



(12) **United States Patent**  
**Vandyke et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,769,578 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 19, 2017**

(54) **WATERPROOF MOLDED MEMBRANE FOR MICROPHONE**

(71) Applicants: **James Vandyke**, Macquarie University (AU); **David Harte**, Macquarie University (AU); **Jan Patrick Frieding**, Macquarie University (AU)

(72) Inventors: **James Vandyke**, Macquarie University (AU); **David Harte**, Macquarie University (AU); **Jan Patrick Frieding**, Macquarie University (AU)

(73) Assignee: **COCHLEAR LIMITED**, Macquarie University (AU)

(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/542,309**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 14, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0271610 A1 Sep. 24, 2015

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 61/955,656, filed on Mar. 19, 2014.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04R 25/00** (2006.01)  
**H04R 1/08** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H04R 25/60** (2013.01); **H04R 1/08** (2013.01); **H04R 1/083** (2013.01); **H04R 1/086** (2013.01); **H04R 25/604** (2013.01); **H04R 2225/77** (2013.01); **H04R 2410/07** (2013.01); **H04R 2499/11** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... H04R 1/08; H04R 1/086; H04R 25/60;

H04R 25/604; H04R 25/606; H04R 25/65; H04R 25/658; H04R 2225/67; H04R 2410/01; H04R 2410/05; H04R 2410/07; H04R 2499/11; H04M 1/03  
USPC ..... 381/91, 354, 355, 356, 359, 360, 361, 381/365, 368, 375, 189, 322, 324; 379/431, 433.02, 432; 181/149  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,434,329 A \* 2/1984 Nasu ..... H04R 1/222 381/354  
4,953,215 A 8/1990 Weiss et al.  
4,987,597 A 1/1991 Haertl  
(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

DE 102010041656 10/2011  
DE 102010041263 3/2012  
(Continued)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**



PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Application PCT/IB2015/001042, mailed Oct. 29, 2015, 11 pages.

*Primary Examiner* — Huyen D Le  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Merchant & Gould P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A boot is used to cover an inlet of a microphone of an auditory prosthesis. The boot prevents water, sweat, and other debris from damaging the microphone or entering the prosthesis housing. Additionally, the boot can include structure that helps dampen vibrations within the auditory prosthesis, thus improving microphone performance.

**19 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,222,050	A	6/1993	Marren et al.	
5,613,011	A *	3/1997	Chase .....	H04R 1/406 381/355
5,748,743	A	5/1998	Weeks	
5,923,750	A *	7/1999	Enting .....	H04M 1/03 379/433.02
6,164,409	A	12/2000	Berger	
7,020,299	B2	3/2006	Niederdrank	
7,751,579	B2	7/2010	Schulein et al.	
7,793,756	B2	9/2010	Karamuk	
8,144,112	B2	3/2012	Huang	
8,150,082	B2	4/2012	Saito et al.	
8,180,083	B2	5/2012	Campbell et al.	
8,238,594	B2	8/2012	Sauer et al.	
2003/0125602	A1	7/2003	Sokolich et al.	
2011/0013799	A1	1/2011	Fang et al.	
2011/0135127	A1	6/2011	Flaig et al.	
2012/0093349	A1	4/2012	Chua et al.	
2012/0163642	A1	6/2012	Dittle et al.	
2013/0010992	A1	1/2013	Koester et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2006174005	A	6/2006
JP	2006186848	A	7/2006
JP	2007174488	A	7/2007
WO	2008154954		12/2008
WO	2012082320		6/2012
WO	2012099756		7/2012

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG.1A





FIG.1B






FIG.3




FIG.4A




FIG.4B






FIG. 5A






FIG. 5B






FIG. 6C




FIG. 6D




FIG. 7A





FIG. 7B



FREQUENCY (Hz)  
FIG.8A




FIG.8B




FIG.9




FIG.10






FIG.11




FIG. 12

1

**WATERPROOF MOLDED MEMBRANE FOR MICROPHONE**

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to and the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/955,656, filed Mar. 19, 2014, entitled "WATERPROOF MOLDED MEMBRANE FOR MICROPHONE," the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

## BACKGROUND

The microphones of external portions of auditory prostheses are both highly sensitive and very fragile. As such, the microphones require protection from external elements that take the form of dirt, dust, sweat, water, and other substances that may be present in a given environment. A semi-water permeable filter may be utilized that provides a degree of resistance to substance ingress while allowing for the passage of air to a sound inlet of the microphone. However, such a solution is not able to withstand vigorous aquatic activities or other events such as significant rain, bathing, swirling dust, etc. Under such extreme circumstances, substances may be able to penetrate the membrane and can permanently degrade or destroy the microphone, rendering the device ineffective.

## SUMMARY

Embodiments disclosed herein relate to devices that are used to provide a waterproof enclosure for a microphone or other sound-receiving component of an auditory prosthesis. The sound-receiving components include, but are not limited to, microphones, transducers, MEMS microphones, and so on. Example auditory prostheses include, for example, cochlear implants, hearing aids, bone conduction devices, or other types of devices. A boot manufactured of silicone or other appropriate material is sized to fit around the sound-receiving component. The face of the boot can be manufactured to surround the microphone without stretching, which can have an adverse effect on the sound received at the microphone. The boot can include a flange or other structure to help secure the boot into the auditory prosthesis housing, while reducing vibration transmission between the housing and the microphone.

This summary is provided to introduce a selection of concepts in a simplified form that are further described below in the Detailed Description. This summary is not intended to identify key features or essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used to limit the scope of the claimed subject matter.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The same number represents the same element or same type of element in all drawings.

FIG. 1 is a partial view of a behind-the-ear auditory prosthesis worn on a recipient.

FIG. 1A is a side perspective view of an external portion of the auditory prosthesis of FIG. 1.

FIG. 1B is a side perspective view of another external portion of the auditory prosthesis of FIG. 1.

FIG. 2 is a partial side sectional view of the external portion of FIG. 1B.

2

FIG. 3 is an enlarged partial side sectional view of the external portion of FIG. 2.

FIGS. 4A and 4B are perspective and perspective sectional views, respectively, of one embodiment of a boot for use in an auditory prosthesis.

FIGS. 5A and 5B are perspective and perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of a boot for use in an auditory prosthesis.

FIGS. 6A and 6B are bottom perspective and side perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of a boot for use in an auditory prosthesis.

FIGS. 6C and 6D are bottom perspective and side perspective sectional views, respectively, of the boot of FIGS. 6A and 6B, containing a microphone.

FIGS. 7A and 7B are partial perspective and partial perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of an external portion of an auditory prosthesis.

FIGS. 8A and 8B depict comparison plots of microphone frequency responses for various cavity heights.

FIG. 9 depicts a comparison plot of frictional noise reduction between boots having differing structures.

FIG. 10 depicts a comparison plot of frictional noise differences between boots having differing structures.

FIG. 11 depicts a comparison plot of vibration response differences between boots having differing structures.

FIG. 12 depicts a comparison plot of acoustic response differences between boots having differing structures.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The technologies disclosed herein can be used in conjunction with various types of auditory prostheses, including active transcutaneous bone conduction devices, passive transcutaneous devices, middle ear devices, cochlear implants, and acoustic hearing aids. In general, any type of auditory prosthesis that utilizes a microphone, transducer, or other sound-receiving component may benefit from the technologies described herein. Additionally, the technologies may be incorporated into other devices that receive sound and send a corresponding stimulus to a recipient. The corresponding stimulus may be in the form of electrical signals, mechanical vibrations, or acoustic sounds. Additionally, the technology can be used in conjunction with other components of an auditory prosthesis. For example, the technologies can be utilized with sound processing components, speakers, or other components that can benefit from protection from water or debris, or from vibration isolation. For clarity, however, the technologies disclosed herein will be generally described in the context of microphones used in behind-the-ear auditory prostheses, used in conjunction with a cochlear implant.

Referring to FIG. 1, cochlear implant system 10 includes an implantable component 44 typically having an internal receiver/transceiver unit 32, a stimulator unit 20, and an elongate lead 18. The internal receiver/transceiver unit 32 permits the cochlear implant system 10 to receive and/or transmit signals to an external device 100 and includes an internal coil 36, and preferably, a magnet (not shown) fixed relative to the internal coil 36. These signals generally correspond to external sound 13. Internal receiver unit 32 and stimulator unit 20 are hermetically sealed within a biocompatible housing, sometimes collectively referred to as a stimulator/receiver unit. The magnets facilitate the operational alignment of the external and internal coils, enabling internal coil 36 to receive power and stimulation data from external coil 30. The external coil 30 is contained within an external portion 50 such as the type depicted in

3

FIG. 1A. Elongate lead **18** has a proximal end connected to stimulator unit **20**, and a distal end implanted in cochlea **40**. Elongate lead **18** extends from stimulator unit **20** to cochlea **40** through mastoid bone **19**.

In certain examples, external coil **30** transmits electrical signals (e.g., power and stimulation data) to internal coil **36** via a radio frequency (RF) link, as noted above. Internal coil **36** is typically a wire antenna coil comprised of multiple turns of electrically insulated single-strand or multi-strand platinum or gold wire. The electrical insulation of internal coil **36** is provided by a flexible silicone molding. Various types of energy transfer, such as infrared (IR), electromagnetic, capacitive and inductive transfer, can be used to transfer the power and/or data from external device to cochlear implant.

There are a variety of types of intra-cochlear stimulating assemblies including short, straight and peri-modiolar. Stimulating assembly **46** is configured to adopt a curved configuration during and or after implantation into the recipient's cochlea **40**. To achieve this, in certain arrangements, stimulating assembly **46** is pre-curved to the same general curvature of a cochlea **40**. Such examples of stimulating assembly **46**, are typically held straight by, for example, a stiffening stylet (not shown) or sheath which is removed during implantation, or alternatively varying material combinations or the use of shape memory materials, so that the stimulating assembly can adopt its curved configuration when in the cochlea **40**. Other methods of implantation, as well as other stimulating assemblies which adopt a curved configuration, can be used.

Stimulating assembly can be a perimodiolar, a straight, or a mid-scala assembly. Alternatively, the stimulating assembly can be a short electrode implanted into at least in basal region. The stimulating assembly can extend towards apical end of cochlea, referred to as cochlea apex. In certain circumstances, the stimulating assembly can be inserted into cochlea via a cochleostomy. In other circumstances, a cochleostomy can be formed through round window, oval window, the promontory, or through an apical turn of cochlea.

FIG. 1A is a perspective view of an embodiment of an external portion **50** of an auditory prosthesis. The external portion **50** includes a body **52** and the external coil **30** connected thereto. The function of the external coil **30** is described above with regard to FIG. 1. The body **52** can include a permanent magnet **56** as described above, which helps secure the external portion **50** to the recipient's skull. The external portion **50** can include an indicator **58** such as a light emitting diode (LED). A battery door **60** covers a receptacle that includes a battery that provides internal power to the various components of the external portion **50** and the implantable portion. A microphone **62** receives sound that is processed by components within the external portion **50**.

FIG. 1B depicts another embodiment of an external portion **100** of an auditory prosthesis. The external portion **100** includes a housing **102** and an ear hook **104** extending therefrom to help secure the external portion **100** to the ear of a recipient. The ear hook **104** helps secure the external portion **100** to a recipient. More specifically, the ear hook **104** wraps around the upper portion of an ear of the recipient. The housing **102** of the external portion **100** defines one or more openings **106** that allow sound to travel into the housing **102**, to a microphone or other sound-receiving element disposed therein. These openings **106** form a penetration in the housing **102** that may allow water, dirt, or other debris to enter the housing **102**. Such ingress may damage the microphone and/or other elements within

4

the housing **102**. In the depicted embodiment, the openings **106** are depicted as round in shape, but openings having other shapes are contemplated. The technologies described herein are described in the context of microphones utilized in the external portion **100** that is worn on the ear of a recipient. However, since the external portion **50** described above also includes a microphone, the technologies described herein are equally applicable to microphones utilized in such external portions that attach to a recipient's skull.

FIG. 2 is a partial side sectional view of the external portion **100** of an auditory prosthesis. A microphone **108** is located within the housing **102** proximate the opening **106** defined by the housing **102**. The microphone **108** includes a plurality of walls **108a** and a microphone inlet **110** oriented proximate the opening **106**. Sound is received at the microphone inlet **110**, and processed by via internal components of the auditory prosthesis **100**. An output signal is then sent to the recipient. The output signal may be one or more of a vibration, amplified sound, electrical signal, etc., depending on the type of auditory prosthesis.

A boot **112** receives and substantially surrounds the microphone **108** with a plurality of sidewalls **114** that form a sleeve into which the microphone **108** fits. The sleeve is sized so as to form a friction fit between the sidewalls **114** and the microphone **108**. The friction fit between the sidewalls **108** of the boot **112** and the walls **108a** of the microphone **108** prevents the microphone **108** from sliding out of the sleeve. In other embodiments, an adhesive between the walls **108a** and the sidewalls **114** may be utilized. The boot **112** also includes a face **116** that spans the sidewalls **114** at one end of the sleeve. The face **116** is disposed proximate the microphone inlet **110**. The disposition of the face **116** protects the microphone **108** from ingress of water, debris, and other contaminants. The structural aspects of various boots are described below. Additionally, other structural aspects of the boot **112** prevent ingress of contaminants into the interior of the housing **102**, which could damage other components. Thus, the boots described herein can be used to completely close off the openings **106**, thus forming a fully water-tight auditory prosthesis, without adversely effecting sound transmission to the critical components (e.g., the microphone). Additionally, boots can be manufactured to surround a microphone having any required or desired outer dimensions or shape. For example, boots having a substantially cylindrical shape (and therefor, a single sidewall) can be utilized with microphones having a substantially cylindrical shape.

The boot **112** holds the microphone **108** and helps isolate that component from vibrations present within the housing **102**. Such vibrations may be due to contact between the housing and the skin or hair of the recipient, contact with accessories such as scarves or hats, or other environmental factors. The boot **112** effectively suspends the microphone within the housing **102** and, since it is manufactured of silicone or other resilient material, the boot **112** dampens any vibrations occurring therein that may have an adverse effect on the microphone **108**. Solder points **119** on the microphone **108** are connected to flexible wires that deliver signals to and from the microphone **108** to sound processing or other components. These flexible wires further prevent vibrations from having an adverse effect on the microphone **108**.

FIG. 3 is an enlarged partial side sectional view of the external portion **100**, as depicted in FIG. 2. Several elements depicted in FIG. 3 are described above with regard to FIG. 2 and thus are not further described here. The boot **112**

5

includes one or more spacers **118** disposed proximate the intersection of the sidewalls **114** and the face **116**. In the depicted embodiment, the spacers **118** are disposed proximate two of the four sidewalls **114**. In other embodiments, the spacers may be disposed about the entire perimeter of the face **116**. Regardless, the spacers **118** form a stop that prevents further insertion of the microphone **108** once the microphone **108** contacts the spacers **118**. Once the microphone **108** is inserted to a maximum depth, the spacer **118** creates a cavity **120** having a height  $H$  defined by the microphone inlet **110** (in contact with the spacer **118**) and the face **116**. In certain embodiments, the height  $H$  may be between about 0.1 mm and about 0.3 mm. In certain embodiments, a height of about 0.2 mm may be particularly desirable. Test results comparing various cavity heights  $H$  are described relative to FIGS. **8A** and **8B**. The height  $H$  of the cavity **120** prevents contact between the face **116** and the microphone inlet **110** as the face **116** vibrates and moves due to sound waves impacting the face **116**. Contact between the microphone inlet **110** and the face **116** may cause adverse sounds to be transmitted to the microphone **108**.

FIGS. **4A** and **4B** are perspective and perspective sectional views, respectively, of one embodiment of a boot **212** for use in an auditory prosthesis. These figures are described together. Similar to the boot **112** described above, the boot **212** of FIGS. **4A** and **4B** includes sidewalls **214** forming a sleeve and a face **216** spanning the sidewalls **214** proximate one end of the sleeve. The sleeve defines an interior **250** for receiving a microphone or other components. The boot **212** also includes at least one flange **252**. In the boot **212**, the flange **252** extends from the each of the four sidewalls **214**, but in other embodiments, the flange can extend from fewer than four of the sidewalls **214**. Flanges that extend from opposing sidewalls can be particularly advantageous, since they help balance the position of the boot **212** within the housing of the auditory prosthesis. The flanges **252** are disposed proximate corresponding structure within the housing to secure the boot **212** in place. For example, flanges **252** can be pinched between two or more holding structures within the housing of the auditory prosthesis, so as to hold the boot **212** in place. Additionally, flanges **252** that extend around the full perimeter of the sleeve enable a complete sealing of the associated opening in the housing. Since the boot **212** is made of a resilient material, vibrations passing through the auditory prosthesis (e.g., via the associated holding structures) will be damped by the boot **212**.

FIGS. **5A** and **5B** are perspective and perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of a boot **312** for use in an auditory prosthesis. These figures are described together. Similar to the boots described above, the boot **312** of FIGS. **5A** and **5B** includes sidewalls **314** forming a sleeve and a face **316** spanning the sidewalls **314** proximate one end of the sleeve. Spacers **318** are utilized to form a cavity **320** when a microphone is completely inserted into the sleeve interior **350**. The flanges **352** are utilized as described above to support the microphone and reduce the adverse effects of vibrations. In the depicted boot **312**, the flanges **352** are connected to the sidewalls **314** at a collar **354**. The collar **354**, in this embodiment, is a portion of boot material thinner than the flange **352** and/or the sidewall **314**. The collar **354** helps further dampen vibrations within the auditory prosthesis. The collar **354** can be solid or can define a number of openings **356** to further reduce vibration transmission. Test results comparing collared boots (e.g., FIGS. **4A** and **4B**) versus non-collared boots (e.g., FIGS. **5A** and **5B**) are depicted in FIG. **10**.

6

FIGS. **6A** and **6B** are bottom perspective and side perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of a boot **412** for use in an auditory prosthesis. These figures are described together with FIGS. **6C** and **6D**, which depict the boot **412** containing a microphone **108**. Similar to the boots described above, the boot **412** of FIGS. **6A-6D** includes sidewalls **414** forming a sleeve and a face **416** spanning the sidewalls **414** proximate one end of the sleeve. Spacers **418** are utilized to form a cavity **420** when the microphone **108** is completely inserted into the sleeve interior **450**. One or more sidewalls **414** at least partially or completely define one or more channels **456**. The channels **456** are in fluidic communication with both the cavity **420** and the interior of the housing of the auditory prosthesis, since they penetrate a surface of the sidewalls **414**. In this embodiment, the channels **456** penetrate a bottom surface **414a**, but in other embodiments, other surfaces may be penetrated. The channels **456** provide attuned relief venting from the cavity **420** as sound waves are transmitted from the face **416** through the cavity **420** and to the microphone **108**. The channels **456** can be sized as required or desired for a particular application. For example, channels **456** having a cross sectional area of about  $0.4 \text{ mm}^2$  have been discovered to improve performance for sound frequencies up to about 8 kHz, when utilized in an auditory prosthesis such as a cochlear implant. Test results comparing attenuated relief vented boots (e.g., FIGS. **6A-6D**), and non-vented boots (e.g., FIGS. **4A-5B**) are depicted in FIG. **9**. In alternative embodiments, back venting may be utilized with the cavity. Back venting utilizes a defined closed volume significantly larger than the volume of the cavity at the face of the microphone.

FIGS. **7A** and **7B** are partial perspective and partial perspective sectional views, respectively, of another embodiment of an external portion **500**, and are described together. In the embodiment, the external portion **500** utilizes two microphones **508** in a housing **502**. Boots **512** are utilized to contain and support the microphones **508** as described herein. Boot flanges **512** are held between structural elements **502a** of the housing **502** to further isolate the microphones **508** from vibration as well as to seal the openings **506** against contaminant ingress. Not all structural elements **502a** are depicted in FIGS. **7A** and **7B**. Various sizes, types, and locations of structural elements are contemplated. Faces **516** of each boot **508** are disposed above the microphones **508** and are located proximate openings **506** in the housing **502**. To protect the faces **516** from possible puncture or contact with large debris, the housing **502** includes a guard **516** over each face **516**. The guard **560** is spaced from the face **516** a distance sufficient to enable unattenuated sound waves to enter the opening **506** and contact the face **516**. In other embodiments, the guard may be a robust mesh or screen that allows for the entry of sound waves.

FIGS. **8A** and **8B** depict comparison plots of microphone frequency responses for various cavity heights. The plot of FIG. **8A** depicts tested results for microphones that are typically used in auditory prostheses, for example, in cochlear implants. In the plot, the upper curve depicts upper test system limits (i.e., the upper end of an allowed response for production devices), while the lower curve depicts lower test system limits (i.e., the lower end of an allowed response for production devices). The response for a naked microphone (e.g., a microphone not covered by a boot) is depicted. This response displays little deviation from the upper and lower response curves. Plots for cavity heights of about 0.3 mm and about 0.2 mm are also depicted and are fairly

consistent with the response of a naked microphone up to about 1800-2000 Hz. At higher frequencies, the microphone frequency responses at these cavity heights are still acceptable, since they fall generally within the upper and lower response curves. Regardless, the deviations depicted between about 2000 and about 6000 Hz may be compensated for adjusting speech processing parameters of the auditory prosthesis. At a cavity height of 0.1 mm, however, microphone frequency response falls off significantly from that of a naked microphone at very low frequencies. This may be due to contact occurring between the membrane and the microphone that interferes with the natural vibration of the membrane.

Simulated microphone frequency responses are depicted in FIG. 8B and are consistent with the tested responses depicted in FIG. 8A. The simulated responses are for cavity heights of 0.2 mm to 1.5 mm. A naked microphone frequency response is again depicted in the plot. Microphone frequency responses for cavity heights of 1.5 mm and 1.0 mm begin to deviate significantly from that of a naked microphone at around 2000 Hz. For a cavity height of 0.5 mm, significant deviation occurs around 4000 Hz. For a cavity height of 0.2 mm, significant deviation occurs around 5000 Hz. In general, the plots of FIGS. 8A and 8B indicate that smaller cavity heights may be more desirable to maintain a desirable microphone response, but too small of a height can cause significant response problems.

FIG. 9 depicts a comparison plot of frictional noise reduction between boots having differing structures. Frictional noise for an uncovered microphone and two covered microphones (with and without attenuated relief vents) are depicted. Boots utilizing attenuated relief vents are depicted in FIGS. 6A-6D. Note that for frequencies below 1000 Hz, the vented boot is actually less noisy than even the configuration where no boot is utilized. At almost all frequencies, the vented boot is significantly quieter than the non-vented boot. Non-vented boots are depicted in FIGS. 4A-5C.

FIG. 10 depicts a comparison plot of frictional noise differences between boots having differing structures. Frictional noise for an uncovered microphone is depicted as a reference. Additionally, frictional noise for suspended boots (e.g., those utilizing a collar, as described above) and non-suspended boots (e.g., those not utilizing a collar) is depicted. Note that at frequencies above about 700 Hz, the performance attendant with the suspended membrane configuration is comparable to that of an uncovered microphone configuration.

FIG. 11 depicts a comparison plot of vibration response differences between boots having differing structures. Vibration response for an uncovered microphone is depicted as a reference. Above about 1000 Hz, the response of a suspended membrane will drop below, or be comparable to, the configuration that does not utilize a membrane.

FIG. 12 depicts a comparison plot of acoustic response differences between boots having differing structures. The plot depicts results of a test where sheets of silicone having higher and lower relative tensions were installed over the front and rear microphones of an auditory prosthesis. In the plot, the upper curve depicts upper test system limits (i.e., the upper end of an allowed response for production devices), while the lower curve depicts lower test system limits (i.e., the lower end of an allowed response for production devices). The response for a naked microphone (e.g., a microphone not covered by a silicone sheet) is also depicted. The acoustic responses of higher and lower relative tension silicone sheets indicates a clear discrepancy in the response of the two types of sheets across a range of

frequencies. Both the higher and lower relative tension sheets display a certain degree of tension (or conversely, sag), which effects the acoustic response of the microphone. This result indicates that the assembly variation inherent in the attachment of a thin membrane to a rigid carrier will lead to variation in acoustic performance. The unitary boots described herein, however, display acoustic responses similar to those of naked microphones. This may be due to the lack of sag in the face, due to the unitary molding of the boot, which is formed in tight tolerance to the outer dimensions of the microphone. The tight manufacturing tolerance helps reduce tensioning of the face during the assembly process.

The boots described herein can be manufactured of silicone or other resilient material, such as rubbers, thermoplastic elastomers, etc. Materials that provide water resistance without adversely effecting sound attenuation are particularly desirable. The silicone boot may be coated with one or more films or coatings to improve performance or increase operable life. Hydrophobic coatings may be particularly desirable, as are coatings that increase UV light resistance to prevent degradation of the boot. Known injection molding processes can be utilized in manufacture to obtain the required structure within appropriate tolerances. The boot may be a unitary structure or may be manufactured in multiple pieces (e.g., the sleeve, the face, and the flanges) that may be joined together with an appropriate adhesive.

The various embodiments of boots depicted herein are manufactured so as to further reduce attenuation of sound waves directed at the microphone, or reduce vibrations within the prosthesis housing. In one embodiment, the boot may be manufactured so as to limit stretching of the face when a microphone is inserted into the boot interior. Stretching of the face can attenuate sound, lead to more rapid degradation of the boot material, and make the face more susceptible to tearing. Thus, the boot can be manufactured in close tolerance to the outer dimensions of the microphone component to limit such stretching. Other embodiments, however, the boot may utilize a face that stretches, although it may be desirable to limit the degree of stretching, for at least the reasons described above. The auditory prostheses depicted herein utilize more than one microphone. The figures depict a discrete boot for each of the individual microphones. In certain embodiments, however, multiple boots may be integrated into a single part, which may increase ease of assembly. In general, attenuation is also reduced by molding the face of the boot so as to have a thickness less than the thickness of other parts of the boot. Additionally, a collar thickness (in embodiments utilizing a collar) of less than a flange or sidewall thickness helps reduce vibration transmission from the housing to the microphone. Relatively thick flanges, however, may be desirable to allow for significant compression between structural elements, to help ensure solid purchase of the boot within the housing. Sidewall thickness may be selected to accommodate component clearances or other criteria.

This disclosure described some embodiments of the present technology with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which only some of the possible embodiments were shown. Other aspects can, however, be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein. Rather, these embodiments were provided so that this disclosure was thorough and complete and fully conveyed the scope of the possible embodiments to those skilled in the art.

Although specific embodiments were described herein, the scope of the technology is not limited to those specific

9

embodiments. One skilled in the art will recognize other embodiments or improvements that are within the scope of the present technology. Therefore, the specific structure, acts, or media are disclosed only as illustrative embodiments. The scope of the technology is defined by the following claims and any equivalents therein.

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus comprising:
  - a housing defining an opening;
  - a microphone disposed within the housing proximate the opening, wherein a sound inlet of the microphone is oriented towards the opening; and
  - a boot substantially surrounding the sound inlet of the microphone, wherein the boot comprises a plurality of sidewalls and a face, wherein the sidewalls receive the microphone and wherein the face is disposed proximate the sound inlet and between the sound inlet and the opening, and wherein the boot further comprises a flange extending from at least one of the plurality of sidewalls, wherein the flange comprises a flange thickness.
2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the sidewalls comprise a sidewall thickness and the face comprises a face thickness less than the sidewall thickness.
3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the boot further comprises a collar connecting the flange to the at least one of the plurality of sidewalls, wherein the collar comprises a collar thickness less than the flange thickness.
4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the boot further comprises a spacer disposed proximate an interior surface of the face, wherein the spacer contacts a top surface of the microphone such that the interior surface and the sound inlet of the microphone are spaced apart to define a cavity.
5. The apparatus of claim 4, wherein at least one of the sidewalls at least partially defines a channel extending from an outer surface of the sidewall to an inner surface of the sidewall.
6. The apparatus of claim 5, wherein the cavity and an interior of the housing are in fluidic communication via the channel.
7. An apparatus comprising:
  - a unitary boot comprising:
    - a sleeve;
    - a flange extending from the sleeve; and
    - a face integral with the sleeve, such that the face and the sleeve at least partially define a boot interior;
  - a microphone disposed within the boot interior; and
  - a housing defining a housing interior and an opening, the housing comprising a structure disposed within the

10

- housing interior, wherein the sleeve is disposed between the housing and the structure, proximate the opening, so as to prevent infiltration of water into the housing interior via the opening.
8. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the flange is connected to the sleeve at a collar comprising a collar thickness less than a flange thickness.
  9. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the collar at least partially defines a collar opening.
  10. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the unitary boot further comprises a spacer disposed within the boot interior, so as to space a microphone inlet from an interior surface of the face when the microphone is inserted into the boot interior, so as to define a cavity between the microphone and the face.
  11. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the sleeve of the unitary boot at least partially defines a channel.
  12. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the housing interior and the cavity are in fluidic communication via the channel.
  13. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the flange is disposed between the housing and the structure so as to suspend the boot from the housing.
  14. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the sleeve comprises a plurality of sidewalls.
  15. An apparatus comprising:
    - a sleeve comprising a sleeve thickness;
    - a face integral with the sleeve, wherein the face and sleeve at least partially define an interior, and wherein the face comprises a face thickness less than the sleeve thickness;
    - a spacer disposed within the interior and connected to at least one of the sleeve and the face;
    - a flange extending from the sleeve and comprising a flange thickness; and
    - a collar connecting the flange and the sleeve, wherein the collar comprises a collar thickness less than the flange thickness.
  16. The apparatus of claim 15, wherein the flange comprises two flanges disposed on opposite sides of the sleeve.
  17. The apparatus of claim 15, wherein the collar at least partially defines an opening.
  18. The apparatus of claim 15, wherein the sleeve at least partially defines a channel.
  19. The apparatus of claim 15, wherein the sleeve comprises a plurality of sidewalls.

\* \* \* \* \*