

(54) AIRCRAFT STEERING SYSTEM **CONTROLLER**

- (71) Applicants: AIRBUS OPERATIONS LIMITED,
Bristol (GB); AIRBUS OPERATIONS
SAS, Toulouse (FR)
- (72) Inventors: Louis-Emmanuel Romana, Bristol U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS (GB); Miguel Angel Gama, Bristol (GB) 3,208,694 A 9/1965 Joyner (GB) $3,208,694 \text{ A}$
- (73) Assignees: Airbus Operations Limited, Bristol (GB); **Airbus Operations (S.A.S.)**, Toulouse (FR)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
(21) Appl. No.: $15/205,436$
-
- (22) Filed: **Jul. 8, 2016 OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2017/0008619 A1 Jan. 12, 2017

 (51) Int. Cl.

(52) U.S. Cl.
CPC B64C 25/50 (2013.01); B64C 13/16 $(2013.01);$ **B64C 25/48** (2013.01); **G05D** 1/0083 (2013.01); G05D 1/0204 (2013.01); Y02T 50/44 (2013.01)

(12) **United States Patent** (10) Patent No.: US 9,884,679 B2
Romana et al. (45) Date of Patent: Feb. 6, 2018 (45) Date of Patent: Feb. 6, 2018

Field of Classification Search CPC B64C 25/50; B64C 25/48; B64C 13/16;
G05D 1/0083; G05D 1/0204; Y02T 50/44 GO5D 1 / 0083 ; G05D 1 / 0204 ; YO2T 50 / 44 USPC 701 / 3

(56) References Cited

(Continued)

European Search Report cited in 16 17 7438, completed Dec. 9, 2016, 8 pages.

(Continued)

(30) Foreign Application Priority Data Primary Examiner — Brian P Sweeney (74) Attorney , Agent , or Firm — Nixon & Vanderhye P . C . Jul . 8 , 2015 (GB) . 1511966 . 2

(57) $ABSTRACT$
A controller for an aircraft steering system, the controller being configured to receive a steering input representative of a desired direction of travel of a steerable nose landing gear, and to receive one or more force-based inputs representative of lateral forces acting upon the nose landing gear, wherein the controller is adapted to automatically adjust the steering input based upon the force-based input(s) so as to output an adjusted steering command for a steering actuator of the nose landing gear.

21 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Search Report cited in GB Patent Application No. 1511966.2 dated Jan. 8, 2016, three pages.

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1a

FIG. 1b

FIG . 10

FIG. 2

 $FIG.4$

10

The present invention relates to a controller for an aircraft
steering command.
teering system, an aircraft steering system and a method for
steering an aircraft.
teering an aircraft.

of undercarriages which support the aircraft when it is on the perpend
pround. The undercarriages are used to control the move- 20 aircraft. ground. The undercarriages are used to control the move- 20 aircraft.

The invention is advantageous in that the steering com-

landing, taxiing and take off. Some of the undercarriages and may be adjusted according to the landing, taxiing and take off. Some of the undercarriages mand may be adjusted according to the received force based
have braking wheels which are operable to provide a braking inputs, for example to maximise turning perfo have braking wheels which are operable to provide a braking inputs, for example to maximise turning performance, conforce to decelerate the aircraft when a braking torque is trol undercarriage loading and/or prevent skiddi force to decelerate the aircraft when a braking torque is

larly if the aircraft is operated during adverse runway erated by operating a cockpit device. Alternatively the conditions. If the steering wheel starts to skid, its ability to 30 steering input may be generated by a contr conditions. If the steering wheel starts to skid, its ability to ³⁰ generate lateral forces to turn the aircraft is reduced, there-
fore reducing the turning performance of the aircraft. Cor-
craft at airports. fore reducing the turning performance of the aircraft. Cor-

fore real at airports.

The force-based inputs may include a closed-loop feed-

the steering wheel and reduce skidding, increasing the back signal representative workload of the pilot and/or control systems controlling the 35 the nose landing gear. Preferably the lateral force signal is

external factors such as cross-winds, gusts and variations in the error in the signal. In this way the lateral forces generated a runway surface, as well as aircraft factors such as uneven 40 in the nose landing gear may b a runway surface, as well as aircraft factors such as uneven 40 in the nose landing gear may be more accurately controlled.

tyre pressure, asymmetric braking, asymmetric engine thrust

or component failures. Asymmetric br moment If an aircraft experiences an unintended change in 45 ments.
direction, corrective action may be required to restore the
aircraft to the desired heading, increasing the workload of
the pilot and/or control systems c

Aircraft undercarriages are typically designed conserva- 50 based upon one or more aircraft parameters and a pre-
tively to withstand significant variations in loading during
ground manoeuvres. This conservative design can a significant weight penalty, therefore decreasing aircraft speed, aircraft lateral speed, aircraft yaw rate, aircraft no
wheel angle, or aircraft nose landing gear vertical force.

aircraft which addresses these problems and enables an estimate of lateral force when the closed-loop signal of aircraft to perform ground manoeuvres with maximum effi-
actual lateral force is unavailable or is determined aircraft to perform ground manoeuvres with maximum effi-
ciency within a prescribed performance envelope. unreliable.

receive a steering input representative of a desired direction failures.

of travel of a steerable nose landing gear, and to receive one 65 The controller may be configured to calculate a maximum

or more force-based input acting upon the nose landing gear, wherein the controller is

AIRCRAFT STEERING SYSTEM adapted to automatically adjust the steering input based
 CONTROLLER upon the force-based input(s) so as to output an adjusted upon the force-based input(s) so as to output an adjusted steering command for a steering actuator of the nose landing gear. RELATED APPLICATION gear.
 $\frac{5}{5}$ A further aspect of the invention provides a method for

This application claims priority to Great Britain patent steering an aircraft, the method comprising automatically application GB 1511966.2, filed Jul. 8, 2015, the entirety of adjusting a steering input representative of tion of travel of a steerable nose landing gear based upon one or more force-based inputs representative of lateral forces FIELD OF THE INVENTION ¹⁰ acting upon the nose landing gear, and controlling a steering actuator of the nose landing gear using the adjusted steering

15 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION landing gear, with respect to a longitudinal axis of the aircraft, i.e. the aircraft centreline.

A typical aircraft has landing gear comprising a plurality Lateral forces are defined as forces with a component
undercarriages which support the aircraft when it is on the perpendicular to a longitudinal axis and a vertic

applied by a set of brakes. One of the undercarriages has a 25 nose landing gear.
steering wheel which may be rotated to steer the aircraft. Preferably the steering input is a pilot defined steering
During some ground mano

steering wheel.

During some ground manoeuvres, the aircraft may experime the actual lateral force may, for example, be used to apply

rience unintended changes in direction, for example due to

external factors such as cr

It is therefore desirable to provide a steering system for an 55 The controller may automatically revert to the open-loop

externation and the closed-loop signal of the closed-loop signal of

ciency with a prescribed performance of the lateral force acting on the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION 60 nose landing gear and reverting to open loop control when 60 nose landing gear and reverting to open loop control when the actual lateral force is not reliably available the controller
may maintain accurate control of the lateral force generated A first aspect of the invention provides a controller for an and may maintain accurate control of the lateral force generated aircraft steering system, the controller being configured to by the nose landing gear in the cas

nose landing gear lateral force for maintaining the lateral force within operational boundaries.

taneous maximum nose landing gear lateral force based braking. Differential braking may be implemented automati-
upon the force-based input(s) representative of lateral forces cally.

to the steering input to ensure that the maximum nose line to generate a net yaw moment to steer the aircraft.

landing gear lateral force is not exceeded. The adjustment to

By outputting a signal to provide differential the steering input may be limited to ensure that a nose wheel aircraft may increase the yaw moment generated by a slip angle remains within operational boundaries to maxi-
slip and the yaw moment generated by a slip angle remains the strength of aircraft undercarriages to ensure that steering mise effectiveness of the steering performance in turning the

nose landing gear from skidding (i.e. uncontrolled side slip) automatically, for example without requiring the pilot to the pilot to $\frac{1}{2}$ are a run way surface. The controller may therefore are over a runway surface. The controller may, therefore, provide slip protection, ensure efficient operation of the nose
landing gear and increase manoeuvrability and lateral sta-
The controller may be configured to split the steering landing gear and increase manoeuvrability and lateral sta-
bility of the aircraft. The controller may also control loading
of the landing gear, so that the design strength and therefore
of the landing gear, so that the des of the landing gear, so that the design strength and therefore steering system and a differential braking system.
weight of the nose landing gear may be reduced. The 20 accomplished by the braking system. weight of the nose landing gear may be reduced. The $_{20}$ accomplished by the braking system.

maximum nose landing gear lateral force may be calculated The controller may be configured to output the differential

brakin

the steering input.
The desired lateral force calculation may utilise one or
more aircraft parameters. The aircraft parameters may, for
example include aircraft mass forces acting through each of
me controller may be confi example, include aircraft mass, forces acting through each of The controller may be configured to output the differential
a plurality of aircraft undergariages and the positions of the braking signal when the controller de a plurality of aircraft undercarriages and the positions of the braking signal when the controller determines that the undercarriages with respect to a centre of gravity of the 30 steering actuator is unable to accomplish undercarriages with respect to a centre of gravity of the 30

nose wheel slip angle for achieving the desired nose landing gear lateral force.

The controller may be configured to compare the desired 35 landing gear.

nose landing gear lateral force with a signal representative of

actual or estimated lateral force acting on the nose landing

gear, and to automati

error, thereby increasing control over the actual nose landing disable the differential braking output signal.

gear lateral force.

The controller may be included in an aircraft steering

taneous maximum nose wheel slip a force-based input(s) representative of lateral forces acting 45 upon the nose landing gear.

The controller may be configured to calculate a maximum ing command adjustment may also be selections wheel slip angle for maintaining the slip angle within valued, preferably by a pilot-controlled input.

to the steering input to ensure that the maximum nose wheel actuator for directing a rotary steering angle of a steerable
slip angle is not exceeded. The adjustment to the steering angle anding gear. The steering angle com slip angle is not exceeded. The adjustment to the steering nose landing gear. The steering angle command to the input may be limited to ensure that a nose wheel slip angle steering actuator may remain invariant of lateral

representative of a braking force to be achieved by a braking

4

The controller may be configured to calculate the instan-
system of the same aircraft so as to provide differential
taneous maximum nose landing gear lateral force based braking. Differential braking may be implemented aut

acting upon the nose landing gear.

The controller may be configured to limit the adjustment ⁵

to the steering input to ensure that the maximum nose

time to ensure that the maximum nose

time to ensure the strength of

aircraft.
aircraft . operations may be completed, especially in adverse condi-
larger than the seconditions of infailure modes. By activating differential braking By limiting the lateral force the controller may prevent the differential braking and anti-
automatically, for example without requiring the pilot to

The controller may be configured to calculate a desired force required to achieve the desired direction of travel is nose landing gear lateral force to be generated based upon higher than a predetermined threshold. The thr

aircraft. mand. The steering actuator may, for example, be unable to
The controller may be configured to calculate a desired accomplish the steering command due to the steering com-The controller may be configured to calculate a desired accomplish the steering command due to the steering com-
See wheel slip angle for achieving the desired nose landing mand exceeding the performance envelope of the no ar lateral force.
The controller may be configured to compare the desired 35 landing gear.

activated, preferably by a pilot-controlled input. The steering command adjustment may also be selectively de-acti-

operational boundaries.
The steering system may further comprise a steering input
The controller may be configured to limit the adjustment 50 device for receiving the steering input, and a steering
to the steering input to input may be limited to ensure that a nose wheel slip angle steering actuator may remain invariant of lateral forces remains within operational boundaries.

acting on the nose landing gear when the steering command mains within operational boundaries. acting on the nose landing gear when the steering command
By limiting the nose wheel slip angle the controller may 55 adjustment is de-activated.

By imiting the nose landing gear from skidding over a numway
strate. The controller may, therefore, provide slip protection, ensure efficient operation of the nose landing gear and
surface. The controller may, therefore, p

The controller may be configured to output a signal decelerating the aircraft which is operably coupled to the presentative of a braking force to be achieved by a braking system for performing differential braking.

reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:
 $FIGS. 1a$ and 1b show an aircraft;

FIG. 1c shows a plan view of a steerable nose landing

FIG. 2 shows a braking and steering control system;

FIG. 2, and 10 riages. Braking and steering operations may also be assisted

with a longitudinal axis 3 and wings 4, 5 extending out-
wardly from the fuselage. The aircraft 1 defines a set of axes
for lateral control which is used to steer the aircraft and
 $\frac{1}{2}$ wardly from the fuselage. The aircraft 1 defines a set of axes for lateral control which is used to steer the aircraft and with a longitudinal x direction parallel to the longitudinal which outputs signals representative o axis of the aircraft, a lateral y direction perpendicular to the 20 travel (DoT) and yaw rate, ie a DoT command β and a yaw x axis, and a vertical z direction perpendicular to the x and rate command r^{*}.

The aircraft has landing gear which supports the aircraft of the aircraft 1 having a data processing unit or feedback when it is on the ground and controls the movement of the module in accordance with an embodiment of the aircraft during ground manoeuvres such as landing, taxiing 25 FIG. 3 shows a simplified view of a part of the braking and and take off. The landing gear comprises a nose landing gear steering control system with a feedback module or data (NLG) undercarriage 10 and port and starboard main land-
ing gear (MLG) undercarriages 11, 12. The landing gear may tion. The skilled person will appreciate that the functionality be retracted when the aircraft 1 is in flight and extended

The NLG undercarriage 10 has a pair of steering wheels 13 which may be rotated by a steering actuator to steer the aircraft. The nose wheel angle θ_{NW} is defined as the angle any between the direction in which the steering wheels are ing. facing 13' (that is the direction in which the wheels roll in a 35 The braking and steering control system 100 is generally direction perpendicular to the axis of rotation) and the configured to receive input commands rep longitudinal axis 3 of the aircraft 1, as indicated in FIG. 1c. desired speed U*, deceleration U*, DoT β or yaw rate r*
The direction of travel of the aircraft (DoT) is defined as the and to transmit output commands—br The direction of travel of the aircraft (DoT) is defined as the and to transmit output commands—brake pressure comdirection of the speed vector of the NLG undercarriage 10 mand, P_{COM} and nose wheel angle command, θ_{NW} with respect to the longitudinal axis 3 of the aircraft 1. The 40 nose wheel angle θ_{NW} may be varied to control the direction braking and steering wheels to control movement of the of travel of the NLG undercarriage 10, thereby controlling aircraft 1 in accordance with the input com

an angle known as the nose wheel slip angle SNW is created 45 between the direction in which the steering wheels 13 are between the direction in which the steering wheels 13 are current aircraft deceleration (negative acceleration) U' and facing 13' and the DoT. When the steering wheels 13 are the maximum achievable braking force Fx_{max} to operated with a slip angle, a side force F_{side} having a lateral ated by the MLG undercarriages 11, 12 from a feedback
component $F_{i\text{general}}$ (in the y direction) is generated which module 200. The deceleration controller 1 component $F_{lateral}$ (in the y direction) is generated which module 200. The deceleration controller 102 uses this data results in a turning moment or yaw moment which acts to $\frac{1}{2}$ to determine the longitudinal braking results in a turning moment or yaw moment which acts to 50 to determine the longitudinal braking force required to turn the aircraft. The net turning moment being generated in achieve the commanded deceleration for the air turn the aircraft. The net turning moment being generated in achieve the commanded deceleration for the aircraft 1 and a particular direction may, therefore, be increased or outputs this value as a longitudinal force comm

aircraft. Each braking wheel is operated by using a brake aircraft speed U. The speed controller 103 applies a speed actuator to apply a clamping force to a stack of carbon brake control law to convert the speed command U force being transferred to the aircraft 1. The longitudinal ω the commanded speed for the braking force generated by each braking wheel may be longitudinal force command. braking force generated by each braking wheel may be controlled by operating the actuators to control the brake controlled by operating the actuators to control the brake The longitudinal force command F_{AC}^* (originating either pressure of each brake. The brakes used in the embodiment as an acceleration command or a speed comman described below may have a hydraulic brake actuator but a received by an aircraft force and moment controller 104 as skilled person would appreciate that a similar control system 65 an x direction force command Fx*. The ai skilled person would appreciate that a similar control system 65 using corresponding control methods could be employed using corresponding control methods could be employed moment controller 104 also receives data representative of regardless of the type of brakes, and could, for example be the longitudinal braking force Fx and the NLG x-d

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS applied to an aircraft having electromechanical brake actuation and/or regenerative brakes.

Embodiments of the invention will now be described with In addition, the braking wheels may be used to help steer

ference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

the aircraft through differential braking. Differential br (DB) is the intentional application of unbalanced braking forces either side of the aircraft centre line 3 to generate a net gear;
FIG. 2 shows a braking and steering control system; achieved by asymmetric deflection of a pair of brake control FIG. 3 shows a part of the steering and control system of devices for controlling port and starboard braking undercar-
T. 2. and a steering and steering operations may also be assisted FIG. 4 is a table of symbols used in FIGS. 2 and 3. by other systems, for example spoilers and other control surfaces and the aircraft's engines.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF The aircraft 1 includes a cockpit device for longitudinal EMBODIMENT(S) control which is used to control deceleration of the aircraft control which is used to control deceleration of the aircraft 15 and which outputs signals representative of a desired speed FIGS. 1a and 1b show an aircraft 1 having a fuselage 2 or deceleration, ie a speed command U^* or a deceleration with a longitudinal axis 3 and wings 4, 5 extending out-
command U^* . The aircraft 1 also includes a coc which outputs signals representative of a desired direction of

y axes. The aircraft 1 has a centre of gravity 6. FIG. 2 shows a braking and steering control system 100
The aircraft 1 has landing gear which supports the aircraft of the aircraft 1 having a data processing unit or feedba tion. The skilled person will appreciate that the functionality and advantages of the feedback module are not dependent on before landing.
The NLG undercarriage 10 has a pair of steering wheels steering control system 100, and that a similar feedback module may equally be used to monitor the performance of any aircraft undercarriage(s) used for braking and/or steer-

mand, P_{COM} , and nose wheel angle command, θ_{NW} * —to braking and steering actuators to control the operation of the

the heading of the aircraft.
When the steering wheels 13 are not aligned with the DoT, all control device 101 is received by a deceleration con-
When the steering wheels 13 are not aligned with the DoT, mal control device 101 is received by a deceleration controller 102 which also receives data representative of the

decreased by applying a slip angle.

The MLG undercarriages 11, 12 each have a plurality of Each speed command U* output by the longitudinal

braking wheels 14 which may be operated to decelerate the 55 103 which also rece longitudinal braking force required to achieve or maintain the commanded speed for the aircraft 1 and outputs the

> as an acceleration command or a speed command) is received by an aircraft force and moment controller 104 as the longitudinal braking force Fx and the NLG x-direction

riage longitudinal forces to apply a correction to the x and automatically adjusts the steering input according to the

distributor 105 which divides the total corrected force command into two MLG braking force commands Fx_{M}^{*} , one for each of the two MLG undercarriages 11, 12, and outputs the MLG braking force commands to the MLG undercarriages. MLG braking force commands to the MLG undercarriages. 10 the maximum achievable turning moment to be generated by
For clarity, FIG. 1 only shows one of the MLG force differential braking MZ_{DB} max and the MLG reaction For clarity, FIG. 1 only shows one of the MLG force differential braking MZ_{DB_max} and the MLG reaction commands Fx_{M}^* being output to one of the MLG under-
moment $MZ_{M,G}$ (ie reaction moment from the MLG undercommands Fx_M^* being output to one of the MLG under-
carriages, however each of the MLG undercarriages 11, 12 co changes in yaw) from the feedback carriages, however each of the MLG undercarriages 11, 12 carriages 11, 12 to changes in yaw) from the feedback
have similar control system elements downstream of the module 200. The yaw rate controller 112 uses this data t have similar control system elements downstream of the module 200. The yaw rate controller 112 uses this data to force distributor 105 and function similarly.

Each MLG undercarriage 11, 12 has a MLG force con-
to achieve the commanded yaw rate for the aircraft 1, and
troller 106 which receives the MLG braking force command outputs an aircraft yaw moment command Mz_{4C}* represen troller 106 which receives the MLG braking force command outputs an aircraft yaw moment command Mz_{AC}* represen-
Fx_M* from the force distributor 105 and a MLG longitudinal tative of the required yaw moment. force signal Fx_M representative of the longitudinal force
carrently generated by that MLG undercarriage FxM from a 20 a dispatch module 113 which also receives a moment
landing gear load sensor (e.g. a strain gauge). The force controller 106 uses the braking force command Fx_M^* tions of the runway and/or the aircraft. The dispatch coef-
and the current longitudinal force Fx_M to determine the total ficient may be used to apply a correcti braking torque to be generated at the braking wheels 14 of the yaw moment command Mz_{AC} ^{*}. The dispatch module that undercarriage to achieve the commanded longitudinal 25 outputs a z moment command Mz ^{*} representati that undercarriage to achieve the commanded longitudinal 25 outputs a z moment command Mz^* representative of the force, and outputs a landing gear torque command T_{tot}^* desired yaw moment to be generated by the la representative of the total braking torque to be generated by The z moment command Mz* is received by the aircraft
the braking wheels 14 of that undercarriage. force and moment controller 104 which also receives data

torque distributor 107 which also receives optimisation 30 yaw moment reaction force from the MLG undercarriages coefficients θ for each braking wheel 14 from a braking M Z_{MLG} from the feedback module 200. The force energy optimiser 108. The torque distributor 107 uses the moment controller 104 uses the current aircraft turning overall torque command for that undercarriage T_{LG}^* and the moment to reduce the error in the z moment c torque coefficients θ for each braking wheel 14 to determine and outputs a corrected yaw moment command Mz_c . By a braking torque to be generated by each wheel and outputs 35 reducing the yaw moment command error, the a braking torque to be generated by each wheel and outputs 35 a wheel specific brake torque command T_{w} ^{*} to each braking wheel of the undercarriage which is representative of the ated by the undercarriages.

braking torque to be generated by that braking wheel. For The steering system controller 500 includes a NLG slip clarity, FIG. 1 only shows one wheel brake torque command protection module 114 which receives signals representative T_w^* being transmitted to one of the braking wheels 14, 40 of the nose wheel angle θ_{NW} , NLG later T_{W}^{*} being transmitted to one of the braking wheels 14, 40 of the nose wheel angle θ_{NW} , NLG lateral force Fy_N and although each braking wheel of each MLG undercarriage NLG-ground reaction force FZ_N from a nos

brake gain and runway friction measurement unit 110 which 45 module 114', which calculates the DoT based on the current calculates BG and the tyre-runway friction coefficient using aircraft yaw rate r, longitudinal speed U input signals from various landing gear sensors. Each torque The slip protection module calculates a maximum lateral controller 109 receives the torque command intended for its force Fy_{N_MAX} which may be generated by the steering wheel from the force controller 106 and signals representa-
wheels 13 of the NLG undercarriage 10 (in the tive of measured brake gain BG and tyre-runway friction μ 50 and a maximum slip angle S_{NW_max} at which the steering from the brake gain and runway friction measurement unit wheels may be operated to establish the curr 110 and determines a brake pressure required to achieve the boundaries of the NLG undercarriage.

commanded braking torque for its braking wheel 14. Each The force distributor 105 receives the corrected yaw

torque control mand P_{COM} representative of the desired brake pressure to 55 the brake actuator for its wheel. The torque controller 109 the brake actuator for its wheel. The torque controller 109 from the slip protection module 114. The force distributor has anti skid functionality to reduce or eliminate skidding by 105 outputs an NLG lateral force comman limiting the brake pressure command P_{COM} if the wheel tative of the lateral force to be generated by the NLG begins to skid. **Example 20** to achieve the commanded yaw moment

wheel 14 are received by brake servo controllers for the to limit the lateral force command so that it does not exceed brake actuators for each respective braking wheel, causing the boundary established by the slip protect

8

reaction force Fx_{NLG} from a feedback module 200. The force steering system controller 500 receives a steering input and moment controller 104 uses the current MLG undercar-
representative of a desired steer angle (DoT c

direction force command Fx*, and outputs a corrected force lateral forces experienced by the NLG undercarriage.

S Each yaw rate command r^* output by the lateral control

The corrected force command Fx_c is received by device 111 is received by a yaw rate controller 112 which also receives data representative of the current aircraft yaw rate r, the maximum achievable turning moment to be generated by steering using the steering wheels $Mz_{St\ max}$ force distributor 105 and function similarly.

Fach MLG undercarriage 11, 12 has a MLG force con-

to achieve the commanded yaw rate for the aircraft 1, and

dispatch coefficient κ representative of the operating conditions of the runway and/or the aircraft. The dispatch coef-

the braking wheels 14 of that undercarriage. force and moment controller 104 which also receives data
The landing gear torque command T_{LG}^* is received by a representative of the yaw moment due to steering Mz and the The landing gear torque command T_{LG} ^{*} is received by a representative of the yaw moment due to steering Mz and the rque distributor 107 which also receives optimisation 30 yaw moment reaction force from the MLG underc feedback loop increases control of the yaw moment generated by the undercarriages.

receives a respective wheel brake torque command T_{w} ^{*} and sensor and load sensors in the NLG undercarriage structure.

is operated similarly. The slip protection module 114 also receives a signal

Each braking wheel

moment command Mzc from the force and moment controller 104 and a maximum lateral force signal $F_{y_N MAX}$ The brake pressure commands P_{COM} for each braking 60 command Mz_c. The maximum lateral force Fy_{N MAX} is used wheel 14 are received by brake servo controllers for the to limit the lateral force command so that it does

the brake actuators to apply pressure to the brakes in If the lateral force from the NLG undercarriage required accordance with the brake pressure commands P_{COM} , to achieve the commanded yaw moment (Mz_c) exceeds the t thereby decelerating the aircraft 1. 65 maximum lateral force $F_{y}^{N_{MAX}}$ to be generated by the The braking and steering control system 100 includes a steering wheels 13 (ie the commanded yaw moment cannot The braking and steering control system 100 includes a steering wheels 13 (ie the commanded yaw moment cannot steering system controller 500 as shown in FIG. 3. The be generated by steering the steering wheels), the slip be generated by steering the steering wheels), the slip DB_{ACTVE} to the force distributor 105 to activate differential braking. The force distributor 105 responds by outputting a lateral braking force command F_{xM}^* to one or both of the over steering manoeuvres, thereby increasing manoeuvrabil-
MLG undercarriages 11, 12 to operate differential braking to s ity and lateral control of the aircra increase the yaw moment generated by the undercarriages so loop estimate of the lateral force in the NLG undercarriage, that the desired steering manoeuvre may be completed. Differential braking may be enabled or disabled

or by a control system as desired. case of sensor failures or sensor signal deterioration.
By limiting the NLG lateral force command Fy_N^* , the 10 The NLG force controller 115 includes a dynamic satu-
steering system co steering system controller 500 can maintain operation of the ration module 115' (included in block 115 in FIG. 2) which steering wheels 13 within the available performance enve-receives the nominal slip angle S_{nom} and a steering wheels 13 within the available performance enve-
lope of the NLG undercarriage, thereby preventing skidding slip protection module 114 representative of the maximum lope of the NLG undercarriage, thereby preventing skidding slip protection module 114 representative of the maximum of the steering wheels which may lead to reduced control slip angle S_{NWR} max to avoid skidding of the of the steering wheels which may lead to reduced control slip angle S_{NW_max} to avoid skidding of the steering wheels and reduced turning performance. The steering system con- 15 13. The dynamic saturation module 115' lim and reduced turning performance. The steering system con- 15 13. The dynamic saturation module 115' limits the nominal troller 500 therefore improves manoeuvrability and lateral slip angle S_{nom} as dictated by the maximu stability of the aircraft, particularly if a tight turning $S_{NW_{max}}$ and outputs a slip angle command $\theta_{NW_{max}}$, the manoeuvre is attempted or if the aircraft is operated in By limiting the slip angle command $\theta_{NW_{min}}$, manoeuvre is attempted or if the aircraft is operated in By limiting the slip angle command θ_{NW} ^{*}, the steering adverse conditions such as on a runway with a low coeffi-
system controller **500** prevents over-rotation cient of friction (e.g. wet or icy conditions) or in crosswinds 20 wheels which may result in skidding and an associated loss
in turning performance of the aircraft. The manoeuvrability

By limiting the lateral force generated by the NLG and lateral stability of the aircraft is therefore increased, undercarriage, the steering system controller 500 also particularly under adverse conditions.

improves cont that the design strength and therefore the weight of the 25 force F_y generated in the nose landing gear to detect aircraft may be reduced.

achieved by steering and a component to be achieved by Unwanted changes in heading may, for example, be caused
differential braking, the steering system controller 500 may by crosswinds or gusts or asymmetric braking. If a improve manoeuvrability of the aircraft and increase the 30 unwanted change in heading is detected, the NLG force maximum turning performance. The aircraft may therefore controller 115 responds by adjusting the slip angle maximum turning performance. The aircraft may therefore complete a turning manoeuvre which could not have been complete a turning manoeuvre which could not have been to control the lateral force generated by the steering wheels completed using only the steering wheels 13 to steer the 13 to counteract the undesired yaw moment. aircraft. This offers a particular advantage if the aircraft is
operated in adverse conditions when it may be difficult to 35 the steering system controller 500 increases control of the
effectively control steering of the desired yaw moment. The pilot workload is also reduced axis tracking control systems to correct undesired changes in because the pilot is not required to manually control the heading, thereby reducing pilot and control sys because the pilot is not required to manually control the heading, thereby reducing pilot and control system work-
brakes to generate a yaw moment if DB is activated auto-
loads.

NLG undercarriage 13 to steer the aircraft or even prevents through a switch 115 which is used to select either the DoT the NLG from steering the aircraft, for example in the case command β or the yaw rate command r^* the NLG from steering the aircraft, for example in the case command β or the yaw rate command r^{*}. If the yaw rate of a steering actuator failure, the force distributor 105 command r^{*} is selected a beta calculation responds by outputting a lateral braking force command 45 calculates a DoT command β based on the inputted yaw rate F_{xA}^* to one or both of the MLG undercarriages 11, 12 to command r* to achieve the desired yaw rat F_{xM}^* to one or both of the MLG undercarriages 11, 12 to command r^{*} to achieve the desired yaw rate. If the DoT fully accomplish the commanded yaw moment through command β is selected the DoT command bypasses the fully accomplish the commanded yaw moment through differential braking. The steering system controller 500 differential braking. The steering system controller 500 calculation module 116 and is not changed. The DoT com-
therefore improves control of the aircraft in failure modes. mand β (originating either as DoT command

force command Fy_N^* from the force distributor 105 and data through a rate limiter 117 which acts to limit the rate of representative of the normal reaction force between the change of the DoT command. steering wheels 13 and the runway FZ_N (ie force in the z The limited DoT command β and the nose wheel slip direction) and the current lateral steering force FY_N (ie force angle S_{NW} output by the NLG force contro in the y direction) from load sensors in the NLG undercar- 55 riage structure. The NLG force controller 115 uses the riage structure. The NLG force controller 115 uses the representative of the desired nose wheel angle. The nose current lateral force in a closed feedback loop to minimise wheel angle command θ_{vw}^* is received by a st current lateral force in a closed feedback loop to minimise wheel angle command θ_{NW}^* is received by a steering servo
the error in the NLG lateral force command F_{Vx}^* . Preferably controller for the steering actuat the error in the NLG lateral force command Fy_N^* . Preferably controller for the steering actuator which sets the nose wheel
the actual current lateral force is measured and used in a angle to steer the aircraft 1. In th closed feedback loop. However, if the current lateral force is 60 unavailable or is judged to be unreliable the force controller unavailable or is judged to be unreliable the force controller output an adjusted steering command, and the adjustment is 115 is adapted to calculate an estimated lateral force based limited to maintain lateral loading on other measured and pre-determined aircraft parameters to S_{NW} within operational boundaries.
provide open loop control of the lateral force command Automatic adjustment of the steering command may be Fy_N*. The NLG nominal slip angle S_{nom} required to generate the corrected lateral force command.

10

protection module 114 outputs a DB activation signal By using the current lateral force in a closed feedback
DB $_{ACTVE}$ to the force distributor 105 to activate differential loop to reduce the error in the NLG lateral forc Fy_N^* the steering system controller 500 improves control of steering performance and undercarriage loading in the

in turning performance of the aircraft. The manoeuvrability

aircraft may be reduced.

a component to be do not correspond to a change in a steering input command.

For splitting the yaw moment into a component to be do not correspond to a change in a steering input command. by crosswinds or gusts or asymmetric braking. If an unwanted change in heading is detected, the NLG force

aircraft's heading and reduces the need for the pilot and/or

matically in response to the steering input. $\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 40 \end{array}$ When the lateral control device 111 outputs a DoT com-
If a component or system failure reduces the ability of the mand β and a yaw rate command r^{*}, If a component or system failure reduces the ability of the mand β and a yaw rate command r^* , the commands pass NLG undercarriage 13 to steer the aircraft or even prevents through a switch 115 which is used to selec command r^* is selected a beta calculation module 116 calculates a DoT command β based on the inputted yaw rate experient improves control of the aircraft in failure modes. mand β (originating either as DoT command or a yaw rate A NLG force controller 115 receives the NLG lateral so command from the lateral control device) then

> angle to steer the aircraft 1. In this way the slip angle S_{NW} automatically adjusts the pilot defined DoT steering input to limited to maintain lateral loading Fy_N and the slip angle

> enabled or disabled by the pilot or a control system as desired. Automatic adjustment of the steering command is disabled so that the nose wheel angle command θ_{NW}^* is

proportional to the DoT command and no slip protection is 3. The aircraft steering system according to claim 2 introduced to adjust the nose wheel angle command θ_{W} *. wherein the one or more force-based inputs incl introduced to adjust the nose wheel angle command θ_{NW}^* .
An axis tracking module 118 is also provided which may

be used to automatically output a DoT command β and a landing gear and wherein the controller is configured to yaw rate command r^* when the pilot requests automatic 5 automatically revert to the open-loop estimate o yaw rate command r^{*} when the pilot requests automatic $\frac{1}{2}$ automatically revert to the open-loop estimate of lateral steering of the aircraft 1 to a desired location. The DoT force when the closed-loop signal of ac steering of the aircraft 1 to a desired location. The DoT force when the closed-loop signal of actual lateral force is command β and a yaw rate command r^* output by the unavailable or is unreliable.

14.1 Ier may not include all of the feedback loops described in the parameters and a pre-defined model stored in the controller.

above embodiment and/or may include additional feedback **6.** The aircraft steering system ac

In an alternative embodiment the steering system control-
ler may adjust steering commands sent to any number of z_0 force within operational boundaries.

Although the invention has been described above with **8.** The aircraft steering system according to claim **6**, reference to one or more preferred embodiments, it will be wherein the controller is configured to limit the ad appreciated that various changes or modifications may be to the steering input to ensure that the made without departing from the scope of the invention as landing gear lateral force is not exceeded.

invention(s) is disclosed herein, it should be understood that nose landing gear lateral force to be generated based upon modifications, substitutions and alternatives may be appar-
ent to one of ordinary skill in the art disclosure is intended to cover any adaptations or variations nose landing gear lateral force with a signal representative of of the exemplary embodiment(s). In addition, in this disclo-
actual or estimated lateral force a of the exemplary embodiment(s). In addition, in this disclo-
such a ctual or estimated lateral force acting on the nose landing
sure, the terms "comprise" or "comprising" do not exclude gear, and to automatically adjust th other elements or steps, the terms "a" or "one" do not upon any difference between these signals.
exclude a plural number, and the term "or" means either or 40 11. The aircraft steering system according to claim 1, both. F described may also be used in combination with other taneous maximum nose wheel slip angle based upon the one
characteristics or steps and in any order unless the disclosure or more force-based inputs representative of lat characteristics or steps and in any order unless the disclosure or more force-based inputs representative of lateral forces or context suggests otherwise. This disclosure hereby incor-
acting upon the nose landing gear. porates by reference the complete disclosure of any patent or 45 12. The aircraft steering system according to claim 1, application from which it claims benefit or priority. wherein the controller is configured to calculat

-
-
-
-

wherein the one or more force-based inputs include a 65 braking signal when the controller determines that the closed-loop feedback signal representative of actual lateral steering actuator is unable to accomplish the stee force acting upon the nose landing gear.

12
3. The aircraft steering system according to claim 2 An axis tracking module 118 is also provided which may open-loop estimate of lateral force acting upon the nose
be used to automatically output a DoT command β and a landing gear and wherein the controller is configure

command β and a yaw rate command r^* output by the
equivalent commands from the lateral control device 111 as
discussed above.
In an alternative embodiment the steering system control-
ler may not be part of an integ

steering wheels of any number of steering undercarriages. T. The aircraft steering system according to claim 6,
A steering system controller in accordance with the inventory wherein the controller is configured to calculat tion may be designed into an aircraft steering system or may mum nose landing gear lateral force based upon the one or
be retro-fitted, for example to an in-service aircraft steering more force-based inputs representative be retro-fitted, for example to an in-service aircraft steering more force-based inputs representative of lateral forces system. acting upon the nose landing gear.

defined in the appended claims.
While at least one exemplary embodiment of the present wherein the controller is configured to calculate a desired

gear, and to automatically adjust the steering input based

nose wheel slip angle for maintaining the slip angle within

The invention claimed is:

1. An aircraft steering system comprising:

1. An aircraft steering system comprising:

13. The aircraft steering system according to claim 12,

13. The aircraft steering system according to clai

direction of travel of the steerable nose landing gear, **14**. The aircraft steering system according to claim 1, and wherein the controller is configured to output a signal to receive one or more force-based inputs represe

wherein the lateral forces are forces with a component in
a lateral direction perpendicular to a longitudinal axis
and a vertical axis of the aircraft, and
wherein the controller is configured to split the steering
wherein the steering input based upon the one or more force-
based inputs and output an adjusted steering command
accomplished by the braking system.

for a steering actuator of the nose landing gear.
 16. The aircraft steering system according to claim 1, wherein the controller is configured to output the differential
 2. The aircraft steering system according to cl steering actuator is unable to accomplish the steering com-
mand.

17. The aircraft steering system according to claim 1, wherein the automatic steering input adjustment function is

selectively activated.
 18 The aircraft steering system according to claim 17, further comprising a steering input device for receiving the 5 steering input, and a steering actuator for directing a rotary steering angle of a steerable nose landing gear, wherein the steering command to the steering actuator remains invariant of lateral forces acting on the nose landing gear when the automatic steering input adjustment function is de-activated. 10

19 . An aircraft including the aircraft steering system of

20. The aircraft according to claim 19, further comprising a braking system for decelerating the aircraft and operably coupled to the steering system for performing differential 15 braking

21. A method of steering an aircraft, the method comprising:

automatically adjusting, by a controller, a steering input representative of a desired direction of travel of a 20 steerable nose landing gear of the aircraft based upon one or more force-based inputs representative of lateral forces acting upon the nose landing gear, wherein the lateral forces are forces with a component in a lateral direction perpendicular to a longitudinal axis and a 25 vertical axis of the aircraft, and
controlling a steering actuator of the nose landing gear

using the adjusted steering command.
 $* * * * * *$