

United States Patent [19]

[54] **DIAGNOSIS OF WILLIAMS SYNDROME** [56] **References Cited** AND WILLIAMS SYNDROME COGNITIVE PUBLICATIONS **PROFILE BY ANALYSIS OF THE PRESENCE**

OR ABSENCE OF A LIM-KINASE GENE Lowery e tal., "Strong Corelation of Elastin Deletions,

- [75] Inventors: **Mark T. Keating**, Salt Lake City, Utah; 235 Patients,
Colleen A. Morris Las Vegas, Nev. 49–53, 1995. Colleen A. Morris, Las Vegas, Nev.
- [73] Assignees: University of Utah Research *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Kurz, **Foundation**, Salt Lake City, Utah; P.C. University and College System of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{57}}$ Expressive and Conege System of

[57] ABSTRACT

Nevada, Reno, Nev.
-
-

- which is a continuation of Ser. No. $41,576$, Apr. 5, 1993, abandoned.
- 51 Int. Cl. C12O 1168. C12P 19/34 the smallest (83.6 kb) deletion revealed two genes, elastin
-
-
- s implicate LIMK1 hemizygosity in impaired Visuospatial 58 Field of Search 435/6, 91.1, 91.2, 435/320.1, 183; 536/23.1, 23.5, 24.31, 24.33; 935/1, 8, 26, 76, 77, 78

[11] Patent Number: 5,858,662

Keating et al. (45) Date of Patent: Jan. 12, 1999

Detected by Fish, with Williams Syndrome: Evaluation of 235 Patients," American Journal of Genetics, vol. 57, pp.

Primary Examiner-Bradley L. Sisson

Williams syndrome (WS) is a developmental disorder that 21 Appl. No.: 678,039 includes poor visuospatial constructive cognition. This Syn [22] Filed: **Jul. 10, 1996** drome has been studied to identify genes important for the studied to identify genes important for human cognitive development. Two families are described Related U.S. Application Data which have a partial WS phenotype; affected members have the specific WS cognitive profile and vascular disease, but lack other WS features. Submicroscopic chromosome [63] Continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 474,020, Jun. 7, 1995, lack other WS features. Submicroscopic chromosome which is a continuation of Ser. No. 41.576, Apr. 5, 1993, 7q11.23 deletions cosegregate with this phenotype in families. DNA sequence analyses of the region affected by the smallest (83.6 kb) deletion revealed two genes, elastin $C12N$ $15/00$ (ELN) and LIM-kinasel (LIMK1). The latter encodes a novel protein kinase with LIM domains and is strongly expressed in the brain. Because ELN mutations cause vas-[52] U.S. Cl. $\frac{356}{23.1}$; 536/23.5; 536/24.31; 536/24.33; state of the brain. Because ELN mutations cause vas-
536/23.1; 536/24.35; 536/24.33; 935/77
935/76; 935/77
126 cular disease but not cognitive abnormalities, t constructive cognition.

15 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets

FIG. 1B

FIG. 3A

FIG. 4D

FIG. 4E

FRONTAL LOBE

PUTAMEN

F1G. 5A

TEMPORTAL LOBE

FIG. 5B

 $FIG. 6A$

FIG. 60

FIG. 6E

FIG. 6G

 $FIG. 6D$

FIG. 6F

FIG. 6H

 $\overline{5}$

DLAGNOSIS OF WILLIAMS SYNDROME AND WILLIAMS SYNDROME COGNITIVE PROFILE BY ANALYSIS OF THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF A LIM-KINASE GENE

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present invention is a continuation-in-part of appli cation Ser. No. 08/474,020, filed 7 Jun. 1995, which is a 1993, which are both incorporated herein by reference.

This application was made with Government Support under Grant No. R01HL4807 from the NHLBI, Grant No. RO1 HD29957 from the NICHD, and Grant No. MO1 Government has certain rights in the invention.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The publications and other materials used herein to illu minate the background of the invention, and in particular, $_{20}$ cases to provide additional details respecting the practice, are incorporated by reference, and for convenience are referenced in the following text by author and are listed alphabetically by author in the appended bibliography.

The ability to visualize an object (or picture) as a set of $_{25}$ parts and construct a replica of the object from those parts is known as Visuospatial constructive cognition. Neuroana tomical Studies in humans and animals Suggest that neurons in the posterior parietal cortex are critical for this process (Capruso et al., 1995). This cognitive function is likely mediated by a network of neurons capable of parallel processing. The molecular mechanisms underlying development of these networks, however, are not understood.
Williams syndrome (WS) is a complex developmental

disorder that includes a specific cognitive profile (WSCP) characterized by relative Strength in language and auditory rote memory and pronounced weakness in Visuospatial constructive cognition (Udwin et al., 1987; Morris et al., 1988; Dilts et al., 1990; Bellugi et al., 1994; Mervis and Bertrand, in press, Mervis et al., in press). Additional features of WS include congenital heart and vascular disease, dysmorphic facial features, infantile hypercalcemia, mental retardation, and a characteristic personality. Most individuals with WS have mild or moderate mental retarda μ mean IQ ranging from 55–60), but some have border- 45 line normal intelligence or severe mental retardation. The characteristic personality includes excessive friendliness, loquaciousness, oversensitivity to the feelings of others, and extreme anxiety to please. This combination of features results in a remarkable phenotype that is readily distin- 50 guished from other disorders involving mental retardation. The incidence of WS is estimated to be 1 in 20,000 live births. 35

The visuospatial constructive cognitive deficit observed in WS is best demonstrated by tasks involving pattern 55 construction. Performance of these tasks depends on an individual's ability to see an object in terms of a set of parts specified by the researcher and then use those parts to construct a replica of the pictured object. Specifically, indi viduals are shown a picture of a block design and must 60 construct the corresponding pattern using cubes of varying colors and designs. Individuals with WS typically have difficulty constructing even simple patterns, such as a checkerboard consisting of four cubes. As a result, individuals with WS have marked difficulty in tasks involving the use of 65 a pattern to assemble an object (e.g. building a model or assembling a simple piece of furniture).

2

cation Ser. No. 08/474,020, filed 7 Jun. 1995, which is a autosomal dominant SVAS is not associated with impaired
continuation of application Ser. No. 08/041,576, filed 5 Apr. 10 visuospatial constructive cognition. Recent RROOO64 from the Public Health Service. The United States 15 ELN include a translocation, an intragenic deletion, and Approximately 77% of individuals with WS have clini cally apparent Supravalvular aortic Stenosis (SVAS), an obstructive vascular disease (Lowery et al., 1995). SVAS can be inherited as part of WS or as an isolated, autosomal dominant trait (Curran et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1993b; Morris et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1994). SVAS may be associated with some connective tissue abnormalities seen in WS, but other WS features are not observed. In particular, autosomal dominant SVAS is not associated with impaired age and mutational analyses were used to show that muta tions in elastin (ELN) cause autosomal dominant SVAS (Ewart et al., 1993a; Curranet al., 1993; Morris et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1994). Known SVAS-associated mutations in missense and nonsense mutations (Curran et al., 1993; Olson et al., 1995; unpublished data).

> Because there is a phenotypic link between SVAS and WS, it was hypothesized that mutations involving ELN might also contribute to WS. It was discovered that WS results from Submicroscopic deletions of chromosome 7q11.23 (Ewart et al., 1993a). Inherited or de novo deletion of one ELN allele was identified in 239 of 240 WS indi viduals (Ewart et al., 1993a; Lowery et al., 1995; and our unpublished data). These data indicated that ELN mutations gosity at the ELN locus is responsible for vascular pathology in WS. ELN hemizygosity may also account for some connective tissue abnormalities observed in individuals with autosomal dominant SVAS or WS, including premature aging of skin, some WS facial features, diverticulosis of the bladder and colon, hoarse voice, hernias and joint abnor malities. ELN mutations, however, do not account for all features of WS and are not the cause of impaired visuospa tial constructive cognition. Because genomic deletions responsible for WS extend well beyond the ELN locus (unpublished data), it was hypothesized that WS is a con tiguous gene deletion Syndrome (Ewart et al., 1993a).

40 Here is reported the identification and characterization of two families with a partial WS phenotype, consisting of SVAS, Some WS facial features, and impaired visuospatial constructive cognition, but lacking other features of this disorder. Affected members of these families harbor Smaller chromosomal deletions $(83.6 \text{ and } -300 \text{ kb})$ than those identified in individuals with classic WS (>500 kb), an obser vation that supports the hypothesis that WS is a contiguous gene deletion syndrome (Ewart et al., 1993a; Gilbert Dussardier et al., 1995). DNA sequence analyses of the 83.6 kb deletion region have revealed, in addition to ELN, LIM-kinasel (LIMK1), a gene which encodes a protein kinase with LIM domains (Mizuno et al., 1994; Bernard et al., 1994). No other genes were identified in the region. Northern and in situ hybridization analyses indicate that LIMK1 is strongly expressed in discrete regions of the brain. Because ELN mutations cause vascular disease but not cognitive abnormalities, these data indicate that LIMK1 hemizygosity contributes to impaired visuospatial constructive cognition in WS.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To identify genes important for human cognitive development, Williams syndrome (WS), a developmental disorder that includes poor visuospatial constructive cognition, has been studied. Two families are here described with a partial WS phenotype; affected members have the specific WS cognitive profile and vascular disease, but lack other WS features. Submicroscopic chromosome 7q11.23

deletions cosegregate with this phenotype in both families. DNA sequence analyses of the region affected by the smallest (83.6 kb) deletion revealed two genes, elastin (ELN) and LIM-kinasel (LIMK1). The latter encodes a novel protein kinase with LIM domains and is strongly expressed in the brain. Because ELN mutations cause vascular disease but not cognitive abnormalities, these data implicate LIMK1 hemizygosity in impaired visuospatial constructive cogni tion.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIGS. 1A and 1B. Co-inheritance of a partial WS pheno type and deletions involving ELN and LIMK1 in kindreds 1895 and 2049. A) Pedigree structure and phenotypic assignments for K1895 are shown. Individuals with SVAS are indicated by filled, upper half-circles (females) or squares (males). Individuals with the WSCP are indicated by filled, lower half-circles or squares. Phenotypically unaf-
fected individuals are indicated by empty circles or squares. Individuals I-2, II-2, and II-4 were phenotypically affected $_{20}$ with both SVAS and the WSCP. No features of WS were identified in other members of this kindred. Individuals harboring an ~300 kb deletion of chromosome 7q11.23, including the entire ELN and LIMK1 genes, are indicated by a D. Note that this deletion cosegregates with the SVAS/ WSCP phenotype in this family. B) Phenotypic designations
for members of K2049 are as described for FIG. 1A, except for the members of the members of the formulation for the gonucleotide primers and $(5'-1)$ gonucleotide CCTACCTTTCCTGCTGCAAT-3' SEQ ID NO:37) and $_{30}$ 403r (5'-AAAAAGAGGCCGGGTATGGT-3' SEQ ID NO:38) were used to define a novel 403-bp PCR product that spans the 83.6-kb deletion in affected members of this family. The results of PCR analyses are shown below in the lane corresponding to each symbol. Note that this 83.6-kb deletion cosegregates with SVAS/WSCP in this family but that penetrance is incomplete.

FIG. 2. Physical map of the deletions identified in K1895 and K2049. Idiogram of chromosome 7 and a contiguous set of cosmids and phage λ from chromosome /q11.23 are 40 shown. The relative locations and the structures of ELN and LIMK1 are indicated; exons are indicated by vertical bars extending above the horizontal lines, repetitive elements (e.g., Alu repeats) are denoted by Vertical bars extending below the lower horizontal line; the locations of three 45 $d(CA)$ -repeats are indicated (the ELN $d(CA)$ -repeat has been previously defined; Foster et al., 1993). The small 250 bp gap in the Sequence contig is immediately 5' of LIMK1. LIMK1 is located 15.4 kb 3' of ELN and is in the same orientation. The locations of the 300 kb deletion identified in 50_o K1895 and the 83.6 kb deletion identified in K2049 are indicated by shaded boxes. Note that both deletions disrupt ELN and delete LIMK1.

FIGS. 3A and 3B. Predicted structure of LIMK1. A) DNA Sequence analyses were used to predict the amino acid 55 sequence of LIMK1. Two possible start sites are indicated by asterisks. The second start site shows slightly better conformity to the Kozak consensus sequence (Kozak, 1989). Individual amino acids involved in Zinc-finger formation as part of two LIM domains are indicated by lightly shaded boxes. A DHR domain between residues 165 and 258 is denoted by a darkly shaded box. A possible PEST domain identified in residues 264- 289 is indicated by a lightly shaded box. A basic domain located in residues 499–506 (empty box) may mediate nuclear localization. The kinase 65 domain, indicated by horizontal black bars, is divided into eleven subdomains (I-XI). Conserved amino acids in the 60

kinase domain are indicated by empty boxes (Hanks et al., 1988). B) Schematic representation of LIMK1 indicating major domains.

FIGS. 4A-4E. FISH analyses demonstrate hemizygosity of LIMK1 in individuals with a partial WS phenotype.
Labeled LIMK1 cosmids c138-13c and c1-4a2 were hybridized with metaphase chromosomes from an affected member of K1895 (A) and of K2049 (B), an individual with classic WS (C), an individual with SVAS with a translocation disrupting ELN in exon 28 (D), and an individual with SVAS and no chromosomal anomaly (E). Centromere-specific markers are indicated by arrows (chromosome 7 for all individuals and chromosomes 6 and 7 for the translocation). Affected members of K1895, K2049, and classic WS individuals showed LIMK1 hemizygosity. The individual with SVAS and a t(6p21;7q11) translocation showed hybridization signals on the normal homologue, as well as on the 7q:6q translocation chromosome. An individual with SVAS, with no chromosomal abnormalities, showed LIMK1 hybridization signals on both chromosome 7 homologues. All individuals showed two hybridization signals for chro mosome 7 centromere-specific marker.

FIGS. 5A-5B. LIMK1 is expressed strongly in the brain. FIG. 5A shows the results of Northern analyses. Human adult, fetal, and brain Northern blots (poly $[A]^+$ RNA, 2 μ g per lane) were hybridized with LIMK1, ELN, and β -actin probes. LIMK1 hybridized with an ~3.3 kb mRNA in most tissues examined, with highest expression in both fetal and adult brain. ELN also hybridized with an \sim 3.3 kb mRNA with highest expression in heart, pancreas, and fetal lung. FIG. 5B shows a graphic representation of LIMK1 expres sion levels after normalization to β -actin.

35 probe was labeled with DIG-UTP and visualized using FIGS. 6A–6H. In situ hybridization analysis of LIMK1 expression in the nervous system of a Carnegie stage 20 (50) day postovulatory) human embryo. A 625-bp LIMK1 cRNA anti-DIG alkaline phosphatase antibody. (A) Transverse section through rhombencephalon/medulla, fourth ventricle. LIMK1 expression is seen in the ependymal layer of the fourth Ventricle and a lower level of expression extends into the mantle layer. The arrow indicates expression in the medial accessory olivary nucleus on either side of the midline; this area is shown in greater detail in C. (B) Similar section to (A) hybridized with the sense-strand cRNA probe as a negative control. (C) Medial accessory olivary nuclei shown in the center of (A) . (D) Transverse section through shown in the center of (A). (D) Transverse section through the cerebellum (c) showing a high level of ependymal expression in the corpus cerebelli (fourth ventricle on the right and ectoderm on the left). Some expression is visible in the mesenchyme adjacent to the ectoderm, in particular in the presumptive dentate nucleus (arrow). (E) Transverse section through the cervical spinal cord showing generalized expression in the dorsal (top) part of the spinal cord and single-cell staining more ventrally (right). There is also expression in the dorsal root ganglia (d). (F) Section through the wall of the mesencephalon (the ventricle is on the far right); the ependymal layer is on the right and heavily stained, and the mantle layer in the center-left shows many cells expressing LIMK1. An arrow indicates the Sulcus limitans. (G) Higher magnification of (E), showing the mid-area of the Spinal cord, demonstrates a low level of confluent expression in the ependymal layer (right), wide spread single-cell Staining in the mantle layer (center), and lack of expression in the marginal layer (left). (H) Transverse section through the fifth nerve ganglion shows high expression in the center, in part of the inner ear (lower right, below the scale bar), and in the ectoderm (left). The scale bar represents either 100 μ m (C, F, and G) or 250 μ m (A, B, D, E, and H).

 $10¹⁰$

15

25

 25

40

60

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Williams Syndrome is a contiguous gene disorder result ing from mutations in or deletion of at least three distinct genes. These genes are located on chromosome 7 in the 7q11.23 region. Two of the genes involved in Williams syndrome are elastin (ELN) and LIM-Kinasel (LIMK1). A mimimum of at least one more gene located greater than 300 kb 3' of LIMK1 is also involved in Williams syndrome. The $_{10}$ identity of this gene or genes has not yet been established. Williams syndrome results from loss of all of the involved genes. LOSS of only one or two of the involved genes causes other disorders which involve only some of the aspects of Williams syndrome. A partial loss of functional elastin due to the presence of only one wild-type elastin gene results in the condition known as Supravalvular aortic stenosis (SVAS) which is an obstructive vascular disease. Elastin is a struc tural protein important in large arteries, lungs and Skin. A partial loss of both functional elastin and LIMK1 due to the presence of only one wild-type copy of each of the corre sponding genes results in the condition known as Williams syndrome cognitive profile (WSCP). LIMK1 is a protein kinase which is highly expressed in the brain and is important in visuospatial constructive cognition. A functional loss of not only elastin and LIMK1 but also at least one more protein encoded by a gene 3' of LIMK1 results in develop ment of classic Williams syndrome. Persons with SVAS and WSCP have only a subset of the characteristics seen in persons with classic Williams syndrome. Persons with Williams syndrome have been found to have a deletion of greater than 500 kb in the 7q11.23 region of one chromosome, this deletion including at least a portion of ELN, LIMK1 and at least 300 kb $3^{\frac{1}{1}}$ of LIMK1. Although some families have been found which show deletions of ELN and LIMK1 but which deletions do not extend far enough 3' to delete a third gene (these families thus being characterized as having WSCP), in 99% of the cases studied a person who has a deletion in ELN is found to have a deletion of greater than 500 kb such that the deletion includes not only ELN but also LIMKI and at least one other
gene 3' of LIMK1 thus resulting in classic Williams syndrome. This is significant in that a hemizygous deletion of ELN indicates a 99% chance that the patient has classic Williams syndrome and not simply SVAS or WSCP.

It is here concluded that LIMK1 hemizygosity contributes to impaired visuospatial constructive cognition in WS. This conclusion is supported by the following observations: 1) SVAS and the WSCP are co-inherited in K1895 and K2049, as well as in classic WS, suggesting that the genes responsible for these two phenotypes are closely linked; 2) ELN and LIMK1 are contiguous genes that are both disrupted by an 83.6-kb deletion that cosegregates with SVAS and the WSCP in K2049; 3) DNA sequence analyses of the 83.6-kb deletion region and 24 kb of flanking sequence revealed only $\frac{55}{100}$ ELN and LIMK1; no other genes were identified in these sequences; 4) LIMK1 is highly expressed in the brain, consistent with its possible function in cognitive develop ment; and 5) intragenic deletions and point mutations affect ing only ELN cause SVAS but no cognitive impairment, indicating that ELN hemizygosity is not Sufficient to cause impaired visuospatial constructive cognition in WS. 50

It is also very unlikely that ELN mutations are necessary for impaired Visuospatial constructive cognition in WS. First, no correlation exists between the severity of the 65 vascular disease and the severity of cognitive impairment in WS. Second, ELN is a structural protein that is important for

the development of elastic fibers in large arteries, lungs, and skin, but these elastic fibers are not found in the brain. Finally, ELN is not expressed in neurons and glial cells of the brain (R. Mecham, personal communication). Therefore, it is concluded that ELN mutations and secondary vascular disease are not sufficient, and almost certainly not necessary, for impaired visuospatial constructive cognition in WS.

The argument that LIMK1 hemizygosity contributes to impaired cognition would be confirmed by the identification of individuals with intragenic mutations of this gene. Pre liminary experiments aimed at ascertainment of Such indi viduals have not been successful. This is not surprising, because these individuals are probably rare and likely have a very subtle phenotype. To exclude the involvement of additional genes in development of the WSCP, the 83.6-kb deletion region and 24 kb of flanking sequence were sequenced. Programs designed to identify coding regions revealed only two genes, LIMK1 and ELN. While these analyses did not absolutely exclude the presence of a third $_{20}$ gene, the sensitivity of the search algorithms was demonstrated by their identification of 15 of the 16 LIMK1 exons. It is highly likely, therefore, that all genes in this region were detected.

45 multiple human fetal and adult tissues but mRNA levels Previous studies of LIMK1 expression are consistent with a role for this gene in cognitive development. Northern analyses in rat showed LIMK1 expression in multiple tissues, with mRNA levels being highest in the brain (Mizuno et al., 1994). Bernard et al. (1994) identified ubiquitous murine embryonal expression, but found significant mRNA levels only in the adult brain. In situ hybrid ization and immunohistochemical Studies performed in mice and humans localized LIMK1 mRNA and protein exclu sively to neurons (basal ganglia, Purkinje cells, and pyramidal neurons; Bernard et al., 1994). Using Northern blot analysis, Proschel et al. (1995) demonstrated expression of LIMK1 in adult murine Spinal cord, cortex, cerebellum, and placenta, with lower levels of mRNA in several other tissues. In situ hybridization of tissues collected during various stages of murine development indicated expression of LIMK1 in the developing brain, including the subpial layers of the frontal cortex, the midbrain roof, tectum, cerebellum, and neural epithelium of the olfactory bulb. In the adult mouse, LIMK1 expression persisted in the cerebral cortex. Our Northern data indicate expression of LIMK1 in were highest in brain. In Situ hybridization data presented here also indicate that in developing human tissues, LIMK1 mRNA is predominantly found in brain, a localization consistent with the pattern of LIMK1 expression in the mouse and rat (Bernard et al., 1994; Cheng and Robertson, 1995; Nunoue et al., 1995; Proschel et al., 1995). The discrete organization of LIMK1 expression in the develop ing and adult nervous System, with consistent expression in the ependymal layer from which neurons are generated, is consistent with the hypothesis that this gene plays an impor tant role in neural development.

The data suggest that impaired visuospatial constructive cognition in WS results from a quantitative reduction in LIMK1 mRNA and protein. This hypothesis is consistent with recent data examining the role of protein kinases in murine development. Impaired long-term potentiation, spatial learning, and hippocampal development were identified in mice deficient in the brain-specific protein kinases fyn (Grant et al., 1992) and the γ isoform of protein kinase C
(Abeliovich et al., 1993a; Abeliovich et al., 1993b). Although the spatial learning deficits observed in these mice were not directly analogous to impaired visuospatial con-

structive cognition in humans with WS, the data do indicate a role for kinases in neuronal development.

The function of LIMK1 is not known, but the presence of specific functional domains suggests possibilities. LIM domains are zinc-binding motifs first identified in the devel opmentally important genes lin-11, Isl-1, and mec-3 (Freyd et al., 1990; Karlsson et al., 1990; Way and Chalfie, 1988). LIM domains have been identified in isolation, or in combination with homeodomains, and are thought to modulate bination with homeodomains, and are thought to modulate 1994). LIMK1, by contrast, is unique because it contains a kinase domain in addition to two LIM domains. Predicted amino acid Sequence analyses also indicate the presence of a possible PEST domain, a type of sequence that is often found in proteins with short half-lives. This observation suggests that levels of LIMK1 may be tightly regulated. Finally, the predicted amino acid sequence of LIMK1 indicates that cytoskeleton and nuclear localization signals may be present. Biochemical and developmental Studies of LIMK1 function will be instrumental in defining the role of 20 this protein in human cognitive development. 15

The phenotypic variability observed in this study results from variable expression and incomplete penetrance, con sistent with results of previous studies of autosomal dominant SVAS and WS (Morris et al., 1988; Ewart et al., 1993a). Variable expression of dysmorphic facial features in individuals with isolated SVAS and classic WS have led to diagnostic confusion in the past (Grimm and Wesselhoeft, 1980), but in this and previous studies, it has been shown
that individuals with autocomal deminant SVAS have 6 as 30 that individuals with autosomal dominant SVAS have 6 or fewer of the 16 facial features associated with classic WS. These data indicate that ELN mutations account for SVAS and some WS facial features, but that hemizygosity of another, contiguous gene accounts for other WS facial genes that contribute to the full WS phenotype, including those involved in the facial features, mental retardation, and the WS personality. 25

The DNA sequence analyses for the present Studies revealed a high density of Alu repeats within the region deleted in K2049 (6-fold higher than the estimated mean density throughout the human genome, Hwu et al., 1986; Slightom et al., 1994), a density comparable to that found in the genomic region associated with DiGeorge syndrome (Budarf et al., 1995). Both WS and DiGeorge syndrome result from chromosomal rearrangements, which might be driven by the highly repetitive nature of the DNA. In this regard, it is interesting to note that we identified Alu sequences at both breakpoints in K2049, suggesting that a recombinational event between these elements may have been responsible for this deletion. Alu repeats have previ ously been implicated in an SVAS-associated translocation and in an intragenic deletion of ELN (Curran et al., 1993; 45 50 55

Olson et al., 1995).
In summary, it has been here discovered that hemizygosity of LIM-kinasel, a protein kinase gene expressed in the brain, likely leads to impaired Visuospatial constructive cognition in Williams syndrome. Further elucidation of the physiologic Significance of this gene may result from gene targeting experiments in mice. Analyses of LIMK1 function should provide further insight into human cognitive devel opment.

60

EXAMPLE 1.

Identification of individuals with a partial WS phenotype If WS is a contiguous gene deletion disorder, individuals with a partial WS phenotype should exist. To test this

hypothesis, individuals with SVAS were phenotypically including facial appearance, the WSCP, the WS personality, and mental retardation. Phenotypic Studies included per sonal interview, physical examination, two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography, IQ determination, WS personal ity assessment, and WSCP analyses.
Clinical characterization of participants

cell tate and differentiation (Schmeichel and Beckerle, ¹⁰ examined by a clinical geneticist. Craniofacial features Medical records were reviewed and participants were scored included dolichocephaly, broad brow, periorbital fullness, stellate iris, bitemporal narrowing, low nasal root, flat mala, full cheeks, long philtrum, small jaw, malocclusion, full nasal tip, wide mouth, full lips, prominent ear lobes, and facial asymmetry. Individuals with classic WS had 9 or more of the 16 features and met the diagnostic criteria of Preus (1984). Affected members of K1895 and K2049 had 0-6 of the 16 facial features and none of these individuals fit the diagnostic criteria for WS. The presence and extent of SVAS was determined by two-dimensional echocardiography and Doppler blood-flow analyses as described by Ensing et al. (1989). Individuals were scored as affected if there was narrowing of the ascending aorta demonstrated on echocardiography or if Doppler peak flow Velocities were above normal (normal values for adults: aortic 1.0-1.7 m/s, pulmonary 0.6-0.9 m/s, children: aortic 1.2-1.8 m/s, pulmonary 0.7-1.1 m/s). Velocities within 0.2 m/S greater than the normal range were considered weakly positive. Individuals were also scored as positive if SVAS was documented by medical records of cardiac catheteriza tion or Surgery.

Determination of Williams Syndrome Cognitive Profile

features. Continued deletional analyses should help define ³⁵ adoptatories (Udwin et al., 1987; Bellugi et al., 1994; Mervis and Tartin et al., 1994; Mervis and Tartin et al., 1994; Mervis and Tartin et al., 1994; Mervi 40 The general pattern of cognitive Strengths and Weaknesses observed in WS (WSCP) has been described in several and Bertrand, in press, Mervis et al., in press), but until now, no formal method for assessment has been available. The profile assessment that was proposed is based on perfor mance on the DAS (Elliot, 1990), a standardized measure of cognitive abilities. The DAS was specifically designed to identify relative strengths and weaknesses in cognitive abilities. The six core subtests assess language, spatial (visuospatial constructive cognition), and reasoning abilities. A diagnostic Subtest measures auditory rote memory. Thus, the DAS covers all of the skills included in the cognitive profile associated with WS.

> Individuals who met one or more of the following criteria were excluded from having the WSCP.

- i. pattern construction standard $score \geq mean$ of the core Subtest scores (visuospatial constructive ability too high relative to overall level of cognitive abilities)
- ii. pattern construction standard score ≧digit recall standard score (visuospatial constructive ability too high relative to auditory rote memory ability)
- iii. pattern construction standard $score \geq 20$ th percentile (absolute level of visuospatial constructive ability too high)
- iv. none of the seven subtest standard scores>1st percentile (absolute level of ability too low).

strength of their match to the WSCP. A maximum of 4 points
could be earned (4 points=excellent fit, 3 points=very good
fit, 2 points=good fit, and 0-1 point=poor fit to the WSCP). Individuals who were not excluded were considered to have the WSCP and were evaluated further to determine the strength of their match to the WSCP. A maximum of 4 points

i. digit recall standard score>mean of the core subtest standard scores (2 points).

 10

15

20

- ii. verbal standard scores>pattern construction standard score
	- a. definition standard Score (naming vocabulary was used for younger children)>pattern construction standard score (1 point).
	- b. similarities standard score pattern construction standard score (1 point).

The DAS was used for individuals who were at least 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ years old. For younger children, the WSCP was assessed using the mental scale of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (Bayley, 1969; Bayley, 1993). The child was considered to have the WSCP if he or she passed a greater proportion of language items attempted than non-language items. Use of the Bayley to determine if a child's cognitive profile is consistent with the WSCP has been validated in a study comparing very young children with WS to very young children with Down syndrome (Mervis & Bertrand, in press). In the present study, the Bayley measure was used for one child (K1895 II-4), who was 15 months old at the time of assessment.

Individuals who did not complete the DAS were pheno-
typically characterized with the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R) whenever possible. Exclusion cri teria for the WAIS-R are listed below:

- 1. block design standard score>digit span standard score $_{25}$
- ii. block design standard score>20th percentile

iii. none of the subtest standard scores>1st percentile

Individuals who were not excluded on the basis of these criteria were considered to have a cognitive profile consis tent with the WSCP if both their digit recall and similarities standard scores were greater than their block-design standard score. Those individuals who could not complete the entire WAIS-R were given the verbal portion of the WAIS-R and the Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration (VMI; Beery, 1989). Individuals were excluded from further 35 consideration for the WSCP if their VMI age equivalent was greater than 10 years. Individuals who were not excluded were considered to have a cognitive profile consistent with the WSCP if their standard score on the verbal portion of the WAIS-R was greater than their standard score on the VMI. 40 Determination of the Williams Syndrome Personality

Each member of K1895 and K2049 and 9 of the 11 individuals with isolated SVAS were independently assessed for the WS personality by two or three examiners (inter-rater agreement=100%). Of the 85 individuals with classic WS, 45 65 were assessed by two examiners (inter-rater agreement= 98%) and the remainder by one examiner. Twenty-two of the 65 individuals in the control group were assessed by two examiners (inter-rater agreement=95%) and the remainder by one examiner. The following seven WS personality 50 characteristics were evaluated: 1) the presence of an appealing personality; 2) excessive friendliness; 3) loquaciousness; 4) extreme sensitivity to others' feelings; 5) excessive anxiousness to please; 6) very high anxiety; and 7) an extreme interest in people. Phenotypic Status was based on 55 the number of characteristics that each individual possessed. Individuals with 4 to 7 of the characteristics were classified as having the WS personality; those with 3 were classified as uncertain; and those with 0 to 2 were classified as not having the WS personality.

Determination of Mental Retardation/Developmental Delay Intelligence was assessed using the Bayley for children $<$ 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ years old, the DAS was used for individuals between the ages of 2 % and 18 years, and the WAIS-R was used for individuals who were 18 years or older. All measures were 65 administered according to Standard procedures. Individuals who were at least 6 years old were considered to have mental

retardation if their standard score was <70 (>2 standard deviations below the standardization Sample mean). Indi viduals who were less than 6 years old were considered to have developmental delay if their standard score was <70. Results

Phenotypic assignment with respect to WSCP was based, whenever possible, on the pattern of performance on subscales of the Differential Ability Scale (DAS; Elliott, 1990), a standardized measure of cognitive abilities. When the DAS could not be administered, phenotypic assignment was based on performance on subscales of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R; Wechsler, 1981), the Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration (VMI; Beery, 1989) or the Mental Scale of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (Bayley, 1969; Bayley, 1993). Use of the Bayley to determine if a child's cognitive profile is consistent with the WSCP has been validated in a study comparing very young children with WS to very young children with Down syndrome (Mervis and Bertrand, in press). In the present Study, the Bayley measure was used for only one child (K1895 II-4), who was 15 monts old at the time of assessment. Quantitative data resulting from these tests were used to test for the presence of the WSCP, which involves weakness on the pattern construction Subtest and strength on the digit recall subtest relative to performance on other subtests. The results of these studies are summarized in Tables $1-3$.

To determine the sensitivity of the WSCP assessment, the DAS was also administered to 48 individuals with WS ranging in age from 4 to 47 years (IQ range 35-84). Of these individuals, 45 fit the WSCP; 40 had an excellent fit, 3 had a very good fit, and 2 had a good fit. To determine specificity, the performance of 25 control individuals with below average IQ (IQ range 30–95) was also examined. Some of these controls had other Syndromes (e.g., Down Syndrome or Fragile X syndrome); the others had no specific diagnosis. Of these individuals, 23 of 25 definitely did not fit the WSCP. Thus, the WSCP measure has excellent sensitivity (0.94) and specificity (0.92).

The WS personality was assessed by examining individu als for seven personality characteristics commonly found in WS. Standardized assessments of personality could not be used because these methods do not address the unique characteristics included in the WS personality. Individuals who showed at least 4 of 7 of the characteristics were considered to have the WS personality. Individuals who showed 3 characteristics were classified as uncertain. Indi viduals who showed 2 or fewer characteristics were consid ered not to have the WS personality. To determine the sensitivity and specificity of our measure, we evaluated 85 individuals with WS and a control group of 65 individuals with mental retardation or borderline normal intelligence. Eighty-three of 85 WS individuals had the WS personality.

TABLE 1.

	Phenotypic evaluation of individuals with partial WS phenotype and control subjects													
60	Individual	SVAS	Facies	WSCP	WSP	MR/DD	DEL							
	K1895													
	$I-2$ $I-3$ $II-1$		3 0 0	$\ddot{}$			$D(-300 \text{ kb})$ N N							
65	$II-2$ $II-3$		5				$D(-300 \text{ kb})$							

TABLE 1-continued

Phenotypic evaluation of individuals with partial WS phenotype and control subjects											
Individual	SVAS	Facies	WSCP	WSP	MR/DD	DEL	5				
$II-4$	$^{+}$	\overline{c}	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$D(-300 k b)$					
$II-5$	\overline{a}	0	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}	$\overline{}$	N					
$II-6$		0			$\overline{}$	N					
K2049							10				
$I-1$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{4}$	$\ddot{}$		÷,	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$II-2$	$\ddot{}$	\overline{c}	$^{+}$		-	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$II-3$		\overline{c}	$\ddot{}$			$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$II-4$	$\ddot{}$	0	Ŧ			$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$II-5$		0	-			N	15				
$II-6$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{4}$	$\ddot{}$			$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
II-7		0	-		-	N					
$III-1$		0	-		-	N					
$III-2$		0	$\ddot{}$			$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$III-3$	$\ddot{}$	0	U	-	-	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$III-4$		0	-		-	N					
III-5		0	$\overline{}$		-	N	20				
III-6	$\pmb{+}$	0	$\ddot{}$		$\ddot{}$	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
$III-7$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{0}$			$\overline{}$	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
III-8		0	-	4	-	N					
$IV-1$		0	$\overline{}$	-	-	N					
$IV-2$	$\ddot{}$	6	$\ddot{}$	L.	$\overline{}$	$D(83.6 \text{ kb})$					
Classic							25				
WS											
13759	$\ddot{}$	13	$\ddot{}$	6	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
13946	$\ddot{}$	16	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
14033	$\ddot{}$	15	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$D(>500$ kb)					
14101	$\ddot{}$	13	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)	30				
14576		14	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
15083	$\ddot{}$	13	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
15266	$\ddot{}$	13	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$D(>500$ kb)					
17402	$\ddot{}$	13	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
18031		14	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)					
18296	$\ddot{}$	14	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$D(>500$ kb)	35				
Autosomal											
Dominant											
SVAS											
12903	$\ddot{}$	$\mathbf{1}$		0		N					
12905	$\ddot{}$	3		0		N	40				
12906	$\ddot{}$	\overline{c}		0		N					
12907	$\ddot{}$	0		0		N					
13222	$\ddot{}$	$\mathbf{1}$		-		N					
13835	$\ddot{}$	0		-		N					
14104	$\ddot{}$	$\mathbf{1}$		0		N					
14107	$\ddot{}$	0		0		N					
17607	$\ddot{}$	\overline{c}		0		N	45				
20583	$\ddot{}$	\overline{c}	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}		N					

Table 1. Phenotypic evaluation was completed in members of two families with a partial WS phenotype (K1895 and K2049), individuals with classic WS, and individuals with autosomal dominant SVAS resulting from ELN mutations. Phenotypic assignments included the presence $(+)$ or absence $(-)$ of SVAS, specific WS cognitive profile (WSCP), and mental retardation or developmental delay (MR/DD).
Individuals were assigned 0-7 of 7 possible WS personality characteristics (WSP); individuals were considered affected if they had ≥ 4 characteristics and unaffected if they had ≤ 2 characteristics. The number of WS facial features present (Facies) is also indicated (0-16 of 16 possible WS facial features). The phenotypic assessments for WSCP were 60 based on numerical scores obtained from one of the follow ing standardized tests: 1) Differential Ability Scales; 2) Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised; or 3) Mental Scale of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development. Indi uncertain (U) with respect to WSCP because of a seizure disorder treated with anti-convulsant medication. Individual

III-6 had mild developmental delay, with an IQ=64; the 95% confidence interval was 58-71 (an IQ score of ≥ 70 would be in the normal range). The presence (D) or absence (N) of a chromosome 7q11.23 deletion is indicated at right. Note that SVAS, mild WS facial features, and the WSCP cosegregated with deletions in K1895 and K2049. Incomplete penetrance and variable expression were apparent in these kindreds.

65 not excluded, level of fit to the WSCP was based on total Table 2. WSCP evaluation using the DAS was completed in members of K1895, K2049, autosomal dominant SVAS, normal controls, and individuals with classic WS. DAS evaluation included assessment of pattern construction (PC), digit recall (D), verbal abilities (V), and mean standard score for the core subtests (T). The WSCP was excluded if $PC \geq T$, $PC \geq D$, or $PC \geq 20$ th percentile. For individuals who were Score: 0–1 point=poor fit; 2=good fit; 3=very good fit; 4=excellent fit.

& Digit recall assessment was inappropriate, due to dementia

Table 3. Adults who could not complete the DAS were phenotypically characterized with the wechsler Adult Intel-35 ligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R) whenever possible. Phe notypic characterizations based on the WAIS-R included assessments of pattern construction (PC; block design subtest), digit recall (D), and verbal abilities (V). Inclusion criteria for Bayley I and Bayley II were based on passing a 40 greater proportion of language items attempted (%LI) than non-language items attempted (%NLI). Individuals II-6 and II-7 of K2049 only completed the verbal portion of the WAIS-R, so additional characterization was completed (VMI). VMIAE=age equivalent for the VMI. Individual II-4 of K1895 was too young to complete the DAS, so pheno typic characterization was carried out using the Bayley test.

Sixty-four out of 65 control individuals did not have the sensitivity of 0.98 and a specificity of 0.98.

Phenotypic characterization of individuals with isolated, autosomal dominant SVAS indicated that these individuals did not manifest the other major features of WS (Table 1 and data not shown). Occasionally, an individual with autosomal 55 dominant SVAS presented with a few WS facial features $(\leq 6 \text{ of } 16)$ and/or a hernia, but no other WS phenotypic characteristics were observed. In particular, no one with autosomal dominant SVAS showed evidence of the WSCP. Because these individuals each harbors a mutation (translocation or point mutation) that disrupts one ELN allele, the data indicate that ELN mutations cause vascular disease but not impaired visuospatial constructive cognition.

60

Continued ascertainment and phenotypic characterization revealed two families with a partial WS phenotype (FIGS. 65 1A and 1B). Most affected members of these families had SVAS, some WS facial features, and the WSCP. These

14
individuals showed levels of verbal ability and auditory short-term memory similar to those of unaffected family members, but their visuospatial constructive abilities were markedly impaired. Affected members lacked other features of WS, including the WS personality and mental retardation (Table 1). Serum calcium levels during infancy were avail able for only four individuals, but none showed evidence of hypercalcemia (data not shown). No WS phenotypic char acteristics were present in unaffected family members.

Previous studies indicate marked intra- and inter-familial variability of expression and incomplete penetrance for autosomal dominant SVAS (Curranet al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1993b; Morris et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1994). Similar variability was found in individuals with partial WS pheno types. For example, SVAS was severe and required surgery in two members of K2049 (individuals III-3 and III-7) and had led to early death in three members of K1895 (individuals not shown on pedigree). Other affected mem bers of these kindreds exhibited mild to moderate SVAS, and vascular disease was not clinically apparent in two members of K2049 (individuals II-3 and III-2). Some WS facial features (2-6 of the 16 possible facial characteristics asso ciated with classic WS) were observed in all affected mem bers of K1895 and in 5 of 10 affected members of K2049, but these features did not fulfill the diagnostic criteria for WS (\geq 9 of 16 facial features). WSCP was observed in all affected members of K1895 and in 8 of 10 affected members of K2049; one member of K2049 did not fulfill the diagnostic criteria for WSCP (individual III-7) and one individual (III-3) was classified as uncertain. These phenotypic studies indicate autosomal dominant co-inheritance of SVAS, some WS facial features, and WSCP in two families with variable phenotypic expression and incomplete pen etrance. Identification of individuals with a partial WS phenotype Supports the hypothesis that WS is a contiguous gene deletion Syndrome.

EXAMPLE 2

ASSociation of partial WS phenotypes with Submicroscopic chromosome 7q11.23 deletions

WAIS-R, so additional characterization was completed the region deleted in WS was constructed. Because ELN is using the Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration 45 completely deleted in individuals with classic WS, t WS personality. Thus, the WS personality measure had a 50 FIG. 2. Attempts to extend the cloned coverage in a direction If WS is a contiguous gene deletion Syndrome, individuals with a partial WS phenotype should have smaller deletions in the chromosome 7q11.23 region than those seen with classic WS. To test this hypothesis, a partial physical map of the region deleted in WS was constructed. Because ELN is experiments were initiated by isolating and characterizing ELN genomic clones. These clones were used for genomic walking into regions flanking ELN. A set of contiguous cosmid clones generated by walking 3' of ELN is shown in ⁵' of ELN using phage, cosmid, P1, P1 artificial chromo somes and yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) libraries were less successful; very few clones were isolated from this region and clones that were isolated were unstable. Clones were characterized by restriction enzyme analyses and placed on the physical map by Somatic cell hybrid Southern analyses or Sequence-tagged-Site mapping by means of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). These clones span ~350 kb of chromosome 7q11.23, including the entire ELN locus. No other genes were previously mapped to this region.

> To determine if individuals with a partial WS phenotype carried deletions involving chromosome 7q11.23, fluores cence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed using cosmids that span the ELN locus. All affected members of K1895 showed ELN hemizygosity, while unaffected mem bers had two ELN alleles (FIG. 1A). Additional FISH analyses revealed hemizygosity with probes c138-13c,

25

30

c1-4a2, 106G5, and 135F3, but not with 157F3, 39E7, and 198G11 (data not shown). These results indicated that deletion that includes ELN and extends through the locus corresponding to cosmid 135F3 (FIG. 2). Additional FISH analyses using YACs from this region are consistent with these data and indicate a deletion of approximately 300 kb (unpublished data). By contrast, FISH analyses of individu als with classic WS showed hemizygosity with all clones tested, suggesting that these deletions span more than 500 kb $_{10}$ (unpublished data).

A deletion associated with SVAS in two members of K2049 (Ewart et al., 1994) was previously described. This deletion disrupted ELN, beginning in intron 27 and extend ing 3' of the gene. Oligonucleotides flanking the deletion breakpoints were used to define a novel PCR product of 403 bp in all phenotypically affected members of this kindred (FIG. 1B). This product was not seen in unaffected mem bers. Physical mapping and restriction analyses indicated that the deletion had removed ~ 85 kb of genomic DNA $_{20}$ (FIG. 2), a much Smaller region than is missing in individu als with classic WS. These data indicate that a partial WS phenotype, including SVAS, some WS facial features, and WSCP, cosegregates with the -85 kb deletion in this family. Because intragenic mutations of ELN cause isolated SVAS and some WS facial features (Curran et al., 1993; Morris et al, 1993; Olson et al., 1995), but not the WSCP (Table 1), a gene responsible for the impaired visuospatial constructive cognition must be located immediately 3' of ELN.

EXAMPLE 3

Identification of a Protein Kinase Immediately 3' of Elastin To Screen for a gene that contributes to impaired visu ospatial constructive cognition, cosmids cELN-11d, c138-13c, and c1-4a2 were used in cDNA Screening analyses, but no genes were identified. The specific hypothesis that hem- 35 izygosity of a gene encoding a protein kinase could cause the impaired visuospatial constructive cognition was also tested. disruption of genes encoding protein kinases results in mice with impaired spatial learning (Grant et al., 1992; Abeliovich et al., 1993a, Abeliovich et al., 1993b). Oligonucleotides complementary to sequences conserved in tyrosine kinases were designed and PCR analyses were performed with genomic clones from the physical map. A specific product of product was cloned; DNA sequence analyses revealed an open reading frame of 113 nucleotides with complete homology to LIM-kinasel (LIMK1), a recently identified gene encoding a protein kinase with LIM domains (Mizuno et al., 1994; Bernard et al., 1994). Oligonucleotides based on 50 published cDNA sequences were used in PCR experiments to clone LIMK1 cDNA from a human hippocampal cDNA library. PCR analyses of DNA from Somatic cell hybrids, cosmids, P1s, and YACs localized LIMK1 to the deleted region on chromosome 7q11.23. These data place LIMK1 55 immediately 3' of ELN and within the -85 kb deletion identified in K2049.

Oligonucleotides based on published cDNA sequences were used in PCR experiments to clone a LIMK1 cDNA from a human hippocampal library (LIMK1 nucleotides 96-2039). A human hippocampal cDNA library (catalog #936205, Stratagene), was plated at a density of 5×10^4 pfu/15 cm plate to obtain 1×10^6 total pfu. Duplicate filters were probed with cFLN-11d, c138-13c, and c1-4a2, which had been radiolabeled to a high specific activity $(>1.0\times10^9)$ $\text{cpm}/\mu\text{g}$ DNA) using random hexamer priming as described by Feinberg and Vogelstein (1984). LIMK1 cDNA frag-60 65

ments were obtained from the same hippocampal cDNA library using PCR with rTth DNA polymerase and various primers designed from the published LIMK1 cDNA sequence (Mizuno et al., 1994). The open reading frame (LIMK1 nucleotides 93-1936) was amplified and cloned using the following primers: 5'-ATGAGGTT GACGCTACTTTGTTGC-3' (SEQ ID NO:1) and 5'-TCAGTCGGGGACCTCAGGGTGGG C-3' (SEQ ID NO:2).

PCR primers were designed to amplify the region of homology in the kinase domains of PDGF receptor, HER2, HER3, FGF-FLG, FGF-BEK, insulin receptor, and IRR (Sequences obtained from Genbank). The primers used were 5'-GACTTTGGGCTGGCTCGAGACATG C-3' (SEQ ID NO:3) and 5'-CTCCGGAGCCATCCACTTGACTGGC-3' (SEQ ID NO:4). PCR conditions were one cycle of 94° C. for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94° C. for 1 min, 49 C. for 1 min, and 72° C. for 1 min, ending with one cycle of 72° C. for 10 min. Clones c138-3c, cELN-11d, and c138-13c script II SK (Stratagene) using standard T/A cloning technology (Marchuk et al., 1991) and sequenced.

Genomic clones were obtained from the following Sources: c138-3c, 24, 25, cELN-11d, and c138-13c were derived from primary cosmid and phage libraries constructed earlier in our laboratory (Curran et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1994). Cosmids cosó and c1-4a2 were obtained from an amplified placental library (Stratagene). Cosmids 129F9, 128F2, 106G5, 135F3, 157F3, 39E7, and 198G11 were isolated from the chromosome 7-specific flow-sorted cosmid library constructed at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories.

DNA Sequence Analyses and Testing of Putative Coding Regions

315 bp was identified from cosmid c138-13c. This PCR 45 ing polyacrylamide gels (National Diagnostics) the same 40 Cycle sequencing with oligonucleotides generated from the LIMK1 cDNA sequence and from our DNA sequence analyses was used to define the structure of LIMK1 using cosmids cELN-11d, c138-13c, and c1-4a2. Cycle sequencing of cosmids was performed using 1.5 pmol of primer, 15 fmol of template, and the dsDNA Cycle Sequencing System (GibcoBRL). Reaction conditions were 94° C. for 3 min, 20 cycles of 94° C. for 30 s, 55° C. for 30 s, 72° C. for 1 min, 10 cycles of 94° C. for 30 s and 72° C. for 1 min. Cycle sequencing products were electrophoresed on 6% denaturday the reactions were performed. Also, the addition of formamide to a final concentration of 4% allowed cycle sequencing of regions that could not be sequenced by standard conditions.

> Sanger sequencing was performed using the Sequenase v2.0 DNA Sequencing Kit (United States Biochemical) under standard conditions. Sequence analysis relied on the IG Software package and the BLAST network service from the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

> The intron-exon Structure and predicted amino acid sequences are shown in Table 4 and FIG. 3. LIMK1 is composed of 16 exons, spans 37 kb, and is located 15.4 kb 3' of ELN (FIG. 2). Predicted amino acid sequence analyses revealed two putative LIM domains (amino acids 25-75 for LIM-1, 84-137 LIM-2; Way and Chalfie, 1988; Freydet al., 1990; Karlsson et al., 1990), a Dig homology region (DHR; amino acids 165-258; Ponting, 1995), a possible PEST domain (PESTFIND score=6.3; amino acids 264-289; Rogers et al., 1986), a kinase domain (amino acids 345-594), and a putative nuclear localization signal (NLS; amino acids 499–506; Forbes, 1992). Comprehensive DNA sequence analyses confirmed the location and structure of LIMK1.

Together, these data place LIMK1 immediately 3' of ELN and within the -85 kb deletion identified in K2049.

18 were performed as described above. Four cosmids and two phage (cos6, λ 4, λ 5, cELN-11d, c1-4a2, and 129F9) that

TABLE 4

Exon Size Intron Exon $#$ Intron $(55)^{a}$ \ldots ATGAGGTTGA (SEQ ID NO: 5) GGAGAGGAAGgtgcgcgggccgcggggcgc (SEQ ID NO: 6) 2 actecetteceacectgcagGAAGCGAGTT (SEQ ID NO: 7) (97) ACTGCTTCAGgtagggtggggtgcccaggg (SEQ ID NO: 8) 3 (139) geeeggeeeeteteetgeagGTGTTGTGAC (SEQ ID NO: 9) ACTGGTTATGgtgagcgccccctgccttgc (SEQ ID NO: 10) cetectcacececgcaccagGTGGCTGGGG (SEQ ID NO: 11) 4 (110) AGCTGTACTGgtgagtgccttggcccctcc (SEQ ID NO: 12) (207) 5. caccceggcggctcttgcagCGGGCACTGC (SEQ ID NO: 13) GCGTCCAGGGgtgagtggccggcctgccga (SEQ ID NO: 14) gaccectgccttacccacagAGTGGATCCG (SEQ ID NO: 15) (106) 6 CCTGGACGAGgtacggtcctgagtctgtgg (SEQ ID NO: 16) cacatgcctgctgtccccagATTGACCTGC (SEQ ID NO: 17) (167) AACCTGTCTTgtaagtcagcctgctcctcg (SEQ ID NO: 18) 8 gcaccatgtgtgccccccagGAGGAGCTGC (SEQ ID NO: 19) (184) GGCTATCAAGgtacagagcatgccagggtc (SEQ ID NO: 20) 9 cetetgtgteccacacgcagGTGACACACC (SEQ ID NO: 21) (87) CCTCAAGGAGgtcagtgagcggaatgccct (SEQ ID NO: 22) 10 (132) gcctgtttgtgccccgccagGTGAAGGTCA (SEQ ID NO: 23) CAAGAGCATGgtgagtcctgggcagagcca (SEQ ID NO: 24) ccattetttetecateceagGACAGCCAGT (SEQ ID NO: 25) 11 (60) ATCAGGGATGgtgagtgagccgggtgctct (SEQ ID NO: 26) 12 tecegtgteceegtecetagGCCTACCTCC (SEQ ID NO: 27) (66) GGTCCGcGAGgtgagtaccagggccccacg (SEQ ID NO: 28) 13 acceggetteacetteceagAACAAGAATG (SEQ ID NO: 29) (157) ATGATCAACGgtagtggttcagccctgccc (SEQ ID NO: 30) 14 cagtcggtctctttatccagGCCGCAGCTA (SEQ ID NO: 31) (56) CCTGTGCGAGgtaggtccagggttgggtag (SEQ ID NO: 32)	LIMK1 genomic structure											
cccacccacctgtcacccagGCCATCCTTT (SEQ ID NO: 35) CCCCGA <u>CTGA</u> (SEQ ID NO: 36) 16 $(163)^{a}$	15	ccgggccttgtactggacagATCATCGGGC (SEQ ID NO: 33)	(158)	CCGAGAAGAGgtgagtggggggggccctg (SEQ ID NO: 34)								

50

Cosegregation of LIMK1 Hemizygosity and Impaired Visu ospatial Constructive Cognition

To test the hypothesis that LIMK1 hemizygosity contrib utes to the WSCP, FISH analyses were performed with metaphase chromosomes from individuals with both partial and classic WS phenotypes using cosmids cELN-11d, c138-30 13c, and c1-4a2. Cosmid probes c138-13c and c1-4a2 were labeled with biotin using a nick translation kit (GibcoBRL). Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from EBV. transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines derived by standard procedures of colcemid arrest, hypotonic treatment and acetic acid-methanol fixation. Slides were prepared as described by Lichter et al. (1988) and hybridized with a probe mixture containing c138-13c, c1-4a2, human C_0t -1 DNA, and a chromosome 7-specific alpha Satellite cocktail (Oncor, Inc.). For other hybridizations, cosmids 135F3, 157F3, 39E7, and 198G11 were used. Following overnight hybridization and subsequent washing, slides were incubated with streptavidin-Cy3 (cosmids) and anti-digoxigenin FITC (chromosome 7 marker). Slides were counterstained with DAPI/Antifade (Oncor, Inc.). Metaphases were scored using an epifluorescence Olympic PX50 microscope with a triple band pass filter, and then captured using a cooled CCD camera and imaging System designed specifically for FISH (Oncor, Inc.).

LIMK1 was completely deleted from one chromosome 7 homologue in affected members of K1895 and K2049 and in 62 of 62 individuals with classic WS (e.g., FIG. 4A-4C). LIMK1 was not deleted in 6 of 6 individuals with isolated and de novo SVAS who showed some WS facial features (e.g., FIG. 4D). LIMK1 hemizygosity was not observed among more than 100 control individuals (FIG. 4E and data not shown). These data indicate that LIMK1 is deleted in individuals with classic and partial WS but not in individuals with isolated SVAS, and suggest that LIMK1 hemizygosity contributes to the WSCP.

EXAMPLE 4

Direct DNA sequence analysis of the ~85 kb deletion region reveals only LIMK1 and ELN
To determine if LIMK1 is the only gene from this region

To determine if LIMK1 is the only gene from this region likely to contribute to cognitive development, the -85 kb 65 segment deleted in K2049, along with flanking sequences, Was Sequenced. Cycle Sequencing and Sanger Sequencing

25 35 Dear and Staden, 1992). Gaps in the 83.6kb contig were 40 form an overlapping contig of the entire 83.6 kb deletion region in K2049 and the flanking sequences surrounding the breakpoints were sequenced. A modification of the sequencing procedure described by Mardis (1994) was used. Approximately 900 single-stranded M13 clones were sequenced for each cosmid using dye-primer chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Epicentre Technologies, and Amersham). Products from the sequencing reactions were run on either an ABI 373a Stretch DNA Sequencer or an ABI 377 Prism DNA Sequencer. The sequence data were pro cessed using the XGAP algorithms (Dear and Staden, 1991; filled in by one of the following methods: 1) direct sequencing of cosmids using specific primers; 2) sequencing of PCR products generated using primers that flank the gaps, or 3) subcloning restriction fragments containing the gaps into pBluescript II SK (Stratagene) and sequencing them using dye-primers.

45 fied by versions 1.2 and 2 of the GRAIL neural network. All The 83.6-kb sequence was analyzed for known genes using GENOUEST and BLAST servers. Potential coding exons, polyadenylation sites, and CpG islands were identi putative coding regions with either excellent or good Scores were tested for mRNA expression by either Northern-blot analysis (human MTN blot 1 and human fetal MTN blot) or a combination of Northern-blot analysis and RT-PCR.

55 DNAse I, and a water blank. RNA samples were prepared 60 initial denaturation at 94° C. for 3 minutes; 2) subsequent RT-PCR was performed according to manufacturer's instructions using 200 ng of total RNA and the Thermostable rTth Reverse Transcriptase RNA PCR kit (Perkin Elmer). Controls included 100 ng of genomic DNA, 100 ng of genomic DNA that had been digested with 10 units of with and without DNAse I treatment. Reverse transcription was performed for 15 minutes at 60° C. PCR was performed for either 35 or 50 cycles on a Perkin Elmer 9600 GeneAmp PCR System using the following cycling conditions: 1) denaturation at 95 \degree C. for 10 seconds; 3) annealing and extension at 60° C. for 15 seconds. Products were electro phoresed through a 5% 3:1 agarose gel (FMC) and visual ized by staining with ethidium bromide.

DNA sequence analyses defined two ordered contigs of 41,566 and 65,607 base pairs. These contigs were separated by a gap of approximately 250 base pairs (FIG. 2). Due to

its high GC content, this gap could not be sequenced using primer walking, amplified PCR products, or Subcloning. The restriction maps predicted from DNA sequence analyses were identical to maps generated using BamHI, EcoRI, and HindIII. The size of the deletion was 83.6 kb. The sequences 5 were analyzed for the presence of known genes using the GRAIL, GENOUEST, and BLAST servers (Shah et al., 1994; Altschul et al., 1990). Only ELN and LIMK1 were detected.

Comparison between the cDNA and genomic sequence 10 revealed 16 LIMK1 exons that span 37 kb of genomic DNA. Sequence analyses also indicated that LIMK1 is located 15.4 kb 3' of ELN (FIG. 2). Predicted amino acid sequence analyses identified all previously described domains including LIM-1, LIM-2, a Dlg homology region, a putative 15 nuclear localization signal, and a kinase domain (Mizuno et al., 1994; Ponting, 1995). In addition, sequence analyses revealed a possible PEST domain (PESTFIND score=6.3; amino acids 264-289; Rogers et al., 1986).

Sequences were also scanned for potential coding regions 20 using versions 1.2 and 2 of the GRAIL neural network (Table 5). Except for ELN (GRAIL identified 16 of 30 exons) and LIMK1 (15 of 16 exons), no other putative exons categorized as excellent were identified by GRAIL. Additionally, GRAIL identified seven possible coding 25 sequences categorized as good (six within the 83.6 kb) deletion region) and eleven categorized as marginal. All possible coding sequences classified as good were tested using either multiple-tissue Northern analyses or a combi nation of Northern analyses and reverse transcription-PCR LIMK1 and ELN Expression in the Developing Brain of total RNA extracted from fetal and adult human brain (Table 5 and data not shown). No evidence for expression of these additional possible coding sequences was found.

A remarkable finding of DNA sequence analyses was the high density of Alu repetitive elements in the 83.6 kb ³⁵ deletion region. A total of 120 full or partial Alu sequences was identified, for an average density of \sim 1.4/kb. This is 6-fold more than the estimated average density of 0.25/kb (Hwu et al., 1986; Slightom et al., 1994). One partial LINE sequence and one MER14-like element were also identified, as well as three large d(CA)-repeats (FIG. 2). One of the d(CA)-repeats had been previously identified (Foster et al., 1993). Sequence analyses also defined the breakpoints for the K2049 deletion; both breakpoints consisted of Alu repeats, suggesting that a recombination event between 45 mRNA. these Alu sequences may have been responsible for the deletion.

TABLE 5

GRAIL Analyses of DNA Sequences within the 83.6 kb Deleted Region						
Putative Coding Region	Size (bp)	Grail Version	Grail Quality	Strand (F/R)	Exclusion	
ELN-29	60	1.2	E	F		
ELN-30	75	1.2,2	Ε	F		
208pr3	114	1.2	G	R	N.RP	
124pr3	85	1.2	G	R	N.RP	
90pr1	123	1.2	G	R	N,RP	
$LIMK-2$	97	1.2,2	E	F		
441pr1	141	1.2,2	G	F	N,RP	
LIMK-3	139	1.2,2	E	F		б
$LIMK-4$	110	1.2,2	E	F		
LIMK-5	207	1.2,2	E	F		
LIMK-6	106	1.2,2	Е	F		
LIMK-7	167	1.2,2	E	F		
LIMK-8a	36	2	E	F		
LIMK-8b	123	1.2,2	E	F		б
LIMK-9	87	1.2,2	E	F		

20

TABLE 5-continued

Putative Coding Region	Size (bp)	Grail Version	Grail Ouality	Strand (F/R)	Exclusion
LIMK-10	132	12.2	E	F	
LIMK-11	60	2	G	F	
LIMK-12	66	1.2.2	Е	F	
604pr2	39	2	G	F	$N, *$
LIMK-13	157	1.2,2	E	F	
LIMK-14	56	1.2,2	G	F	
LIMK-15	158	122	E	F	
LIMK-16	163	1.2,2	E	F	
604pr3	31	2	G	R	N.*

Table 5. Only the putative coding regions with either excellent or good scores are listed in this table. The putative coding regions are either named after the gene and exon number (e.g., ELN-29 is exon 29 of the elastin gene) or given an assigned name (e.g., 208pr3). Putative exons are given either excellent (E) or good (G) Scores. F=forward strand in relation to ELN and LIMK, R=reverse strand. N=no evidence for expression by Northern blot analysis;
 $RP=no$ evidence for expression by $RT-PCR$; *=putative coding region not tested by RT-PCR because the coding region was too short; $-\text{not}$ tested because the putative coding region is an exon of a known gene.

EXAMPLE 5

40 $\mathbb{E} \mathbb{L} \mathbb{N}$ (1–1123 bp), and β -actin cDNA clones that had been To determine the expression pattern of LIMK1, Northern analyses were performed with mRNA extracted from fetal and adult tissues. Northern blots containing $-2 \mu g / \text{lane of}$ poly(A)" mRNA were purchased from Clonetech (human MTN blot 1, human brain blots 2 and 3, human fetal MTN blot, and a mouse MTN blot). The blots were hybridized in ExpressHyb solution (Clonetech) according to the manufacturer's instruction, with either $32P$ -end-labeled LIMK1 oligonucleotide probe (704–742 bp) or LIMK1 (104-2038 bp), radiolabeled using random hexamer priming (Feinberg and by phosphorimage analyses (Molecular Dynamics) to determine the amounts of LIMK1 RNA relative to β -actin

55 indicated that LIMK1 is most Strongly expressed in testes A LIMK1 oligonucleotide probe hybridized to a single mRNA of 3.3 kb in all fetal and adult tissues examined (FIG. 5). Phosphorimage analyses indicated that mRNA levels varied considerably but were highest in both fetal and adult brain. Northern analyses of tissue from different regions of the adult human brain demonstrated that LIMK1 is ubiquitously expressed, with mRNA levels highest in the cerebellum, caudate nucleus, Substantia nigra, and the occipital pole (FIG. 5). Analyses of adult murine tissues and brain (data not shown). These data establish that LIMK1 is widely expressed during fetal and adult life, but that LIMK1 mRNA levels are highest in the brain.

60 embryonic human nervous System demonstrated that 65 the MRC-funded Human Embryonic Tissue Bank, Institute In situ hybridization analyses of LIMK1 expression in the LIMK1 is expressed in several discrete regions of the brain and spinal cord (FIG. 6). In situ hybridization was per formed on 6 mm-thick, paraffin embedded sections of freshly prepared human embryos, which were obtained from of Child Health, London. A digoxigenin-labeled 625-bp cRNA probe specific to the 3'-untranslated portion of

25

30

 $20\,$

LIMK1 cDNA was used to avoid areas of homology with other genes encoding proteins containing LIM and kinase domains; similar results were obtained, however, in some sections hybridized with a cDNA probe covering the kinase region and some of the 3'-untranslated sequence. The in situ protocol was based on the detection of digoxigenin-labeled RNA by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG FAB fragments (Boehringer Mannheim), as previously described (Wilkinson, 1992; Birren et al., 1993). Brightfield micro photography was carried out with an Olympus BH-2 and $_{10}$ Fujichrome 64T film.

Analyses of LIMK1 expression in a Carnegie stage 20 (postovulatory day 50) human embryo revealed expression in the ependymal layer of the fourth ventricle, with a lower level of expression extending into the mantle layer. LIMK1 was expressed in specific regions of the brain, with notably high levels in the medial olivary nucleus. In the cerebellum, expression was seen again in ependymal layer. Staining also occurred in ependymal layer of the mesencephalon, which additionally contained many LIMK1-expressing cells in the mantle layer. In the Spinal cord, LIMK1 was expressed in a diffuse pattern dorsally, with Single-cell Staining Ventrally. In the mid-area of the spinal cord, expression was again seen in ependymal and mantle layers. Within the peripheral nervous System, extensive expression of LIMK1 was seen in spinal ganglia, in the fifth nerve ganglion, and in part of the inner ear.

To determine if ELN is expressed in the brain, Northern analyses were performed with mRNA extracted from fetal and adult tissues. ELN was Strongly expressed in adult heart and pancreas and in fetal lung, but exhibited negligible expression in adult and fetal brain.

EXAMPLE 6

Distinguishing between SVAS. WSCP and WS

cognitive profile (WSCP) and Williams syndrome are inherited diseases which are related in that they involve a set of contiguous genes. Persons with mutations in the elastin gene but who are wild-type for LIMK1 and do not have deletions ³' of LIMK1 have SVAS. Persons who have mutations affecting both elastin and LIMK1 (hemizygosity) but do not have deletions greater than about 300 kb 3' of the ELN gene are diagnosed as having WSCP. Persons who are mutated in both the ELN and LIMK1 genes (and have one wild-type than 300 kb from the 3' end of the LIMK1 gene in the 3' direction are diagnosed as having WS. One may conclude that SVAS is due to a mutation in or loss of a single gene (ELN), WSCP is a result of mutations in or loss of two genes (ELN and LIMK1), and WS results from mutations in or a $\frac{50}{2}$ loss of at least 3 genes (ELN, LIMK1 and an unidentified gene or genes located on chromosome 7 greater than 300 kb ³' of LIMK1). It is possible to diagnose which disease a patient may have by use of chromosomal analysis. The complete sequence of the elastin and $LIMK1$ cDNAs have 55 been published (Indik et al., 1987; Fazio et al., 1988; Mizuno et al., 1994; Cheng and Robertson, 1995). SEQ ID NO:39 shows a cDNA sequence of elastin (from Fazio et al., 1998 and Indik et al., 1987) and SEQ ID NO:40 shows the amino acid sequence encoded by this cDNA sequence. SEQ ID NO:41 Shows a cDNA sequence for LIMK1 (from Mizuno et al., 1994). SEQ ID NO:42 shows the amino acid sequence encoded by SEQ ID NO:41. Using the known nucleic acid sequences for these two genes it is possible to assay for mutations in these genes. This can be done by any desired 65 technique such as by sequencing to determine the presence of mutations, especially the presence of deletions or trans Supravalvular aortic stenosis (SVAS), Williams syndrome 35 40

locations affecting the genes, or by in Situ hybridization to determine whether these genes are hemizygous or homo- or heterozygous. Using the knowledge of these two genes one can assay to determine if the patient has at least SVAS (i.e., loss of or mutation in at least ELN), or at least WSCP (loss of or mutation in both ELN and LIMK1). To determine whether an individual has WS it is helpful to examine the chromosome beyond the 3' ends of ELN and LIMK1. To date, all Williams Syndrome patients analyzed have been found to have a major deletion in chromosome 7 which includes deletion of both the ELN (at least partially) and LIMK1 genes as well as greater than another 300 kb 3' of the LIMK1 gene. Patients who have deletions of 100 kb or smaller 3' of the LIMK1 gene have been diagnosed as having WSCP but not WS. The use of probes to analyze for the extent of deletion of chromosome 7 in individuals can distinguish between WSCP and WS.

FIG. 2 shows a map of chromosome 7 in the region of ELN and LIMK1 with a series of overlapping cosmids covering this region. The range of coverage from c138-3c through 198G11 is approximately 350 kb. In situ hybridiza tion with 135F3, for example, can be used to determine if there is a deletion of 100 kb or less 3' of LIMK1. If 135F3 hybridizes to both sets of chromosomes then the individual probably will not have WS since the deletion will be too small to delete the third, as yet unknown, gene which lies 3' of ELN and LIMK1 and which must be mutated or deleted to cause WS. To date, all WS individuals have been found to have a deletion greater than 500 kb covering ELN and LIMK1 and greater than 300 kb 3' of LIMK1. Furthermore, it has been seen that when a person does have a deletion in ELN there is a 99% chance that this is a major deletion of greater than 500 kb including LIMK1 and the other gene or genes involved in WS. This means that the presence of a deletion in ELN in one chromosome is 99% indicative of the presence of WS.

While the invention has been disclosed in this patent application by reference to the details of preferred embodiments of the invention, it is to be understood that the disclosure is intended in an illustrative rather than in a limiting sense, as it is contemplated that modifications will readily occur to those skilled in the art, within the spirit of the invention and the Scope of the appended claims.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- copy of each of these genes) and have a deletion of greater 45 Abeliovich, A., Chen, C., Goda, Y., Silva, A. J., Stevens, C. F., & Tonegawa, S. (1993a). Modified hippocampal long term potentiation in PKCY mutant mice. Cell 75, 1253-1262.
	- Abeliovich, A., Paylor, R., Chen, C., Kim, J. J., Wehner, J. M., & Tonegawa, S. (1993b). PKCY mutant mice exhibit mild deficits in Spatial and contextual learning. Cell 75, 1263-1271.
	- Altschul, F. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool.
	- J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403-410. (New York, N.Y.: Psychological Corporation).
Bayley, N. (1993). Bayley Scales of Infant Development.
	- (2nd ed.) (San Antonio, Tex.: Psychological Corporation).
	- 60 Beery, K. E. (1989). Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration (3rd revision). (Cleveland, Ohio: Modern Cur
		- Bellugi, U., Wang, P. P., & Jernigan, T. L. (1994). Williams Syndrome: An unusual neuropsychological profile. In Atypical Cognitive Deficits in Developmental Disorders: Implications for Brain Function. S. H. Broman & J. Grafman, eds. (Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum), pp. 23-56.
- Bernard, O., Ganiatsas, S., Kannourakis, G., & Dringen, R. (1994). Kiz-1, a protein with LIM zinc finger and kinase domains, is expressed mainly in neurons. Cell Growth & Dif. 5, 1159–1171.
Birren, S. J., Lo, L., and Anderson, D. J. (1993). Sympa-5
- thetic neuroblasts undergo a developmental switch in trophic dependence. Development 119, 597-610.
- Budarf, M. L., Collins, J., Gong, W., Roe, B., Wang, Z., Bailey, L. C., Sellinger, B., Michaud, D., Driscoll, D. A., and Emanuel, B. S. (1995). Cloning a balanced translo cation associated with DiGeorge Syndrome and identifi cation of a disrupted candidate gene. Nat. Genet. 10, 269-278.
- Capruso, D. X., Hamsher, K., Benton, A. L. (1995). Assess chological Assessment: A Cognitive Approach. R. L. Mupou and J. Spector (eds.) (New York: Plenum), pp. 137-183. ment of visuocognitive processes. In Clinical Neuropsy- 15
- Cheng, A. K., and Robertson, E. J. (1995). The murine kinase expressed predominantly in trophoblast giant cells and the developing nervous system. Mech. Dev. 52, 187-197.
- Curran, M. E., Atkinson, D. L., Ewart, A. K., Morris, C. A., Leppert, M. F., and Keating, M. T. (1993). The elastin 25 gene is disrupted by a translocation associated with Sup ravalvular aortic Stenosis. Cell 73, 159-168.
- Curran, M. E., Splawski, I., Timothy, K. W., Vincent, G. M., Green, E. D., and Keating, M. T. (1995). A molecular basis for cardiac arrhythmia: HERG mutations cause long QT Syndrome. Cell 80, 795–803.
- Dear, S., and Staden, R. (1991). A sequence assembly and editing program for efficient management of large projects. Nucl. Acids Res. 19, 3907–3911.
- data from DNA sequencing instruments. DNA Seq. 3, 99–105.
Dilts, C. V., Morris, C. A., and Leonard, C. 0. (1990).
- Hypothesis for development of a behavioral phenotype in Williams syndrome. Am. J. Med. Genet. Suppl. 6, 40
- 126–131.
Elliott, C. D. (1990). Differential Ability Scales. (San Diego, Calif.: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich).
Ensing, G. J., Schmidt, M. A., Hagler, D. J., Michels, V. V.,
- findings in a family with nonsyndromic autosomal domi nant supravalvular aortic stenosis: A Doppler echocardiographic study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 13, 413-419.
- Ewart, A. K., Jin, W., Atkinsin, D. L., Morris, C. A., & Keating, M. T. (1994). Supravalvular aortic stenosis asso-50 ciated with a deletion disrupting the elastin gene. J. Clin. Invest. 93, 1071-1077.
- Ewart, A. K., Morris, C.A., Atkinson, D. L., Jin, W., Sternes, K., Spallone, P., Stock, D., Leppert, M., and Keating, M. 1. (1993a). Hemizygosity at the elastin locus in a devel-55 opmental disorder, Williams syndrome. Nat. Genet. 5, 11-16.
- Ewart, A. K., Morris, C. A., Ensing, G. J., Loker, J., Moore, C., Leppert, M., and Keating, M. T. (1993b). A human vascular disorder, Supravalvular aortic Stenosis, maps to 60 chromosome 7. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 3226-323O.
- Fazio, M.J., Olsen, D. R., Hauh, E. A., Baldwin, C.T., Indik, Z, Ornstein-Goldstein, N., Yeh, H., Rosenbloom, and from a Human Skin Fibroblast Recombinant cDNA Library: Further Elucidation of Alternative Splicing Uti

lizing Exon-specific Oligonucleotides. J. Investigative Dermatology 91:458464.

- Feinberg, A., and Vogelstein, B. (1984). A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. 137, 266-267.
- Forbes, D. J. (1992). Structure and function of the nuclear pore complex. Ann. Rev. Cell Biol. 8, 495-527.
- Foster, K., Ferrell, R., King-Underwood, L., Povey, S., Attwood, J., Rennick, R., Humphries, S. E., and Henney, A. M. (1993). Description of a dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in the human elastin gene and its use to confirm assignment of the gene to chromosome 7. Ann. Hum. Genet. 57, 87-96.
- Freyd, G., Kim, S. K., and Horvitz, H. R. (1990). Novel cysteine-rich motif and homeodomain in the product of the Caenorhabditis elegans cell lineage gene lin-11. Nature 344, 876-879.
- Gilbert-Dussardier, B., Bonneau, D., Gigarel, N., Merrer, M. L., Bonnet, D., Philip, N., Serville, F., Verloes, A., Rossi, A., Ayme, S., Weissenbach, J., Mattei, M. G., Lyonnet, S., and Munnich, A. (1995). A novel microsatellite DNA marker at locus D7S1870 detects hemizygosity in 75% of individuals with Williams syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet 56, 542-544.
- Grant, S. G. N., O'Dell, T. J., Karl, K. A., Stein, P. L., Soriano, P., and Kandel, E. R. (1992). Impaired long-term potentiation, spatial learning, and hippocampal development in fyn mutant mice. Science 258, 1903-1910.
- Grimm, T. & Wesselhoeft, H. (1980). Zur genetic des Williams-Beuren syndrome und der isolierten form der supravalvularen aortenstenose: untersuchungen von 128 familien. Z. Kardiol. 69, 168-172.
- Hanks, S. K., Quinn, A. M., and Hunter, T. (1988). The protein kinase family: conserved features and deduced phylogeny of the catalytic domains. Science 241, 42–52.
- Dear, S., and Staden, R. (1992). A standard file format for 35 Hwu, H. R., Roberts, J. W., Davidson, E. H., and Britten, R. J. (1986). Insertion and/or deletion of many repeated DNA sequences in human and higher ape evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83,3875–3879.
	- Indik, Z., Yeh, H., Ornstein-Goldstein, N., Sheppard, P. Anderson, N., Rosenbloom, J. C., Peltonen, L. and Rosenbloom, J. (1987). Alternative splicing of human elastin mRNA indicated by Sequence analysis of cloned genomic and complementary DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:568O-5684.
	- Carter, G. A., and Feldt, R. H. (1989). Spectrum of 45 Karlsson, O., Thor, S., Norberg, T., Ohlsson, H., and Edlund, T. (1990). Insulin gene enhancer binding protein Isl-1 is a member of a novel class of proteins containing both a homeo and a Cys-His domain. Nature 344, 879-882.
		- Kozak, M. (1989). The scanning model for translation: an update. J. Cell Biol. 108, 229–241.
		- Lichter, P., Cremer, T., Borden, J., Manuelidis, L., and Ward, D. C. (1988). Delineation of individual human chromosomes in metaphase and interphase cells by in situ suppression hybridization using recombinant DNA libraries. Human Genet. 80, 224-234.
		- Lowery, M. C., Morris, C. A., Ewart, A., Brothman, L. J., Zhu, X. L., Leonard, C.O., Carey, J. C., Keating, M., and Brothman, A. R. (1995). Strong correlation of elastin deletions, detected by FISH, with Williams syndrome: evaluation of 235 patients. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 57, 49-53.
		- Marchuk, D., Drumm, M., Saulino, A., and Collins, F. S. (1991). Construction of T-vectors, a rapid and general system for direct cloning of unmodified PCR products. Nucleic Acids. Res. 19, 1154.
	- Uitto, J. (1988). Cloning of Full-length Elastin cDNAS 65 Mardis, E.R. (1994). High-throughput detergent extraction of M13 subclones for fluorescent DNA sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 2173–2175.
- Mervis, C. B. and Bertrand, J. (in press). Relations between cognition and language: A developmental perspective. In Research on Communication and Language Disorders: Contributions to Theories of Language Development, L. B. Adamson and M. A. Romski, eds. (New York, N.Y.: Brookes).
- Mervis, C. B., Morris, C. A., Bertrand, J., and Robinson, B. F. (in press). Williams syndrome: Findings from an Inte grated Program of Research. In Neurodevelopmental Dis orders: Contributions to a New Framework from the Cognitive Neurosciences. H. Tager-Flusberg, ed. (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press).
- Mizuno, K., Okano, I., Ohashi, K., Nunoue, K., Kuma, K., Miyata, T., and Nakamura, T. (1994). Identification of a human cDNA encoding a novel protein kinase with two 15 repeats of the LIM/double zinc finger motif. Oncogene 9, 1605-1612.
- Morris, C. A., Dilts, C., Demsey, S.A., Leonard, C.O., and Blackburn, B. (1988). The natural history of Williams syndrome: physical characteristics. J. Pediatr. 113, 20 318-326.
- Morris, C.A., Loker, J., Ensing, G., and Stock, A.D. (1993).
Supravalvular aortic stenosis cosegregates with a familial 6.7 translocation which disrupts the elastin gene. Am. J. Med. Genet. 46, 737-744.
- Nunoue, K., Ohashi, K., Okano, I., and Mizuno, K. (1995). LIMK-1 and LIMK-2, two members of a LIM motif containing protein kinase family. Oncogene 11, 701-710.
- Olson, T. M., Michels, V. V., Urban, Z., Csiszar, K., Christiano, A. M., Driscoll, D. J., Feldt, R. H., Boyd, C. D., and Thibodeau, S. N. (1995). A 30 kb deletion within the elastin gene results in familial Supravalvular aortic Stenosis. Hum. Mol. Genet. 4, 1677-1679.
- Ponting, C. P. (1995). DHR domains in syntrophins, neu Trends Biol. Sci. 20, 102-103.
- Proschel, C., Blouin, M.-J., Gutowski, N.J., Ludwig, R., and Noble, M. (1995). Limk1 is predominantly expressed in

neural tissues and phosphorylates Serine, threonine and tyrosine residues in vitro. Oncogene 11, 1271-1281.

- Preus, M. (1984). The Williams syndrome: Objective defi nition and diagnosis. Clin. Genet. 25, 422–428.
- Rogers, S., Wells, R., and Rechsteiner, M. (1986). Amino acid sequences common to rapidly degraded proteins: the PEST hypothesis. Science 234, 364–368.
- Schmeichel, K. L., and Beckerle, M. C. (1994). The LIM domain is a modular protein-binding interface. Cell 79, 211-219.
- Shah, M. B., Guan, X., Einstein, J. R., Matis, S., Xu, Y., Mural, R. J. and Uberbacher, E. C. (1994). User's guide to GRAIL and GENQUEST (Sequence analysis, gene assembly and sequence comparison systems) E-mail servers and XGRAIL (version 1.2) and XGENQUEST (version 1.1) client-server systems. Available by anonymous ftp to arthur.epm.oml.gov from directory pub/Xgrail as file Manual.grail-genquest.July94.
- Shapiro, M. B. and Senapathy, P. (1987). RNA splice junc tions of different classes of eukaryotes: sequence statistics and functional implications in gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res. 15, 7155-7174.
- Slightom, J. L., Siemieniak, D. R., Sieu, L. C., Koop, B. F., and Hood, L. (1994). Nucleotide sequence of 77.7 kb of the human $V_{\beta}T$ -cell receptor gene locus: direct primerwalking using cosmid template DNAs. Genomics 20,
- 149–168.
Udwin, O., Yule, W., and Martin, N. (1987). Cognitive abilities and behavioral characteristics of children with idiopathic infantile hypercalcemia. J. Child. Psychology and Psychiatry 28, 297-309.
- Way, J. C., and Chalfie, M. (1988). mec-3, a homeobox containing gene that specifies differentiation of the touch receptor neurons in C. elegan. Cell 54, 5-16.
- ronal NO synthases and other intracellular proteins. 35 Wechsler, D. (1981). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale
	- Revised. (San Antonio, Tex.: Psychological Corporation). Wilkinson, D. G. (1992). In Situ Hybridisation: A Practical Approach. IRL Press, Oxford.

SEQUENCE LISTING

25

(1) GENERAL INFORMATION:

(i i i) NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 42

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:1:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (A) LENGTH: 24 base pairs
- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: single
- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
-

(i i) MOLECULETYPE: other nucleic acid (A) DESCRIPTION: desc = "Primer sequence"

(x i) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:1:

A T G A G GT T G A C GCT ACTTT G T T G C

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:2:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (A) LENGTH: 24 base pairs
- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
- (C) STRANDEDNESS: single (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

 $($ i $\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO

 $($ i $\rm v$ $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO

 $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens 1 O

(A) LENGTH:30 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)

$29 \hspace{2.5cm} 30$

-continued $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:6: GGAGAGGAAG GTGCGCGGGC CGCGGGGCGC 30 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:7: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C)STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic) $($ i i i $)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO $(i \text{ v})$ ANTI-SENSE: NO (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:7: A C T C C C T T C C A C C C T G C A G G G A A G C G A G T T A C T C C T C C T C C A C C C T G C A G G A A G C G A G T T A C A C C T C C A C C T G C A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C G A (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:8: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 30 base pairs
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic) (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:8: A CT G CTT CAG G TAG G G T G G G G T G C C CAG G G 3 O (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:9: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C)STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic) (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO $(i \ v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens $(\ x \ i \)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:9: G C C C G G C C C C T C T C C T G CAG G T G T T G T GAC 3 O (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:10: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- $\,$ ($\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO $\,$
	- $(i v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO

$(-\bar{v}+\bar{i})$ ORIGINAL SOURCE:

- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:10:
- A CT G GT TAT G G T G A G C G C C C C C T G CCTT GC 3 O
- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:11:
	- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
		- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
		- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
		- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
	- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
	- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
		- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
		- $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{i} \end{array}\right)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
		- $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:11:

C C T C C T CA C C C C C GCAC CAG G T G G C T G G G G 3 O

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:12:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH: 30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C)STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} x & i \end{array}\right)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:12:

A G C T G T ACT G G T G A G T G C C T T G G C C C C T C C 3 O

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:13:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
	-
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $\,$ ($\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO $\,$
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
- $(-\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{i} \)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE:
	- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:13:

CA C C C C G G C G G C T C T T G CAG C G G G CA CT GC 3 O

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:14:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:14:

GC GT C C A G G G G G G G G G G G G G C C G G C C G G C C G G C C G A

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:15:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
		- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
		- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
- $(i \ v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
- (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE:
	- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:15:
- GACCCCTGCC TTACCCACAG AGTGGATCCG

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:16:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $(i v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:16:

C C T G GAC GAG G T A C G G T C C T G A GT C T G T G G

$\left(\begin{array}{c} 2\end{array}\right)$ INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:17:

- $\left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{i} \end{array}\right)$ SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
		- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
		- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
		- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $(i v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
- (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE:

 30

 $3\,0$

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:19:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C)STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $($ i $\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \rm{v} & \rm{i} \end{array}\right)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:19:

G CAC CAT G T G T G C C C C C C A G GAG G A G CT GC 3 O

$\left(\begin{array}{c} 2\end{array}\right)$ INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:20:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
		- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
- $(i \ v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
- $(-\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{i} \)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE:
	- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:20:

G G C TAT CAAG G TA CAGAG CA T G C CAGG G T C CAGG COMPOSITION AND THE SERIES OF A CAGAGAG CALL THE SERIES OF A CAGAGAGE OF A CAGAGE OF A

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:21:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
- (C)STRANDEDNESS: double
- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE:
		- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:21:
- C C T C T G T G T C C CACA C G CAG G T G A CACA C C 3 O
- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:22:
	- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
		- (A) LENGTH: 30 base pairs
		- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
		- (C)STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
		-
	- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
	- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
		- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
		- $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE:
			- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
		- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:22:

CCT CAAGGAG GT CAGT GAG C GGAAT G C CCT CAG GGAG C GGAA T G CCCT 3 O

$\left(\begin{array}{c} 2\end{array}\right)$ INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:23:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C)STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $(i v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
- (v i) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
- $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:23:

G C C T G TTT G T G C C C C GC CAG G T GAAG GT CA 3 O

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:24:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C)STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{j} \end{array}\right)$ MOLECULE TYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $($ v $\,$ i $\,$) ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:24:

CAAGAGCATG GTGAGTCCTG GGCAGAGCCA 30

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:25:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $($ i $\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $(-\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{i} \)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:25:

C CATT CTTT C T C CAT C C C A G G A C A G C C A GT

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:26:
	- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
		- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
			- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
			- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
		- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
	- $($ i i) MOLECULE TYPE: DNA (genomic)
	- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
		- $(i v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
		- $(-\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{i} \)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE:
			- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
		- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:26:

AT CAGGGAT G G T GAGT GAGC C G G G T G C T C T

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:27:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- (i v). ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $(-\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{i}^\top)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE:
		- (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:27:

T C C C G T G T C C C C C C C T A G G C C T A C C T C C

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:28:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	- (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	- (C) STRANDEDNESS: double
	- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- $(i i i)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
- $(i \ v)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO

 30

CA GT C G G T C T C T T T AT C CAG G A G C C G C A G C T A CAG T C C A G C T A CAG T C C A G C T A C C A G C C G C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A C C A G C T A

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:32:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid

 $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} x & i \end{array}\right)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:31:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH:30 base pairs
	-
	-
	- (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
(C) STRANDEDNESS: double
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (i i) MOLECULETYPE: DNA (genomic)
- (i i i) HYPOTHETICAL: \overline{NO}
	- $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $($ v $\,$ $\,$ $)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:35:

(B) TYPE: nucleic acid

(C) STRANDEDNESS: double

(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{i}\;\;\mathrm{i}\;\;\end{array}\right)$ MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA

 $($ i $\,$ i $\,$ i $\,$) HYPOTHETICAL: NO

 $($ i $\,$ v $)$ ANTI-SENSE: NO

 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} v & i \end{array}\right)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: $\left(\begin{array}{cc} A \end{array}\right)$ ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{ } i \text{ } x \text{ }) \text{ FEATURE:} \\ (\text{ } A \text{ }) \text{ NAME/KEY: CDS} \\ (\text{ } B \text{ }) \text{ LOCATION: } 49..2424 \end{array}$

 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} x & i \end{array}\right)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:39:

5,858,662

5,858,662

52

-continued																
	565					570					575					
G1v 580					GGG CTT GGT GCT GGC ATC CCT GGA CTT GGA GTT GGT GTC GGC GTC CCT Leu Gly Ala Gly Ile Pro Gly Leu Gly Val Gly Val Gly Val 585					590					P r o 595	1833
	Gly Leu Gly Val			600	GGA CTT GGA GTT GGT GCT GGT GTT CCT GGA CTT GGA GTT GGT GCT GGT Gly Ala Gly Val Pro Gly Leu Gly Val Gly Ala Gly				6 0 5					6 1 0		1881
V a l			6 1 5		GTT CCT GGC TTC GGG GCA GGT GCA GAT GAG GGA GTT AGG CGG AGC CTG Pro Gly Phe Gly Ala Gly Ala Asp Glu Gly Val Arg Arg			6 2 0					6 2 5	Ser Leu		1929
		6 3 0			TCC CCT GAG CTC AGG GAA GGA GAT CCC TCC TCC TCT CAG CAC CTC CCC Ser Pro Glu Leu Arg Glu Gly Asp Pro Ser Ser Ser Gln His Leu Pro		6 3 5					640				1977
Se r	Thr 6 4 5				AGC ACC CCC TCA TCA CCC AGG GTA CCT GGA GCC CTG GCT GCC GCT AAA Pro Ser Ser Pro Arg Val Pro Gly Ala Leu Ala Ala Ala Lys	650					655					2025
Ala 660					GCA GCC AAA TAT GGA GCA GCA GTG CCT GGG GTC CTT GGA GGG CTC GGG Ala Lys Tyr Gly Ala Ala Val Pro Gly Val Leu Gly Gly Leu Gly 6 6 5					670					675	2073
G C T Ala		Leu Gly Gly Val		680	CTC GGT GGA GTA GGC ATC CCA GGC GGT GTG GTG GGA GCC GGA CCC				Gly Ile Pro Gly Gly Val Val Gly Ala Gly 685					690	P r o	2 1 2 1
Ala	Ala Ala		Ala 695		GCC GCC GCC GCT GCC GCA GCC AAA GCT GCT GCC AAA GCC GCC CAG TTT Ala Ala Ala Lys Ala Ala Ala Lys Ala Ala Gin Phe			700					705			2 1 6 9
	Gly Leu Val	710			GGC CTA GTG GGA GCC GCT GGG CTC GGA GGA CTC GGA GTC GGA GGG CTT Gly Ala Ala Gly Leu Gly Gly Leu Gly Val Gly Gly Leu		715					720				2 2 1 7
G 1 y	Val 725				GGA GTT CCA GGT GTT GGG GGC CTT GGA GGT ATA CCT CCA GCT GCA GCC Pro Gly Val Gly Gly Leu Gly Gly Ile Pro Pro Ala Ala Ala	730					735					2 2 6 5
Ala 740		Lys Ala Ala		L y s	GCT AAA GCA GCT AAA TAC GGT GCT GCT GGC CTT GGA GGT GTC CTA GGG Tyr Gly Ala Ala Gly Leu Gly Gly Val Leu 745					750					G1v 755	2 3 1 3
	Gly Ala Gly Gln			760	GGT GCC GGG CAG TTC CCA CTT GGA GGA GTG GCA GCA AGA CCT GGC TTC Phe Pro Leu Gly Gly Val Ala Ala Arg				765					Pro Gly 770	Ph e	2 3 6 1
	G l y L e u	Ser	P r o 775		GGA TTG TCT CCC ATT TTC CCA GGT GGG GCC TGC CTG GGG AAA GCT TGT Ile Phe Pro Gly Gly Ala Cys Leu Gly Lys Ala Cys			780					785			2 4 0 9
	GGC CGG AAG AGA AAA TGA Gly Arg Lys	790	Arg Lys													2 4 2 7

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}\right)$ INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:40:

 $\begin{array}{ll} & (i) \text{ SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:} \\ & (A) \text{ LENGTH: } 792 \text{ amino acids} \\ & (B) \text{ TYPE: amino acid} \\ & (D) \text{ TOPOLOGY: linear} \end{array}$

 $(-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{i})$ MOLECULE TYPE: protein

 $(x i)$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:40:

5,858,662

-continued

								-commuca						
	5 0				5 5					6 0				
Pro V a 1 6 5	P r o		Gly Gly Leu	70	A1a				75	Gly Ala Gly Leu Gly Ala Gly Leu Gly				80
A l a P h e	Pro	A l a	V a 1 8 5	Th r	Phe		Pro Gly Ala	90	L e u	Val	Pro Gly		G 1 y 9 ₅	V a 1
Ala A s p	Ala	Ala 100	Ala	Ala		Tyr Lys Ala	105	Ala	L y s	Ala	Gly Ala	110	Gly Leu	
G 1 y G l y	Val 1 1 5	P r o		Gly Val Gly Gly		120		Leu Gly Val		Se r	A ₁ a 1 2 5	G l y	Ala Val	
Val Pro 130	G 1 n	Pr o		Gly Ala Gly	1 3 5	Va ₁			Lys Pro Gly Lys	140	V a 1	Pro Gly		Val
G l y L e u 1 4 5	Pro	Gly Val		T y r 150	P r o		Gly Gly Val Leu		1 5 5			Pro Gly Ala Arg		Ph e 160
Pro	G l y V a l	$G \perp y$	Va 1 165		$L e u$ $P r o$		Gly Val Pro	170	Th r		Gly Ala Gly		Va ₁ 175	L y s
P r o	Lys Ala	Pro 180		Gly Val Gly Gly Ala			185	Phe	Ala Gly		Ile Pro	190	Gly Val	
G 1 y	Pro Phe 195	G 1 y		Gly Pro Gln Pro		200				Gly Val Pro Leu Gly Tyr	205		P r o	$I \perp e$
Ala L v s 210	Pro	L y s		Leu Pro Gly	2 1 5	G 1 y			Tyr Gly Leu Pro	220	Tyr Thr		Thr	G 1 y
L y s L e u 2 2 5	Pro		Tyr Gly Tyr	230			Gly Pro Gly Gly Val		2 3 5			Ala Gly Ala Ala		G 1 y 240
L y s Ala	G 1 y	Tyr Pro	2 4 5		Thr Gly		Thr Gly Val	250	G 1 y	Pro Gln Ala			Ala 2 5 5	Ala
Ala Ala	Ala	Ala 260		Lys Ala Ala Ala Lys			265	Phe	G l y	Ala Gly Ala		270	Ala	G 1 y
Val L e u	Pro 275	Gly		Val Gly Gly		Ala 280	G l y V a l			Pro Gly	Val 285	P r o	G 1 y	Ala
11c Pro 290	$G \perp y$			Ile Gly Gly Ile	295	Ala	Gly Val Gly			Th r 3 0 0	Pro	Ala	Ala	Ala
Ala Ala 3 0 5	Ala	Ala	Ala Ala	310	A1a		Lys Ala Ala Lys		3 1 5			Tyr Gly Ala Ala		Ala 3 2 0
Gly	Leu Val	Pro	G l y 3 2 5		Gly Pro	G l y	Phe Gly	3 3 0	P r o		Gly Val Val		G l y 3 3 5	V a 1
Pro	G l y A l a	G l y 340					345			Val Pro Gly Val Gly Val Pro Gly Ala Gly		350	Ile Pro	
Val Val Pro Gly Ala Gly Ile Pro Gly Ala Ala Val Pro Gly Val Val	3 5 5					360					365			
Ser Pro 370		Glu Ala Ala Ala Lys Ala Ala Ala Lys Ala Ala Lys Tyr Gly			375					380				
Ala 385	Arg Pro Gly Val Gly Val Gly Gly Ile Pro			390					395			Thr Tyr Gly Val		G 1 y 400
Ala Gly Gly Phe			405					410		Pro Gly Phe Gly Val Gly Val Gly Gly Ile Pro			415	G1v
V a 1	Ala Gly Val	4 2 0					4 2 5			Pro Ser Val Gly Gly Val Pro Gly Val Gly Gly Val		4 3 0		
Pro Gly Val	4 3 5					440				Gly Ile Ser Pro Glu Ala Gln Ala Ala Ala	4 4 5		Ala Ala Lys	
Ala Ala 450		Lys Tyr Gly Ala Ala Gly Ala Gly Val Leu Gly Gly Leu Val			4 5 5					460				
Pro 4 6 5	Gly Pro Gln Ala Ala Val Pro Gly Val Pro Gly Thr Gly Gly Val			4 7 0					475					480

56

 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:41:

 $($ i $)$ SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (A) LENGER CHARGE LEASTICS:

(A) LENGTH: 3262 base pairs

(B) TYPE: nucleic acid

(C) STRANDEDNESS: double

(D) TOPOLOGY: linear
	-
	-
-
- $(-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{i})$ MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA
- $($ i i i $)$ HYPOTHETICAL: NO
	- $(\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{i}\;\;\mathrm{v} \end{array})$ ANTI-SENSE: NO
	- $(-\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{i}^\top)$ ORIGINAL SOURCE: (A) ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
	- $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \ensuremath{\text{i}}\xspace & \ensuremath{\text{x}}\xspace \end{array}\right)$ FEATURE:

 $\,$ (A $\,$) NAME/KEY: CDS

$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \text{B} \end{array}\right)$ LOCATION: 96..2036

$(\begin{array}{c} x & i \end{array})$ SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:41:

5,858,662

Glu Lys Asp Gly Gln Leu Phe Cys Lys Lys Asp Tyr Trp Ala Arg Tyr 65
65
80

 $30[°]$

What is claimed is:

1. A method for determining the presence of impaired Visuospatial constructive cognition, Said method comprising determining Zygosity in an individual of LIM-kinase 1 (LIMK1), wherein a nucleic acid probe or primer specific for LIMK1 is hybridized to said individual's nucleic acid, wherein hemizygosity of LIMK1 is indicative of impaired visuospatial constructive cognition.

65

2. The method of claim 1 wherein said zygosity is $_{35}$ measured by in Situ hybridization.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said Zygosity is measured by fluorescent in Situ hybridization.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein said Zygosity is measured using a polymerase chain reaction.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein said Zygosity is measured using a DNA fingerprinting technique.
6. A method for determining the presence of a partial

Williams syndrome profile, said method comprising determining the presence of a complete deletion of LIM -kinase 1_{45} (LIMK1) and a deletion of at least a 3' terminal region of elastin (ELN) on one chromosome, wherein Said presence of a complete deletion of LIMK1 and a deletion of at least a 3' terminal region of ELN, said deletion of a 3' terminal region of ELN comprising a region from exon 28 through the stop codon of ELN, on one chromosome and further wherein no more than about 100 kb 3' to LIMK1 is deleted on said chromosome is indicative of the presence of a partial Wil liams Syndrome profile. 50

7. The method of claim 6 wherein said method comprises in situ hybridization.

8. The method of claim 6 wherein said method comprises fluorescent in situ hybridization.

9. The method of claim 6 wherein said method comprises a polymerase chain reaction.

10. The method of claim 6 wherein said method com prises a DNA fingerprinting technique.

40 11. A method for distinguishing whether an individual has supravalvular aortic stenosis (SVAS), partial Williams syndrome profile or Williams syndrome (WS), said method comprising analyzing an individual's chromosomes for deletions of portions of chromosome 7 wherein a deletion of elastin (ELN) but not LIM-kinase 1 (LIMK1) is indicative of SVAS, a deletion of ELN and LIMK1 but no more than about 100 kb 3' to LIMK1 is indicative of partial Williams syndrome, and a deletion of ELN, LIMK1 and greater than 300 kb 3' of LIMK1 is indicative of WS.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein Said analyzing comprises in situ hybridization.

13. The method of claim 11 wherein Said analyzing comprises fluorescent in Situ hybridization.

14. The method of claim 11 wherein Said analyzing comprises a polymerase chain reaction.

15. The method of claim 11 wherein Said analyzing comprises a DNA fingerprinting technique.

k k k k k