stress tolerance, and abiotic stress tolerance etc.) are described in references Nos. 36-51, U.S. Pat. No. 7,652,204, U.S. Pat. No. 6,197,518, U.S. Pat. No. 7,034,208, U.S. Pat. No. 7,528,297, U.S. Pat. No. 6,407,311, US20080040826, US20090300783, US20060223707, US20110027233, US20080028480, US20090320152, US20090320151, WO/2001/029237A2, WO/2008/025097A1, and W0/2003/ 057848A2, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety for all purposes.

[0142] Genetic materials may be transferred between Triticum spp. and other species, for example, some plant species in the Triticeae tribe. Xiang et al., describe somatic hybrids between wheat and Setaria italica (Genome 47: 680-688 (2004)); Ge et al. describe protoplast electrofusion between common wheat and Italian ryegrass (In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology---Plant 42(2): 179-187, 2006); Yue et al. describe asymmetic somatic hybridization between Aeleuropus littorulis sinensis and wheat (Plant Science, Volume 161, Issue 2, July 2001, Pages 259-266); Cai et al. describe somatic hybrids between *Festuca arundinacea Schreb*. and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.); Xiang et al. describe asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat and Avena sativa L. (Science in China, Vol 46(3): 243-252); Zhou et al. describe asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat and asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat and Avena
sativa Havnaldia villosa (Science in China, 44(3): 294-304); Xia et al. describe asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat and Agropyron elongatum (Host) Nevishi (Theor Appl Genet. 2003 July; 107(2):299-305. Epub 2003 Mar. 19); Liet al. describe symmetric somatic hybridization between wheat and Psathyrostachys juncea (Sheng Wu Gong Cheng Xue Bao. 2004 Jul.: 20(4):610-4). More hybridization between Triticum spp. and other species are described in reference Nos. 56-64.

Kinase Inhibitor Protein (KIP) Related Protein (KRP)

[0143] Plants have cyclin dependent kinases (CDK) that regulate the transitions between different phases of the cell cycle (Verkest et al., 2005, Switching the Cell Cycle. Kip Related Proteins in Plant Cell Cycle Control, Plant Physiol ogy, November 2005, Vol. 139, pp. 1099-1106, incorporated by reference in its entirety herein).

[0144] In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), at least two classes of CDKs are involved in cell cycle regulation: the A-type CDKs that are represented by only one gene in the model species Arabidopsis (designated Arath;CDKA;1) and the B-type CDK family that has four members, grouped into the B1 (Arath;CDKB1 and Arath;CDKB1:2) and B2 (Arath; CDKB2:1 and Arath;CDKB2:2) subclasses (Vandepoele et al., 2002, Genome-wide analysis of core cell cycle genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14: 903-916). A-type CDKs display kinase activity from late G1 phase until the end of mitosis, suggesting a role for this particular CDK at both the G1-to-S and G2-to-M transition points (Magyaret al., 1997; Porceddu et al., 2001; Sorrellet al., 2001). A central role for CDKA;1 in controlling cell number has been demonstrated using trans genic tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants with reduced A-type CDK activity (Hemerly et al., 1995). The requirement for Arath;CKDA;1 at least for entry into mitosis has been demonstrated as well by cdka; 1 null mutants that fail to progress through the second mitosis during male gameto phytic development (Nowack et al., 2005). The group of B-type CDKs displays a peak of activity at the G2-to-Mphase transition only (Magyar et al., 1997; Porceddu et al., 2001; Sorrell et al., 2001), Suggesting that they play a role at the onset of, or progression through, mitosis. Correspondingly, cells of plants with reduced B-type CDK activity arrest in the G2 phase of the cell cycle (Porceddu et al., 2001; Boudolf et. al., 2004).

[0145] CDK is regulated by cyclins. Plant cyclins are very complicated. There are at least 49 different cyclins in Arabi $dopsis$, which were classified into seven subclasses (A, B, C, F) D. H. P. and T) (Vandepoele et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004). CDK are also regulated by docking of small proteins, generally known as CDK inhibitors (CKIs). CKIs have been iden tified in many organisms, e.g., budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), fission yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe), mammals, and plants, see, Mendenhall, 1998; Kwon T. K. et al. 1998: Vlach J. et al. 1997: Russo et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1997, 1998 and 2000; Luiet al., 2000; DeVeylder et al., 2001; Jasinski et al., 2002a, 2002b: Coelho et al., 2005; Jasinski S. et al., 2002, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety).

[0146] Plant CKIs are also known as KIP Related Proteins (KRPs). They have cyclin binding and CDK binding domains at their C-terminal, however the mechanism regulating this protein stability and function remains unknown (Zhou et al., 2003a; Weinl et al. 2005). KRP activity can be both regulated at the transcriptional level or at the posttranslational level (Wang et al., 1998; De Veylder et al., 2001; Jasinski et al., 2002b: Ormenese et al., 2004; Coqueret, 2003; Hengst, 2004; Verkest et al., 2005; Coelho et al., 2005, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety). KRPs in plant nor mally localize in nucleus (Jasinski et al., 2002b: Zhou et al.,

 $[0147]$ KRP can function as an integrator of developmental signals, and control endocycle onset, in different cell cycle programs (e.g., proliferation, endoreduplication, and cell cycle exit). See Wang et al., 1998; Richard et al., 2001; Himanen et al., 2002; Grafi and Larkins, 1995: Joube's et al., 1999; Verkest et al., 2005: Weinlet al., 2005; Boudolf et al., 2004b.

KRP Mutations

[0148] The present invention further provides mutated KRP polynucleotides and mutated KRP amino acid sequences compared to a wild type KRP gene or a wild type KRP protein. In some embodiments, the present invention provides mutations in one or more KRP genes that can be used to increase weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, to increase seed size, seed number, seed weight, and/or seed yield in a plant.
[0149] The mutations in a mutated KRP gene of the present

invention can be in the coding region or the non-coding region of the KRP genes. The mutations can either lead to, or not lead to amino acid changes in the encoded KRP polypeptides. In some embodiments, the mutations can be missense, severe missense, silent, nonsense mutations. For example, the muta tion can be nucleotide substitution, insertion, deletion, or genome re-arrangement, which in turn may lead to reading frame shift, amino acid substitution, insertion, deletion, and/
or polypeptides truncation. As a result, the mutant KRP gene encodes a KRP polypeptide having less inhibition activity on a cyclin/CDK complex compared to a polypeptide encoded by its corresponding wild-type KRP gene.

[0150] As used herein, a nonsense mutation is a point mutation, e.g., a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), in a sequence of DNA that results in a premature stop codon, or a nonsense codon in the transcribed mRNA, and in a truncated, incomplete, and usually nonfunctional protein product. A missense mutation (a type of nonsynonymous mutation) is a point mutation in which a single nucleotide is changed, result ing in a codon that codes for a differentamino acid (mutations that change an amino acid to a stop codon are considered nonsense mutations, rather than missense mutations). This can render the resulting protein nonfunctional. Silent muta tions are DNA mutations that do not result in a change to the amino acid sequence of a protein. They may occur in a non coding region (outside of a gene or within an intron), or they may occur within an exon in a manner that does not alter the final amino acid sequence. A severe missense mutation changes the amino acid, which lead to dramatic changes in conformation, charge status etc.

[0151] The mutations can be located at any portion of a KRP gene, for example, at the 5", the middle, or the 3' of a KRP gene, resulting mutations in any portions of the encoded KRP protein, for example, in the CDK binding domain or the cyclin binding domain, so long as the mutated gene encodes a mutant KRP polypeptide partially or completely lose the ability to inhibit one or more cyclin/CDK complexes, com pared to the protein encoded by the corresponding wild type KRP gene. The KRP and the cyclin/CDK complexes can belong to the same plant species, different plant species in the same genus, or different plant species in different genus.

[0152] Mutant KRP protein of the present invention can have one or more modifications to the wild-type KRP, or biologically active variant, or fragment thereof. Particularly suitable modifications include amino acid substitutions, insertions, deletions, or truncation. For example, amino acid substitutions can be generated as modifications in the CDK or the cyclin-binding region that reduce or eliminate binding. Similarly, amino acid substitutions can be generated as modi fications in the CDK or the cyclin-binding region of the KRP that reduce or eliminate the inhibitory activity of the KRP towards the Cyclin/CDK complex. In typical embodiments, at least one non-conservative amino acid substitution, insertion, or deletion in the CDK binding region or the cyclin binding region is made to disrupt or modify binding of the CKI polypeptide to a CDK or cyclin protein. The substitu tions may be single, where only one amino acid in the mol ecule has been substituted, or they may be multiple, where two or more amino acids have been substituted in the same molecule. Insertional KRP mutants are those with one or more amino acids inserted immediately adjacent to an amino acid at a particular position in the wild-type KRP protein molecule, biologically active variant, or fragment thereof. The insertion can be one or more amino acids. The insertion can consist, e.g., of one or two conservative amino acids. Amino acids similar in charge and/or structure to the amino acids adjacent to the site of insertion are defined as conser vative. Alternatively, mutant KRP protein includes the inser tion of an amino acid with a charge and/or structure that is substantially different from the amino acids adjacent to the site of insertion. In some other embodiments, the mutant KRP is a truncated protein losing one or more domains compared to the corresponding wild type KRP protein.

Methods of Increasing Organ Weight, Organ Size, Organ Number and/or Yield

[0153] The present invention further provides methods of increasing weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, methods of increasing seed weight, seed size, seed number, and/or yield in a plant. In some embodiments, the plant is a monocot plant. In some embodiments, the plant is a plant species in the Triticeae tribe, for example, a wheat plant. In some embodiments, the methods comprise disrupt ing one or more KRPs in the plant. The disruption can be at genomic level, transcriptional level, post-transcriptional level, translational level, and/or post translational level. In some embodiments, the methods comprise introducing one or more mutations into one or more KRP genes in the plant. In some embodiments, the methods comprise knocking-down expression of one or more KRP genes in the plant. In some embodiments, the methods comprise knocking-down KRP mRNAs stability in the plant. In some embodiments, the methods comprise down-regulating one or more KRP pro teins activity in the plant.

[0154] For example, in some embodiments, the methods comprise introducing one or more KRP mutations of the present invention into the genome of the plant. In some embodiments, the methods comprise hybridizing a first plant having one or more mutated KRPs of the present invention with a second plant. In some embodiments, the hybridizing step comprises crossing the first plant with the second plant. In some embodiments, the hybridizing step comprises trans ferring the genetic materials in the first plant to the second plant through in vitro breeding, e.g., somatic hybridization.

[0155] Alternatively, the methods comprise mutating one or more KRPs in a plant. Methods of mutating a target gene have been known to one skilled in the art. These methods include, but are not limited to, mutagenesis (e.g., chemical esis, insertional mutagenesis, signature tagged mutagenesis, site-directed mutagenesis, and natural mutagenesis). TILL ING(R), homologous recombination, knock-outs/knock-ins, antisense and RNA interference. Various types of mutagen esis can be used to produce and/or isolate variant nucleic acids that encode for protein molecules and/or to further modify/mutate the proteins of the present invention. They include but are not limited to site-directed, random point mutagenesis, homologous recombination, DNA shuffling, mutagenesis using uracil containing templates, oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis, phosphorothioate-modified DNA mutagenesis, mutagenesis using gapped duplex DNA or the like. Additional suitable methods include point mis match repair, mutagenesis using repair-deficient host strains, restriction-selection and restriction-purification, deletion mutagenesis, mutagenesis by total gene synthesis, double Strand break repair, and the like. Mutagenesis, e.g., involving chimeric constructs, is also included in the present invention. In one embodiment, mutagenesis can be guided by known information of the naturally occurring molecule or altered or mutated naturally occurring molecule, e.g., sequence, sequence comparisons, physical properties, crystal structure or the like. For more information of mutagenesis in plants, such as agents, protocols, see reference Nos. 66 to 70, each of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entity).

[0156] In some embodiments, random mutations in KRP genes are created in vitro. For example, a library of KRP genes with one or more random mutations can be generated, and the produced mutant KRP genes are subjected to the in vitro KRP-Cylin-CDK kinase assay described herein to weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, for increasing seed size, seed number, seed weight and/or yield. Methods for in vitro mutagenesis include, but are not limited to error-prone PCR, Rolling circle error-prone PCR, mutator strains, temporary mutator strains, insertion mutagenesis, chemical mutagenesis (e.g., EMS, nitrous acid etc.), DNA shuffling, and site directed random mutagenesis. More methods are described in Chusacultanachai et al. Fujii et al., Braman, and Trower. Commercial random mutagenesis kits are available, such as Random Mutagenesis Kits from Jena Bioscience. cat. No: PP-101, Diversify® PCR random mutagenesis kit from Clontech.

0157. In some embodiments, mutated KRPs of the present invention are generated in vivo by methods such as TILL ING®, site-directed mutagenesis, homologous recombination, etc. The produced mutant KRP genes are screened and subjected to the in vitro KRP-Cylin-CDK kinase assay described herein to determine if the mutant KRP genes can be used for increasing weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, for increasing seed size, seed num

ber, seed weight and/or yield.
[0158] In some embodiments, the methods comprise knocking down expression of one or more KRPs in the plant. Techniques which can be employed in accordance with the present invention to knock down gene expression, include, but are not limited to: (1) disrupting a gene's transcript, such as disrupting a gene's mRNA transcript; (2) disrupting the function of a polypeptide encoded by a gene, or (3) disrupting the gene itself.

[0159] For example, antisense RNA, ribozyme, dsRNAi, RNA interference (RNAi) technologies can be used in the present invention to target RNA transcripts of one or more KRP genes. Antisense RNA technology involves expressing in, or introducing into, a cell an RNA molecule (or RNA derivative) that is complementary to, or antisense to, sequences found in a particular mRNA in a cell. By associ ating with the mRNA, the antisense RNA can inhibit transla tion of the encoded gene product. The use of antisense tech nology to reduce or inhibit the expression of specific plant genes has been described, for example in European Patent Publication No. 271988, Smith et al., Nature, 334:724-726 (1988); Smith et. al., Plant Mol. Biol., 14:369-379 (1990)).
[0160] A ribozyme is an RNA that has both a catalytic

domain and a sequence that is complementary to a particular mRNA. The ribozyme functions by associating with the mRNA (through the complementary domain of the ribozyme) and then cleaving (degrading) the message using the catalytic domain.

[0161] RNA interference (RNAi) is the process of sequence-specific, post-transcriptional gene silencing or transcriptional gene silencing in animals and plants, initiated by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) that is homologous in sequence to the silenced gene. The RNAi technique is dis cussed, for example, in Elibashir, et al., Methods Enzymol. 26:199 (2002); McManus & Sharp, Nature Rev. Genetics 3:737 (2002); PCT application WO $\overline{01/75164}$; Martinez et al., Cell 110:563 (2002); Elbashiret al., supra; Lagos-Quintana et al., Curr. Biol. 12:735 (2002); Tuschl et al., Nature Biotech nol. 20:446 (2002); Tuschl, Chembiochem. 2:239 (2001): Harborth et al., J. Cell Sci. 114:4557 (2001); et al., EMBOJ. 20:6877 (2001); Lagos-Quintana et al., Science 294.8538 (2001); Hutvagner et al., loc cit, 834; Elbashir et al., Nature 411:494 (2001).

[0162] The term "dsRNA" or "dsRNA molecule" or "double-strand RNA effector molecule" refers to an at least partially double-strand ribonucleic acid molecule containing a region of at least about 19 or more nucleotides that are in a double-strand conformation. The double-stranded RNA effector molecule may be a duplex double-stranded RNA formed from two separate RNA strands or it may be a single RNA strand with regions of self-complementarity capable of assuming an at least partially double-stranded hairpin confor mation (i.e., a hairpin dsRNA or stem-loop dsRNA). In vari ous embodiments, the dsRNA consists entirely of ribonucle otides or consists of a mixture of ribonucleotides and deoxynucleotides, such as RNA/DNA hybrids. The dsRNA may be a single molecule with regions of self-complementa rity Such that nucleotides in one segment of the molecule base pair with nucleotides in another segment of the molecule. In

one aspect, the regions of self-complementarity are linked by a region of at least about 3-4 nucleotides, or about 5, 6, 7, 9 to 15 nucleotides or more, which lacks complementarity to another part of the molecule and thus remains single-stranded (i.e., the "loop region"). Such a molecule will assume a partially double-stranded stem-loop structure, optionally, with short single stranded 5' and/or 3' ends. In one aspect the regions of self-complementarity of the hairpin dsRNA or the double-stranded region of a duplex dsRNA will comprise an Effector Sequence and an Effector Complement (e.g., linked by a single-stranded loop region in a hairpin dsRNA). The Effector Sequence or Effector Strand is that strand of the double-stranded region or duplex which is incorporated in or associates with RISC. In one aspect the double-stranded RNA nucleotide effector sequence, preferably 19 to 29, 19 to 27, or 19 to 21 nucleotides, which is a reverse complement to the RNA of KRP, or an opposite strand replication intermediate, or the anti-genomic plus strand or non-mRNA plus strand sequences of KRP. In one embodiment, said double-stranded RNA effector molecules are provided by providing to a plant, plant tissue, or plant cell an expression construct comprising one or more double-stranded RNA effector molecules. In one embodiment, the expression construct comprise a double strand RNA derived from any one of SEQ ID NOs 1-5. One skilled in the art will be able to design suitable double-strand RNA effector molecule based on the nucleotide sequences of KRPs in the present invention.

[0163] In some embodiments, the dsRNA effector molecule of the invention is a "hairpin dsRNA", a "dsRNA hairpin", "short-hairpin RNA" or "shRNA", i.e., an RNA molecule of less than approximately 400 to 500 nucleotides (nt), or less than 100 to 200 nt, in which at least one stretch of at least 15 to 100 nucleotides (e.g., 17 to 50 nt, 19 to 29 nt) is based paired with a complementary sequence located on the same RNA molecule (single RNA strand), and where said sequence and complementary sequence are separated by an unpaired region of at least about 4 to 7 nucleotides (or about 9 to about 15 nt, about 15 to about 100 nt, about 100 to about 1000 nt) which forms a single-stranded loop above the stem structure created by the two regions of base complementarity. The shRNA molecules comprise at least one stem-loop struc ture comprising a double-stranded stem region of about 17 to about 100 bp; about 17 to about 50 bp; about 40 to about 100
bp; about 18 to about 40 bp; or from about 19 to about 29 bp; homologous and complementary to a target sequence to be inhibited; and an unpaired loop region of at least about 4 to 7 nucleotides, or about 9 to about 15 nucleotides, about 15 to about 100 nt, about 100 to about 1000 nt, which forms a single-stranded loop above the stem structure created by the two regions of base complementarity. It will be recognized, however, that it is not strictly necessary to include a "loop region" or "loop sequence" because an RNA molecule comprising a sequence followed immediately by its reverse complement will tend to assume a stem-loop conformation even when not separated by an irrelevant "stuffer" sequence.

[0164] The plants with disrupted one or more KRPs of the present invention can be used for many purposes. In one embodiment, a plant of the present invention is used as a donor plant of genetic material which can be transferred to a recipient plant to produce a plant with desired agronomic
traits which has the transferred genetic material and having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, a plant with increased seed weight, seed size,

seed number and/or yield. Any suitable method known in the art can be applied to transfer genetic material from a donor plant to a recipient plant. In most cases, such genetic material is genomic material.

[0165] Descriptions of other breeding methods that are commonly used for different traits and crops can be found in
one of several reference books $(e.g., R. W. Allard, 1960,$ Principles of Plant Breeding, John Wiley and Son, pp. 115-161; N. W. Simmonds, 1979, Principles of Crop Improve of Crop Development, Macmillan Publishing Co.; N. F. Jensen, 1988, Plant Breeding Methodology, John Wiley & Sons).

[0166] In some embodiments, a backcross breeding process is used. The backcross breeding process comprises the following steps: (a) crossing a first wheat plant having one or more disrupted KRP genes with a second plant that comprises the desired trait(s); (b) selecting the F, progeny plants that have the desired trait(s); (c) crossing the selected F , progeny plants with the first wheat plant or the second wheat plant to produce backcross progeny plants; (d) selecting for backcross progeny plants that have the desired trait(s) and one or more disrupted KRP genes to produce selected backcross progeny plants; and (e) repeating steps (c)-(d) one, two, three, four, five six, seven, eight, nine, or more times in succession to produce selected, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, or higher backcross progeny plants that comprise said disrupted KRP genes, and/or the desired trait(s).

[0167] The invention further provides methods for developing wheat varieties in a wheat breeding program using plant breeding techniques including recurrent selection, backcrossing, pedigree breeding, molecular markers Polymorphisms (RFLPs), Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs), Arbitrarily Primed Polymerase Chain Reac tion (AP-PCR), DNA Amplification Fingerprinting (DAF), Sequence Characterized Amplified Regions (SCARs). Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs), and Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) which are also referred to as Microsatellites, etc.) enhanced selection, genetic marker enhanced selection, and transformation. Seeds, plants, and part(s) thereof produced by Such breeding methods are also part of the invention.

[0168] In one embodiment, the whole genome of the plants of the present invention with disrupted KRP(s) is transferred into a recipient plant. This can be done by conventional breed ing such as crossing, or somatic hybridization. In another embodiment, at least the parts having the disrupted KRP(s) of the donor plant's genome are transferred. This can be done by crossing donor plants to a recipient plant to create a F1 plant, followed with one or more backcrosses to one of the parent plants to give plants with the desired genetic background. Molecular marker assisted breeding can be utilized to moni tor the transfer of the genetic material. The produced off springs can be selected for having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, having increased seed weight, seed size, seed number and/or yield.

[0169] In one embodiment, the recipient plant is an elite line having one or more certain agronomically important traits. As used herein, "agronomically important traits" include any phenotype in a plant or plant part that is useful or advantageous for human use. Examples of agronomically important traits include but are not limited to those that result in increased biomass production, increased food production, improved food quality, decrease in cracking, quicker color change when the fruit matures etc. Additional examples of agronomically important traits includes pest resistance, vigor, development time (time to harvest), enhanced nutrient content, increased seed oil content, novel growth patterns, flavors or colors, salt, heat, drought and cold tolerance, and the like.

[0170] Other agronomically important traits include resistance to biotic and/or abiotic stresses. As used herein, the phrase "biotic stress' or "biotic pressure" refers to a situation where damage is done to plants by other living organisms, such as bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, insects, weeds, animals and human. As used herein, the phrase "abiotic stress" or "abiotic pressure" refers to the negative impact of non-living factors on plants in a specific environment. The its normal range of variation to adversely affect the population performance or individual physiology of plants in a significant way. Non-limiting examples of stressors are high winds, extreme temperatures, drought, flood, and other natu ral disasters, such as tornados and wildfires.

[0171] In some embodiments, the method comprises i) making a cross between a plant of the present invention to a second plant to produce a F1 plant, for example, a wheat plant with one or more disrupted KRP genes. Optionally, the method further comprises ii) backcrossing the F1 plant to the first or the second wheat plant; and iii) repeating the back crossing step to generate a near isogenic line, wherein the one or more disrupted KRPs in the first wheat plant are integrated into the genome of the near isogenic line.

[0172] In some embodiments, the disrupted KRP gene is selected from the group consisting of TakRP1A, TakRP1B, TaKRP1D, TaKRP2A, TaKRP2B, TakRP2D, TakRP4A, TaKRP4B, TaKRP4D, TakRP5A, TaKRP5B, or TakRP5D. In some embodiments, the first wheat plant comprises one or more mutations selected from any one of mutations listed in

Tables 2 and 3 for a particular KRP gene.
[0173] In some embodiments, the methods of the present invention can increase the average weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, increase the average seed weight, seed size, seed number and/or yield of a plant by at least 5%, at least 6%, 7%, 8%, 9%, 10%, 11%, 12%, 13%, 14%, 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 21%, 22%, 23%, 24%, 25%, 26%, 27%, 28%, 29%, 30%, 31%, 32%, 33%, 34%, 35%, 36%, 37%, 38%, 39%, 40%, 41%, 42%, 43%, 44%, 45%, 46%, 47%, 48%, 49%, 50%, 51%, 52%, 53%, 54%, 55%, 56%, 57%, 58%, 59%, 60%, 61%, 62%, 63%, 64%. 65%, 66%, 67%, 68%, 69%, 70%, 71%, 72%, 73%, 74%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%. 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, 100%, 110%, 120%, 130%, 140%, 150%, 160%, 170%, 180%, 190%, 200%, 210%, 220%, 23.0%, 240%, 250%, 260%, 270%. 280%, 290%, 300%, 3.10%, 320%, 33.0%, 340%, 350%, 360%, 370%, 380% e, 390%, 400%, or greater when compared to a control plant not having disrupted KRP(s).

[0174] The mutated KRPs in a plant genome can be viewed as quantitative trait loci (QTLS) related to organ weight, organ size, organ number and/or yield. A QTL is a region of DNA that is associated with a particular phenotypic trait—these QTLs are often found on different chromosomes. Knowing phenotypic trait informs about the genetic architecture of the trait. It may tell that plant with preferred phenotype is con

trolled by many genes of Small effect, or by a few genes of large effect. Therefore, QTL mapping can be applied to deter mine the parts of the donor plant's genome comprising the mutated KRPs, and facilitate the breeding methods.

[0175] One or more of such QTLs of mutated KRPs in a donor can be transferred to a recipient plant, confirming the phenotype of having increased weight, size, and/or number of one or more organs, for example, increased seed weight, seed size, seed number, and/or yield. In some further embodi ments, the QTLs related to mutated KRPs can be combined with one or more other QTLs that contribute to agriculturally important phenotypes, such as yield enhancement, resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, etc. The primers in the present invention used for genotyping the mutated KRPS can be used as molecular markers indicating the presence or absence of the mutated KRPs. Instead, molecular markers closely linked to the mutated KRPs can be also used. Methods of developing molecular markers and their applications are described by Avise (Molecular markers, natural history, and evolution, Publisher: Sinauer Associates, 2004, ISBN 0878930418, 9780878930418), Srivastava et al. (Plant biotechnology and molecular markers, Publisher: Springer, 2004, Publisher: ISBN1402019114,9781402019111), and Vienne (Molecular markers in plant genetics and biotechnology, Publisher: Sci ence Publishers, 2003), each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0176] Without wishing to be bond by any theory, besides increased seed size, seed number, seed weight and/or yield, a plant having one or more disrupted KRPS may have one or more other phenotypes that are agriculturally or industrially important, which include, but are not limited to, increased plant vigor, organ size, increased adaptability to the environ ment, increased oil production, increased biomass produc tion, and traits that allow a plant to grow better under certain environments with specific temperatures, soil conditions and levels of Sunlight and precipitation compared to a wild type control plant.

Tissue Culture and Grafting

0177 Modern plant tissue culture is performed under aseptic conditions under filtered air. Living plant materials from the environment are naturally contaminated on their surfaces (and sometimes interiors) with microorganisms, so surface sterilization of starting materials (explants) in chemical solutions (usually alcohol or bleach) is required. Explants are then usually placed on the surface of a solid culture medium, but are sometimes placed directly into a liquid medium, particularly when cell suspension cultures are desired. Solid and liquid media are generally composed of inorganic salts plus a few organic nutrients, vitamins and plant hormones. Solid media are prepared from liquid media with the addition of a gelling agent, usually purified agar.

[0178] The composition of the medium, particularly the plant hormones and the nitrogen source (nitrate versus ammonium salts oramino acids) have profound effects on the morphology of the tissues that grow from the initial explant.
For example, an excess of auxin will often result in a proliferation of roots, while an excess of cytokinin may yield shoots. A balance of both auxin and cytokinin will often produce an unorganized growth of cells, or callus, but the morphology of the outgrowth will depend on the plant species as well as the medium composition. As cultures grow, pieces are typically sliced off and transferred to new media (subcul tured) to allow for growth or to alter the morphology of the culture. The skill and experience of the tissue culturist are important in judging which pieces to culture and which to discard. As shoots emerge from a culture, they may be sliced off and rooted with auxin to produce plantlets which, when mature, can be transferred to potting soil for further growth in the greenhouse as normal plants.

[0179] The tissue obtained from the plant to culture is called an explant. Based on work with certain model systems, particularly tobacco, it has often been claimed that a totipotent explant can be grown from any part of the plant. However, this concept has been vitiated in practice. In many species explants of various organs vary in their rates of growth and regeneration, while some do not grow at all. The choice of explant material also determines if the plantlets developed via tissue culture are haploid or diploid. Also the risk of microbial contamination is increased with inappropriate explants. Thus it is very important that an appropriate choice of explant be made prior to tissue culture.

[0180] The specific differences in the regeneration potential of different organs and explants have various explana tions. The significant factors include differences in the stage of the cells in the cell cycle, the availability of or ability to transport endogenous growth regulators, and the metabolic capabilities of the cells. The most commonly used tissue explants are the meristematic ends of the plants like the stem tip, auxiliary bud tip and root tip. These tissues have high rates growth regulating substances including auxins and cytokinins. Some explants, like the root tip, are hard to isolate and atic during the tissue culture process. Certain soil microflora can form tight associations with the root systems, or even grow within the root. Soil particles bound to roots are difficult to remove without injury to the roots that then allows micro bial attack. These associated microflora will generally over grow the tissue culture medium before there is significant growth of plant tissue. Aerial (above soil) explants are also removed from the explant by gentle rinsing, and the remainder usually can be killed by surface sterilization. Most of the surface microflora do not form tight associations with the plant tissue. Such associations can usually be found by visual inspection as a mosaic, de-colorization or localized necrosis on the surface of the explant.

[0181] An alternative for obtaining uncontaminated explants is to take explants from seedlings which are asepti cally grown from surface-sterilized seeds. The hard surface of the seed is less permeable to penetration of harsh surface sterilizing agents, such as hypochlorite, so the acceptable conditions of sterilization used for seeds can be much more stringent than for vegetative tissues.

[0182] Tissue cultured plants are clones, if the original mother plant used to produce the first explants is susceptible to a pathogen or environmental condition, the entire crop would be susceptible to the same problem, conversely any positive traits would remain within the line also. Plant tissue culture is used widely in plant science; it also has a number of commercial applications. Applications include:

1. Micropropagation is widely used in forestry and in flori culture. Micropropagation can also be used to conserve rare or endangered plant species.

2. A plant breeder may use tissue culture to screen cells rather than plants for advantageous characters, e.g. pathogen resis tance/tolerance.

3. Large-scale growth of plant cells in liquid culture inside bioreactors as a source of secondary products, like recombi nant proteins used as biopharmaceuticals.

4. To cross distantly related species by protoplast fusion and regeneration of the novel hybrid.

5. To cross-pollinate distantly related species and then tissue culture the resulting embryo which would otherwise nor mally die (Embryo Rescue).

6. For production of doubled monoploid (dihaploid) plants from haploid cultures to achieve homozygous lines more rapidly in breeding programs, usually by treatment with colchicine which causes doubling of the chromosome num ber.

7. As a tissue for transformation, followed by either short term testing of genetic constructs or regeneration of trans genic plants.

8. Certain techniques such as meristem tip culture can be used to produce clean plant material from infected stock, such as potatoes and many species of soft fruit.

9. Micropropagation using meristem and shoot culture to produce large numbers of identical individuals.

[0183] Non-limiting exemplary tissue culture methods for wheat, rice, maize have been described by Trione et al., Dodig, et al., O'Hara et al., Zaidi et al., Wang et al., Ting et al., Hawes et al., and Sheridan, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0184] The present invention also provides a cutting, a rootstock, a scion, or an explant from the plants as described above for grafting.

[0185] Grafting is a method of asexual plant propagation widely used in agriculture and horticulture where the tissues of one plant are encouraged to fuse with those of another. It is most commonly used for the propagation of trees and shrubs grown commercially. In most cases, one plant is selected for its roots, and this is called the stock or rootstock. The other plant is selected for its stems, leaves, flowers, or fruits and is called the scion. The scion contains the desired genes to be duplicated in future production by the stock/scion plant. In stem grafting, a common grafting method, a shoot of a selected, desired plant cultivar is grafted onto the stock of another type. In another common form called budding, a and when it has fused successfully, it is encouraged to grow by cutting out the stem above the new bud.

[0186] For successful grafting to take place, the vascular cambium tissues of the stock and scion plants must be placed in contact with each other. Both tissues must be kept alive until the graft has taken, usually a period of a few weeks. Successful grafting only requires that a vascular connection takes place between the two tissues. A physical weak point often still occurs at the graft, because the structural tissue of the two distinct plants, such as wood, may not fuse.
[0187] Exemplary grafting techniques include, approach

grafting, budding grafting (patch budding, chip budding, T-budding), cleft grafting, side grafting, whip grafting, stub grafting, awl grafting, Veneer grafting, bark grafting, tongue grafting, et al. Detailed non-limiting grafting methods for wheat and maize are described in Lacadena, 1968, and Kat sumi et al., each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

Plant Transformation

[0188] The isolated polynucleotides of the present invention comprise a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (a) sequences recited in SEQID NO:40, 41, 43,44, 46, 47. 49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; (b) complements of the sequences recited in SEQ ID NO: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71,73, 74.76 and 77, or portions thereof; (c) reverse complements of the sequences recited in SEQ ID NO: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52,53,55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; (d) reverse sequences of the sequences recited in SEQ ID NO: 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76 and 77, or portions thereof; and (e) sequences having at least 50%, 75%, 90%, 95% or 98% identity, as defined herein, to a sequence of (a)-(d) or a specified region of a sequence of (a)-(d).

[0189] The present invention also provides recombinant polynucleotide sequences comprising the isolated nucleic acid sequence of any one of the polynucleotides described above operably linked to suitable regulatory sequences.

[0190] The present invention also provides recombinant constructs comprising the recombinant polynucleotide sequences as described above. The present invention further comprises interfering RNA (RNAi) constructs based on the nucleic acid sequences of the present invention, targeting one or more KRPs in a Triticum spp.

[0191] The polynucleotides of the present invention can be transformed into a plant. The most common method for the introduction of new genetic material into a plant genome
involves the use of living cells of the bacterial pathogen Agrobacterium tumefaciens to literally inject a piece of DNA, called transfer or T-DNA, into individual plant cells (usually following wounding of the tissue) where it is targeted to the plant nucleus for chromosomal integration. There are numer ous patents governing Agrobacterium mediated transforma tion and particular DNA delivery plasmids designed specifi cally for use with $Agrobacterium$ —for example, U.S. Pat. No.
4.536.475. EP0265556. EP0270822. WO8504899. 4,536,475, EP0265556, EP0270822, WO8504899, WO8603516, U.S. Pat. No. 5,591,616, EP0604662, EP0672752, WO8603776, WO9209696, WO9419930, WO9967357, U.S. Pat. No. 4,399.216, WO8303259, U.S. Pat. No. 5,731,179, EP068730, WO9516031, U.S. Pat. No. 5,693,512, U.S. Pat. No. 6,051,757 and EP904362A1. Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation involves as a first step the placement of DNA fragments cloned on plasmids into living *Agrobacterium* cells, which are then subsequently used for transformation into individual plant cells. Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation is thus an indirect plant transformation method. Methods of Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation that involve using vectors with no T-DNA are also well known to those skilled in the art and can have applicability in the present invention. See, for example, U.S. Pat. No. 7,250,554, which utilizes P-DNA instead of T-DNA in the transformation vector.

[0192] Direct plant transformation methods using DNA have also been reported. The first of these to be reported historically is electroporation, which utilizes an electrical current applied to a solution containing plant cells (M. E. Fromm et al., Nature, 319, 791 (1986); H. Jones et al., Plant Mol. Biol., 13, 501 (1989) and H. Yang et al., Plant Cell Reports, 7,421 (1988). Another direct method, called "biolis tic bombardment", uses ultrafine particles, usually tungsten or gold; that are coated with DNA and then sprayed onto the surface of a plant tissue with sufficient force to cause the particles to penetrate plant cells, including the thick cell wall, membrane and nuclear envelope, but without killing at least some of them (U.S. Pat. No. 5,204.253, U.S. Pat. No. 5,015, 580). A third direct niethod uses fibrous forms of metal or ceramic consisting of sharp, porous or hollow needle-like projections that literally impale the cells, and also the nuclear envelope of cells. Both silicon carbide and aluminum borate whiskers have been used for plant transformation (Mizuno et al., 2004; Petolino et al., 2000; U.S. Pat. No. 5,302,523 US Application 20040197909) and also for bacterial and animal transformation (Kaepler et al., 1992; Raloff, 1990; Wang, 1995). There are other methods reported, and undoubtedly, additional methods will be developed. However, the efficiencies of each of these indirect or direct methods in introducing foreign DNA into plant cells are invariably extremely low, making it necessary to use some method for selection of only those cells that have been transformed, and further, allowing growth and regeneration into plants of only those cells that have been transformed.

[0193] For efficient plant transformation, a selection method must be employed such that whole plants are regen erated from a single transformed cell and every cell of the transformed plant carries the DNA of interest. These methods can employ positive selection, whereby a foreign gene is supplied to a plant cell that allows it to utilize a substrate present in the medium that it otherwise could not use, such as mannose or xylose (for example, refer U.S. Pat. No. 5,767, 378; U.S. Pat. No. 5,994,629). More typically, however, nega selective agents such as herbicides or antibiotics that either kill or inhibit the growth of nontransformed plant cells and reducing the possibility of chimeras. Resistance genes that are effective against negative selective agents are provided on the introduced foreign DNA used for the plant transforma tion. For example, one of the most popular selective agents used is the antibiotic kanamycin, together with the resistance gene neomycin phosphotransferase (nptll), which confers resistance to kanamycin and related antibiotics (see, for example, Messing & Vierra, Gene 19: 259-268 (1982); Bevan et al., Nature 304:184-187 (1983)). However, many different antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes can be used for transformation purposes (refer U.S. Pat. No. 5,034.322, U.S. Pat. No. 6,174,724 and U.S. Pat. No. 6.255,560). In addition, several herbicides and herbicide resistance genes have been used for transformation purposes, including the bar gene, which confers resistance to the herbicide phosphinothricin (White et al., *Nucl Acids Res* 18: 1062 (1990), Spencer et al., Theor Appl Genet 79: 625-631(1990), U.S. Pat. No. 4,795, 855, U.S. Pat. No. 5,378,824 and U.S. Pat. No. 6,107,549). In addition, the dhfr gene, which confers resistance to the anti cancer agent methotrexate, has been used for selection (Bour ouis et al., *EMBO J.* 2(7): 1099-1104 (1983).

[0194] The expression control elements used to regulate the expression of a given protein can either be the expression control element that is normally found associated with the coding sequence (homologous expression element) or can be a heterologous expression control element. A variety of homologous and heterologous expression control elements are known in the art and can readily be used to make expression units for use in the present invention. Transcription initiation regions, for example, can include any of the various opine initiation regions, such as octopine, mannopine, nopaline and the like that are found in the Ti plasmids of *Agrobac*terium tumefaciens. Alternatively, plant viral promoters can also be used, such as the cauliflower mosaic virus 19S and

35S promoters (CaMV 19S and CaMV 35S promoters, respectively) to control gene expression in a plant (U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,352,605; 5,530,196 and 5,858,742 for example). Enhancer sequences derived from the CaMV can also be utilized (U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,164.316; 5,196.525; 5,322,938; 5,530, 196; 5,352,605; 5,359,142; and 5,858,742 for example). Lastly, plant promoters such as prolifera promoter, fruit specific promoters, Ap3 promoter, heat shock promoters, seed specific promoters, etc. can also be used.

[0195] Either a gamete specific promoter, a constitutive promoter (such as the CaMV or Nos promoter), an organ specific promoter (e.g., stem specific promoter), or an inducible promoter is typically ligated to the protein or antisense encoding region using standard techniques known in the art. The expression unit may be further optimized by employing supplemental elements such as transcription terminators and/
or enhancer elements. The expression cassette can comprise, for example, a seed specific promoter (e.g. the phaseolin promoter (U.S. Pat. No. 5,504.200). The term "seed specific promoter", means that a gene expressed under the control of the promoter is predominantly expressed in plant seeds with no or no substantial expression, typically less than 10% of the overall expression level, in other plant tissues. Seed specific promoters have been well known in the art, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,623,067, 5,717,129, 6,403,371, 6,566,584, 6,642, 437, 6,777,591, 7,081,565, 7,157,629, 7,192,774, 7,405,345, 7,554,006, 7,589,252, 7,595,384, 7,619,135, 7,642,346, and Application Publication Nos. 20030172403, 20040088754, 20040255350, 20050125861, 20050229273, 20060191044, 20070022502, 200701 18933, 20070199098, 20080313771, and 20090100551.

[0196] Thus, for expression in plants, the expression units will typically contain, in addition to the protein sequence, a plant promoter region, a transcription initiation site and a transcription termination sequence. Unique restriction enzyme sites at the 5' and 3' ends of the expression unit are typically included to allow for easy insertion into a preexisting vector.

0197) In the construction of heterologous promoter/struc tural gene or antisense combinations, the promoter is prefer ably positioned about the same distance from the heterolo gous transcription start site as it is from the transcription start site in its natural setting. As is known in the art, however, some variation in this distance can be accommodated without loss of promoter function.

[0198] In addition to a promoter sequence, the expression cassette can also contain a transcription termination region downstream of the structural gene to provide for efficient termination. The termination region may be obtained from the same gene as the promoter sequence or may be obtained from different genes. If the mRNA encoded by the structural gene is to be efficiently processed, DNA sequences which direct polyadenylation of the RNA are also commonly added to the vector construct. Polyadenylation sequences include, but are not limited to the *Agrobacterium* octopine synthase signal (Gielen et al., EMBO J 3:835-846 (1984)) or the nopaline synthase signal (Depicker et al., Mol. and Appl. Genet. 1:561-573 (1982)). The resulting expression unit is ligated into or otherwise constructed to be included in a vector that is appropriate for higher plant transformation. One or more expression units may be included in the same vector. The vector will typically contain a selectable marker gene expres sion unit by which transformed plant cells can be identified in culture. Usually, the marker gene will encode resistance to an antibiotic, such as G418, hygromycin, bleomycin, kanamy cin, or gentamicin or to an herbicide, such as glyphosate (Round-Up) or glufosinate (BASTA) or atrazine. Replication sequences, of bacterial or viral origin, are generally also included to allow the vector to be tioned in a bacterial or phage host, preferably a broad host range prokaryotic origin of replication is included. A selectable marker for bacteria may also be included to allow selection of bacterial cells bearing the desired construct. Suitable prokaryotic selectable kanamycin or tetracycline. Other DNA sequences encoding additional functions may also be present in the vector, as is known in the art. For instance, in the case of Agrobacterium transformations, T-DNA sequences will also be included for subsequent transfer to plant chromosomes.

[0199] To introduce a desired gene or set of genes by conventional methods requires a sexual cross between two lines, and then repeated back-crossing between hybrid offspring and one of the parents until a plant with the desired charac teristics is obtained. This process, however, is restricted to plants that can sexually hybridize, and genes in addition to the desired gene will be transferred.

[0200] Recombinant DNA techniques allow plant researchers to circumvent these limitations by enabling plant geneticists to identify and clone specific genes for desirable traits, such as resistance to an insect pest, and to introduce these genes into already useful varieties of plants. Once the foreign genes have been introduced into a plant, that plant can then be used in conventional plant breeding schemes (e.g., pedigree breeding, single-seed-descent breeding schemes, reciprocal recurrent selection) to produce progeny which also contain the gene of interest.

[0201] Genes can be introduced in a site directed fashion using homologous recombination. Homologous recombina tion permits site specific modifications in endogenous genes and thus inherited or acquired mutations may be corrected, and/or novel alterations may be engineered into the genome. Homologous recombination and site-directed integration in plants are discussed in, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,451, 513; 5,501,967 and 5,527,695.

[0202] Methods of producing transgenic plants are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. Transgenic plants can now be produced by a variety of different transformation methods including, but not limited to, electroporation; micro injection; microprojectile bombardment, also known as par ticle acceleration or biolistic bombardment; viral-mediated transformation; and Agrobacterium-mediated transforma tion. See, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,405,765; 5.472,869; 5,538,877; 5,538,880, 5,550,318; 5,641,664; 5,736,369 and 5,736,369; International Patent Application Publication Nos. WO2002/038779 and WO/2009/117555; Luet al., (Plant Cell Reports, 2008, 27:273-278); Watson et al., Recombinant DNA, Scientific American Books (1992); Hinchee et al., Bio/ Tech. 6:915-922 (1988); McCabe et al., Bio/Tech. 6:923-926 (1988); Toriyama et al., Bio/Tech. 6:1072-1074 (1988); Fromm et al., Bio/Tech. 8:833-839 (1990); Mullins et al., Bio/Tech. 8:833-839 (1990); Hiei et al., Plant Molecular Biology 35:205-218 (1997): Ishida et al., Nature Biotechnol ogy 14:745-750 (1996); Zhang et al., Molecular Biotechnol ogy 8:223-231 (1997); Ku et al., Nature Biotechnology 17:76-80 (1999); and, Raineri et al., BiofTech. 8:33-38 (1990)), each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

[0203] $A grobacterium tunnelaciens$ is a naturally occurring bacterium that is capable of inserting its DNA (genetic infor mation) into plants, resulting in a type of injury to the plant known as crown gall. Most species of plants can now be transformed using this method, including cucurbitaceous species.

[0204] Microprojectile bombardment is also known as particle acceleration, biolistic bombardment, and the gene gun (Biolistic® Gene Gun). The gene gun is used to shoot pellets that are coated with genes (e.g., for desired traits) into plant seeds or plant tissues in order to get the plant cells to then express the new genes. The gene gun uses an actual explosive (.22 caliber blank) to propel the material. Compressed air or steam may also be used as the propellant. The Biolistic® Gene Gun was invented in 1983-1984 at Cornell University by John Sanford, Edward Wolf, and Nelson Allen. It and its registered trademark are now owned by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. Most species of plants have been transformed using this method.

[0205] A transgenic plant formed using *Agrobacterium* transformation methods typically contains a single gene on one chromosome, although multiple copies are possible. Such transgenic plants can be referred to as being hemizy gous for the added gene. A more accurate name for Such a plant is an independent segregant, because each transformed plant represents a unique T-DNA integration event (U.S. Pat. No. 6,156,953). A transgene locus is generally characterized by the presence and/or absence of the transgene. A heterozy gous genotype in which one allele corresponds to the absence of the transgene is also designated hemizygous (U.S. Pat. No. 6,008,437).

[0206] General transformation methods, and specific methods for transforming certain plant species (e.g., maize, rice, wheat, barley, soybean) are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,940, 838, 5,464,763, 5,149,645, 5,501,967, 6,265,638, 4,693,976, 5,635,381, 5,731,179, 5,693,512, 6,162,965, 5,693,512, 5,981,840, 6,420,630, 6,919,494, 6,329,571, 6,215,051, 6,369,298, 5,169,770, 5,376,543, 5,416,011, 5,569,834, 5,824,877, 5,959,179, 5,563,055, and 5,968,830, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0207] This invention is further illustrated by the following examples which should not be construed as limiting. The contents of all references, patents and published patent appli cations cited throughout this application, as well as the Fig ures and the Sequence Listing, are incorporated herein by reference.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

Identification of KRP Homologues from Wheat

0208. The inventors used available EST collections and proprietary sequences to generate partial predicted proteins for the different wheat KRP genes (SEQ ID Nos 1-5).

[0209] A multiple sequence analysis was generated using the protein sequences for rice, maize, and wheat KRP genes. Alignment of sequences was done by using online Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) service provided by European Bioinformatics Institute and the bootstrap values were calcu lated by using online software TreeTop-Phylogenetic Tree Prediction provided by Moscow State University (FIG. 1). Values in the branches in FIG. 1 are bootstrap values.

[0210] Based on our phylogenetic tree construction, we discerned a correspondence between the wheat, rice, and maize KRP genes. The relationships we figured out between the different KRP genes appear similar to the one reported by Barroco et al. (2006), The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Orysa; KRP1 plays an important role in seed development of rice, Plant Physiology, 142: 1053-1064, except for a more external position of OsKRP3. This demonstrates a clear cor respondence between the wheat and rice/maize genes.

[0211] The wheat KRP homologues TaKRP1, TaKRP2, TaKRP4, TaKRP5 were named according to the rice KRP nomenclature. OsKRP1, OsKRP4, and OsKRP5 genes are expressed in the seeds. OsKRP4 is the only one that carries a consensus CDK phosphorylation site.

[0212] The sequence of KRPs from the A, B and D genomes (SEQ ID Nos 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56,58, 59, 60, 62,63, 64, 66, 67,68, 70, 71, 73,74, 76 and 77) were obtained using wheat BAC libraries.

Example 2

Genome-Specific Primers for TILLING® of Wheat KRPS

[0213] The genome specificity of the wheat KRP primers using Chinese-Spring (CS) nulli-tetrasomic lines and TILL ING® cultivars were validated. The validation for wheat KRP4 primers is shown in FIG. 2. Table 1 lists the TILLING® primer sets for each wheat KRP.

TABLE 1.

primers for wheat KRPs TILLING					
Gene/Genome	Primer name	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	SEQ ID NO		
KRP1A	Krp-A1 AF1	GTCTGTAGAACGGCGTTACG	6		
	Krp-A1 R8	CCCCAGCTCTACAGTGAGTAACTT	7		
KRP1B	Krp-B1 F5	GGAGAGAATCCAAAGAGCAAC	8		
	Krp-B1 R7	CCAGCTCTACAGTGAGTAAC	9		
KRP1D	Krp-D1 F4	CGTGTTATATGTGTACGCACAC	10		
	Krp-D1 DR2	GGCTATAATGCTTCTTTCTGGAGC	11		
KRP2A	Krp-A2 BF3	CAAACGGCCAAAGCGACGG	12		
	Krp-A2 AR3	CTAGTGTTGCATAGTTAGCTC	13		

TILLING ® primers for wheat KRPs					
Gene/Genome	Primer name	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	SEO ID NO		
KRP2B	Krp-B2 BF3	CAAACGGCCAAAGCGACGG	14		
	Krp-B2 BR2	TCTCGCCTCCGAGTCTAAGT	15		
KRP2D	Krp-D2 BF3	CAAACGGCCAAAGCGACGG	16		
	Krp-D2 DR1	CAGATTTGAACAAGGTGGATC	17		
KRP4A exon1	WKP4 AFI	TACCCGCGCCTCGCTTAAATCCGCCAAA	18		
	WKP4 AR1	GTCAACTCGTGAAAGAAGAGTTGGGACAGA	19		
KRP4A exons 2-3	WKP4 AF2	CCTTAGGCAAGTTCGGTAAGAAATGTGTA	20		
	WKP4 AR2	GTGGTCATTACAGAATGAGTTGCTAACCGTC	21		
KRP4B exon1	WKP4 BF1	TTACCCGCGCCTCGCTTAAATCCGCAAG	22		
	WKP4 BR1	GCTCAAACAGCGAAAGAAGAGTTAGACGGA	23		
KRP4B exons 2-3	WKP4 BF3	CTGGCCTACTCATGTGAGACTGAGAGATTA	24		
	WKP4 BR2	GTGGTCATTACAGAATGAGCTGCTAACCGTT	25		
KRP4D exon1	WKP4 DF1	TACCCGCCCCTCGCTTAAATCCGCCAAA	26		
	WKP4 DR1	ACTCAAATAGCGAAAGAAGAGTTAGCCAGGA	27		
KRP4D exons 2-3	WKP4 DF3	TGGCCTACTCATGTGACACTGAGAGATTG	28		
	WKP4 BR2	GTGGTCATTACAGAATGAGCTGCTAACCGTT	29		
KRP5A exon1	Krp-A5 AF7	GTAAGCACAGGAAGCAGAGC	30		
	Krp-A5 AR6	CTCAGTCGTATTCGTATCGG	31		
KRP5A exons 2-3 Krp-A5 AF4	Krp-A5 R1	CACACCTCACATTGTGTGATG ACAGAGATCAATGGAGGAGC	32 33		
KRP5B	Krp-B5 BF4	TGCGCCTCACATTGTCTAGC	34		
	Krp-A5 R1	ACAGAGATCAATGGAGGAGC	35		
KRP5D	Krp-D5 DF4	ATGCTAGAACATGAGCTGTCG	36		
	Krp-D5 DR3	GCTGATGGTGGTGGTCATTC	37		

TABLE 1 - continued

Discovery of a Natural KRP-A4 Deletion in Hexaploid Wheat

[0214] Both sets of A genome KRP4 primers did not amplify the KRP4 gene in the hexaploid TILLING® line (FIG.2), suggesting a possible natural deletion in the KRP4A genome of the hexaploid TILLING® line. This was confirmed with the use of 3 gene-specific primers.

[0215] The B and D copies of KRP4 in hexaploid wheat were TILL'ed. The hexaploid mutations can easily be moved between tetraploid and hexaploid wheat by crosses.

Example 4

Wheat KRP Expression Studies

0216) To determine which KRP genes were expressed in early seed development, the transcript levels of the KRP genes were assessed by RT-PCR (FIG. 3A). These results showed that KRP4 transcript levels were higher than those of the other genes and that its transcripts accumulated over a longer developmental period than the transcripts from KRP1, KRP2, and KRP5. These last three genes were detected in the different pools from the 2-6 days after anthesis (DAA) samples and only in one out of the three pooled samples collected 8-12 DAA. No transcripts from KRP1, KRP2, and KRP5 were detected in the 14-18 DAA samples, whereas

Example 3 KRP4 transcripts were still abundant during this developmental stage. This data suggest that KRP4 has a different tran scription profile than the other KRP genes during seed devel opment.

> [0217] The transcript levels of these four KRP genes were determined in other tissues (FIG. 3B). Transcripts from KRP2, KRP4, and KRP5 were detected in cDNAs from 30-day old leaves. KRP1 was the only one not detected at that from the first leaf and were very faint at the $5th$ leaf-stage. Both were absent from stems and spikes. Transcripts from KRP2 and KRP4 were detected in stems and spikes.

Example 5

Mutations of Triticum KRP Genes Identified in TILLING®

[0218] Screening of the TILLING® population for KRP mutants resulted in plants with silent, splice, nonsense (pre mature stop codons) and/or missense (severe or non-severe) mutations in KRP1, KRP2, KRP4 and KRP5 (A, B, and D genomes) genes. TILLING® was conducted according to Uauy, C., F. Paraiso, et al. (2009) "A modified TILLING® approach to detect induced mutations intetraploid and hexap loid wheat" BMC Plant Biol 9: 115.

[0219] Positions and effects of mutations in KRP1, KRP2, KRP4 and KRP5 (A, B, and D genomes) genes are provided in Tables 2-3 below (* indicates the mutation results in a stop codon, = indicates the mutation is silent).

TABLE 2

Summary of <i>Triticum durum</i> (tetraploid) KRP4A and KRP4B mutants						
KRP mutant	Nucleotide Change	Effect	Mutation Score			
KRP4A-242	G688A	W186*	nonsense			
KRP4A-296	C248T	T104I	missense			
KRP4A-1220	C335T	S133F	Type $II^{\$}$			
KRP4A-1031	C994T	$N176=$	silent			
KRP4B-842	G184A	G41E	missense			
KRP4B-309	G277A	R72K	missense			
KRP4B-587	G291A	E77K	missense			
KRP4B-650	G407A	S111N	missense			
KRP4B-112	G434A	S120N	missense			
KRP4B-161	C440T	P122L	Type II			
KRP4B-1280	G461 A	S129N	missense			

Nucleotide numbering is dependent upon the location of TILLING ® primers. Type I and Type II mutations are defined in the wheat breeding program section,

TABLE 3

Summary of <i>Triticum aestivum</i> (hexaploid) KRP mutants					
	KRP mutant	Nucleotide Change	Effect	Mutation Score	
	KRP1A-2887 KRP1B-2371 KRP1B-2201 KRP1B-2199 KRP1D-2259 KRP2A-2241 KRP2B-3004 KRP2D-0905 KRP4B-2023 KRP4B-149 KRP4B-491 KRP4B-823 KRP4B-566 KRP4D-586 KRP4D-404 KRP4D-557 KRP4D-558 KRP5A-2327 KRP5A-802 KRP5A-2506 KRP5D-425	G484A C293T G585A C657T C181T G758A C775T C29T G321A C401T G496A G390A G399A G387A C407T C814T C563T G1910A C1770T	splice S98L S144N S156F $Q61*$ splice S179L A10V E87K P109L A141T $R105=$ $T108=$ splice P109S L180S P161S G141R P43S E89K S138L	splice missense missense Type $II^{\$}$ nonsense splice missense missense missense Type II missense silent silent splice Type II Type I missense Type II missense missense missense	
	KRP5D-2194	C2044T	P194L	Type I	

Nucleotide numbering is dependent upon the location of TILLING ® primers Type I and Type II mutations are defined in the wheat breeding program section,

Example 6

Wheat Breeding Program

[0220] The wheat KRP TILLING® mutants are prioritized for the breeding program from most important to less important in the following manner: 1) Nonsense and splice mutants, 2) Type I severe missense, 3) Type II severe missense. severe missense mutations are non-conservative amino acid substitutions in regions of the KRP protein known to be essential for binding to cyclin or cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) and are predicted by SIFT (Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant) analysis (Ng and Henikoff, SIFT. predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function, Nucl. Acids Res. (2003)31 (13): 3812-3814) to be deleterious to protein func

tion. Type II severe missense mutations are non-conservative amino acid substitutions outside of the cyclin and CDK bind ing domains but which satisfy two additional criteria. First, they are in regions of the protein determined by BLOCKS analysis (Henikof S. and Henikoff J. G. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res., 19, 6565-6572) to be evolutionarily conserved and therefore possibly of functional significance. Secondly, they have a SIFT (Ng, P. C. and Henikof S. (2003) Nucleic Acids Res. July 1; 31(13): 3812-3814) score of less than 0.05, and are therefore predicted to be deleterious to protein function. 0221) M3 seed homozygous or heterozygous for a given KRP TILLING® mutation is grown. Backcrosses with the hexaploid spring wheat background parent are performed, ideally through several rounds (to the BC3 or BC4 level), to eliminate deleterious background mutations. Background mutations could contribute to undesirable traits such as delayed maturity, premature senescence, increased susceptibility to wheat pathogens, slow germination, and/or sterility. The progeny of each backcross (F1, BC1, BC2, etc.) are also selfed to produce F2 lines. F2 lines are genotyped to identify ones that are homozygous for the wild type or for the krp mutant allele. Homozygote wild type and mutant siblings are seed expanded to F3 for field trials.

[0222] Wheat krp mutant alleles are introgressed into other spring and winter wheat lines to transfer the yield enhance ment to commercial varieties.

[0223] Crosses between mutants are done to generate multiple stack mutants within a given KRP gene (e.g. KRP1A/1B, KRP1B/KRP1D, KRP1A/1B/1D, etc., all possible combina tions) or across different KRP genes (e.g. KRP1A/2A, KRP2B/KRP4B, KRP4D/KRP5A, KRP1B/KRP2A/ KRP4D/KRP5A, KRP5D, etc., all possible combinations).

[0224] The overall grain yield per unit area is determined (e.g. lbs/acre) and yield components such as seed count, seed size/weight (thousand kernel weight), seed per spike, head (spike) number, spike length, awn length, and/or tiller num ber, are measured. Agronomic characteristics such as stand rate, maturity rate and peduncle rate are also measured.

Example 7

Characterization of Hexaploid TILLING® Mutant KRP4B-149

[0225] Hexaploid mutant line KRP4B-149 was backcrossed to the non-mutagenized recurrent parent to reduce the mutation load. BC_1F_2 lines (backcrossed once and selfed) homozygous for the presence of the mutation were selected. In addition, a KRP4B-149 sibling line homozygous for the lack of the mutation was used as a control.

[0226] Plants were grown in outside field plots in California. The experiment was organized in a Complete Random ized Block Design (RCBD) with four replications. Differ ences between the individual mutants and the single control line were tested using the Dunnett test. The following parameters were measured: heading time, height, number of spikelets per spike, grains per spike, thousand kernel weight (TKW) and yield.

[0227] While early reports indicate that the KRP4B-149 mutant grew faster and flowered earlier, later reports for the KRP4B-149 mutant showed no-significant differences for most of the parameters except for a 10 days delay in flowering time (P=0.0003) and a slight increase in two spikelets per spike (P=0.006) which was not reflected in an increase in the number of grains or in grain yield. However, the delay in flowering time was not seen in the BC2F2 generation in the greenhouse.

[0228] Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms herein have the same meaning as commonly under stood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although any methods and materials, similar or equivalent to those described herein, can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, the preferred methods and materials are described herein. All publications, patents, and patent publications cited are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety for all purposes.

[0229] The publications discussed herein are provided solely for their disclosure prior to the filing date of the present application. Nothing herein is to be construed as an admission that the present invention is not entitled to antedate such publication by virtue of prior invention.

0230. While the invention has been described in connec tion with specific embodiments thereof, it will be understood that it is capable of further modifications and this application is intended to cover any variations, uses, or adaptations of the invention following, in general, the principles of the invention and including such departures from the present disclosure as come within known or customary practice within the art to which the invention pertains and as may be applied to the essential features hereinbefore set forth and as follows in the scope of the appended claims.

REFERENCES

- [0231] 1. Bentley, A., B. MacLennan, et al. (2000). "Targeted Recovery of Mutations in Drosophila." Genetics 156: 1169-1173.
- [0232] 2. Comai, L. and S. Henikoff (2006). "TILLING: practical single-nucleotide mutation discovery. Plant J 45(4): 684-94.
- 0233 3. Comai, L., K. Young, et al. (2004). "Efficient discovery of DNA polymorphisms in natural populations by Ecotilling." Plant J 37(5): 778-86.
- [0234] 4. Cooper, J. L., E. A. Greene, et al. (2008). "Retention of induced mutations in a Drosophila reverse-genetic resource." Genetics 180(1): 661-7.
- 0235. 5. Cooper, J. L., B.J. Till, et al. (2008). "Fly-TILL: reverse genetics using a living point mutation resource." Fly (Austin) $2(6)$: 300-2.
- [0236] 6. Cooper, J. L., B. J. Till, et al. (2008). "TILLING to detect induced mutations in soybean." BMC Plant Biol 8:9.
- [0237] 7. Eddy, S. R. (2004). "Where did the BLOSUM62 alignment score matrix come from?' Nat Biotechnol $22(8)$: 1035-6.
[0238] 8. Gilchrist, E. and G. Haughn "Reverse genetics
- techniques: engineering loss and gain of gene function in plants." Brief Funct Genomics 9(2): 103-10, 20107
- 0239) 9. Gilchrist, E.J. and G. W. Haughn (2005). "TILL ING without a plough: a new method with applications for reverse genetics." Curr Opin Plant Biol 8(2): 211-5.
- [0240] 10. Gilchrist, E.J., G.W. Haughn, et al. (2006). "Use of EcoTILLING as an efficient SNP discovery tool to sur vey genetic variation in wild populations of Populus tri chocarpa." Mol Ecol 15(5): 1367-78.
- 0241) 11. Gilchrist, E. J., N. J. O'Neil, et al. (2006). "TILLING is an effective reverse genetics technique for Caenorhabditis elegans." BMC Genomics 7: 262.
- [0242] 12. Greene, E. A., C. A. Codomo, et al. (2003). "Spectrum of chemically induced mutations from a large scale reverse-genetic screen in Arabidopsis.' Genetics 164 (2): 731-40.
- [0243] 13. Henikoff, S., B. J. Till, et al. (2004). "TILLING. Traditional mutagenesis meets functional genomics. Plant Physiol 135(2): 630-6.
- [0244] 14. Himelblau, E., E. J. Gilchrist, et al. (2009). "Forward and reverse genetics of rapid-cycling Brassica olera cea." Theor Appl Genet 118(5): 953-61.
- 0245 15. McCallum, C.M., L. Comai, et al. (2000). "Tar geted screening for induced mutations." Nat Biotechnol 18(4): 455-7. (referenced in Anawah patents)
- 0246) 16. McCallum, C.M., L. Comai, et al. (2000). "Tar geting induced local lesions IN genomes (TILLING) for plant functional genomics." Plant Physiol 123(2): 439-42. (referenced in Anawah patents)
- [0247] 17. Ng, P. C. and S. Henikoff (2003). "SIFT: Predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function." Nucleic Acids Res 31(13): 3812-4.
- [0248] 18. Slade, A. J., S. I. Fuerstenberg, et al. (2005). "A reverse genetic, nontransgenic approach to wheat crop improvement by TILLING.' Nat Biotechnol 23(1): 75-81.
- [0249] 19. Slade, A. J. and V. C. Knauf (2005). "TILLING moves beyond functional genomics into crop improve ment." Transgenic Res 14(2): 109-15.
- (0250). 20. Stemple, D. L. (2004). "TILLING—a high throughput harvest for functional genomics." Nat Rev Genet 5(2): 145-50.
- [0251] 21. Styczynski, M. P., K. L. Jensen, et al. (2008). "BLOSUM62 miscalculations improve search perfor mance." Nat Biotechnol 26(3): 274-5.
- (0252) 22. Talame, V., R. Bovina, etal. (2008). "TILLMore, a resource for the discovery of chemically induced mutants in barley." Plant Biotechnol J 6(5): 477-85.
- (0253) 23. Taylor, N. E. and E. A. Greene (2003). "PARS ESNP: A tool for the analysis of nucleotide polymor phisms." Nucleic Acids Res 31(13): 3808-11.
- [0254] 24. Till, B. J., C. Burtner, et al. (2004). "Mismatch cleavage by single-strand specific nucleases." Nucleic Acids Res 32(8): 2632-41.
 [0255] 25. Till, B. J., T. Colbert, et al. (2006). "High-
- throughput TILLING for Arabidopsis." Methods Mol Biol 323: 127-35.
- [0256] 26. Till, B. J., T. Colbert, et al. (2003). "High-
throughput TILLING for functional genomics." Methods Mol Biol 236: 205-20.
- [0257] 27. Till, B. J., J. Cooper, et al. (2007). "Discovery of chemically induced mutations in rice by TILLING." BMC Plant Biol 7: 19.
- (0258 28. Till, B.J., S. H. Reynolds, et al. (2003). "Large scale discovery of induced point mutations with high throughput TILLING.' Genome Res 13(3): 524-30.
- (0259 29. Till, B.J., S. H. Reynolds, et al. (2004). "Dis covery of induced point mutations in maize genes by TILL ING." BMC Plant Biol 4: 12.
- [0260] 30. Till, B. J., T. Zerr, et al. (2006). "A protocol for TILLING and EcoTILLING in plants and animals." Nat Protoc 1(5): 2465-77.
- 0261). 31. Triques, K., E. Piedmoir, et al. (2008). "Mutation detection using ENDO1: application to disease diagnostics in humans and TILLING and Eco-TILLING in plants." BMC Mo1 Biol 9: 42.
- [0262] 32. Triques, K., B. Sturbois, et al. (2007). "Characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mismatch specific endonucleases: application to mutation discovery by TILLING in pea." Plant J 51(6): 1116-25.
- [0263] 33. Uauy, C., F. Paraiso, et al. (2009). "A modified
TILLING approach to detect induced mutations in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat." BMC Plant Biol 9: 115.
- [0264] 34. Weil, C. F. and R. Monde (2007). "Getting the Point-Mutations in Maize." Crop Science 47 (S1)(No. 1): S-60-67.
- [0265] 35a. Zerr, T. and S. Henikoff (2005). "Automated band mapping in electrophoretic gel images using back ground information." Nucleic Acids Res 33(9): 2806-12.
- [0266] $35b$. Tsai, H. et al. (2011), Discovery of Rare Mutations in Populations: TILLING by Sequencing, Plant Physiology, 156(3): 1257-1268
- [0267] 36. Slafer and Araus (2007), Springer, "Physiological traits for improving wheat yield under a wide range of conditions", Scale and Complexity in Plant Systems Research: Gene-Plant-Crop Relations, 147-156
- [0268] 37. Reynolds, "Physiological approaches to wheat breeding", Agriculture and Consumer Protection. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- [0269] 38. Richard et al., "Physiological Traits to Improve the Yield of Rainfed Wheat: Can Molecular Genetics Help', published by International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center.
- [0270] 39. Reynolds et al., "Evaluating Potential Genetic Gains in Wheat Associated with Stress-Adaptive Trait Expression in Elite Genetic Resources under Drought and Heat Stress Crop science", Crop Science 2007 47: Supplement_3: S-172-S-189
- [0271] 40. Setter et al., Review of wheat improvement for waterlogging tolerance in Australia and India: the impor tance of anaerobiosis and element toxicities associated with different soils. Annals of Botany, Volume 103(2): 221-235.
- [0272] 41. M. J. Foulkes, N. D. Paveley, A. Worland, S. J. Welham, J. Thomas, J. W. Snape. Major Genetic Changes
in Wheat with Potential to Affect Disease Tolerance. Phytopathology, July, Volume 96, Number 7, Pages 680-688 (doi:10.1094/PHYTO-96-0680)
- [0273] 42. Rosyara, U. R., K. Pant, E. Duveiller and R. C. Sharma. 2007. Variation in chlorophyll content, anatomi cal traits and agronomic performance of wheat genotypes differing in spot blotch resistance under natural epiphytotic conditions. Australasian Plant Pathology 36: 245-251.
- [0274] 43. Rosyara, U. R., R. C. Sharma, and E. Duveiller. 2006. Variation of canopy temperature depression and chlorophyll content in spring wheat genotypes and asso ciation with foliar blight resistance. J. Plant Breed. Gr. 1: 45-52.
- (0275 44. Rosyara, U. R. R. C. Sharma, S. M. Shrestha, and E. Duveiller. 2005. Canopy temperature depression and its association with helminthosporium leafblight resis tance in spring wheat. Journal of Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science 26: 25-28.
- (0276 45. Rosyara, U. R. R. C. Sharma, S. M. Shrestha, and E. Duveiller. 2006. Yield and yield components response to defoliation of Spring wheat genotypes with different level of resistance to Helminthosporium leaf blight. Journal of Institute of Agriculture and Animal Sci ence 27, 42-48.
- 0277 46. Rosyara, U. R. 2002. Physio-morphological traits associated with Helminthosporium leaf blight resistance in spring wheat. Masters' Thesis. Tribhuvan Univer sity, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. supported by C1MMYT International. Available at CIMMYT library
- [0278] 47. Hayward, M. D., N. O. Bosemark, and I. Romangosa. 1993. Plant Breeding: Principle and Pros pects. Chapman and Hall, London.
- [0279] 48. Wood, D. R., K. M. Rawal, and M. N. Wood (eds). 1983. Crop Breeding. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Madison, Wis.
- [0280] 49. Allard, R. W. 1960. Principles of Plant Breeding. John Wily and Sons Inc. New York.
- $[0281]$ 50. Simmonds, N. W. 1979. Principles of Crop Improvement. Longman Group Limited, London.
- (0282) 51. Singh, B. D. 2000. Plant Breeding. Sixth ED. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
- [0283] 52. Guo et al., 2005, American Journal of Botany 92(9): 1548-1558.
- [0284] 53. Watson et al. 1999. Grass genera of the world: descriptions, illustrations, identification, and information retrieval; including synonyms, morphology, anatomy, physiology, phytochemistry, cytology, classification, pathogens, world and local distribution, and references. Version: 18 Aug. 1999,
- [0285] 54. GPWG. 2001. Phylogeny and subfamilial classification of the grasses (Poaceae). Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 88: 373-457.
- [0286] 55. Clayton et al., 1986. Genera Graminum. Kew Bulletin Additional Series XIII: 1-389.
- 0287 56. Xia, Progress of chromosome engineering mediated by asymmetric Somatic hybridization., J Genet Genomics. 2009 September: 36(9):547-56. Review.
- [0288] 57. Liu et al., Generation of high frequency of novel alleles of the high molecular weight glutenin in somatic hybridization between bread wheat and tall wheatgrass. Theor Appl Genet. 2009 April, 118(6):1193-8. Epub 2009 Feb. 8.
- [0289] 58. Zhou et al., Comparative study of symmetric and asymmetric somatic hybridization between common wheat and Haynaldia villosa. Sci China C Life Sci. 2001 June; 44(3):294-304.
- [0290] 59. Wang et al., Proteomic analysis on a high salt tolerance introgression strain of Triticum aestivum/Thi nopyrum ponticum. Proteomics. 2008 Apr.; 8(7):1470-89.
- [0291] 60. Cai et al., Genotyping of somatic hybrids between Festuca arundinacea Schreb. and Triticum aesti vum L., Plant Cell Rep. 2007 Oct.; 26(10): 1809-19. Epub 2007 Jun. 27.
- [0292] 61. Deng et al., Analysis of remote asymmetric somatic hybrids between common wheat and Arabidopsis $thaliana$., Plant Cell Rep. 2007 Aug.: $26(8)$: 1233-41. Epub 2007 Apr. 4.
[0293] 62. Zhou et al., Genetic characterization of asym-
- metric somatic hybrids between Bupleurum scorzonerifolium Willd and Triticum aestivum L.: potential application to the study of the wheat genome. Planta. 2006 March,223 (4):714-24. Epub 2005 Nov. 4.
- [0294] 63. Li et al., Regeneration of asymmetric somatic hybrid plants from the fusion of two types of wheat with Russian wildrye. Plant Cell Rep. 2004 Dec.; 23(7):461-7. Epub 2004 Jul. 24.
- 0295) 64. Zhou et al., Introgression of the Haynaldia vil losa genome into gamma-ray-induced asymmetric somatic hybrids of wheat. Plant Cell Rep. 2005 Jul.; 24(5):289-96. Epub 2005 Jun. 3.
- [0296] $65. X. Hu, X. Cheng, H. Jiang, S. Zhu, B. Cheng and$ Y. Xiang, (2010), Genome-wide analysis of cyclins in maize (Zea mays), Genet. Mol. Res. 9 (3): 1490-1503
- [0297] 66. Acquaah et al. Principles of plant genetics and breeding, Wiley-Blackwell, 2007, ISBN 1405136464, 9781405136464
- [0298] 67. Harten, Mutation Breeding, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- 0299 68. Roy Davies and Wall, "Artificial Mutagenesis in Plant Breeding", Nature 182, 955-956 (4 Oct. 1958)
- [0300] 69. Grotewold, Plant Functional Genomics, Volume 236 of Methods in molecular biology, Humana Press, ISBN 1588291456, 9781588291455
- [0301] 70. Braman, In vitro mutagenesis protocols, Volume 182 of Methods in molecular biology, Human Press, 2002, ISBN 0896039102, 9780896039100
[0302] 71. Chusacultanachai et al., "Random mutagenesis
- strategies for construction of large and diverse clone libraries of mutated DNA fragments." Methods Mol Biol. 2004; 270:319-34.
- [0303] 72. Fujii et al., One-step random mutagenesis by error-prone rolling circle amplification, Nucl. Acids Res. (2004) 32 (19): e145.
- 0304) 73. Trower. In vitro mutagenesis protocols, Volume 57 of Methods in molecular biology, John M. Walker Meth ods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.) 57, ISBN 0896033325, 9780896033320

SEO ID NO: 1

- [0305] 74. Katsumi, M., Foard, D. E. and Phinney, B. O. (1983) Evidence for the translocation of gibberellin A3 and gibberellin-like Substances in grafts between normal, dwarfl and dwarf5 seedlings of Zea mays L. Plant Cell Physiol. 24, 379-388.
- [0306] 75. Lacadena, J.-R. Hybrid wheat. VII. Tests on the transmission of cytoplasmic male sterility in wheat by embryo-endosperm grafting, Euphytica, 17(3), 439-444
- [0307] 76. Trione et al., 1968, IN VITRO CULTURE OF SOMATICWHEAT CALLUSTISSUE American Journal of Botany, Vol. 55, No. 5, May-June, 19
- 0308) 77. Dodig, et al., tissue culture response of different wheat genotypes, environmental effect and association with plant traits, Options MEditerraneennes, Series A, No. 81, pages 129 to 132
- [0309] 78. O'HARA et al., Wheat Callus Culture: the Initiation, Growth and Organogenesis of Callus Derived from Various Explant Sources Ann Bot (1978) 42 (5): 1029 1978.
- [0310] 79. Zaidi et al., Optimizing tissue culture media for efficient transformation of different indica rice genotypes Agronomy Research 4(2):563-575, 2006
- [0311] 80. Wang et al., Tissue Culture Responses from Different Explants of Rice, Rice Science, 2005, 12(3): 229-232
- [0312] 81. Ting Y, Boyer A, McSweeney G (1978) Maize tissue culture. MNL 52:6
- 0313 82. Martha C. Hawes, Diana Z. Sharpe, Maria-Ines Plata, Steven G. Pueppke, Prem S. Chourey, Auxin-inde pendent growth of maize tissue culture cells, Plant Science, Volume 40, Issue 3, September 1985, Pages 197-202
- [0314] 83. SHERIDAN Tissue Culture of Maize, Physiologia Plantarum, 41(3):172-174, 1977

SEQUENCE LISTING

>Takrp1A (3' end missing) MGKYMRKCRAEDGAVGGVEVTOAVGVRTRSRAAAANVVVSKRRRPLPPGSPSASSSLARAOGGSCYLKLRSRMLF MAPPAPASGAAAGHGPAPPLPAGLSRCSSTASSWDASAAAQDRSLPS SEO ID NO: 2 $>$ TaKrp 2 LGWRTRSRAGARDAKMRKOOOATTSTAARAVEDALLGRDGGDAAAGCYLHLRSRRLFMPAAAWWDRGGGGGLCEE ASTAGLPDSGPSWEAAWGAGWSRCSSTWSTAWDWAARERSGDEAEACESRDWESSWSDEECGGRDRRETTPSSRS PWDLSDLESSOAADEOKHKRRRCPATTTTTAAPLHYDLEARARARMPPAAEIDEFFAAAEKAOAERFAAKYNFDV ARGVPLNAGRFEWTPVATV* SEQ ID NO: 3 >TaKrp4 Triticum aestivum EST GKYMRKPKVSGEWAVMEVAAAPLGWRTRARALAMORQPQGAAVAKDOGEYLELRSRKLEKLPPPPPAARRRAAA AERVEAEAEADEVSFGENVLESEAMG***NTRETTPCSLIFEBSGTISTPGSTTRPSHSMSHRRVQAPARHIIPCSAE** MNEFFSAAEQPQQQAFIDEKNFDPVNDCPLPGRYEWVKLD* SEQ ID NO: 4 >TuKrp4 Triticum urartu A GENOME GKYMRKPKVSGEVAVMEVAAAPLGVRTRARALAMOROPOGAPGAKDOGEYLELRSRKLEKLPPPPPPARRRAAA AERVEAEAEADKVSFGENVLEPEAMG*GTRETTPCSLIRDSGMISTPGSTTRPSHSMSHRRVQAPARHIIPSSAE

MNEFFSAAEQPQQQAFIDEYNFDPVNDCPLPGRYEWVKLD

 $-$ continued

-continued

-continued

>wheat KRP1A coding

SEQ ID NO: 41

 ${\tt CGTCGGCGTCCCGCAGCCGGCGCCGCGCCGCGCCCAACGTCGTCCTCCAAGAGGAGGCCCCGC}$ ${\tt TGCCGCCCGGCTCGCCCTCGTCGTCCCTCGCTCGCCCGCCCGGGCGGAGCTGCTTACCTGAAG}$ ${\tt TTCGGAGCCGCATGCTGTTCATGGCCCCGCCGCCGGCCCCGCTCGGGGCTGCCCGCGGGCACGGGCC}$ ${\tt CGGCGCAGGACAGGAGCCTGCTCTCTGCGGCTCCGACGCCGCTGCCAACAACAGGCAGGCCCCCG}$ ${\tt TGCCGCAAACGCCGACCCCAGGCCCAGCCCGCCGGAGGTCGAGGCTCCCGCCCGCCGGCCGAGATC}$

-continued

 ${\tt \textcolor{blue}{GCC}GCGGCGGCGGCCTCTCGCGCTGCTCCAGCAGCGCGTCGTCGGGAGCGTCGGGGCGCGGGAC}$ ${\bf AGGATAGGAGCCTGCCTGCTGCGGCTCCGACGCCGCTGCAAACAGCAAGGCAGGCCTCCGGAGGGC}$

 $-$ continued

31

-continued

 ${\tt ATTGAGCACACAAGTACATACGTCTTGGCACTTGGCAGTCGCTCTATCGCCGTCACAGACGCCGCC}$ TCAACGCCGGCCGGTTCGAGTGGACCCCGGTGGCCACCGTCTGAGGCTCTGAGGCATGATGCAAAATGA ${\tt CGGGAAGCTAGCGGCGCGCGCTAGAAAGGGAAGGCCTGCTGGGAGTGAAAAGGAGCCTGATCCAA}$ ${\tt CCCGCAAAGGAAAACAGTAAAGGAAGAGAGGGAGTGAAAAAGAACAGAATAATCCCATGCACAGCAGC}$ CTAGAGCTAGA

>wheat KRP2A coding

SEQ ID NO: 50

CGTGGAGCACCGCGCCCGGTGGCCCTCGGCGTCCGCACGCGGTCCCGCGCGCCCCCTCAACGCGA CGTGACGGCGGCGACGCGGCCGCCGGGTGCTACCTGCATCTCCGGAGCAGGAGGCTGTTCATGCCTGC TTCCGCGGCGGTGGATCAGCTCCGGGGACTTGGGGCGGACGAGGAGGCTTCGACGGCGGGCTGCCGG ATTCTCGGCCCTCGGTGGAGGCGGCGGTCGTGGCCGGGGTCTCGCGCTGCTCCAGCACCGCGTCGACG GCGGTGGACGTGCGCGCTAGAGAGGAGCGGCGACGAAGCGGAGGCGTGCGAGAGTGCGACGTGCACGTGCACGTGCACGTGCACGTGCACGTGCACGTGC GAGCTCCGTCAGCGACTCTGAGTGCGGCGGCCGGACAGGAGGAGACCACGCCGTCGAGCCATTCCC CGGCAGATTTGAGCGACCTGGAGTCGAGCCAGTCGGCGGACGAGCAGAAGCACAAACGCAGGAGGTAT ${\tt CGGGCAACAACGACGACGGCCAGCGCCATTCCGCTTAGACTTGGAGGGAGAGCAAGGATGCCACC}$ GGCGGCAGAGATCGACGAGTTCTTCGCCGCCGCGGAGAAGGCCCAGGCCGAGCGTTCGCCGCCAAGT **GTCTGA**

SEQ ID NO: 51 >Wheat KRP2A protein MGKYMRKCRAAPRRGRRQGGAAVVEHRAPVALGVRTRSRAAALNAKMRKQQQATTSTAARAVEDALLG ${\tt ROGGDAAAGCYLHLRSRRLFMPASAAVDQLRGGADEEASTAGLPDSRPSVEAAVVAGVSRCSSTASTQBRAWAGVSRCSSRASTRSTQSE/20144.}$ AVDVAARERSGDEAEACESGDVESSVSDSECGGRDRRETTPSSHSPADLSDLESSQSADEOKHKRRRY ${\tt PATTTTTTAAPFRLDLEARARMPPAAEIDEFFAAEKAQAERFAAKYNFDVARGVPLMAGRFEWTPVAT}$

 V^*

SEQ ID NO: 52

>wheat KRP2B genomic TTTCGTCCGTTCGCGGATGGGGAAGTACATGCGGAAGTGCAGGGCGCGCCGCCGGCGGCGGCGGCAGGG pesceccesccerceresascaccecececcerescccrcescerccecacecesrcccecececec STTGCTGGGCCGTGACGGCGGCGACGCGGCCGGCGGGTGCTACCTGCATCTCCGGAGCAGGAGGCTGT TCATGCCTGCTTCCGCGGTGGTGGATCGGCTCCGGGGACAGGGGCGGACGAGGAGGCTTCGACGGC AGGCTGGCGGATTCCGGGCCTTCCGTGGAGGCGGGGGTCGTCGCCGGGGTCTCGCGCTGCTCGAGCAO CGCGTCCACGGCAGCAGACGTGGCGGCTAGAGAGAGGAGCGGCGACGAAGCAGAGGTGAGTGGTCCAC TGCCCTAGAATTCTCCGCTACTTCGAGCTGTCGATCGGGCCATTTCTGCTGCTGAATTAGGAGGTTTG GTTCCTATGTCTTGTCCTGCAGGGGTGCGAGAGTGGCGAGGTGGAGAGCTCCGTCAGCGACTCTGAGT
GCGGCGGCCGGGACAGGTGAGTCCTCCTCTCTCGATATATACCGACGGGAATTCTGCTGAATTATCCA TTGTTTTCTACTCCACAAGGTGATCTTGAGTTGAGGGCCTGGCTTTGCTTCTGAATTTGACCTGTTG GATTGTACTAATCCAGGAGGGAGGCGACGCCGTCGAGCCGTTCGCCGGTAGATTTGAGCGACCTGGAG AGCGCCATTCCACTTAGACTCGGAGGCCAAAGCAAGGATGCCACCCCCGCGGAGAGATCGACGAGTTCT CATCCGCACGCACGTACATACTCCCGTCTTGGCAGTCGCTCCATCGTCGTCACAGACGTCCCCGTGCC ${\tt CAACGCGGCGGCTTCGAGTCGACCCGGTTGGACCGCTCTGAGGCTCTGATGCAATTGGCGGGAGC}$ ${\tt GTAGCGGCGGCTCGCGTAGAAGGGAAGGCCTGCTGGGAGTGAAAAGAGACGCTGATCCAACCCCCAA}$ AGGAAAACAGTAAAGAAAAGAAGAAGAGTGAAAAAGAACAGAATAATCCCATGCACAGCAGCCTAGAGC TAGA

>wheat KRP2B coding ${\tt GGAGCACCGCCGCCGCTGGCCTCCGCACGCGCTCCCCCCGCGGCCCCTTCGACGCTAAGA}$ ${\tt GGAGGAAGCAGCGAGCGACGACGCGCAGCGCGCGCGGTGGAGCGATGCGTTGCTGGGCCGTGAC}$ ${\tt GGCGGCGACGCGGCGGGGCTGCTACCTGCATCTCCGGAGCAGGGCGCTGTTCATGCCTGCTTCCGC}$ GGTGGTGGATCGGCTCCGGGGACAGGGGCGGACGAGGAGGCTTCGACGGCGAGGCTGGCGGATTCCG ${\tt GCCTTCCGTGGAGGGGGGCTCTCGCGGGTTCTCGCGCTGCTCGAGCACCGCTCCACGGCAGCA}$ ${\tt GACGTGGCGGTAGAGAGAGAGCGGCGACGAAGCAGAGCGTGCGAGAGTCGGCGACGTGGAGAGCTCCGGAGAGGCTCGAGAGTCGGAGAGTCGGAGAGTCGGAGAGGCTG$ GCAACGACGGCGCAGCAGCGCCATTCCACTTAGACTCGGAGGCGAGAGCAAGGATGCCACCCGCGC AGAGATCGACGAGTTCTTCGCCGCCGCCGAGAAGGCCCAGGCCGAGCACTTCGCGGCCAAGTACAACT TCGACGTCGCGCGCGCGTCCTCAACGCCGGCCGGTTCGAGTGGACCCCGGTGGCCACCGTCTGA SEO ID NO: 54

>wheat KRP2B protein MGKYMRKCRGAAAGGGRAAPAVVEHRAPVALGVRTRSRAAAFDAKRRKQQATTSTAARAVDDALLGRD GGDAAGGCYLHLRSRRLFMPASAVVDRLRGOGADEEASTARLADSGPSVEAGVVAGVSRCSSTASTAA DVAARERSGDEAEACESRDVESSVSDSECGGRDRREATPSSRSPVDLSDLESSQAADEQKHKRRRCPA ATTAAAAPFHLDSEARARMPPAAEIDEFFAAAEKAQAEHFAAKYNFDVARGVPLNAGRFEWTPVATV*

SEQ ID NO: 55 wheat KRP2D genomic SGTGGAGGATGCGTTGCTGGGCCGTGACGGCGGCGACGCGGCCGCCGGGTGCTACCTGCATCTCCGGA GCAGGAGGCTGTTCATGCCTGCTGCCGCGGTGGTGGATCAGCTGCGGGGACAGGGGGTGTGTGAGGAC

SEQ ID NO: 53

34

SCTTCCACAGCGGGGCTGCCGGACTCTGGGCCCTCGGTGGAGGCGGCGGTCGGGGCCGGGTCTCGCG TTACGAGATTTGGTTCTGACTGTCTTGGTCGATCAGCAGGGGTGCGAGAGTCGCGACGTGGAGAGCTC CGTCAGCGACTCTGAGTGCGGCGGCGGGACAGGTGAGTCCTCCTCTCTCGATACCGACGGAATTCT GCTGAATTACCCATTGTTTTCTACTCTCCAGGGTGATCTTGAGTTGAGGGACCTGGTTTTGCTTCTGA ATTTGACCTGTTGGATTGTGGCAATCCAGGAGGAGAGACGACGCCGTCGAGCCGTTCGCCGGTAGATTT ${\tt GAGCGA} CCTGQAGT CQAGCCA} GGCQGGQGQAGCQAGGQAAGCACAAAGCACAA} CGCAGGAGGTGTCCGGCAACAA$ ${\tt GGAAATTTACAATTGAGCAAATCCGCACGCTCTTGGCAGTCGCTCGATCGTCCTCACAGACGCCG}$ GGGAGCTAGCGGCGCGCGCGTAGAAAGGGAAGGCCTGCTGGGAGTGAAAAGAGACGCTGATCCAACC CCCCAAAGGAAAACAGTAAAGAGAAAGGGAGTAAAAAAGAACAGAATAATCCCATGCACAGCTGCCT AGAGCTAGGCATGCAGTAGCCCTCTCCC

SEQ ID NO: 56 >wheat KRP2D coding GGAGCACCGCGCGCCGGTGGCCCTCGGCGTCCGCACGGTCCCGCGCGCCGCCCCTCGACGCGAAGA ${\tt TGAGGAAGCAGCAGCGAGGAGGTCCACGGCGCGCGCGCGGGGAGGATGGGTTGCTGGGCCGT}$ ${\tt CGCGGTGGTGGATCAGCTGCGGGGACAGGGGGTGTGTGAGGGGCTTCCACAGCGGGGCTGCCGGACT}$ CTGGGCCCTCGGTGGAGGCGGCGGTCGGGGCCGGGGTCTCGCGCTGCTCCAGCACCGCGTCCAGCGCG TCGACGTGGCGAGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGGGATGAAGCGGAGGCGTGCGAGAGTCGCGACGTGGAGAGCGCGAGAGAG CTCCGTCAGCGACTCTGAGTGCGGCGGCCGGGACAGGAGGAGACGACGCCGTCGAGCCGTTCGCCGG ${\tt TAGATTTGAGCGACCTGGAGTCGAGCCAGCGCGGCGAGCGAGCAGACACAACGCAGGAGGTGTCCG}$ ${\tt GCAACAACGACGACCGCACGCCATTGCACTATGACTTGGAGGCGAGAGCAAGAGCAAGGATGCC}$ ${\tt AGTACAACTTCGACGTCGCGCGCGCGTGCCTCTCAACGCCGGCTTCGAGTGGACCCCGGTGGCC}$ ACCGTGTGA

>wheat KRP2D protein MGKYMRKCRAAAAGGGRAAPAVVEHRAPVALGVRTRSRAAALDAKMRKQQQATTSTAARAVEDALLGR DGGDAAAGCYLHLRSRRLFMPAAAVVDOLRGOGVCEEASTAGLPDSGPSVEAAVGAGVSRCSSTASTA VDVAARERSGDEAEACESRDVESSVSDSECGGRDRRETTPSSRSPVDLSDLESSQAADEQKHKRRRCP ATTTTTAAPLHYDLEARARARMPPAAEIDEFFAAAEKAQAERFAAKYNFDVARGVPLNAGRFEWTPVA $TV*$

SEO ID NO: 57

Note for KRP4 genomic sequences: Intron 1 is approximately 2500 bp and therefore, the intron1 sequence was not retrieved in full.

Intron2 is 194 by in KRP4 A and B genomes and 192 by in KRP4 D genome and were retrieved in full. SEO ID NO: 58 >wheat KRP4A partial genomic exon1 SCAAGTACATGCGCAAGCCCAAGGTCTCCGGCGAGGTGGCCGTCATGGAGGTCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCTQ GGGGTCCGCACCCGCGCGCGGCGCTCGCGATGCAGAGGCAGCCGCAGGGGGCCCCGGGGGCCAAGGA GGAGGAGGCCGCCCCCGCGGAGCGTGTCGAGGCCGAGGCCGAGGCCGACAAGGTGTCCTTCGGGGAG AACGTGCTCGAGCCGGAGGCCATGGGGAGGTGAGCCTTCTCCTGCGCCCGCGATTTTCTTCGGTTCAT GGGGTTTTATTTCTCGGCGGGGGGATTATAACCGTGCCAGGGTTTAGGGTTTTGTCTCGTACCGAGAA GCTTTGGATTGCTTCTTCTGTTTCGCGCTTCGGCTCGTTCCATTTTTCCTTGTCAATTTGGCTTGTTC ${\tt TATCCGTGCTGCGTGCGGGGCTCGAATTTGGTGTCGATGCTATTTTCCCCAATATCTTTCTTATTAAG}$ CTTTGCTGTTTATTGGGGATTTTTTCTGTCCCAACTCTTC SEQ ID NO: 59 >Wheat KRP4A partial genomic exons2-3 ${\bf AGGCAAATTCGGTAGAAATGTGTAGCCAATTGTGGCATTGGCTAGCCTAGTTAGAACCCAAACACCCCC}$ GGATACTCATAAGGGGGGGATTCCTTATTTTTTTATGACCACGGATGATATCGATATGTTTTCTTCTT TTTGCATACCCTGTTAAGTTACAGGTGATTTTTTCCCTTTTGCTACGCGTCCTCGCTATGGTTGTTTC ${\bf TAAAAATTGAGTGTGTATGTATGTTTTGTGCTAGC{\bf AGGGGTACCAGGGB}{GACGAGGCCCTGCAGCTT}$ ${\tt GATTAGGGACTCGGGBATGATTAGCACCTCGGATCCACAAGGACGAGACCGAGCCACTCGAATTCCCATC$ ${\tt GCAGAGCAACCGCAACCCAAGCCTTCATCGAC2\AA GTACGACATTGTTTGGTTCTCTCAGTCAGTTAA}$ ${\tt CCTTGTCTAATTAAAAAAAATCTTTCAATATCTTTGCAGTGAAAGAATGCCAACTCAGGGTGCAATGTG$ GTTTTGACACGTGATATGTTCATGCCTTTGCTCTTGATAAAAGTGTGATTATAACACTAACAACATG ${\tt GTTTCATGGCTTAATTCTTCAGGTACAACTTTGATCCTGTRGAACGGACTGTCCCTCCCAGGCCGAT}$ ACCTTAGCGTOGTAGAAGCACACCACCACCACTGTGTTAGCTTTGTTTCCGTTGTAAAAAGAATTAGGG TTAGCCTGTAGTAGCCTCAATGGTTGTGTAACATACAGAAGTAATGCTGAGTTACACCCTATCCCTCA AACTCCCCAAATGTCGGTAGC SEQ ID NO: 60 >wheat KRP4A coding ${\tt GCTGGGGTCCGGCACCCGGCGGGGCGTCGGGATGCAAGGGCACCGCAGGGGGCCCCGGGGCCCA}$ ${\tt GCGAGGAGGAGGCGCGCGCGGAGCGTGTCGAGGCCGAGGCCGAGGCCGACAAGGTGTCCTTCGG}$ GGAGAACGTGCTCGAGCCGGAGGCCATGGGGAGGGTACCAGGGAGACGACGCCCTGCAGCTTGATTA GGGACTCGGGAATGATAAGCACTCCTGGATCCACAACAAGACCGAGCCACTCGAATTCCCATCGCAGG

 ${\tt GTCAAGCTCCAGCGCCCTATTATTCCAAGTTCAGCAGAGATGAATGAGTTCTTCTCTGCTGCAGA}$ GCAACCGCAACAGCAAGCCTTCATCGACAAGTACAACTTTGATCCTGTGAACGACTGTCCTCTCCCAG

GCCGATACGAGTGGGTGAAGCTAGACTGA

-continued

>wheat KRP4B partial genomic exons2-3 ${\tt TTGGGGGGGTTACTTAGCCCC}\xspace \verb"CCATTCTTTTGTTTCCCATGGGCCTTGTTTTCGGTTTGTGTTGCTAG$ ${\tt CCTTTATATGGCATATGAGATAGATTGAAGGGCTGTTTAGTTAGGCAACTTGGGCCCAATCTGTTT}$ ${\tt GAACTAACCTTAGGCAAGTTTGGTAAGAAATGTGGCAAATTGTGGCATTGGCTAGCTTAGAA}$ CCAAACAACCCCGGATACTCATAAGGGGGGATTCCTTATTTTTATGACTATTGATATGTGTTCTTC TTTTCCATACCCTGTTAAGTTACAGGTGATTTTTTCCCTTTTGCTATGCTTCCTCTCTATGGTTGTT ${\tt TCGCAGGGTGCAAGCTCCAGCGCCCTATTATTCCATGTTCAGCAGAGATGAATGAGATTCTTCTCTCTG}$ ${\bf A}\verb|ACTTGTCTA\verb|ATTTA\verb|AA\verb|AGGG\verb|AATCTTTC\verb|AATATCTTCGC\verb|AGTGA\verb|GAATGC\verb|CACTCAGCGT|$ ${\tt GCAATGTGGTTTTGACACGTGATATGTTTACGCCTTTGCTCTTGATAAAAGTGTGATTATAACACTA$ ${\bf ACAA CATGGTTT CATGG CTTAATA TCTT CAGTACA ACTT TGA TCCT GTAACGACTGT CCTC CTCTCCC}$ ${\texttt{AGGCCGATACGAGTCGGCTGAAGCTAGACTGATTATTCTCCAGGAGGGAGGACATCATGTTCTTCTCCG}}$ ${\tt CTCCCTCCACCTTAGCGTCGTAAAGGCGCCCCCGTCGTGTTAGCTTTTCCGTTGTAAAAAG}$ ${\tt AATTAGGTTAGCCTGTAGTAGCCTCAATGGTCGTGTAACATACAGAAGTAATGCTGAGTTACACCCTA$ ${\tt ATCCCTCAAACTCCAATGTAACGGTTAGCACCTCATTCTGAAATGACCACA}$

>wheat KRP4B coding

SEQ ID NO: 64

SEQ ID NO: 63

ATGGGCAAGTACATGCGCAAGCCCAAGGTCTCCGGCGAGGTGGCCGTCATGGAGGTCGCCGCCCCC GCTAGGGGTCCGCACCCCCCACGAGGCGCTCGCGATGCAGAGGCAGCCGCAGGGGGGGCGGTGGCCA GCGAGGAGGAGGCCGCCGCCGGCGAGCCTGTCGAGGCCGAGGCCGAGGCCGACGAGGTGTCCTTCGG

>wheat KRP4D partial genomic exons2-3 $\begin{array}{lll} \multicolumn{2}{l}{{\small{\cdots}}} & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\small{\cdots}} & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\small{\cdots}} \\ \multicolumn{2}{l}{GGGGGGGTTTACTACTACCCACATTTTTTGTGTTTCCCATGGGCCTTGTTTTTGGTTTTGGTTGTGTTACTAGCC \\ \end{array}$ ACTAACCTTAGGCAAGTTTGGTGAGAAATGTGTGGCAAATTGTGGCATTGCTAGGCCTAGTTAGAACC AAACAACCCCGGATACTCATAAGGGGGGATTCCTTATTTCTTACGACCACGGATGATATCGATATGT ${\tt GTTCTTTTTTCATACCCTGTTAAGTTACAGGGTGATTTTCCCCTTTTGCTATACTTCCTCTTAT}$ GGTTGTTTCTAAAAATTGAGTGTGTATGTATGTTTTGTGGCTAGCAGGGTACCAGGGAGACGACGCC ${\tt CTCCAGCTTGATTAGGGACTCGGGAACGATAAGCACTCCTGGATCCACAACAAGACCAAGCCACTCGA}$ ${\tt TTCTCCTGCTGCGGGAGCGCAACAGCCAAGCCTTCATCGACGAGGTACGGCATTCTTTTGGTTCTCTCA}$ ${\tt GTCAGTTAACCTTGTCTAATTAAAAATCTTTCAATTTCGCAGTGAAAGAATGCCAACTCAGAGT}$ ${\tt GCAATGTGGTTTTGACACGTGATATGTTCACGCCTTTGCTCTTGATAAAAGTGTGATTATAACACTA}$ ${\tt CTCCCTCCACCTTAGCGTCGTAGAGGCGCCGCCGCTGTTAGCTTTCTTTCCGTTGTAAAAG}$ ${\tt AATTAGGGTTAGCCTGTAGTAGCCTCAATGGTCTTGTAACATACAGAAGTAATGCTGAGTTACACCCT}$ ${\tt AATCCCTCAAAACTCCAATGTAACGGTTAGCAGCTCATTCTGTAATGACCACA}$

>wheat KRP4D coding

38

SEO ID NO: 68

-continued

 ${\tt GCTGGGTGTCCGCACCCGCGGCGACGCTCGCGATGCAGAGGCAGCCCGCGGGGCCCCGGGGCCA}$

AGGACCAGGGGGAGTACCTGGAGCTCAGGAGCCGGAAGCTCGAGAAGCTGCCCCTGCCGCCGCCGCCGC GCGAGGAGGAGGCCGCCGCCGGCGAGCCTGTCGAGGCCGAGGCCGAGGCCGACGAGGTGTCCTTCGG ${\tt GGAGAACGTGCTCGAGTCGGAGGCCATGGGGAGGGGTACCAGGGAGACGACGCCCTGCAGCTTGATTTA}$ ${\tt GGGACTCGGGAACGATAAGCACTCCTGGATCCACACAAGACAAGCCAACTCGAATTCCCATCGCAGG}$ ${\tt GTCAAGCTCCAGCGCCCTATTATTCCATGTTCAGCAGAGATGAATGAGTTCTTCTCTCTGCTGCGGA}$ ${\tt GCAACCGCAACAGCAAGCCTTCATCGACAAGTACATCTGATCCTGTGAACGACTGTCCTCTCCCAG}$ GCCGATACGAGTGGGTGAAGCTAGACTGA SEQ ID NO: 69 >wheat KRP4D protein mgkymrkpkvsgevavmevaaaplgvrtraralamqrqpqgapgakdqgeylelrsrkleklplpppp arrraaaaerveaeaeadevsfgenvleseamgrgtrettpcslirdsgtistpgsttrpshsnshrr vqaparhiipcsaemneffsaaeqpqqqqafidkynfdpvndcplpgryewvkld* SEO ID NO: 70 >wheat KRP5A genomic AAGCCGGCGCCATGGGCAAGTACATGCGCAAGAGCAAGGCCTCGGGGGAGGTGGCCGTCATGGAGGTQ GCCGGCGCGCTGCTCGGCGTCCGCACCCGCTCCCGCACCCTCGCCGCGCAGCAGCAGCGCGCTCCGTQ CCCTTCGCCGCAGCGCAAGGGCCACGAGGACGGCGACTACCTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGGAGGCTCGAGA ATGGAGCAGGCGCCGTCGTCGTTCGCCGCCGAGGGCTTCGAGGCCGACCTCGAGGTCTCCTTCGGCGA CAACGTCCTGGACTGGGACGCCACCGACAGGTAACAACAGAGCACCAGACTTTTCTCTCCCCCCTTC AGCGCCGCCGCTGGTCCGGCGATTTTCTTCTCCATCAGGATTGAAGCGCCCAAATAGCCACACCTTCC GCTGATTGTGCCCGGATGCCTGCAAGAATCAAGGCCTCCGCTGGCCTTGATTTCCTCAAGCCTTAGCC GTTGGCTGGAGCTTGAAAGAATCGAAGAAACGCCTGTCCGCTGTGTTGACCCGGGAAAAAGGC ${\tt CTCAATCAACCCCAATCAAAGTGGGCGCTGCACTTGATTAGTGGAGCCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCCTCCA}$ GTGGCCGTGGCCGTGGCCTCCGCCTTTTCCCCGTAGTGGCAGGGAAAGTAGCCCCCTTTCCCCTTCC CTCTCCCGCCCTTTCGCCAGCAATTTCAATCCCACAAAGCCGAGCGCCACCGCCGTCGCGCTCAGGGC ${\tt CCATTCGCCACCCGCGTGGAAAATGGCAAGCTGCTCATCATTGGCCCTTGTACCGGAGCGCCAC}$ ${\tt CGCCGCATTGAATGCCTGCCCTTGTGGGAGGGATATGGCTGGACCTTTCCGCTTGAATGGACACTC}$

TGACCGGACCACGTTTTTGTTCTAGCCAGTGCCTCCATTCATATTTACCCCTTGGCCCTTGTTGTGAG CATTTGCACCAGCCACTTGAAGAGAAAAGATTTTACTTCTAGTAATTCAGGCCTTGGAAGACCTCGGT

-continued

 ${\bf A} {\bf A} {\bf T} {\bf G} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf A} {\bf G} {\bf C} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf A} {\bf A} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf A} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf G} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf C} {\bf G} {\bf A} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf G} {\bf A} {\bf T} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf G} {\bf T} {\bf G} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf G} {\bf T} {\bf T} {\bf C} {\bf C} {\bf C}$ ${\tt TGTTGCTTGTCTCAGCATTCAGTCTCTCAGCGTGGCTTGTGCTGCTGCTGCCCAATAATCAGGCAC}$ ACCTCACATTGTGTGATGTGGGGCACTTGTTAGCAATGAAATGGACAAGATCATGCGGCATGCTAGAA ${\tt TCTGCAGCAGTACTACGTAATTTGCAAGGCCCTCTTGTGCATTTCTAGCTTCTGAACCTCATGTTGTG}$ ${\tt CTTTCGTCGCTGCTGCGTGCAGGGCGCCAGGGAGAGAGCGGCGTGCAGCCTCATCTACTACAGCTCGGA}$ ${\tt CACCAGACCTTCAGGGAGCAGCTAAGAGCATGCTTCCTTCTGCTCTTCTTCACATACTGTAAANAGAAA}$ ${\tt CCGGCGCTACGAGTGGAGTGCTTGACTGCTAGAGGGCTTCATACCTCACCACCACCAGGAGCTCC}$ TCCATTGATCTCTGT

SEQ ID NO: 71 >wheat KRP5A coding ${\tt GCTCGGCGTCCGCCGCTCCGCCTCGCCGCGCAGCAGCAGCGCGCTCCGCTTCCCCGCGCCGCTTCGCCGC\\$ AGCGCAAGGCCACGAGGAGCACCACTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGGAGCTCGAGAAGCCCACGCCGCCGCC GCCGTCGTCGTCGCCGCCGAGGCCTTCGAGCCCGACCTCGAGGTCTCCTTCGGCGACAACGTCCTGG ${\tt ACTGGGACGCCACCGACAGGGGCCAGGGAGACGACGCCGTGCAGCCTCATCTACAGCTCGGAGACG}$ AGACCTTCAGGGACAAGTACAACTTCTGTCCTGCGAGGGCTGCCCGCTCCCCGGGCGGTACGAGTGG

ACGGTGCTAGACTGCTAG

SEQ ID NO: 73 >wheat KRP5B genomic ${\tt CTCCCCATTATTCCGGCATTCCCCCTCCCCTCCCCTCCCCAGCCAGCTGCCCACCGGAAGCAGAGG}$

GAAGCAGAGGAGAGGCCCGGGCCCGCCCATGGGGAAGTACATGCGCAAGAGCAAGCCCTCGGGGGAG STGGCCGTCATGGAGGTCGCCGGCGCGCTGCTCGGCGTCCGCACCCGCTCCCGCACCCTCGCCGCGCA GCAGCAGCGCGCCCCCCCCCCGTCCCCCTCGCCGCAGCGCAAGGGGCAGGAGGACGGCGACCCCGGGG

-continued

CTGGCGACTACCTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGGCGGCTCGAGAAGCAGCCGCCGCCGGGGGCCAGGGAGAAG GTCGTTCGCCGCCGAGGGGTTCGAGGCCGACCTCGAGGTCTCCTTCGGCGACAACGTGCTGGACTGG TCCGGTTCAGCCAGCAATCCCTTCTCGCGCCCGGGTGATACCAATACGATTGGGATTTATGCTTTATC GCGCTCCATTAGCGCCGCCGCGTGGTCTCAAATCTCAACTCTGAAGCGCCGGCAACCTCAAGAATCCC ACATCCACAGCTTCCGCTGATTGTGCCGGGATTCTTGCAAGAATCATCGGTTCTCCGTTTTCAGCCTG CCGTGTTGACCCGGCGAAAAAGGCCCCCATTTCCCCCCCTCCAAAGAAGCCACCATTTTTCCCGTCCA ${\bf AACAATCAAAGGGCACTCAATCAACCCCAACCCCAATCAAAGTGGGCGCTGCACTTGATTAGTGGAG}$ ${\tt CCTCCTCCAGAAATCAGTGGACCTCCTCCCCTCCAGTGGCCGCCGTCGCTCCGCCTTTTTCCCG}$ ${\tt ATAGTGGCAGAGGAAAGTAGCCCCCTTTCCATTCCCCCTTCCCCACCACCGCCCCTCCATTGGCTCG}$ ACTCAGGGCCCAGTTTCTCGCCCGCCCGCCCGCCAGCCGCCACCGCCGTGGGCGAAAATGGCATGCAG CTCATCATCAGCACTTGTACCAGAGCGCCACCGCCGCCATTGAATGCTCGCTGGCCTCCTGTTAGCTT CCTGACCGGGCCTTGAGTGGACGCCGGACCACGTTTTTGTTCGGAACAGATTTTACTTTGGTCAGGCC ${\tt CGTGGTCCCTTTGCCCGGCGTATGCATGTTGGACGGCCCCATTTAGCTCGCTTGGCTTGGCCGT}$ ${\tt GTTAGGCCAAGTTGTTTGTTTTTGTCAGCGTCCAGTCATTCAGCGTGCTTGTCTTGCTTGCGCTGCACCA\\$ ${\bf ATGCTAGAAAATGAATGAGCTGTCGTTCGACTTCCTGTAGCTTGCTGTCACCGAGCTCACCAACC}$ AAGCTTGCATCTGCAGTAGTAATTTGCAAGACCTCGTGTGCATTTCAGCTTCTGAACCTCATGTGCTG ${\tt CACCCCGGGG4TCGGCGACGGQAGCCCGCAA CCATTCCCGGCGCAGGGCGCAGACGCCGG7GTGCCGCT}$ ${\bf ACCCCGAGCTCGCTCGAGATGGACGATTCTTCGCCCGCCGGGAGCAGCAGCAGCACCAGGCTTC}$ **AGGGACAAGT**AAGAAGAACTCTGCCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCTTCACCTGAACTATGCATACGGCAAA GCGAAACTTGCTGACACTGGACTGCTCTGATCTAAAAATAACCAGGTACAACTTCTGCCCGGCGACCG AGCGCCCGCTCCCGGGGGGGTACGAGTGGACCGTGCTAGACTGCTAGGCCTTCCTCATACCTCACACC ACCACCACCACCACCAGGAGCTCCTCCATTGATCTCGT 2 Nucleotides (shaded gray) of wheat KRP5B above are changed to G in Express, in addition to having the 1-bp deletion in exon 1. This leads to a P>A change in protein below due to the SNP polymorphisms between UC1041 and Express and perhaps premature truncation of the

>wheat KRP5B coding ATGGGGAAGTACATGCGAAGAGCAAGACCCTCGGGGAGGTGGCCGTCAtGGAGGTCGCCGCCCCC

SEQ ID NO: 74

protein due to the 1-bp deletion. Sequences, as portrayed, are UC-

specific.

GCTCGGCGTCCGCACCCGCTCCCGCACCCTCGCCGCGCAGCAGCAGCGCGCCCCCTCCCCGTCCCCCT

CGCCGCAGCGCAAGGGCAGGAGGACGCGCGACCCCGGGCTGGCGACTACCTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGG

 ${\tt GCCCGCCCGCCTGCGCGGAGGAGGATGGAGCAGCGCCGTCGTTCGCCCGCCGAGGGGTTCGAGGCCG$ ACGCCGTGCAGCCTGATCTACAGCTCGGAGACGATGAGCACCCCGGGGTCGGCGAGGGAGCCCGCAA ${\tt TCTTCGCCCGCCGGAGCAGCAGCACCAGGAGCTTCAGGGACAAGTACAACTTCTGCCCGGCGAGC}$ GAGCGCCCGCTCCCGGGGCGGTACGAGTGGACGGTGCTAGACTGCTAG

>wheat KRP5B protein

>wheat KRP5D genomic

1-bp deletion leads to shift in translation frame and assuming correct splice site is used, the protein is predicted to have some new amino acids and truncate prematurely mgkymrkskpsgevavmevagallgvrtrsrtlaaqqqrapspspspqrkgqedgdpgagdylelrsr

 ${\tt rlek} {\tt qpp} {\tt pgareked} {\tt aqqrpr} {\tt gqppp} {\tt lagg} {\tt wsrrrrs} {\tt ppr} {\tt gsrps} {\tt at tawtgt} {\tt pptg} {\tt apgrr}$

rraa*

SEQ ID NO: 76

SEQ ID NO: 75

AATGGGGAAGTACATGCGTAAGAGCAAGGCCTCGGGGGAGGTGGCCGTCATGGAGGTCGCCGGCGCGC CAGCGCAAGGGCCACGAGGACGGCGACTACCTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGGAGGCTCGAGAAGCAGCCGCC TCGCGGCCGAGGGGTTCGAGGCCGACCTCGAGGTCTCCTTCGGCGACAACGTGCTGGACTGGGACGCC **ACCGACAGGTAAGAACAGAGCACCAGCGCCTTCTTTCCTCCCCCCTTCCTCTCCCCTCAATCCTTCCC** CTCCGGTTCAGTCGGCAATCCCCTCCGCCCCGGCCGATACCAATACGATTGAGGTTTAGGGTTCATAT CCGCCGCTGTTTCGTTCTGCTCCATTAGCGCCGCCGCTGCGCGCCTCGAATCTCAACACGAATCCCC TCCCCTCTCAAACGAGCGCCGCCGCTGGCCCGCTGGTTTTCTCCACAGGATTGAGCCAAACCTTGTGC TGATTTCGCCCGGATGCTTGCGGGAATAATCCCTTGCAGTTTCCTGATTTTCCTCAAGCTGGAGCCGT TGGCCGTAGCTTTGAAAGAATCCAAGAAACGCCTGCCCGCCGTGTTGACCCGGCGAAAAAGGGCCCC CAATCAACCCCCAATCAAAGTGGGCGCTGCACTCGATTAGTGGAGCCTCCTCCTCCAGTGGCCGTGGC CTTTTCCCCGTAGTGGCAGGGGAAAGTAGCCTTCCCCACCATAGCCGCCCTCCATTGGCTTGGCCTCA ATCTTTCCCAACAGCAACCAGAGGGGAGAGGCCCCTCTCCCGCTCTTTCGCCAGCAATTTCAATCCCCC GGCCTCTTGTTAGCTTCCTGACCGGACGTTGAATGGACACCGGACCACGTTATTGTTCAGACGCTTGG GGTGAAAGGGAGCTGCCTCCGTTAAATTACCTGGTGTTGTGAGTGCACCAGCCACTTGAACAGCACAA ${\tt ATTITACTTACTGGTAGTTCAGGCCTTGGAAGACCTCAGTAAATATTCTTCTCCGGCTTATTTAAT}$ ${\tt TCTACTTACGTTCGTATGATTGGTCTCGTGGTCCCGTTGTCCGGCGTATGCATGTTGAACGCGCCCAT}$ ${\tt GTCTCTCACCAATTATCAGGTACACCTGACATTGTCTAGCGTGGGCACTTGCAAATAATGGAATGGAA}$ ${\tt CAAAATCATGCTAGAACATGAGCTGTCGTTCAACTTCCTGTAGCTTGGTCTCTGAGCTCACCA}$

ACCCAGCTTGCATCTGCAGTAATTTGCAAGACCTCGTGTGCATTTCAGCTTCTGAACCTCATGTTGCT ${\tt TGCAGGSCGCTAGGGAGACGGCGCTGCAGCCTGATCTTGCAGCTCGGAGACGATGAGCACCCCGG}$ GTCGGCGACCGGGGCCCGCAACCATTCCCGCCGCAGGCCGCAGACGCCGGTCTGCCGCTACGTCCCGA GCTCGCTCGAGATGGACGAGTTCTTCGCCGCCGCGGAGCAGCAGCAACACCAGACCTTCAGGGAGAAG TAAGAACTCTGCCTCCTCCTACCACCATCATTTAAACATGCTCACTGAAGATCAAGCTTCTTGTTCAT ACAATTGTTCTAACACTCGCTGCTTCATTCTAATCAGGTAGAACTTCTGTGCGGCGAGGGAAGCGCCCG ${\tt CTCCCCGGACGGTTCCAGACGGTGCTGGACTGCTAGGGCTTCTTCATACCTCACATCACCACCAC}$ CACCAGGAGCTCCTCCATTGATCTCTGTAACACCAGAATGACCACCACCATCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCA TGTCATATGCCGTGGGCGCGATGCAAATGCAGTAGCGTTAGGTTTCTGATTCACCTGTTGTAAAAAC ${\tt CTGTAACCGTCGTTAGTTAACAACATCTCATTTCGGTAGGCTCTAGCTTGATTAGCAGCTCGGTTATC}$ ${\tt TTCTGTATCCCGGTCCTCCATCAATGAATGAATCAMAGCTAGATTTATTTT}$

>wheat KRP5D coding

ATGGGGAAGTACATGCGTAAGAGCAAGCCTCGGGGAGGTGGCCTCATGGAGGTCGCCGCCCCC ${\tt GCTCGGCGTCCGCACCGCTCCGCCTCGCCGCGCAGCAGCAGCGCGCTCCGCTTCGCCGCCGCTTCGCCGC\\$ ${\bf AGCGCAAGGGCCACGAGGACGGCCGACTACCTCGAGCTCAGGAGCAGGGCTCGAGAAGCAGCCGCCG}$ ${\tt CGGGGCCCAAGGACAAGGAGGACGCCCCAGCCGGCCGGCCGGCTGGGAGGGGGATGGAGTCGTT}$ CGCGGCCGAGGGGTTCGAGGCCGACCTCGAGGTCTCCTTCGGCGACAACGTGCTGGACTGGACGCCA ${\tt CGGACAGGGGGCCAGGGAGAGACGACGGCCGGACCTGATCTACAGCTCGGAGACGATGAGCACCCCC}$ ${\tt GGGTCGGCGACCGGGCCCAACCATTCCCGCCGCGCAGGGCAGACGCCGGTCTGCCGCTACGTCCCCAGGCGCAGGCGCAGGCGCAGGCGCCTCTCCGCCTACGTCCCCACGCGCTCTGCCGCTCTCCGTCTCCGCTCTCCCCGCGCTCTCCGCTCTCGCCGCGCTCTCCGCTCTCGCCGCGCACGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTCTCGCCGCTC$ GAGCTCGCTCGAGATGGACGAGTTCTTCGCCGCCGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAACCAGACCTTCAGGGAGA ${\tt AGTACAACTTCTGTCCCGGAGCGAGCGCCCGCTCCCGGACGGTACGAGTGGACGGTGCTGGACTGC}$

TAG

Stop

>OsKRP2 protein ${\tt MGKKKKRDOGAAARRQARVVVGGVRTRAAVTARRVVASAEEGCGLVGRGGGGGGGGDDGEGGCYLRLRS}$

 $\texttt{RRLPFVAAAVVSSRREEALGDSVAEAASSSSSRAVELLGCSGEEEAMAEKVCTQAGEDHDEESSVGDS}$

GCGRERSATTPSSRRPPGDADSSDAESNOEAKOOMCRRSSTTSAAAFHAGATTRSFRMMAPPAAAAEI

EEFLAAAERSEAERFAAKYNFDVVRGVPLDAGGAGRFEWTAVGSG

SEQ ID NO: 77

SEQ ID NO: 79

-continued

 ${\tt GGGGOGGSCCYIHLRSRMLFMAAPQQQPSAAPT PAEAAGAAQQGGVVALAAGLSRCSSTAS TVDVGG}$

- Continued

OOPASGSHACRSDAAEVAGDHVPDVVTASNSGSVPDRERRETTPSSSRAHGGELSDLESDLVGWOKTG

CSSSPATTTSAAELIVPPAOEIOEFFAA

SEQUENCE LISTING

 $<160>$ NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 87 $\,$ <210s, SEQ ID NO 1 &211s LENGTH: 122 212. TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 1 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Cys Arg Ala Glu Asp Gly Ala Val Gly 1. 5 10 15 15 10 15 1 $\,$ Gly Val Glu Val Thr Gln Ala Val Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Ala
20 25 30 Ala Ala Asn Val Val Val Ser Lys Arg Arg Pro Leu Pro Pro 35 40 40 Gly Ser Pro Ser Ala Ser Ser Ser Leu Ala Arg Ala Gln Gly Gly Ser
50 55 60 Cys Tyr Leu Lys Leu Arg Ser Arg Met Leu Phe Met Ala Pro Pro Ala 65 70 80 Pro Ala Ser Gly Ala Ala Ala Gly His Gly Pro Ala Pro Pro Leu Pro 85 90 95 Ala Gly Lieu. Ser Arg Cys Ser Ser Thr Ala Ser Ser Val Asp Ala Ser 1OO 105 11 O Ala Ala Ala Gln Asp Arg Ser Leu Pro Ser
115 120 <210s, SEQ ID NO 2 &211s LENGTH: 244 $<$ 212 > TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 2 Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Ala Gly Ala Arg Asp Ala Lys Met 1. $$\rm 10$$ Arg Lys Gl
n Gl
n Ala Thr Thr Ser Thr Ala Ala Arg Ala Val Glu $\,$ 25 $\,$
 $\,$ 30 $\,$ Asp Ala Leu Leu. Gly Arg Asp Gly Gly Asp Ala Ala Ala Gly Cys Tyr 35 40 40 Leu His Leu Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu Phe Met Pro Ala Ala Ala Val Val 50 60 Asp Arg Gly Gly Gly Gly Gly Leu Cys Glu Glu Ala Ser Thr Ala Gly 65 70 70 75 80 Lieu Pro Asp Ser Gly Pro Ser Val Glu Ala Ala Val Gly Ala Gly Val 85 90 95 Ser Arg Cys Ser Ser Thr Val Ser Thr Ala Val Asp Val Ala Ala Arg 1OO 105 11 O Glu Arg Ser Gly Asp Glu Ala Glu Ala Cys Glu Ser Arg Asp Val Glu
115 120 125 Ser Ser Val Ser Asp Ser Glu Cys Gly Gly Arg Asp Arg Arg Glu Thr

- Continued

130 **135** 140 Thr Pro Ser Ser Arg Ser Pro Val Asp Leu Ser Asp Lieu. Glu Ser Ser 145 150 155 160 Gln Ala Ala Asp Glu Gln Lys His Lys Arg Arg Arg Cys Pro Ala Thr 1.65 170 175 Thr Thr Thr Ala Ala Pro Leu His Tyr Asp Leu Glu Ala Arg Ala 180 190 Arg Ala Arg Met Pro Pro Ala Ala Glu Ile Asp Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala 195 2OO 2O5 Ala Glu Lys Ala Gl
n Ala Glu Arg Phe Ala Ala Lys Tyr Asn. Phe Asp $210\qquad 215\qquad 220$ Val Ala Arg Gly Val Pro Leu Asn Ala Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Thr Pro 225 230 240 Val Ala Thr Val <210s, SEQ ID NO 3 &211s LENGTH: 190 $<$ 212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 3 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Pro Lys Val Ser Gly Glu Val Ala Val 1. Met Glu Val Ala Ala Ala Pro Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ala Arg Ala 20 Leu Ala Met Gl
n Arg Gl
n Pro Gl
n Gly Ala Ala Val Ala Lys Asp Gl
n $35\quad 40\quad 45$ Gly Glu Tyr Leu Glu Leu Arg Ser Arg Lys Leu Glu Lys Leu Pro Pro 50 $$\,$ 55 $$\,$ 60 $$\,$ Pro Pro Pro Ala Ala Arg Arg Arg Ala Ala Ala Ala Glu Arg Val Glu 65 70 7s 8O Ala Glu Ala Glu Ala Asp Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Glu Asn Val Leu Glu
85 90 95 Ser Glu Ala Met Gly Arg Gly Thr Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys Ser Leu.
100 105 110 Ile Arg Asp Ser Gly Thr Ile Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Thr Thr Arg Pro 115 120 125 Ser His Ser Asn. Ser His Arg Arg Val Gln Ala Pro Ala Arg His Ile 130 140 Ile Pro Cys Ser Ala Glu Met Asn Glu Phe Phe Ser Ala Ala Glu Gln 145 150 155 160 Pro Gl
n Gl
n Ala Phe Ile Asp Lys Tyr Asn. Phe Asp Pro Val Asn. 165 170 175 1 Asp Cys Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Val Lys Leu Asp 180 190 <210s, SEQ ID NO 4 &211s LENGTH: 190 $<$ 212 > TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum urartu <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 4 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Pro Lys Val Ser Gly Glu Val Ala Val 1. 5 10 15 10

- Continued

Met Glu Val Ala Ala Ala Pro Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ala Arg Ala
20 25 30 Leu Ala Met Gl
n Arg Gl
n Pro Gl
n Gly Ala Pro Gly Ala Lys Asp Gl
n $35\quad 40\quad 45$ Gly Glu Tyr Leu. Glu Leu. Arg Ser Arg Lys Leu. Glu Lys Leu. Pro Pro 50 60 Pro Pro Pro Pro Ala Arg Arg Arg Ala Ala Ala Ala Glu Arg Val Glu 65 70 7s 8O Ala Glu Ala Glu Ala Asp Lys Val Ser Phe Gly Glu Asn Val Leu Glu
85 90 95 Pro Glu Ala Met Gly Arg Gly Thr Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys Ser Leu. 100 105 110 Ile Arg Asp Ser Gly Met Ile Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Thr Thr Arg Pro 115 126 Ser His Ser Asn. Ser His Arg Arg Val Gl
n Ala Pro Ala Arg His Ile 130 140 $\,$ Ile Pro Ser Ser Ala Glu Met Asn. Glu Phe Phe Ser Ala Ala Glu Gln 145 145 150 150 155 160 Pro Gl
n Gl
n Ala Phe Ile Asp Lys Tyr Asn. Phe Asp Pro Val Asn. 165 170 175 175 1 Asp Cys Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Val Lys Leu Asp 180 190 <210s, SEQ ID NO 5 &211s LENGTH: 141 212. TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 5 Gly Thr Arg Lys Glu Lys Gly Asp Ala Pro Gl
n Pro Ala Ala Arg Arg $\,$ 15 10 15 Ala Ala Ala Ala Gly Gly Arg Gly Met Glu Ser Phe Ala Ala Glu Gly
20 30 Phe Glu Ala Asp Leu. Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Asp Asn Val Leu. Asp Trp 35 $40\qquad 45$ Asp Ala Thr Asp Arg Gly Ala Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys Ser Leu Ile 50 60 Tyr Ser Ser Glu Thr Met Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Ala Thr Gly Ala Arg 65 70 75 80 Asn His Ser Arg Arg Arg Ala Gln Thr Pro Val Cys Arg Tyr Val Pro 85 95 Ser Ser Leu Glu Met Asp Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Gln Gln Gln 100 105 110 His Gln Thr Phe Arg Glu Lys Tyr Asn Phe Cys Pro Ala Ser Glu Arg 115 120 125 Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Thr Val Leu Asp Cys 130 140 <210s, SEQ ID NO 6 &211s LENGTH: 2O $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer

- Continued

-continued

 $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 13 $<211>$ LENGTH: $21\,$ $<\!212\!>$ TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: artificial $<\!220\!>$ FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer $<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 13 ctagtgttgc atagttagct c $2\sqrt{1}$ $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 14 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 19 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 14 19 caaacggcca aagcgacgg <210> SEQ ID NO 15 $< 211 >$ LENGTH: 20 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer $<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 15 tetegeetee gagtetaagt 20 <210> SEQ ID NO 16
<211> LENGTH: 19 <212> TYPE: DNA
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer $<400>$ SEQUENCE: 16 caaacggcca aagcgacgg 19 <210> SEQ ID NO 17 $< 211 >$ LENGTH: 21 $<212>$ TYPE: \texttt{DNA} <213> ORGANISM: artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 17 $2\sqrt{1}$ cagatttgaa caaggtggat c $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 18 $<\!211\!>$ LENGTH: 28 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer $<400>$ SEQUENCE: 18 28 tacccgcgcc tcgcttaaat ccgccaaa <210> SEQ ID NO 19

 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 30

- Continued

$-$ continued

- Continued

<210s, SEQ ID NO 32 &211s LENGTH: 21 $<\!212\!>~\mathrm{TYPE}$: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 32 cacacctcac attgtgtgat g 21 <210s, SEQ ID NO 33 &211s LENGTH: 2O $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 33 acagagatca atggaggagc 20 <210s, SEQ ID NO 34 &211s LENGTH: 2O &212s. TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer < 4 OO SEQUENCE: 34 tgcgcct cac attgtctago 20 <210> SEQ ID NO 35
<211> LENGTH: 20 &212s. TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 35 acagagatca atggaggagc $20\,$ <210s, SEQ ID NO 36 &211s LENGTH: 21 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 36 atgctagaac atgagctgtc. g 21 <210s, SEQ ID NO 37 &211s LENGTH: 2O $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM; artificial $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <4 OO > SEQUENCE: 37 20 gctgatggtg gtggtcattc <210s, SEQ ID NO 38 &211s LENGTH: 467

- Continued

-continued

Val Val Ser Ser Arg Ser 260 $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 40 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 1410 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <400> SEQUENCE: 40 cctgggcgtc ggatcgggac ccgatccccc ggctcccccc ttggcgtgtt atatgcgtac 60 gcacccacgc gcacggggcg cactccggac gggggagaga atccaaagag cagcccagcg 120 180 qcatcatacc cetecetece acceaceqqc qeeqeqetqc aqacqcaaac qqccaaaqqc 240 gagcggcgtg gggcggagcg cggggcgatg gggaagtaca tgcgcaagtg cagggcggag gacggcgcgg tgggcggcgt ggaggtcacg caggccgtcg gcgtccgcac ccggtcccgc 300 360 gcggccgcgg ccaacgtcgt cgtctccaag aggaggcgcc cgctgccgcc cggctcgccg tequesteqt eqteceteqe teqeqeecaq qqeqqqaqet qetacetqaa qetqeqqaqe 420 cqcatqctqt tcatqqcccc qccqqcqccc qcatcqqqqq ctqccqccqq qcacqqqccq 480 gegeegeege teeeggeegg eetgtegege tgeteeagea eggegtegte egtggaegeg 540 600 tcqqccqcqq cqcaqqacaq qaqcctqctc tcqtqcqqct ccqacqccqc tqccaacaac aaggtgaggg aattgggtcc aaaccctaga attcggatac gattcgagat ctcctttttg 660 accgaaaccc gtgtctttct ccgctctgca ggcaggcgcc ccggagggct cggcgagcaa 720 caacgcggag agcggcggca accgcgagag gtgcgagatc gaattccgtc ttctttccag 780 cgaattettg tgaattatge eteetgeegt geteetgaee eegteeeget egeegttttt 840 gaaaattcag gcgagagacg acgccgtcca gccatttccc cggcgacctg agcgacctgg 900 agtcggatet ggeggggeag aacageggee ggtegteget geegeaaaeg eegaeegeee 960 aggcccagcc cgccgcgagg tcgagggtcc cgccggcggc cgagatcgag gagttcttcg 1020 cggccgccga ggaggccgag gccaggcggt tcgcttgcaa gtaagtgctt ttagcagcag 1080 cggaaactet aatteteeae ttegtegeeg gagttetaae gtgagagett tetetegeeg 1140 tggccaggta caacttcgac gtggcccgcg gcgtgccgct cggctccggc cggtacgagt 1200 ggaccccggc ggtgagcagc agctaggcag gcgacgaaag cgggcgtgca aaggggggag 1260 agaagccgta gctagaaagt tactcactgt agagctgggg cgccggccgg ccggccggcc 1320 gtgtagaaag gccaagggaa aaagatgctc cggaaagaag aaaagaagca ttatagccta 1380 accaaccaac caaccaccga tcatcaacaa 1410 <210> SEQ ID NO 41 < 211 > LENGTH: 744 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <400> SEQUENCE: 41 atggggaagt acatgcgcaa gtgcagggcg gaggacggcg cggtgggcgg cgtggaggtc 60 acgcaggccg tcggcgtccg cacccggtcc cgcgcggccg cggccaacgt cgtcgtctcc 120 aagaggagge geeegetgee geeeggeteg eegteggeet egtegteeet egetegegee 180 cagggcggga gctgctacct gaagctgcgg agccgcatgc tgttcatggc cccgccggcg 240

-continued

tacaactteg aegtggeeeg eggegtgeet etegaeteeg geeggtaega gtggaeeeeg

 $<400>$ SEQUENCE: 48

.
Continued

Arg Gln Gly Gly Ala Ala Val Val Glu His Arg Ala Pro Val Ala Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Ala Ala Ala Leu Asn Ala Lys Met Arg Lys Gln Gln Gln Ala Thr Thr Ser Thr Ala Ala Arg Ala Val Glu Asp Ala Leu Leu Gly Arg Asp Gly Gly Asp Ala Ala Ala Gly Cys Tyr Leu His Leu Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu Phe Met Pro Ala Ser Ala Ala Val Asp Gln Leu Arg Gly Leu Gly Ala Asp Glu Glu Ala Ser Thr Ala Gly Leu Pro Asp Ser Arg Pro Ser Val Glu Ala Ala Val Val Ala Gly Val Ser Arg Cys Ser Ser Thr Ala Ser Thr Ala Val Asp Val Ala Ala Arg Glu Arg Ser Gly Asp Glu Ala Glu Ala Cys Glu Ser Gly Asp Val Glu Ser Ser Val Ser Asp Ser Glu Cys Gly Gly Arg Asp Arg Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Ser Ser His Ser Pro Ala Asp Leu Ser Asp Leu Glu Ser Ser Gln Ser Ala Asp Glu Gln Lys His Lys Arg Arg Arg Tyr Pro Ala Thr Thr Thr Thr Thr Ala Ala Pro Phe Arg Leu Asp Leu Glu Ala Arg Ala Arg Met Pro Pro Ala Ala Glu Ile Asp Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Lys Ala Gln Ala Glu Arg Phe Ala Ala Lys Tyr Asn Phe Asp Val Ala Arg Gly Val Pro Leu Asn Ala Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Thr Pro Val Ala Thr \mathtt{Val} <210> SEQ ID NO 52 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 1364 $<\!212\!>$ TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum $<400>$ SEQUENCE: 52 tttcgtccgt tcgcggatgg ggaagtacat gcggaagtgc aggggcgcgg ccgcgggcgg cggcagggcg gcgccggccg tcgtggagca ccgcgcgccg gtggccctcg gcgtccgcac gcggtcccgc gcggccgcct tcgacgctaa gaggaggaag cagcaggcga cgacgtccac ggcagcgcgc gcggtggacg atgcgttgct gggccgtgac ggcggcgacg cggccggcgg gtgctacctg catctccgga gcaggaggct gttcatgcct gcttccgcgg tggtggatcg gctccgggga cagggggggg acgaggaggc ttcgacggcg aggctggcgg attccgggcc ttccgtggag gcgggggtcg tcgccggggt ctcgcgctgc tcgagcaccg cgtccacggc agcagacgtg gcggctagag agaggagcgg cgacgaagca gaggtgagtg gtccactgcc ctagaattct ccgctacttc gagctgtcga tcgggccatt tctgctgctg aattaggagg

Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Cys Arg Gly Ala Ala Ala Gly Gly Gly 10 5 15

 $<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 54

 $<\!212\!>$ TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum

<210> SEQ ID NO 54 <211> LENGTH: 271

aaaaagaaca gaataatccc atgcacagca ggcctagagc taga 1364 $<210>$ SEO ID NO 53 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 816 $<212>$ TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum $<400>$ SEOUENCE: 53 atggggaagt acatgcggaa gtgcaggggc gcggccgcgg gcggcggcag ggcggcgccg 60 geegtegtgg ageaeegege geeggtggee eteggegtee geaegeggte eegegeggee 120 gccttcgacg ctaagaggag gaagcagcag gcgacgacgt ccacggcagc gcgcgcggtg 180 gacgatgcgt tgctgggccg tgacggcggc gacgcggccg gcgggtgcta cctgcatctc 240 cggagcagga ggctgttcat gcctgcttcc gcggtggtgg atcggctccg gggacagggg 300 360 gcggacgagg aggcttcgac ggcgaggctg gcggattccg ggccttccgt ggaggcgggg 420 gtcgtcgccg gggtctcgcg ctgctcgagc accgcgtcca cggcagcaga cgtggcggct agagagagga gcggcgacga agcagaggcg tgcgagagtc gcgacgtgga gagctccgtc 480 agcgactctg agtgcggcgg ccgggacagg agggaggcga cgccgtcgag ccgttcgccg 540 gtagatttga gcgacctgga gtcgagccag gcggcggacg agcagaagca caaacgcagg 600 aggtgtccgg cagcaacgac ggcggcagca gcgccattcc acttagactc ggaggcgaga 660 gcaaggatgc cacccgcggc agagatcgac gagttcttcg ccgccgccga gaaggcccag 720 780 gccgagcact tcgcggccaa gtacaacttc gacgtcgcgc gcggcgtgcc tctcaacgcc ggccggttcg agtggacccc ggtggccacc gtctga 816

gcgactctga gtgcggcggc cgggacaggt gagtcctcct ctctcgatat ataccgacgg 660 gaattetget gaattateea ttgtttteta eteeaeaagg tgatettgag ttgaggggee 720 tggetttget tetgaatttg acetgttgga ttgtaetaat eeaggaggga ggegaegeeg 780 tcgagccgtt cgccggtaga tttgagcgac ctggagtcga gccaggcggc ggacgagcag 840 aagcacaaac gcaggaggtg tccggcagca acgacggcgg cagcagcgcc attccactta 900 gactcggagg cgagagcaag gatgccaccc gcggcagaga tcgacgagtt cttcgccgcc 960 gccgagaagg cccaggccga gcacttcgcg gccaagtaag tggaaattta caatcgagcg 1020 catcegeaeg caegtacata etecegtett ggeagteget ecategtegt caeagaegte 1080 1140 cccgtgccta gctaagcatt gtgctgccgc tgcctcattg caggtacaac ttcgacgtcg 1200 egegeggegt geeteteaae geeggeeggt tegagtggae eeeggtggee aeegtetgag gctctgatgc aattggcggg gagcgtagcg gcggctcgcg tagaaaggga aggcctgctg 1260 1320 ggagtgaaaa gagacgctga tccaaccccc aaaggaaaac agtaaagaga aagaggagtg

tttggtteet atgtettgte etgeaggegt gegagagteg egaegtggag ageteegtea

-continued

600

-continued

-211> LENGTH: 190
<211> LENGTH: 190
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum

 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 1071
 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum

 $<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 63

-continued

$-$ continued

-continued

ccgtcgcgct cagggcccca ttcgccaccg ccgtgggtga aaatggcaag ctgctcatca 1200 ttggcccttg taccggageg ccacegccgc cattgaatge etgcccttgt etggagggat 1260 atggetggae ettteegett gaatggaeae tetgaeegga eeaegttttt gttetageea 1320 gtgeeteeat teatatttae eeettggeee ttgttgtgag eatttgeaee ageeaettga 1380 agagaaaaga ttttacttct agtaattcag gccttggaag acctcggtaa atgtttcccc 1440 agettettta atteeaeaee ttgttegtag gattgatete gegegtggte eettgteeee 1500 eggegtatge atgttgaaeg tgeteeeeee atttageage ttgettggee gtattaggee 1560 aagttgttge ttgettgtea geatteagte atteagegtg ettgtgetge tgetgegeea 1620 ataatcaggc acacctcaca ttgtgtgatg tggggcactt gttagcaatg aaatggacaa 1680 gatcatgcgg catgctagaa aatgaatgag ctgtcgtgtt cagcttcctg tagcttggtc 1740 tcatctqaqc tcaccaacca qqcttqattc tqcaqcaqta ctacqtaatt tqcaaqqccc 1800 tettgtgeat ttetagette tgaaeeteat gttgtgetgt tegteggtge tgegtgeagg 1860 1920 ggcgccaggg agacgacgcc gtgcagcctc atctacagct cggagacgat gagcaccccc gggtcggcga ccggaggagc ccgcaaccac tcccgccgca gggcgcagac gccggtctgc 1980 cgctacgtgc cgagctcgct ggagatggac gagttcttcg ccgccgccga gcagcagcaa 2040 caccagacct tcagggacaa gtaagagcat gettecttet getettette acatactgta 2100 2160 aanaqaaact tqctaacact cqactqtqat qttqaaatca qqtacaactt ctqtcctqcq aggggctgcc cgctccccgg gcggtacgag tggacggtgc tagactgcta gggcttcata 2220 ecteacacca ceaccaggag etectecatt gatetetgt 2259 $<210>\text{ SEQ ID NO }71$ < 211 > LENGTH: 630 $<$ 212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <400> SEOUENCE: 71 60 atgggcaagt acatgcgcaa gagcaaggcc tcgggggagg tggccgtcat ggaggtcgcc ggcgcgctgc tcggcgtccg cacccgctcc cgcaccctcg ccgcgcagca gcagcgcgct 120 ccgtcccctt cgccgcagcg caagggccac gaggacggcg actacctcga gctcaggagc 180 aggaggctcg agaagcagcc gccgccgggg cccaaggaca aggaggacgc gccgcagccg 240 ccggccgccg gtgggaggag gatggagcag gcgccgtcgt cgttcgccgc cgagggcttc 300 gaggccgacc tcgaggtctc cttcggcgac aacgtcctgg actgggacgc caccgacagg 360 ggcgccaggg agacgacgcc gtgcagcctc atctacagct cggagacgat gagcaccccc 420 480 gggtcggcga ccggaggagc ccgcaaccac tcccgccgca gggcgcagac gccggtctgc cgctacgtgc cgagctcgct ggagatggac gagttcttcg ccgccgccga gcagcagcaa 540 caccagacct tcagggacaa gtacaacttc tgtcctgcga ggggctgccc gctccccggg 600 cggtacgagt ggacggtgct agactgctag 630

 $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 72 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 209 $<$ 212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum

<400> SEQUENCE: 72

Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Ser Lys Ala Ser Gly Glu Val Ala Val $\overline{5}$ 10 Met Glu Val Ala Gly Ala Leu Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Thr 25 30 Leu Ala Ala Gln Gln Gln Arg Ala Pro Ser Pro Ser Pro Gln Arg Lys 35 40 45 Gly His Glu Asp Gly Asp Tyr Leu Glu Leu Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu Glu $5\overline{5}$ 50 60 Lys Gln Pro Pro Pro Gly Pro Lys Asp Lys Glu Asp Ala Pro Gln Pro 70 Pro Ala Ala Gly Gly Arg Arg Met Glu Gln Ala Pro Ser Ser Phe Ala 85 90 Ala Glu Gly Phe Glu Ala Asp Leu Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Asp Asn Val 100 105 110 Leu Asp Trp Asp Ala Thr Asp Arg Gly Ala Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys 120 125 115 Ser Leu Ile Tyr Ser Ser Glu Thr Met Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Ala Thr 130 135 140 Gly Gly Ala Arg Asn His Ser Arg Arg Arg Ala Gln Thr Pro Val Cys 145 150 155 160 Arg Tyr Val Pro Ser Ser Leu Glu Met Asp Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala 170 175 165 Glu Gln Gln Gln His Gln Thr Phe Arg Asp Lys Tyr Asn Phe Cys Pro 180 185 190 Ala Arg Gly Cys Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Thr Val Leu Asp 195 200 205 cys <210> SEQ ID NO 73 $<$ 211> LENGTH: 2282 $<\!212\!>$ TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <400> SEQUENCE: 73 ctccccatta ttccgcgatt cccctcccct cccctccctc ccagccagct gcccaccgga agcagaggga agcagaggag aggccggggc cggcgccatg gggaagtaca tgcgcaagag 120 180 caagccctcg ggggaggtgg ccgtcatgga ggtcgccggc gcgctgctcg gcgtccgcac cegetecege acectegeeg egeageagea gegegeeeee teeeegteee eetegeegea 240 gcgcaagggg caggaggacg gcgaccccgg ggctggcgac tacctcgagc tcaggagcag 300 360 gcggctcgag aagcagccgc cgccgggggc cagggagaag gaggacgcgc cgcagccggc cgcgaggagg gccgccgccg ctggcgggag gaggatggag caggcgccgt cgttcgccgc 420 cgaggggttc gaggccgacc tcgaggtctc cttcggcgac aacgtgctgg actgggacgc $4\,8\,0$ caccgacagg taacaacaga gcaccagttc tttctttctt tctttcttcc cccaatcccc 540 cctctccggt tcagccagca atcccttctc gcgcccgggt gataccaata cgattgggat 600 ttatgettta tegegeteea ttagegeege egegtggtet eaaateteaa etetgaageg 660 ccggcaacct caagaatccc ctccctatga gtttcctcag acgagcgccg acgctggttt 720 tettteteee eaggattgaa gegeeeaaae ateeaeaget teegetgatt gtgeegggat 780

$-$ continued

.
Continued

$75\,$

$-$ continued

- Continued

gaggtotoct teggegacaa egtgetggac tgggaegeea eegacagggg cgccagggag 360 acgacgccgt gcagcctgat ctacagctcg gagacgatga gcacccccgg gtcggcgacc 420 ggggcccgca accatt cccg ccgcagggcg cagacgccgg totgccgcta cgtcccgagc 480 tcgctcgaga tggacgagtt cttcgccgcc gcggagcagc agcaacacca gaccttcagg 540 gagaagtaca acttctgtcc cgcgagcgag cgcccgctcc ccggacggta cgagtggacg 600 gtgctggact gctag 615 <210s, SEQ ID NO 78 &211s LENGTH: 2O4 $<$ 212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Triticum aestivum <4 OO > SEQUENCE: 78 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Ser Lys Ala Ser Gly Glu Val Ala Val 1. Met Glu Val Ala Gly Ala Leu Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Thr 20 Leu Ala Ala Gl
n Gl
n Arg Ala Pro Ser Pro Ser Pro Gl
n Arg Lys $\,$ 40 $\,$ Gly His Glu Asp Gly Asp Tyr Leu. Glu Leu. Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu. Glu 50 50 60 Lys Gln Pro Pro Gly Pro Lys Asp Lys Glu Asp Ala Pro Gln Pro 65 70 75 80 Pro Ala Ala Gly Gly Arg Gly Met Glu Ser Phe Ala Ala Glu Gly Phe 85 90 95 Glu Ala Asp Leu Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Asp Asn Val Leu Asp Trp Asp 100 105 110 Ala Thr Asp Arg Gly Ala Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys Ser Leu Ile Tyr 115 120 125 Ser Ser Glu Thr Met Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Ala Thr Gly Ala Arg Asn 130 135 140 His Ser Arg Arg Arg Ala Glin Thr Pro Val Cys Arg Tyr Val Pro Ser 145 150 155 160 Ser Leu Glu Met Asp Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Gln Gln His 165 170 175 Gln Thr Phe Arg Glu Lys Tyr Asn Phe Cys Pro Ala Ser Glu Arg Pro 180 190 Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Thr Val Leu Asp Cys 195 200 <210s, SEQ ID NO 79 &211s LENGTH: 249 212. TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa <4 OO > SEQUENCE: 79 Met Gly Lys Lys Lys Lys Arg Asp Gly Ala Ala Ala Arg Arg Gln Ala 1. Arg Val Val Val Gly Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ala Ala Val Thr Ala Arg 20 Arg Val Val Ala Ser Ala Glu Glu Gly Cys Gly Leu Val Gly Arg Gly 35 40 45

- Continued

145 150 150 155 160 Ala Gly Arg Arg Pro Pro Leu Ser Pro Pro Glu Ala Glu Ile Glu Ala 165 170 175 Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Leu Ala Glu Arg Arg Phe Ala Glu Lys
180 190 185 190 Tyr Asn Tyr Asp Ile Ala Leu Asp Arg Pro Leu Gl
n $\rm Gly$ Arg Tyr $\rm Glu$ 195 200 Trp Glu Pro Val Ser Thr 210 <210s, SEQ ID NO 81 &211s LENGTH: 194 $<$ 212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 81 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Ala Lys Val Val Val Ser Gly Glu Val 1 Val Ala Ala Ala Val Met Glu Leu Ala Ala Ala Pro Leu Gly Val Arg
20 25 30 Thr Arg Ala Arg Ser Leu Ala Leu Gln Lys Arg Gln Gly Gly Glu Tyr 35 40 40 Leu Glu Leu Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu Glu Lys Leu Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro 50 $$\,\,60$ Pro Pro Pro Arg Arg Arg Ala Thr Ala Ala Ala Ala Thr Ala Asp Ala
65 70 75 75 80 Thr Ala Ala Glu Ser Ala Glu Ala Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Gly Glu Asn
85 90 95 Val Leu Glu Leu Glu Ala Met Glu Arg Asn Thr Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro 100 105 110 Cys Ser Leu Ile Arg Asp Pro Asp Thr Ile Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Thr 115 126 Thr Arg Arg Ser His Ser Ser His Cys Lys Val Gl
n Thr Pro Val $130\qquad \qquad 135\qquad \qquad 140$ Arg His Asn. Ile Ile Pro Ala Ser Ala Glu Lieu. Glu Ala Phe Phe Ala 145 150 155 160 Ala Glu Glu Gl
n Arg Gl
n Ala Phe Ile Asp Lys Tyr Asn
 $$\sf 175$$ Asp Pro Val Asn Asp Cys Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Val Lys 180 190 Leu Asp <210s, SEQ ID NO 82 &211s LENGTH: 221 212. TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 82 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Gly Lys Val Ser Gly Glu Val Ala Val 1. 5 10 15 Met Glu Val Gly Gly Ala Leu Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg Thr 20 25 30 Leu Ala Leu Gl
n Arg Thr Thr Ser Ser Gl
n Lys Pro Pro Glu Lys Gly 35 $\,$ 40 $\,$
45

Glu Gly Asp Pro Gly Ala Gly Ala Gly Ala Gly Ala Glu Tyr Leu Glu 50 55 60 Leu Arg Ser Arg Arg Leu Glu Lys Pro Pro His Thr Pro Pro Ala
65 70 75 80 Lys Glu Lys Glu Thir Ala Arg Arg Ala Ser Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala 85 90 95 Val Arg Met Pro Ala Ala Pro Glin Ala Ala Glu Glu Phe Glu Ala Glu 1OO 105 11 O Val Glu Val Ser Phe Gly Asp Asn Val Leu Asp Leu Asp Gly Asp Ala
115 120 125 Met Glu Arg Ser Thr Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Cys Ser Leu Ile Arg Ser 130 136 140 Ser Glu Met Ile Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Thr Thr Lys Thr Asn Thr Ser 145 160 Ile Ser Ser Arg Arg Arg Met Glu Thr Ser Val Cys Arg Tyr Val Pro 165 170 175 Ser Ser Leu Glu Met Glu Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Gln Gln Gln 180
190
190 180 185 190 His Glin Ala Phe Arg Glu Arg Tyr Asn. Phe Cys Pro Val Asn Asp Cys 195 2OO 2O5 Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Tyr Glu Trp Thr Arg Leu Asp Cys 210 215 220 <210s, SEQ ID NO 83 &211s LENGTH: 190 212. TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Zea mays <4 OOs, SEQUENCE: 83 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Ala Lys Ala Ser Ser Glu Val Val Ile 1. 5 10 15 Met Asp Val Ala Ala Ala Pro Leu Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ala Arg Ala 20
25 30 Leu Ala Leu Gl
n Arg Leu Gl
n Glu Gl
n Glin Thr Gl
n Trp Glu Gl
y $35\qquad \qquad 40\qquad \qquad 45$ Ala Gly Gly Glu Tyr Leu Glu Leu Arg Asn Arg Arg Leu Glu Lys Leu. 50 60 Pro Pro Pro Ala Thr Thr Arg Arg Ser Gly Gly Arg Lys Ala Ala 65 70 75 80 Ala Glu Ala Ala Ala Thr Lys Glu Ala Glu Ala Ser Tyr Gly Glu Asn 85 90 95 Met Leu Glu Leu Glu Ala Met Glu Arg Ile Thr Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro 100 105 110 Cys Ser Leu Ile Asn Thr Gln Met Thr Ser Thr Pro Gly Ser Thr Arg 115 120 126 Ser Ser His Ser Cys His Arg Arg Val Asn Ala Pro Pro Val His Ala
130 135 140 Val Pro Ser Ser Arg Glu Met Asn. Glu Tyr Phe Ala Ala Glu Glin Arg 145 150 155 160 Arg Gln Gln Gln Asp Phe Ile Asp Lys Tyr Asn Phe Asp Pro Ala Asn. 165 170 175 Asp Cys Pro Leu Pro Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Val Lys Leu Asp

- Continued

			180					185					190		
		<210> SEQ ID NO 84 <211> LENGTH: 256 <212> TYPE: PRT			<213> ORGANISM: Zea mays										
		$<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 84													
$\mathbf{1}$				5				Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Cys Arg Gly Ala Ala Gly Ala Glu Val	10					15	
			20					Ala Ala Val Glu Val Thr Gln Val Val Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg 25					30		
		35					40	Ser Ala Ala Ala Thr Gly Gly Val Ala Lys Val Ala Pro Arg Arg Lys				45			
	50					55		Arg Ala Pro Ala Gly Glu Pro Ala Ala Ala Val Ser Ala Gly Gly Asp			60				
65					70			Gly Gly Ser Cys Tyr Ile His Leu Arg Ser Arg Met Leu Phe Met Ala		75					80
				85				Pro Pro Gln Pro Gln Pro Ser Val Asp Ser Val Pro Thr Pro Val Glu	90					95	
			100					Ala Ala Asp Gly Ala Ala Gly Gln Gln Gly Ala Ala Leu Ala Ala Gly 105					110		
		115					120	Leu Ser Arg Cys Ser Ser Thr Ala Ser Ser Val Asn Leu Gly Leu Gly				125			
	130					135		Gly Gln Arq Gly Ser His Thr Cys Arq Ser Tyr Asp Ala Ala Glu Ala			140				
145					150			Gly Gly Asp His Val Leu Val Asp Val Ser Ala Ala Ser Asn Ser Gly		155					160
				165				Ser Gly Pro Asp Arg Glu Arg Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Ser Ser Arg Ala	170					175	
			180					His Gly Glu Leu Ser Asp Leu Glu Ser Asp Leu Ala Gly His Lys Thr 185					190		
		195					200	Gly Pro Ser Leu Pro Ala Ala Thr Pro Ala Ala Glu Leu Ile Val Pro				205			
	210					215		Pro Ala His Glu Ile Gln Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Ala Ala Gln			220				
225					230			Ala Lys Arg Phe Ala Ser Lys Tyr Asn Phe Asp Phe Val Arg Gly Val		235					240
				245				Pro Leu Asp Ala Gly Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Ala Pro Val Val Ser Ile	250					255	
<210> SEQ ID NO 85 <211> LENGTH: 253 $<$ 212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Zea mays $<$ 220 > FEATURE: <221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature $<$ 222> LOCATION: (150) (150)															
															<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Xaa can be any naturally occurring amino acid
		$<$ 400> SEQUENCE: 85													
1				5				Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Cys Arg Gly Ala Ala Gly Ala Glu Val	10					15	
			20					Ala Thr Val Glu Val Thr Gln Val Val Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg 25					30		

- Continued

Pro Asp Arg Glu Arg Arg Glu Thr Thr Pro Ser Ser Arg Ala His Gly
145 150 160 Glu Leu Ser Asp Leu Glu Ser Asp Leu Ala Gly His Lys Thr Gly Pro 165 170 175 Ser Leu Pro Ala Ala Thr Pro Ala Ala Glu Leu Ile Val Pro Pro Ala 180 185 190 His Glu Ile Glin Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala Ala Glu Ala Ala Glin Ala Lys 195 2OO 2O5 Arg Phe Ala Ser Lys Tyr Asn. Phe Asp. Phe Val Arg Gly Val Pro Leu $210\qquad 215$ 220 Asp Ala Gly Gly Arg Phe Glu Trp Ala Pro Val Val Ser Ile
225 230 235 <210s, SEQ ID NO 87 &211s LENGTH: 232 $<$ 212 > TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Zea mays <4 OO > SEQUENCE: 87 Met Gly Lys Tyr Met Arg Lys Arg Arg Gly Ala Ala Gly Glu Gly Val 1. 5 1O 15 Ala Ala Val Glu Val Ser Gln Val Val Gly Val Arg Thr Arg Ser Arg 20 25 30 Ser Ala Ala Ala Thr Gly Gly Gly Val Ala Lys Val Ala Pro Pro Arg 35 4 O 45 Arg Lys Lys Ala Leu Leu Pro Ala Ala Asn. Glu Thr Ala Ser Gly Glu 50 60 Pro Gly Ala Val Gly Gly Gly Gly Asp Gly Gly Ser Cys Cys Tyr 65 70 75 80 Ile His Leu. Arg Ser Arg Met Leu. Phe Met Ala Ala Pro Gln Gln Gln 85 95 Pro Ser Ala Ala Pro Thr Pro Ala Glu Ala Ala Gly Ala Ala Gl
n 100 105 110 110 10 Gly Gly Val Val Ala Leu Ala Ala Gly Leu Ser Arg Cys Ser Ser Thr $115 \qquad 120 \qquad 125$ Ala Ser Thr Val Asp Val Gly Gly Gln Gln Pro Ala Ser Gly Ser His 130 135 140 Ala Cys Arg Ser Asp Ala Ala Glu Val Ala Gly Asp His Val Pro Asp 145 150 155 160 Val Val Thr Ala Ser Asn. Ser Gly Ser Val Pro Asp Arg Glu Arg Arg 165 165 170 175 Glu Thr Thr Pro Ser Ser Ser Arg Ala His Gly Gly Glu Leu Ser Asp 180 190 Lieu. Glu Ser Asp Lieu Val Gly Trp Glin Llys Thr Gly Cys Ser Ser Ser 195 2OO 2O5 Pro Ala Thr Thr Thr Ser Ala Ala Glu Leu Ile Val Pro Pro Ala Gln
210 215 220 215 Glu Ile Gln Glu Phe Phe Ala Ala
225 230 225 23 O

1. A method of increasing organ weight, organ size, organ number and/or yield of a wheat plant comprising disrupting one or more Triticum KRP2 genes in the plant.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the KRP in a tetraploid wheat plant is KRP2A or KRP2B, and wherein the KRP in a hexaploid wheat is TaKRP2A, TaKRP2B, or TakRP2D.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the KRP gene function is disrupted by nucleotide substitution, deletion, insertion, T-DNA, transposon, or homologous recombination.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the wheat plant com prises one or more mutations selected from KRP2A-2241, KRP2B-3004, KRP2D-0905, and combinations thereof.

5. A method of producing a wheat plant with increased organ size, organ weight, organ number and/or yield com pared to a wild type plant, comprising:

i) making a cross between a first wheat plant and a second wheat plant to produce an F1 plant, wherein the first wheat plant comprises one or more disrupted Triticum KRP2 genes.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the method further comprises:

- ii) backcrossing the F1 plant to the first or the second wheat plant; and
- iii) repeating the backcrossing step to generate a near isogenic line, wherein the one or more disrupted Triticum KRP2 genes in the first wheat plant are integrated into the genome of the near isogenic line.

7. The method of claim 5 or claim 6, wherein the KRP gene is selected from the group consisting of KRP2A, KRP2B, and KRP2D.

8. The method of claim 5 or claim 6, wherein the first wheat plant comprises one or more mutations selected from KRP2A-2241, KRP2B-3004, KRP2D-0905, and combina tions thereof.

 \star