

US009990430B2

(12) United States Patent

Galbreath et al.

(54) GRAPH SERVER QUERYING FOR MANAGING SOCIAL NETWORK INFORMATION FLOW

- (75) Inventors: Nicholas Galbreath, San Francisco, CA (US); Mirko Predosin, Sunnyvale, CA (US)
- (73) Assignee: Facebook, Inc., Menlo Park, CA (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 682 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

- (21) Appl. No.: 12/981,311
- (22) Filed: Dec. 29, 2010

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2011/0099167 A1 Apr. 28, 2011

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 10/854,054, filed on May 26, 2004, now Pat. No. 8,572,221.
- (51) Int. Cl.

G06F 7/00	(2006.01)
G06F 17/30	(2006.01)
G06Q 30/02	(2012.01)
H04L 29/08	(2006.01)
H04L 29/06	(2006.01)

- (52) U.S. Cl.
 - CPC G06F 17/30867 (2013.01); G06Q 30/02 (2013.01); H04L 65/403 (2013.01); H04L 67/10 (2013.01); H04L 67/306 (2013.01)

(10) Patent No.: US 9,990,430 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** *Jun. 5, 2018

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,987,554 A	1/1991	Kaufman
4,989,141 A	1/1991	Lyons et al.
5,101,475 A	3/1992	Kaufman et a
5,189,608 A	2/1993	Lyons et al.
5,257,365 A	10/1993	Powers et al.
	(Con	tinued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO WO 98/49636 11/1998

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Li, C. et al., "A Data Model for Supporting On-Line Analytical Processing," Presented at the International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, 1996, pp. 81-88.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Daniel Kuddus

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Baker Botts L.L.P.

(57) ABSTRACT

An online social network is managed using one server for database management tasks and another server, preferably in a distributed configuration, for CPU-intensive computational tasks, such as finding a shortest path between two members or a degree of separation between two members. The additional server has a memory device containing relationship information between members of the online social network and carries out the CPU-intensive computational tasks using this memory device. With this configuration, the number of database lookups is decreased and processing speed is thereby increased.

24 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,278,966 A	1/1994	Parks et al.
5.359.724 A	1/1994	Earle
5 361 385 A	11/1004	Bakalash
5 370 410 A	1/1005	Haffornan at al
5,579,419 A	1/1995	Cheatheast at
5,700,495 A	1/1998	Chadha et al.
5,745,764 A	4/1998	Leach et al.
5,765,028 A	6/1998	Gladden
5,781,896 A	7/1998	Dalal
5,794,228 A	8/1998	French et al.
5.794.229 A	8/1998	French et al.
5 794 246 A	8/1998	Sankaran et al
5,709,290 A	8/1008	Dagramal of al
5,799,300 A	0/1990	
5,805,885 A	9/1998	Leach et al.
5,822,751 A	10/1998	Gray et al.
5,832,475 A	11/1998	Agrawal et al.
5,850,547 A	12/1998	Waddington et al.
5,852,821 A	12/1998	Chen et al.
5.864.184 A	1/1999	Lvnch
5 864 857 A	1/1999	Ohata et al
5 890 151 A	3/1000	Agrawal et al
5 001 287 A	5/1000	Dull
5,901,207 A	5/1000	Mallow at al
5,905,985 A	5/1999	Manoy et al.
5,915,257 A	6/1999	Gartung et al.
5,918,225 A	6/1999	White et al.
5,918,232 A	6/1999	Pouschine et al.
5,926,818 A	7/1999	Malloy
5,926,820 A	7/1999	Agrawal et al.
5.940.822 A	8/1999	Haderie et al.
5 963 936 A	10/1999	Cochrane et al
5 078 788 A	11/1000	Castelli et al
5,978,788 A	11/1999	Mallarr et al
5,978,790 A	11/1999	Manoy et al.
5,987,467 A	11/1999	Ross et al.
5,991,754 A	11/1999	Raitto et al.
5,999,192 A	12/1999	Selfridge et al.
6,003,029 A	12/1999	Agrawal et al.
6,006,216 A	12/1999	Griffin et al.
6.023.695 A	2/2000	Osborn et al.
6 034 697 A	3/2000	Becker
6 064 400 A	5/2000	Dalal
6 108 647 A	8/2000	Danai Daosala et al
6 115 705 A	0/2000	Langer
6,115,705 A	9/2000	Larson
6,122,628 A	9/2000	Castelli et al.
6,134,541 A	10/2000	Castelli et al.
6,141,655 A	10/2000	Johnson et al.
6,151,601 A	11/2000	Papierniak et al.
6.161.103 A	12/2000	Rauer et al.
6.163.774 A	12/2000	Lore et al.
6 173 310 BI	1/2001	Vost et al
6 182 060 B1	1/2001	Hedgeock et al
6 182,000 D1	1/2001	Matauraana at al
0,182,001 BI	1/2001	Matsuzawa et al.
6,189,004 BI	2/2001	Rassen et al.
6,205,447 BI	3/2001	Malloy
6,208,975 B1	3/2001	Bull et al.
6,209,036 B1	3/2001	Aldred et al.
6,212,515 B1	4/2001	Rogers
6.212.524 B1	4/2001	Weissman et al.
6.212.617 B1	4/2001	Hardwick
6 249 791 B1	6/2001	Osborn et al
6 260 360 B1 *	7/2001	Robertson G06O 10/02
6 260 202 D1	7/2001	Vost et al
0,209,393 DI	11/2001	Tost et al.
6,317,750 BI	11/2001	lortolani et al.
6,321,241 BI	11/2001	Gartung et al.
6,324,533 B1	11/2001	Agrawal et al.
6,330,564 B1	12/2001	Hellerstein et al.
6,347,332 B1*	2/2002	Malet G06F 17/30867
		707/E17-109
6.374.234 BI	4/2002	Netz
6 3 8 5 3 0 1 B 1	5/2002	Nolting et al
6 285 604 01	5/2002	Pakalash at sl
6 207 105 D1	5/2002	Dandidsii vi di. Dinard at al
0,397,195 BI	5/2002	rmaru et al.
0,405,208 BI	6/2002	kagnavan et al.
6,408,292 B1	6/2002	Bakalash et al.
6,434,544 B1	8/2002	Bakalash et al.
6,473.764 B1	10/2002	Petculescu et al.
6.477.536 B1	11/2002	Pasumansky et al.

Roccaforte	11/2002	B1	6,484,179
Berger	12/2002	B1	6,493,728
Castelli et al.	3/2003	B1	6,535,872
Hendrey H04W 76/002	4/2003	B2 *	6,542,750
455/41.2			
Favvad et al.	4/2003	B1	6,549,907
Lampson et al.	7/2003	B1	6.594.672
Deshpande	7/2003	B1	6.601.062
Colby	9/2003	BI	6.629.094
Golding G06F 17/30867	10/2003	B1 *	6.640.218
Polizzi et al.	11/2003	B2	6.643.661
Shah et al.	12/2003	B2	6.662.174
Shah et al.	5/2004	B2	6.732.115
Shah et al.	6/2004	B2	6.748.394
Deshpande et al.	7/2004	B1	6.763.357
Pasumansky et al.	7/2004	B1	6.766.325
Polizzi et al.	12/2004	B2	6.832.263
Abrams G06O 10/10	6/2006	B2 *	7.069.308
705/319			.,,.
Ruvolo et al.	2/2007	B2 *	7.177.880
Lunt G06O 10/10	3/2007	B2 *	7.188.153
705/319	0/200/	22	,,100,100
Lunt G060 30/02	1/2009	B2*	7 478 078
Akella G06F 17/30958	3/2009	B1 *	7 512 612
Walker G060 30/04	2/2011	B1*	7 805 357
705/40	2/2011	DI	1,000,001
Shah et al	2/2002	A 1	002/0016024
Polizzi et al	2/2002		002/0010924 002/0023122
Bakalash et al	3/2002	A1	002/0023122
Shah at al	3/2002	A1	002/0029207
Shah et al	3/2002		002/0038229
Shah et al	7/2002	A1	002/0038297
Hondroy H04W 76/002	8/2002	A1*	002/0033032
nendrey n04w 70/002	8/2002	AI ·	002/01111/5
455/450.5 Lee COCE 17/20807	10/2002	A 1 %	002/0154171
Lee GUOF 17/30807	10/2002	AI*	002/01541/1
715/781	5/2004		001/0000005
Elder G06Q 10/10	5/2004	AI*	004/0088325
Thomas	4/2005	AI*	005/0091202
Malkin et al 707/10	7/2005	Al*	005/0165785
Hull et al 705/1	8/2005	Al*	005/0177385
Lunt et al 709/225	10/2005	Al*	005/0235062
Faloutsos G06Q 10/04	11/2005	A1*	005/0243736
370/254			

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Albrecht, J. et al., "Aggregate-Based Query Processing in a Parallel Data Warehouse Server," Proceedings of the Tenth International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications, Sep. 1-3, 1999, pp. 40-44.

Pourabbas, E. et al., "Characterization of Hierarchies and Some Operators in OLAP Environment," Presented at the ACM 2nd International Workshop on Data Warehousing and OLAP, Nov. 2-6, 1999, pp. 1-17.

Widmann, N. et al., "Efficient Execution of Operations in a DBMS for Multidensional Arrays," Presented at the ACM SIGMOD 1998, pp. 1-11, http://dblp.unitier.de/db/conf/ssdbm/WidmannB98.html.

Korn, F. et al., "Efficiently Supporting Ad Hoc Queries in Large Datasets of Time Sequences," Presented at ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, May 11-15, 1997, pp. 1-22, http://www.acm.org/pubs/citations/proceedings/mod/253260. p289-korn/.

Pedersen, T.B., Aspects of Data Modeling and Query Processing for Complex Multidimensional Data, 2000, pp. 1-180, Danish Academy of Technical Sciences.

Pourabbas, E. et al., "Hierarchies and Relative Operators in the OLAP Environment," SIGMOD Record, Mar. 2000, pp. 1-8, vol. 29, No. 1, http://www.dblp.unitrier.de/db/journals/signmod29.html. Salem, K. et al., "How to Roll a Join: Asynchronous Incremental View Maintenance," Presented at ACM SIGMOD on Management of Data Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, May 15-18, 2000, pp. 1-13, http://www.acm.org/pubs/citations/proceedings/mod/342009/p129-salem/#abstract.

Harinarayan, V. et al., "Implementing Data Cubes Efficiently," Presented at the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, Jun. 4-6, 1996, pp. 205-216.

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Agrawal, R. et al., "Modeling Multidimensional Databases," IBM Almaden Research Center, 1995; Presented at the 13th International Conference on Data Engineering Apr. 1997, pp. 1-23.

Agarwal, S. et al., "On the Computation of Multidimensional Aggregates," Presented at the 22nd VLDB Conference, 1996, pp. 1-16.

Hellerstein, J.M. et al., "Online Agrregation," Presented at the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, May 1997, Tucson, Arizona, pp. 1-12, http://www.acm/org/pubs/cita-tions/proceedings/mod/253260/p171-hellerstein/.

Elkins, S.B., "Open OLAP," DBMS, Apr. 1998, pp. 1-7, http:// www.dbmsmag.com/9804d14.html.

Li, C. et al., "Optimizing Statistical Queries by Exploiting Orthogonality and Interval Properties of Grouping Relations," Presented at the 8th International Conference on Scientific & Statistical Database Management, pp. 1-10, Jun. 1996.

Ho, C. et al., "Range Queries in OLAP Data Cubes," Presented at the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, May 11-15, 1997, pp. 1-16, http://www.acm.org/pubs/cita-tions/proceedings/mod/253260/p73-ho/.

"See Data from All Angels with Multidimensional Database Software" SAS Institute Inc., 2001, 1 page, www.sas,com/products/ mddb/index/html.

Cheung, D.W. et al., "Towards the Building of a Dense-Region-Based OLAP System," Data and Knowledge Engineering, 2001, pp. 1-27, vol. 36, No. 1, http://www.elsevier.nl/gejng/10/16/74/62/24/24/abstract.html.

McKie, S., "Essbase 4.0," DBMS Online, Jul. 1996, pp. 1-4, http://www.dbmsmag.com/9607d13.html.

Hellerstein, J.M., "Optimization Techniques for Queries with Expensive Methods," ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), 1998, pp. 113-157, vol. 23, No. 2.

Colliat, G., "OLAP, Relational, and Multidimensional Database Systems," SIGMOD Record, Sep. 1996, pp. 64-69, vol. 25, No. 3. Kimball R., "The Aggregate Navigator; How to Optimize Your Data Warehouse Using Aggregates Without Driving Your End Users Crazy," DBMS, Nov. 1995, http://www.dbmsmag.com.

Pendse, N., "The Origins of Today's OLAP Products," Feb. 6, 2003, pp. 1-7, http://www.olapreport.com/origins.htm.

"Introduction to Structured Query Language," 2000, pp. 1-33, http://w3.one.net/about/jhoffmann/sqltut.htm.

Date, C.J., "An Introduction to Database Systems," 2000, pp. 250, 266, 289-326, Addison-Wesley, Seventh Edition.

"The Art of Indexing," a White Paper by DISC, Oct. 1999, pp. 3-30, Dynamic Information Systems Corporation.

Harrington, J.L., "Relational Database Design Clearly Explained," 1998, pp. v-xiii, 1-62, Morgan Kaufman.

Kimball, R., "Aggregate Navigation with (Almost) No MetaData," 1996, pp. 1-8, http://www.dbmsmag.com/9608d54.html.

Duhl, J. et al., "A Performance Comparison of Object and Relational Databases Using the Sun Benchmark," Proceedings of the Conference on Object Programming Systems Languages and Applications, Sep. 25-30, 1988, pp. 153-163.

Gupta, H.V., et al. "Index Selection for OLAP," Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Data Engineering, Apr. 7-11, 1997, pp. 208-219.

Chaudhuri, S. et al., "An Overview of Data Warehousing and OLAP Technology," SIGMOD Record, New York, NY Mar. 1997, vol. 26, No. 1.

Colby, L.S. et al. "Red Brick Vista, Aggregate Comoputation and Management," Data Engineering 1998 Proceedings, 14th International Confrenence in Orlando, FL., Feb. 23-27, 1998.

Albrecht, J. et al. "Management of Multidimensional Aggregates for Efficient Online Analytical Processing," Database Engineering and Applications, 1999, International Symposium Proceedings Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Zhao, Y. et al., "Array-Based Evaluation of Multi-Dimensional Queries in Object-Relational Database Systems," Data Engineering, 1998 Proceedings, 14th International Conference in Orlando, FL, Feb. 23-27, 1998.

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1



FIG. 2



Adjacency List

ME: A, B, C
A: ME, F, G
B: ME, H
C: ME, D, E
D: C, I, J
E: C, K
F: A, L
G: A, H
H: B, G
I: D, N
J: D
K: E
L: F, M
M: L
N: I, O
0; N
P: Q, R
Q: P, R
R: P, Q
\$:
T: U
U. T







5

25

GRAPH SERVER QUERYING FOR MANAGING SOCIAL NETWORK INFORMATION FLOW

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/854,054, filed May 26, 2004, entitled "System and Method for Managing an Online Social Net-¹⁰ work," which is incorporated by reference herein for all purposes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to a system and method for managing an online social network, and more specifically, to a system and method for managing informa-²⁰ tion exchange between members of an online social network.

Description of the Related Art

Online social networking sites have been rapidly gaining in popularity, and operators of online social networking sites have been adding servers and switches to their infrastructure to keep up with the increasing demand. Keeping up with the increasing demand has, however, proved to be difficult for ³⁰ two reasons. First, online social networking sites are virally marketed, as current members actively solicit nonmembers to sign up and join the network, and as a result, its growth has been very rapid. Second, the load on the social networking site is dependent not only on the total number of ³⁵ members but also on the total number of relationships. Because a member typically has multiple relationships, this means that the load increase associated with each new member is much greater than typical.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention deals with the system load demands by improving the processing efficiencies of the online social networking site. The improvement in the processing efficiencies is achieved by providing one or more graph servers to be used in combination with the site's application server. The application server is configured to handle database management tasks, and the graph servers are configured to handle CPU-intensive computational tasks. 50

More specifically, the application server manages a database that contains member profile information and member relationship information. The graph servers keep track of how the members are socially connected to one another (hereinafter referred to as, "social network map") in a 55 dedicated memory device, and process and respond to queries from the application server using the social network map stored in the dedicated memory device. The social network map that is stored in the dedicated memory device of the graph servers is updated to reflect any changes to the 60 member relationship information that are made in the database.

Because the present invention processes relationship information using a social network map that is stored in a dedicated memory device, the number of database lookups 65 is decreased and an improvement in the processing speed is achieved. Depending on the number of relationships that are

tracked, a dramatic improvement in the processing speed might be achieved with the present invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a diagram that conceptually represents the relationships between members in a social network;

FIG. **2** is a block diagram illustrating the system for managing an online social network according to an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. **3** is a sample adjacency list that is maintained by the graphs servers of the present invention;

FIG. **4** is a flow diagram illustrating the method for processing a request by one member to view the profile of another member in the system of FIG. **2**;

FIG. **5** is a flow diagram illustrating the method for determining whether a member can be contacted by another member in the system of FIG. **2**; and

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram illustrating the method for processing a search request in the system of FIG. 2.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A social network is generally defined by the relationships among groups of individuals, and may include relationships ranging from casual acquaintances to close familial bonds. A social network may be represented using a graph structure. Each node of the graph corresponds to a member of the social network. Edges connecting two nodes represent a relationship between two individuals. In addition, the degree of separation between any two nodes is defined as the minimum number of hops required to traverse the graph from one node to the other. A degree of separation between two members is a measure of relatedness between the two members.

FIG. 1 is a graph representation of a social network centered on a given individual (ME). Other members of this social network include A-U whose position, relative to
40 ME's, is referred to by the degree of separation between ME and each other member. Friends of ME, which includes A, B, and C, are separated from ME by one degree of separation (1 d/s). A friend of a friend of ME is separated from ME by 2 d/s. As shown, D, E, F and G are each separated from ME
45 by 2 d/s. A friend of a friend of a friend of ME is separated from ME by 3 d/s. FIG. 1 depicts all nodes separated from ME by more than 3 degrees of separation as belonging to the category ALL.

Degrees of separation in a social network are defined relative to an individual. For example, in ME's social network, H and ME are separated by 2 d/s, whereas in G's social network, H and G are separated by only 1 d/s. Accordingly, each individual will have their own set of first, second and third degree relationships.

As those skilled in the art understand, an individual's social network may be extended to include nodes to an Nth degree of separation. As the number of degrees increases beyond three, however, the number of nodes typically grows at an explosive rate and quickly begins to mirror the ALL set.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating a system for managing an online social network. As shown, FIG. 2 illustrates a computer system 100, including an application server 200 and distributed graph servers 300. The computer system 100 is connected to a network 400, e.g., the Internet, and accessible over the network by a plurality of computers, which are collectively designated as 500. The application server 200 manages a member database 210, a relationship database 220 and a search database 230. The member database 210 contains profile information for each of the members in the online social network managed by the computer system 100. The profile information may 5 include, among other things: a unique member identifier, name, age, gender, location, hometown, a pointer to an image file, listing of interests, attributes, etc. The profile information also includes VISIBILITY and CON-TACTABILITY settings, the uses of which are described 10 below in connection with FIGS. 4 and 5.

The relationship database **220** stores member relationship information in the following format: (MemberID_1, MemberID_2, Time, Add/Delete). MemberID_1 and MemberID_2 identify the two members whose relationship is 15 defined by this input. Time is a variable corresponding to the time stamp of this input. Add/Delete is a variable indicating whether the friendship between MemberID_1 and MemberID_2 is to be added or deleted.

In addition, the contents of the member database **210** are 20 indexed and optimized for search, and stored in the search database **230**. The member database **210**, the relationship database **220**, and the search database **230** are updated to reflect inputs of new member information and edits of existing member information that are made through the 25 computers **500**.

The member database **210**, the relationship database **220**, and the search database **230** are depicted separately in the block diagram of FIG. **2** to illustrate that each performs a different function. The databases **210**, **220**, **230** may each ³⁰ represent a different database system, module, or software; or any two of the three or all three may be parts of the same database system, module, or software.

The application server **200** also manages the information exchange requests that it receives from the remote computsers **500**. The information exchange requests may be a request to view a member's profile (FIG. **4**), a request to send messages to a member (FIG. **5**), or a search request (FIG. **6**). The application server **200** relies on the distributed graph servers **300** to process certain CPU-intensive tasks 40 that are part of the information exchange request. The graph servers **300** receive a query from the application server **200**, process the query and return the query results to the application server **200**.

The graph servers **300** have a dedicated memory device 45 **310**, such as a random access memory (RAM), in which an adjacency list that reflects the member relationship information is stored. A sample adjacency list that reflects the social network map of FIG. **1** is shown in FIG. **3**. A list item is generated for each member and contains a member ⁵⁰ identifier for that member and member identifier(s) corresponding to friend(s) of that member. As an alternative to the adjacency list, an adjacency matrix or any other graph data structure may be used.

The graph servers **300**, on a fixed interval, e.g., every five 55 minutes, check the relationship database **220** for any incremental changes to the member relationship information. If there is, e.g., if (current time—5 minutes) is less than or equal to the time stamp corresponding to an entry in the relationship database **220**, the adjacency list stored in the 60 dedicated memory device **510** is updated to reflect such incremental change. If a friendship is to be added, the adjacency list item for MemberID_**1** is amended to add MemberID_**2** and the adjacency list item for MemberID_**2** is amended to add MemberID_**1**. If a friendship is to be 65 deleted, the adjacency list item for MemberID_**1** is amended to delete MemberID_**2** and the adjacency list item for

MemberID_2 is amended to delete MemberID_1. Alternatively, the adjacency list can be updated in real time, i.e., synchronously with the updates to the relationship database **220**.

- The queries processed by the graph servers **300** include: List_of_Members (M1, N d/s), which returns a list of member identifiers of all members who are exactly N d/s from member M1;
- No_of_Members (M1, N d/s), which returns a raw number indicating the number of members who are exactly N dis from member M1;
- Get_Network (M1, N d/s), which returns a list of member identifiers of all members that are within N dis from member M1;
- Shortest_Path (M1, M2), which returns the shortest path, if any, between member M1 and member M2 (the shortest path is displayed in the form of member identifiers of those members disposed in the shortest path between member M1 and member M2); and
- Are_Connected? (M1, M2, degrees), which returns the degree of separation corresponding to the shortest path between member M1 and member M2, if the two are connected. If the two are not connected, an error code indicating that the two members are not connected is returned.

For the calculation of the shortest path in the queries listed above, any of the shortest path algorithms for a node network defined by an adjacency list may be used, e.g., breadth first search algorithm. The algorithms for carrying out other calculations that are necessary to process the queries listed above are programmed using conventional techniques.

In FIG. **2**, a plurality of distributed graph servers **300** are depicted, and is preferred over a single graph server because the distributed structure permits resources to be shared. However, the present invention may also be practiced with a single graph server.

The application server 200 and the graphs servers 300 are depicted separately in the block diagram of FIG. 2 to illustrate that the two are performing separate processes. The application server 200 and the graphs servers 300 may be housed within a single physical structure, or they may be parts of a single processor that is programmed to carry out their separate processes in parallel.

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram illustrating the method for processing a request by one member (e.g., M1) to view the profile of another member (e.g., M2) in the system of FIG. 2. In Step 610, the application server 200 receives a request by member M1 to view the profile of member M2. As an example, this happens when member M1 clicks on a hyperlink associated with member M2. The full profile of member M2 will be displayed if the d/s between M1 and M2 is less than or equal to the VISIBILITY setting set by member M2 or if the VISIBILITY setting set by member M2 is ALL. (VISIBILITY setting may be set at 1, 2, 3 or ALL.) Otherwise, only the mini-profile of member M2 will be displayed. In Step 620, the application server 200 retrieves M2's VISIBILITY setting from the member database 210. If M2's VISIBILITY setting is ALL, the full profile of M2 will be transmitted to M1 for display at M1's computer (Steps 630 and 640). If not, the application server 200 sends the Are_Connected? query to the graph servers 300 to determine the d/s between member M1 and member M2 (Steps 630 and 650). The graph servers 300 execute this query and return the dIs that it computed to the application server 200. If the computed dIs is greater than the VISIBILITY setting or if member M1 and member M2 are not connected, the miniprofile of member M2 and a message indicating that member M2's full profile can only be viewed by members in his or her personal network is transmitted to M1 for display at M1's computer (Steps 660 and 670). Otherwise, the full profile of member M2 is transmitted to M1 for display at 5 M1's computer (Steps 660 and 640).

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram illustrating the method for determining whether a member can be contacted by another member in the system of FIG. 2. In the example given 10 herein, it is assumed that member M1 is attempting to send a message to member M2. In Step 710, the application server 200 retrieves the CONTACTABILITY setting of member M2. (CONTACTABILITY setting may be set as 1, 2, 3 or ALL.) If M2's CONTACTABILITY setting is ALL, this 15 means that member M2 is permitting contact from anyone, and consequently, when member M1 views member M2's profile, a "Send Message" hyperlink will appear through which member M1 will be able to send messages to member M2 (Steps 720 and 730). If M2's CONTACTABILITY 20 setting is not set to ALL, the application server 200 sends the Are_Connected? query to the graph servers 300 to determine the d/s between member M1 and member M2 (Steps 720 and 740). The graph servers 300 execute this query and return the d/s that it computed to the application server 200. If the 25 of-separation value and member identifier. computed d/s is greater than the CONTACTABILITY setting or if member M1 and member M2 are not connected, this means that member M2 is not permitting contact from member M1 and the "Send Message" hyperlink will not be displayed when member M1 views member M2's profile 30 (Steps 750 and 760). If the computed d/s is less than or equal to the CONTACTABILITY setting, this means that member M2 is permitting contact from member M1, and consequently, when member M1 views M2's profile, a "Send Message" hyperlink will appear through which member M2 35 member identifier and a second member identifier. will be able to send messages to member M1 (Steps 750 and 730).

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram illustrating the method for processing a search request in the system of FIG. 2. In Step **810**, the application server **200** receives a search query input 40 by member M1. The search query is divided into two parts. The first part specifies search terms for pre-selected categories such as gender, age, interests and location. The second part specifies a d/s setting, which may be set at 1, 2, 3 or ALL. For example, the search query may be: [gender 45 (female), age (less than 30), d/s (at most 2)]. The first part of this search query is [gender (female), age (less than 30)] and the second part of this search query is [d/s (at most 2)]. In Step 820, the application server 200 issues the first part of the search query to the search database 230 to obtain 50 member identifiers for those members whose profiles meet the specified criteria. In Step 830, the application server 200 issues a Get Network query to the graph servers 300 to obtain a list of member identifiers of all members that are within the d/s specified in the second part of the search 55 query. The application server 200 merges the results from the search database 230 and the graph servers 300 (Step 840), and transmits the merged results to member M1 (Step 850). After the merged results are delivered to member M1, the member may click on any of the results to view that 60 member's profile and, if the "Send Message" hyperlink is displayed, attempt to send a message to that member through that hyperlink.

While particular embodiments according to the invention have been illustrated and described above, it will be clear 65 that the invention can take a variety of forms and embodiments within the scope of the appended claims.

6

What is claimed is: 1. A method comprising:

- by one or more computing devices, storing a list of member relationships in a social-networking system, the social-networking system comprising a graph comprising a plurality of nodes and edges connecting the nodes, wherein at least some of the nodes correspond to the members of the social-networking system;
- by one or more computing devices, monitoring changes in relationship between the members of the social-networking system;
- by one or more computing devices, updating the list in response to relationship changes;
- by one or more computing devices, receiving from an application server a query;
- by one or more computing devices, processing the query using the stored list of member relationships; and
- by one or more computing devices, returning the query results to the application server, wherein the query results comprise degrees-of-separation information with respect to one or more members of the socialnetworking system.

2. The method of claim 1, the query comprising a degrees-

3. The method of claim 2, the results comprising a list of all members of the social-networking system that are exactly the degrees-of-separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

4. The method of claim 2, the results comprising the number of members of the social-networking system within the degrees-of-separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

5. The method of claim 1, the query comprising a first

6. The method of claim 5, the results comprising the shortest path between the member associated with the first member identifier and the member associated with the second member identifier.

7. The method of claim 6, the results comprising a list of all members disposed in the shortest path.

8. The method of claim 5, the results comprising the number of degrees of separation corresponding to the shortest path between the member associated with the first identifier and the member associated with the second identifier.

9. One or more computer-readable non-transitory storage media embodying software that is configured to, when executed:

- store a list of member relationships in a social-networking system, the social-networking system comprising a graph comprising a plurality of nodes and edges connecting the nodes, wherein at least some of the nodes correspond to the members of the social-networking system:
- monitor changes in relationship between the members of the social-networking system;
- update the list in response to relationship changes;
- receive, from an application server, a query;
- process the query using the stored list of member relationships; and
- return the query results to the application server, wherein the query results comprise degrees-of-separation information with respect to one or more members of the social-networking system.

10. The media of claim 9, the query comprising a degreesof-separation value and member identifier.

10

11. The media of claim 10, the results comprising a list of all members of the social-networking system that are exactly the degrees-of-separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

12. The media of claim **10**, the results comprising the 5 number of members of the social-networking system within the degrees-of-separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

13. The media of claim **9**, the query comprising a first member identifier and a second member identifier.

14. The media of claim 13, the results comprising the shortest path between the member associated with the first member identifier and the member associated with the second member identifier.

15. The media of claim **14**, the results comprising a list of 15 all members disposed in the shortest path.

16. The media of claim **13**, the results comprising the number of degrees of separation corresponding to the shortest path between the member associated with the first identifier and the member associated with the second iden- 20 tifier.

17. A system comprising:

one or more processors; and

- a memory coupled to the processors comprising instructions executable by the processors, the processors being 25 configured to, when executed:
- store a list of member relationships in a social-networking system, the social-networking system comprising a graph comprising a plurality of nodes and edges connecting the nodes, wherein at least some of the nodes 30 correspond to the members of the social-networking system;
- monitor changes in relationship between the members of the social-networking system;

update the list in response to relationship changes; receive, from an application server, a query;

- process the query using the stored list of member relationships; and
- return the query results to the application server, wherein the query results comprise degrees-of-separation information with respect to one or more members of the social-networking system.

18. The system of claim **17**, the query comprising value and member identifier.

19. The system of claim **18**, the results comprising a list of all members of the social-networking system that are exactly the degrees of separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

20. The system of claim **18**, the results comprising the number of members of the social-networking system within the degrees of separation value from the member associated with the member identifier.

21. The system of claim **17**, the query comprising a first member identifier and a second member identifier.

22. The system of claim 21, the results comprising the shortest path between the member associated with the first member identifier and the member associated with the second member identifier.

23. The system of claim 22, the results comprising a list of all members disposed in the shortest path.

24. The system of claim 21, the results comprising the number of degrees of separation corresponding to the shortest path between the member associated with the first identifier and the member associated with the second identifier.

* * * * *